CYTOGENETIC EVIDENCE FOR A FIFTH SPECIES WITHIN THE TAXON ANOPHELES DIRUS IN THAILAND¹

VISUT BAIMAI,² RALPH E. HARBACH³ AND UDOM KIJCHALAO²

ABSTRACT. Crossbreeding and chromosomal evidence are presented for the existence of a fifth sibling species within the taxon of Anopheles dirus in Thailand. The new species is morphologically identifiable as Anopheles balabacensis "Fraser's Hill form." Structural differences in mitotic chromosomes and extensive asynapsis in hybrid polytene chromosomes indicate that significant genetic divergence exists between this species and its closest relatives, An. dirus species A, B, C and D and An. balabacensis.

INTRODUCTION

Anopheles dirus Peyton and Harrison is the predominant mainland taxon of the complicated An. leucosphyrus species group in Southeast Asia. This group includes several species that are consistent and dangerous vectors of human malarial parasites. Anopheles leucosphyrus Dönitz and An. balabacensis Baisas are important vector species in parts of Indonesia and Malaysia (Colless 1956, Harbach et al. 1987), while the primary mainland vector previously regarded as An. balabacensis (Eyles et al. 1964, Ismail et al. 1975, Wilkinson et al. 1978) is known to be An. dirus (Peyton and Harrison 1979, Rosenberg and Maheswary 1982).

Present knowledge of An. dirus shows that it consists of four genetically distinct species which have been informally designated as species A, B, C and D (Baimai et al. 1981, 1984, 1987; Wibowo et al. 1984, Hii 1985). The exact involvement of these species in malaria transmission is unknown and cannot be resolved until all members of the group have been identified. In this paper we present cytogenetic evidence for the existence of a fifth species within the group in Thailand. This species was collected in an area adjacent to the Thai-Malaysia border and is morphologically congruous with the Fraser's Hill form of An. balabacensis recognized by Colless (1956, 1957) for specimens from central West Malaysia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimens belonging to the *An. leucosphyrus* group were collected on human bait in a densely forested and hilly area adjoining the Thai-Malaysia border near Padang Besar in Songkla Province, Thailand. Mosquitoes were collected on three consecutive nights at two sites located about 5 km from one another. At the first site, two men collected on a platform built in a tree about 12 m above the ground while two men collected at ground level beneath the tree. At the second site, two men collected outside houses in a small village. Specimens were given bloodmeals, tentatively identified to species by morphology and transferred to Bangkok for egg laving. Larvae from each wild-caught female were examined cytologically for confirmation of the species (Baimai et al. 1984, 1988b). Salivary gland polytene chromosomes and mitotic karyotypes were prepared from fourth-instar larvae (Baimai et al. 1981). Isofemale lines of a cytotype previously unrecognized within the An. leucosphyrus group were maintained in the laboratory for further study. Isoline number PB136 was used to represent the new cytotype in reciprocal crossmatings with An. dirus species A, B, C and D from Thailand and An. balabacensis from East Malaysia. The other species were represented by the following isolines: An. dirus species A (KS14 from Chaiyaphum Province), species B (PT59 from Patthalung Province), species C (KA70 from Kanchanaburi Province), species D (PG30 from Phangnga Province) and An. balabacensis (SAB10 from Sabah). Crossmatings were performed using the force-mating method of Ow Yang et al. (1963). Three to five pair-matings were made in each direction of each cross. The fertility of F_1 hybrid progeny was determined by self-mating among themselves. Genetic incompatibility was inferred from the degree of asynapsis observed in the salivary gland polytene chromosomes of F_1 hybrid larvae. Testes of F_1 hybrid males were also examined.

RESULTS

Ninety-seven females belonging to the An. leucosphyrus group were collected at Padang Besar (Table 1). Seventy of these were identified as An. leucosphyrus species A (Baimai et al. 1988a), 17 isofemale lines conformed to the karyotype of An. dirus species B (Baimai et al.

¹ The views of the authors do not purport to reflect the positions of the supporting agencies.

² Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand.

³ Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Sciences, APO San Francisco 96346-5000. International mail: Rajvithi Road, Bangkok 10400, Thailand. Present address: Walter Reed Biosystematics Unit, Museum Support Center, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20560.

1984) and 10 families possessed mitotic chromosomes that were different from any known species of the An. leucosphyrus group. Larvae and adults of the new cytotype were examined in detail and tentatively identified by one of us (REH) as An. balabacensis "Fraser's Hill form" of Colless (1956, 1957). This identification was later confirmed by E. L. Pevton, Walter Reed Biosystematics Unit. Smithsonian Institution. who is currently completing a comprehensive taxonomic revision of the An. leucosphyrus group in Southeast Asia. We agree with E. L. Pevton that the Fraser's Hill form is a member of the An. dirus species complex. The cytogenetic evidence described below shows that the new cytotype represents a distinct species within the group. The new cytotype is informally recognized here as An. dirus species F (Investigators in India plan to use the letter E for another species within the taxon).

