Mosouito News

Encephalomyelitis Virus. Am. Vet. M. A. J. 98:448; also Science 93:595.

(22) Mohler, J. R. 1943. Report on Infectious Equine Encephalomyelitis in the United States in 1942. *Bull*. U.S.D.A. Bur. Animal Ind. 8 pp.

(23) MILLER, A. W. 1944. Report on Infectious Equine Encephalomyelitis in the United States in 1943. *Bull.* U.S.D.A. Bur. Animal Ind., 4 pp.

(24) SHAHAN, M. S., and GILTNER, L. T. 1943. Identity of Viruses from Cases of Equine Encephalomyelitis during 1942. J. Am. Vet. M. A. 102:271–275.

(25) Brown, G. C. 1947. Studies on Equine Encephalomyelitis in Michigan. J. Infect. Dis. 80:48-54.

(26) SIMMS, B. T. 1948. Report on Infectious Equine Encephalomyelitis in the United States in 1947. *Bull*. U.S.D.A. Bur. Animal Ind. 4 pp.

(27) Oclesby, W. T. 1948. 1947 Outbreak of Infectious Equine Encephalomyclitis in Louisiana. J. Am. Vet. M. A. 113:267– 270.

(28) HAUSER, G. H. 1948. Human Equine Encephalomyelitis, Eastern Type, in Louisiana. New Orleans Med. and Surg. J. 100: 551-558.

(29) HOWITT, B. F., et al. 1948. An Outbreak of Equine Encephalomyelitis, Eastern Type, in Southwestern Louisiana. Proc. Soc. Exp. B ol. and Med. 68:70–72.

(30) Godelfer, L. 1949. Equine Encephalomyelitis. Am. J. Med. Tech. 15:117-126.

(31) Kissling, R. E., and Rubin, H. 1950. Eastern Equine Encephalomyelitis. U. S. Pub. Health Serv. CDC Bull. Feb., pp. 2–5.

(32) BEAUDETTE, F. R., and BLACK, J. J. 1948. Equine Encephalomyelitis in New Jersey Pheasants in 1945 and 1946. J. Am. Vet. M. A. 112:140-147.

(33) RINDGE, M. E., and HART, J. C. Personal Communication.

(34) MERRILL, M. H., et al. 1934. Mosquito Transmission of Equine Encephalomyelitis. Science 80:251-252.

(35) TEN BROECK, C., and MERRILL, M. H. 1935.
Transmission of Equine Encephalomyelitis
by Mosquitoes. Am. J. Path. 11:847.

(36) DAVIS, W. A. 1940. A Study of Birds and Mosquitoes as Hosts for the Virus of Eastern Equine Encephalomyelitis. Am. J. Hyg. 32(c):45-59.

(37) CHAMBERLAIN, R. W., and Schaeffer, M. Personal Communication.

(38) Howitt, B. F., et al. 1949. Recovery of Virus of Eastern Equine Encephalomyelitis from Mosquitoes (Mansonia perturbans) collected in Georgia. Science 110:141–142.

(39) CHAMBERLAIN, R. W., et al. 1951. Recovery of Virus of Eastern Equine Encephaloinyelitis from a Mosquito, Culiseta melanura (Coquillett). Proc. Soc. Exper. B.ol. and Med. 77:396–397.

(40) Kissling, R. E., et al. 1951. Recovery of Virus of Eastern Equine Encephalomyelitis from the Blood of a Purple Grackle. Proc. Soc. Exper. Biol. and Med. 77: 398–399.

THE EFFECTS OF DESICCATION ON THE EGGS OF ANOPHELES QUADRIMACULATUS SAY

L. KARTMAN and R. P. REPASS

From Communicable Disease Center, Public Health Service, Federal Security Agency, Atlanta, Georgia.

Anopheline eggs apparently have been found to be fairly resistant to desiccation under experimental conditions. Mayne (1926) reported survival of *Anopheles quadrimaculatus* eggs for as long as 16 days, and survival of *A. crucians* eggs for 21 days when subjected to drying mud. Stone and Reynolds (1939) obtained larvae of *A. punctimacula*, *A. albimanus*, and *A. aquasalis* from Canal Zone mud which had been devoid of standing water for about one month. Deane and Causey

(1943) found some A. gambiae eggs able to survive for 18 days in drying sand. Darrow (1949), in a series of critical studies, showed that eggs of A. quadrimaculatus had to be kept moist for between 0.2 and 0.3 of the total period of embryonic development in order for them to resist desiccation. She further suggested that eggs on a dry substratum would continue to develop provided the relative humidity was 100 percent.

