MOSQUITOES COLLECTED IN HORSE-BAITED TRAPS IN PANAMA DURING 1951 TO 1953 INCLUSIVE AS AN INDEX TO MALARIA CONTROL.¹

FRANKLIN S. BLANTON,2 CHARLES M. KEENAN, 3 AND E. L. PEYTON 4

The control of malaria in Panama has en an important mission since the benning of the construction of the Pana Canal. A great number of papers we been published on malaria and mostito control in this area.

It is the purpose of this paper to report summary of the mosquitoes collected in orse-baited traps in and adjacent to Army stallations in Panama. The period vered begins with January 1951 and ds October 31, 1953. The present paper als only with mosquitoes. Such biting iptera as *Culicoides, Phlebotomus,* Tabidae, and Simuliidae, often taken from the traps, are not considered here. Метноря. The adult mosquitoes were llected in horse-baited traps of the Egyp-

n type as described by Bates (1944). 1ese traps were operated four nights ch week throughout the entire period xclusive of holidays) on all Army posts both the Atlantic and Pacific sides of a Canal Zone. The purpose of this is keep an index of mosquito populations tich should give an indication of the iciency of control measures currently in e.

A horse was placed in each trap late in a afternoon and all insects were reoved from the trap and brought to the poratory of the 25th Medical Detachment for identification the following morning. This is a continuation of the exact methods employed and described by Carpenter and Peyton (1952).

HISTORY OF ANIMAL BAITED TRAPS. The idea for using horse-baited traps is not new. Since the discovery that anopheline mosquitoes are the vectors of malaria Plasmodia, many methods of trapping have been devised for the purpose of recording population trends. Not all types of traps work efficiently for all kinds of mosquitoes. Goodwin (1942) found barrels to be quite effective. He painted the inside of these barrels black or red and partially sunk them into the hillside or into a natural bank. The aperture was partially covered in order to make the interior dark and to exclude some of the wind. Smith used nail kegs and placed them in the underbrush where the area was damp. The senior writer has used the Smith technique for the same species, Anopheles quadrimaculatus, at Camp Chaffee, Arkansas. This method is successful for several species of Anopheles but since very little is known about the resting habits of Anopheles albimanus it is not useful for the latter species on the military installations in Panama. Russell and Santiago (1934) described an earthlined box which they used to attract Anopheles minimus flavirostris but this trap, which was useful in the Philippines, was useless in Southern India Anopheles culicifacies and they resorted to mud-thatch or all thatched traps baited with a calf.

Kumm and Zúniga (1944) used an animal baited trap to record seasonal variations of *Anopheles albimanus* and *pseudopunctipennis* in Costa Rica and El Salvador. They used a portable trap which was a modification of the type designed by

Published under the auspices of the Surgeoneral, U. S. Army, who does not necessarily ume responsibility for the professional opinions ressed by the authors.

² Lieutenant Colonel, Medical Service Corps, S. Army Caribbean, Fort Clayton, Canal Zone. ³ Supervisor of Malaria Control Branch, Sur-³ Office, Fort Clayton, Canal Zone.

¹ Sergeant, First Class, Chief Preventive Medie Technician, formerly with 25th Medical Dehment, Fort Clayton, Canal Zone, now with th Army Area Laboratory, Fort Baker, Calinia.

Magoon (1935). Earle and Howard (1936) reported on the use of a permanent type trap in Puerto Rico. Several variations of the type trap and animal bait have been used throughout the world. Most traps are about 4 feet wide, 8 feet long, and 7 feet high. Our traps have doors at each end. A V-shaped slot permits the mosquitoes to enter the trap but they rarely escape. Marston Bates (1944), who experimented extensively with animal traps in Egypt, reported that when the entrance slot was designed to force the mosquitoes to fly downward there were fewer that escaped.

LOCATION OF TRAPS. The writers have operated horse-baited traps at Albrook Field, Fort Clayton, Fort Kobbe, Fort Davis, Fort Gulick, Fort Sherman, Navy Tank Farm, Fort Sherman Reservation. Camaron, Mount Hope Dump Area, Coco Solito, and the middle Chagres. More than one trap was operated on some posts such as Fort Kobbe and Fort Davis. Fort Sherman Reservation included traps operated at Gatun Tarpon Club, Chagres S & H Road, mouth of Chagres River, Mojinga Swamp, Devils Beach, and Loma These all have been lumped Boracho. together as Fort Sherman Reservation—a very large area and for the most part unsanitated.