Hybridization tests. The results of the crossmating experiments are summarized in Table 2. All combinations of crosses between An. dirus F and the other species produced F_1 hybrid progeny of both sexes. No eggs were obtained when the F_1 males and females resulting from each cross were mated among themselves. The testes of F_1 hybrid males were subsequently examined and found to be unusually small or absent and without sperm in all cases. This strongly indicates that the F_1 males were sterile and that genetic incompatibility exists between An. dirus F and the other species. These findings are further supported by the cytological investigations of F_1 larval salivary gland polytene chromosomes reported below.

Cytological observations. The mitotic karyotype of An. dirus F most closely resembles that of An. dirus species B. The prominent shared feature of these species is the acrocentric development of the sex chromosomes (Figs. 1, 2, 10). Two distinctive characters serve to distinguish the mitotic karyotype of An. dirus F from that of species B. First, the heterochromatic short arm of the X and Y chromosomes is relatively smaller in An. dirus F (Figs. 6, 7) and second. autosome III of An. dirus F is metacentric rather than submetacentric as in species B and the other members of the group. The difference in the shape of autosome III is due to the presence of a large block of centromeric heterochromatin which is unique to An. dirus F. The character of autosome III is clearly diagnostic for this species (Figs. 1-10). These cytological differences are readily recognized in the mitotic karyotypes of F_1 hybrid larvae (Figs. 3-9). The mitotic karvotypes of An. dirus F, An. dirus species A. B. C and D and An. balabacensis are compared diagrammatically in Fig. 10.

Considerable asynapsis was observed on all arms of the salivary gland polytene chromosomes of F_1 hybrid female larvae derived from all of the crosses (Table 2). The large degree of asynapsis is exemplified in the crosses between

 Table 1. Numbers of females of the Anopheles leucosphyrus group captured on human bait at Village No. 5,


 Padang Besar, in December 1986.

Species	Forest		Village	
	Platform	Ground	Outside	Totals
An. leucosphyrus A	37	1	32	70
An. dirus B	7	1	9	17
An. dirus F	8	1	1	10
Totals	52	3	42	97

 Table 2. Results of reciprocal crossmatings between Anopheles dirus F and five closely related species of the

 An. leucosphyrus group.

Cross		\mathbf{F}_1 progeny		
Male	Female	Female polytene chromosomes	Male testes	
balabacensis	dirus F	almost complete asynapsis	atrophied, no sperm	
dirus F dirus A	balabacensis dirus F	~90% asynapsis	atrophied, no sperm	
dirus F	dirus A			
dirus B dirus F	dirus F dirus B	$\sim 90\%$ asynapsis	atrophied, no sperm	
dirus C	dirus F	almost complete asynapsis	attemption to another	
dirus F	dirus C	annost complete asynapsis	atrophied, no sperm	
dirus D dirus F	dirus F dirus D	>90% asynapsis	atrophied, no sperm	



Figs. 1–9. Mitotic karyotypes from larval neuroblast cells: 1,2, male and female, respectively, of An. dirus F; 3, 4, F₁ female and male, respectively, from dirus F female \times balabacensis male; 5, F₁ female from dirus F female \times dirus A male; 6, 7, F₁ female and male, respectively, from dirus F male \times dirus B female; 8, F₁ female from dirus F female \times dirus F female \times dirus C male; 9, F₁ female from dirus F female \times dirus D male. Arrows indicate large blocks of constitutive heterochromatin in autosome III. The letters A, B, C, D, F and bal denote chromosomes contributed by An. dirus species A, B, C, D, and F and An. balabacensis, respectively.

An. dirus $F \times An$. dirus B (Fig. 11) and An. dirus $F \times An$. dirus C (Fig. 12). These results show that extensive genetic incompatibility exists between An. dirus F and its closely related species within the An. leucosphyrus group.

DISCUSSION

The crossing evidence and chromosomal observations presented here strongly support separate species recognition for *An. dirus* F. Based




Fig. 10. Diagrammatic representation and comparison of mitotic karyotypes of *An. dirus* species A, B, C, D, and F and *An. balabacensis. Anopheles dirus* F is characterized by the short arm of the acrocentric sex chromosomes and the large block of centromeric heterochromatin (black) in autosome III which gives the chromosome a metacentric configuration. Autosome III has a submetacentric configuration in the other species.


on the form of the mitotic chromosomes, this species appears to have undergone an extensive acquisition of constitutive heterochromatin in autosome III and to a lesser extent in the sex chromosomes compared with the closely related An. dirus species B and the other species under consideration. Anopheles dirus F and species B could have arisen from a common ancestral stock which had relatively little centromeric heterochromatin in autosome III and the sex chromosomes. If this is the case, then speciation in the An. leucosphyrus group would appear to be marked by the acquisition of novel constitutive heterochromatin. The findings in this study provide additional support for the notion that the sex chromosomes of oriental species of Anopheles are prone to gain extra heterochromatin during the evolutionary process. This seems to be a general phenomenon in the karyotypic evolution of eukaryote organisms (John and Miklos 1979).

