KEY CHARACTERS FOR IDENTIFYING AEDES BAHAMENSIS AND AEDES ALBOPICTUS IN NORTH AMERICA, NORTH OF MEXICO

RICHARD F. DARSIE, JR.

International Center for Public Health Research, University of South Carolina, P.O. Box 699, McClellanville, SC 29458

ABSTRACT. Aedes bahamensis, a species recently introduced into southern Florida represents the first member of the subgenus Howardina to be found in the United States. Its separation from all other Nearctic Aedes is the subject of this work, integrating it into the North American mosquito keys (Darsie and Ward 1981). The key revisions presented are expanded to include the other exotic species now found in the United States, Aedes albopictus.

According to Pafume et al. (1988), Aedes (Howardina) bahamensis Berlin was introduced into Florida from the Bahama Islands in 1986 and has been able to colonize areas of Dade and Broward counties. It is a container breeder and was collected from abandoned tires after its initial detection in ovitraps. It represents the first species of the subgenus Howardina to be found in the United States. Its known distribution in southern Florida and details of its biology in the invaded counties were reported by O'Meara et al. (1989) and description of its egg as compared to Aedes aegypti (Linn.) and Aedes albopictus (Skuse) was given by Linley (1989). Since it has become permanently established in the United States with no prospect of an eradication campaign to exterminate it, the necessity for identifying it is apparent.

The first exotic species to become established in the United States in the last decade was Ae. albopictus. Its separation from the Nearctic Aedes was published by Darsie (1986). To benefit readers who have an interest in mosquito identification in North America, north of Mexico, portions of the keys by Darsie and Ward (1981) are revised to include both Ae. bahamensis and Ae. albopictus to distinguish them from the other 77 species of Aedes in North America. Information given by Berlin (1969) and Zavortink (1972) has been utilized.

ADULT FEMALE IDENTIFICATION

Females of the subgenus Howardina are readily recognized by the scale pattern on the scutum. The background is reddish brown or black with a design of narrow white-, creamy- or golden-scaled lines (Berlin 1969).

Using the key on page 27 (Darsie and Ward 1981) to the adult females of the genus Aedes, characters found on Ae. bahamensis follow quite easily to couplet 10. There, it can be separated from the remaining species with broad basal pale bands on the hindtarsomeres by inserting couplet 11A to differentiate Ae. bahamensis and Ae.

albopictus from the species that follow which have pale and dark wing scales; then add a couplet (12) to distinguish these 2 species from each other and finally changing original couplet revised key which supercedes 12 th

	by Darsie (1986) is as follows:
10(9).	Basal pale bands of hindtarso- meres narrow, covering 0.2 or
	less of tarsomere 2 11
	Basal pale bands of hindtarso-

meres broad, covering more than 0.3 of tarsomere 2 . . . 11A Basal pale bands on abdominal 11(10). terga II-VI with 2 posterior

lobes; tergum VII mostly darkscaled; lower mesepimeral setae absent vexans Basal pale bands on terga II-

VI not lobed nor clearly defined, tergum VII mostly palescaled; lower mesepimeral setae present cantator

11A(10). Wings entirely dark-scaled; hindtarsomeres 1-3 with broad pale bands 12

Wings with white and dark scales intermixed, if all dark, then at least hindtarsomeres 1-4 with broad pale bands 12A

12(11A). Scutum with narrow lines of white, creamy and golden scales; hindtarsomeres 4.5 dark-scaled.....bahamensis

Scutum with only narrow median stripe of white scales; hindtarsomere 4 with white basal band, 5 entirely white albopictus

12A(12). Wing with broad, triangularshaped, dark and pale scales rather evenly intermixed dorsally 13

At least some dorsal wing scales narrow, with dark and pale scales, usually unevenly distributed 14

FOURTH INSTAR LARVA

Identification of the fourth instar larva of Ae. bahamensis is a bit more difficult. Its morphology is similar to the species of the subgenus Protomacleaya, therefore to identify Ae. bahamensis one can proceed to couplet 51 in the key to Aedes larvae (page 134), with some vexation at couplet 43. The form of the comb scales in Ae. bahamensis larvae is fringed with short spinules, but its shape is short and blunt, not long and thin as in most species of Protomacleaya. Revised couplets 51 and 51A must be inserted to distinguish Ae. bahamensis. To include identification of Ae. albopictus here, key changes of Darsie (1986) [couplets 44–46A] are also given below.

- 44(43). Seta 1-A short, not reaching more than 0.75 of distance to apex of antenna; siphon without Seta 1-A long, at least reaching to near apex of antenna; siphon with large acus 46A 45(44). Abdominal segment VIII with 3-5 comb scales; seta 1-C stout, broad and short papago Abdominal segment VIII with 6-12 comb scales; seta 1-C long and thin 46 46(45). Setal support plate of setae 9-12-M,T with prominent spine; comb scales with strong subapical spines; seta 7-C simple aegypti Setal support plate of setae 9-12-M,T with short thin spine; comb scales with lateral, basal fringe of fine spicules; seta 7-C branched albopictus 46A(44). Integument of thorax and abdomen aculeate; with 3-7 comb scales purpureipes Integument of thorax and abdomen glabrous; with 8-12 comb
- 51(47). Setae 2-III-VI with at least 5 branches; setae 9-III-V longer and stronger than setae 7-III-V; seta 3-VII long, reaching al-

scales muelleri

most to base of siphon, single; comb scales in single row in form of a chevron ... bahamensis

- Setae 2-III-VI single or double; setae 9-III-V shorter and weaker than setae 7-III-V; seta 3-VII short, not reaching middle of segment VIII, with 2 or more branches; comb scales in single short row or triangular patch 51A
- 51A(51). Seta 4-C weak, usually with 7 or fewer branches, nearer to seta 6-C than to middorsal line; comb with 20 or more scales burgeri

The author is indebted to George F. O'Meara for providing specimens of *Aedes bahamensis* for this study.

REFERENCES CITED

- Berlin, O. G. W. 1969. Mosquito studies (Diptera, Culicidae). XII. A revision of the Neotropical subgenus *Howardina* of *Aedes*. Contrib. Am. Entomol. Inst. (Ann Arbor) 4(2):1–190.
- Darsie, R. F., Jr. 1986. The identification of Aedes albopictus in the Nearctic Region. J. Am. Mosq. Control. Assoc. 2:336-340.
- Darsie, R. F., Jr. and R. A. Ward. 1981. Identification and geographical distribution of the mosquitoes of North America, north of Mexico. Mosq. Syst. Suppl. 1, 313 pp.
- Linley, J. R. 1989. Comparative fine structure of the eggs of *Aedes albopictus*, *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. bahamensis* (Diptera: Culicidae). J. Med. Entomol. 26:510-521.
- O'Meara, G. F., V. L. Larson, D. H. Mook and M. D. Latham. 1989. Aedes bahamensis: its invasion of south Florida and association with Aedes aegypti. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 5:1-5.
- Pafume, B. A., E. G. Campos, D. B. Francy, E. L. Peyton, A. N. Davis and M. Nelms. 1988. Discovery of Aedes (Howardina) bahamensis in the United States. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 4:380.
- Zavortink, T. J. 1972. Mosquito studies (Diptera, Culicidae) XXVIII. The New World species formerly placed in Aedes (Finlaya). Contrib. Am. Entomol. Inst. (Ann Arbor) 8(3):1-206.