The present studies were undertaken

to determine if *A. quadrimaculatus* ova could withstand periods of drying in Arkansas rice fields.

Materials and Methods. Crowley type alluvial clay-loam was collected from a delta breeding area near Helena, Arkansas, and silty clay-loam was collected from a Stuttgart rice-field breeding area. Both types of soil were dried and pulverized for uniformity and placed in small aluminum funnels, provided with filter paper liners, to within about 3/8 inch of the top. The spout of each funnel was tightly corked and the funnel filled with tap water. These funnels were then placed in cages containing gravid Anopheles quadrimaculatus females collected from specific locations and allowed to remain overnight. The next morning funnels with eggs were removed from the cages, the corks in the funnel spouts withdrawn, and the water allowed to drain so that the eggs could settle on the moist soil surface. All funnels were numbered and placed in racks and the soil in them allowed to dry spontaneously. Eggs on the soil surface in each funnel were counted; eggs on the funnel lips or sides were removed. At approximately 24-hour intervals after desiccation began, funnels were flooded with tap water and counts made of hatching on a 24-hour basis. All experiments were conducted in an inside room without special regulation of temperature and humidity.

Controls for these studies consisted of:

(1) funnels on which Aedes aegypti oviposited that were flooded after Anopheles quadrimaculatus eggs failed to hatch;

(2) funnels of eggs from appropriate quadrimaculatus strains which were not drained but the eggs allowed to hatch normally—quadrimaculatus control No. 1;

(3) funnels into which samples of eggs from all experimental funnels were placed and then allowed to hatch normally—quadrimaculatus control No. 2.

Data and Discussion. A total of five tests was conducted in which the Q-I colonized strain of A. quadrimaculatus was used each time, the Delta strain on three occasions, and the rice-field strain

only once. Table 1 summarizes all of the pertinent data for these tests.

These data suggest that eggs of the colonized strain of quadrimaculatus were the least resistant to desiccation. This appeared to be true whether delta or ricefield soils were used or whether the temperature reached maxima of 80° F. or 90° F. The eggs of wild-caught quadrimaculatus, whether from delta or ricefield breeding areas, appeared quite similar in their resistance to drying. It is of interest that the initial hatch of eggs from wild-caught mosquitoes was almost always below that of the Q-I eggs. However, fewer Q-I ova hatched subsequently than ova from the wild-caught strains.

Actually, the data are too meager to warrant drawing definite conclusions. They do suggest that a drying period of approximately one week would probably be sufficient to kill a majority of wild quadrimaculatus eggs on rice-field soils. Similar results were obtained by Hill and Cambournac (1941), who found that drying of Portuguese rice fields for periods of seven days, followed by flooding periods, would reduce anophelines by over 80 percent. The irrigation practices of planters in the Arkansas rice-growing region are such that quadrimaculatus have breeding water available throughout most of the growing season. However, depending upon the individual planter, there is usually at least one drainage period during the summer when the soil is permitted to dry until firm enough to be walked on (Nelson, 1944). This is undoubtedly sufficient to kill quadrimaculatus eggs.

SUMMARY

- i. Observations were made on the ability of eggs of *Anopheles quadrimaculatus* obtained from different sources to survive desiccation under experimental conditions.
- 2. The data suggest that eggs of the colonized (Q-1) strain of *quadrimaculatus* were the least resistant to desiccation.
- 3. It is suggested that a drying period of about one week would probably be

TABLE 1. Effect of experimental soil desiccation on hatching of Anopheles quadrimaculatus eggs

	Strain	Q-1 quadrimuculatus control #1 tegypti control	Q-1 quadrimaculatus control #1 quadrimaculatus control #2 aegypti control	Q-1 Delta Rice-field quadrimaculatus control #1 quadrimaculatus control #2 wegypti control	Q-1 Delta quadrimaculatus control #1 quadrimaculatus control #2 aegypti control	Q-1 Delta quadrimaculaus control #1 quadrimaculaus control #2 aegypti control
	No. of eggs	3917 600 44	951 80 111 109	165 2000 784 260 254 998	556 932 254 180 992	950 2331 266 213 661
	Soil type	Delta "	Delta "	Rice-field	Rice-field "	Rice-field
	Temperature Range °F.	78–80	78–82		,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	78–91
)	Relative humidity range %	35–65	35–68	28–68	38–79	41–66
	. 84	78	09	55 50 50 62	62 31 46 64	73 50 60 60
	72	69	71	27 40 35	21 21	61
	Percer Desicca 96	23	25	30 27	37	45
0	Percent of eggs hatching Desiccation period in hours 96 120 144 168	91	2.7	0 118 118	.4∞ [] [4 1
	gs hatci riod in 144	4	° [0 11 7	39	0.4
		°	° []]	1 13.20	00	° # []
	192 2	· •]]	0 19	000	° H] []	00
	216	1 12	°	0 0 0 18	3	10117