The recognition of An. dirus F as a separate species within the An. leucosphyrus group will

be useful in determining the individual roles of the included species in malaria transmission. These species may have different ecological and behavioral characteristics that influence their epidemiological importance, vectorial capacity and susceptibility to control measures. Judging by the apparent rarity of *An. dirus* F (Colless 1956, 1957) and the limited information presented in Table 1, it appears that this mosquito feeds primarily above ground level, perhaps on monkeys or other small mammals in the forest canopy; however, its potential for involvement in human malaria transmission should not be discounted.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The senior author was supported by the UNDP/World Bank/WHO Special Program for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases. We are grateful to E. L. Peyton, Richard Andre and C. A. Green for commenting on the manuscript



Figs. 11, 12. Salivary gland polytene chromosomes of F_1 hybrid female larvae from crossmatings: 11, dirus F male \times dirus B female; 12, dirus F female \times dirus C male. A large degree of asynapsis is evident. The chromosome arms of An. dirus F are indicated by arrows. The letter C indicates chromocenters.

and the Medical Audiovisual Section, AFRIMS, for assistance in preparing the figures.

REFERENCES CITED

- Baimai, V., R. E. Harbach and S. Sukowati. 1988a. Cytogenetic evidence for two species within the current concept of the malaria vector Anopheles leucosphyrus in Southeast Asia. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 4:44-50.
- Baimai, V., B. A. Harrison and L. Somchit. 1981. Karyotype differentiation of 3 anopheline taxa in the Balabacensis Complex of Southeast Asia (Diptera: Culicidae). Genetica 57:81-86.
- Baimai, V., A. Poopittayasataporn and U. Kijchalao. 1988b. Cytological differences and chromosomal rearrangements in four members of the Anopheles dirus complex (Diptera: Culicidae). Genome (In press).
- Baimai, V., R. G. Andre, B. A. Harrison, U. Kijchalao and L. Panthusiri. 1987. Crossing and chromosomal evidence for two additional sibling species within the taxon Anopheles dirus Peyton and Harrison (Diptera: Culicidae) in Thailand. Proc. Entomol. Soc. Wash. 89:157-166.
- Baimai, V., C. A. Green, R. G. Andre, B. A. Harrison and E. L. Peyton. 1984. Cytogenetic studies of some species complexes of *Anopheles* in Thailand and Southeast Asia. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health 15:536-546.
- Colless, D. H. 1956. The Anopheles leucosphyrus group. Trans. R. Entomol. Soc. Lond. 108:37-116.
- Colless, D. H. 1957. Further notes on the systematics of the Anopheles leucosphyrus group (Diptera: Culicidae). Proc. R. Entomol. Soc. Lond. B 26:131-139.
- Eyles, D. E., R. H. Wharton, W. H. Cheong and M. Warren. 1964. Studies on malaria and *Anopheles*

balabacensis in Cambodia. Bull. W.H.O. 30:7-21.

- Harbach, R. E., V. Baimai and S. Sukowati. 1987. Some observations on sympatric populations of the malaria vectors Anopheles leucosphyrus and Anopheles balabacensis in a village-forest setting in South Kalimantan. Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health 18:241-247.
- Hii, J. L. K. 1985. Genetic investigations of laboratory stocks of the complex of Anopheles balabacensis Baisas (Diptera: Culicidae). Bull. Entomol. Res. 75:185-197.
- Ismail, I. A. W., V. Notananda and J. Schepens. 1975. Studies on malaria and responses of Anopheles balabacensis and Anopheles minimus to DDT residual spraying in Thailand. Part II. Post-spraying observations. Acta Trop. 32: 206–231.
- John, B. and G. L. G. Miklos. 1979. Functional aspects of satellite DNA and heterochromatin. Int. Rev. Cytol. 58: 1-114.
- Ow Yang, C. K., F. L. Sta Maria and R. H. Wharton. 1963. Maintenance of a laboratory colony of Anopheles maculatus Theobald by artificial mating. Mosq. News 23:34-35.
- Peyton, E. L. and B. A. Harrison. 1979. Anopheles (Cellia) dirus, a new species of the Leucosphyrus Group from Thailand (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosq. Syst. 11: 40-52.
- Rosenberg, R. and N. P. Maheswary. 1982. Forest malaria in Bangladesh. II. Transmission by Anopheles dirus. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 31:183–191.
- Wibowo, S., V. Baimai and R. G. Andre. 1984. Differentiation of four taxa of the Anopheles balabacensis complex using H-banding patterns in the sex chromosomes. Can. J. Genet. Cytol. 26: 425-429.
- Wilkinson, R. N., D. J. Gould, P. Boonyakanist and H. E. Segal. 1978. Observations on Anopheles balabacensis (Diptera: Culicidae) in Thailand. J. Med. Entomol. 14: 666–671.