sufficient to kill a majority of wild quadrimaculatus eggs on rice-field soils.

Bibliography

Darrow, E. M. 1949. Factors in the elimination of the immature stages of *Anopheles quadrimaculatus* Say in a water level fluctuation cycle. *Amer. J. Hyg.*, 50:207-235.

Deane, M. P., and Causey, O. R. 1943. Viability of *Anopheles gambiae* eggs and morphology of unusual types found in Brazil. *Amer. J. Trop. Med.*, 23:95–101.

HILL, R. B., and CAMBOURNAC, F. J. C. 1941. In-

term.ttent irrigation in rice cultivation and its effect on yield, water consumption, and *Anopheles* production. *Amer. J. Trop. Med.*, 21:123–144.

MAYNE, B. 1926. Notes on influence of temperature and humidity on oviposition and early life of Anopheles. Pub. Health Rep., 41: 986-990.

Nelson, M. 1944. Rotation, cultural, and irrigation practices affecting rice production. U. Ark. Agric. Exp. Sta. Bull. No. 445.

Stone, W. S., and Reynolds, F. H. K. 1939. Hibernation of anopheline eggs in the tropics. *Science*, 90:371-372.

MOSQUITO CONTROL ON ARMY POSTS IN THE FAR EAST

A. W. MORRILL, JR., A. N. DANDOY, M. S. JOHNSON, J. F. POOLE, and R. H. VINCENT

Mosquito control at all fixed Army installations in Japan, Okinawa, the Philippines, Guam and Korea is a responsibility of the Post Engineer, as it is in the United-States. Utilizing local civilian employees, trained by civilian entomologists in the Corps of Engineers, crews have been established and control operations placed upon regular schedules. The work was supervised by American civilian and enlisted men, by locally employed entomologists and by local crew members who demonstrated abilities of such calibre as to warrant their being given further specialized training and higher responsibility. Close coordination has been maintained between the Engineer organizations and the Army Medical Service, whose survey and control units conduct all operations in combat areas, and whose survey and laboratory units under the direction of Medical Service entomologists have been an integral part of the program.⁶ In 1946, most of these control units of the Army Medical Service had been rapidly deactivated and until the local civilian crews could be recruited and trained, operations were often quite unsatisfactory. However, in every area, both the local employees and the American civilians and enlisted men proved to be interested in the work, energetic and capable, and soon developed a high morale and a very considerable efficiency.

Principal Species of Mosquitoes: The principal disease-bearing mosquitoes and their primary breeding places were as follows: Anopheles hyrcanus sinensis—(rice paddies); Anopheles maculatus—(streams); Anopheles minimus flavirostris—(clear streams); Anopheles subpictus—(swamp and mud holes); Aedes albopictus—(scrap yards, flooded ruins, water containers); Culex quinquefasciatus (fatigans)—(stagnant water, water con-

¹ Formerly Entomologist, GHQ, Far East Comd.; now with Eng. Res & Dev. Lab. Ft. Belvoir, Va.

² Formerly Entomologist, Phil. Base Comd.; Manila; now Ryukyus Cmd.

⁸ Entomologist, Hq & Sv Cmd, Tokyo, Japan. ⁴ Entomologist, Ryukyus Cmd; Okinawa.

⁵ Formerly Entomologist, Marianas-Bonin Cmd; Guam, now Asst. Chief, I & R Sect., OCE, Wash., D. C.

⁶Especially invaluable aid has been supplied by Lt. Col. S. C. Dews, 8th Army, Lt. Col. J. E. Webb, Jr., Brooke Army Med. Ctr., Lt. Col. S. O. Hill, Brooke Army Med. Ctr., Capt. H. C. Barnett, 406th MGL, Tokyo, Japan, & Dr. William Suyemoto, 406th MGL, Tokyo, Japan.