HORSE-BAITED TRAPS VERSUS OTHER Methods. Carpenter and Peyton (1952) report the results of horse-baited traps and light traps run at Madden Dam, Canal Zone, for one year beginning in October They caught 50 Anopheles sp. in New Jersey light traps compared to 1,587 in the horse-baited traps and for all species of mosquitoes 3,391 were taken from light traps as compared to 65,323 from the In contrast to this, horse-baited traps. Pritchard and Pratt (1944) found a light trap to be superior to horse-baited traps for collecting Anopheles albimanus in Puerto Rico. During the period of study reported in this paper no direct comparisons have been made and most of the light trap surveys have been in outlying areas.

Simmons (1939) in his table 57 give the total anopheline mosquitoes collected by hand in barracks, theaters and othe buildings on Army posts in the Cana Zone from 1930-1935. He states that th numbers do not represent the total anophe lines present, as catching was done only a a check on mosquito flights. He report that, in 1933 a total of 8,545 anopheline were caught at 10 Army posts. work was continued and in 1936, 11,40 Anopheles were caught. Simmons est mated that this represented about 10 per cent of the Anopheles in buildings, whic would make 114,000 during 1936. malaria rate for 1936 was 39.4. The rat increased slightly in 1937 despite th enormous drop in anopheline population This shows that the number of Anophele mosquitoes does not always directly affer the malaria rate.

The picture has changed a great desince Simmons' report. The senior write did not observe a single *Anopheles* mo quito in his quarters at Fort Clayton i over three years, which shows the hig degree of malaria control now in operatio in the Canal Zone.

RESULTS: SPECIES COLLECTED. Since malaria vectors were the primary interest and since it is extremely difficult if no impossible to identify all of the female especially *Culex* to species, several of the genera were not separated into specie. The following identifications, and the numbers of each species or genus captured constitute the trap records:

Chagasia bathanus 3.

Anopheles: punctimacula 17,960; albiman. 6,602; aquasalis, 6,413; oswaldoi 2,685; apic macula 2,549; neomaculipalpis 1,544; neivai 23 pseudopunctipennis 27; squamifemur 11; triann. latus 11; argyritarsis 10; anomalophyllis 9; eise 6; strodei 6; and Anopheles spp. 7.

Trichoprosopon: espini 767; longipes 22; dig tatum 16; lampropus 4; magnum 3; and rapax Sabethes: chloropterus 24; cyaneus 1.

Wyeomyia spp. 219; Limatus spp. 47; Uran taenia spp. 2.

Mansonia: titillans 49,306; fasciolata 18,10 nigricans 1,091; indubitans 245; pseudotitillans

Aedeomyia squamipennis 18. Psorophora: cingulata 3,474; ferox 670; lut: 253; ciliata 4; lineata 1. Aedes: taeniorhynchus 11,258; angustivittatus 4,710; fulvus 478; serratus 107; hastatus 78; leucocelaenus 53; septemstriatus 27; clarkii 22; terrens 2; leucotaeniatus 1.

Haemogogus: lucifer 357; equinus 196; spegaz-

zinii-falco 2.

Culex spp. 28,083; Deinocerites spp. 2,195.

Discussion. Fourteen of the seventeen genera of mosquitoes known to occur in Panama are represented in the catches from horse-baited traps over a 3-year period. Orthopodomyia, rarely found on our military installations, Toxorhynchites, a non-biting mosquito, and Culiseta, a northern species, are the only three genera missing from the list.

Some of the pest species such as Mansonia titillans, M. fasciolata, Aedes taeniorhynchus, and Culex occurred in sufficient numbers to be troublesome at times.

On well sanitated posts the Anopheles albimanus count was low. It was lowest at Albrook Field, where 7 specimens were trapped in 333 nights for an average of 0.02 A. albimanus per night. At France Air Force Base, a post that was reactivated during the course of these studies, it was high for a sanitated post, with 567 specimens caught in 186 nights for an average of 3 A. albimanus per trap night. Fort Sherman was high but this is a very large reservation which was reactivated during the course of these studies. It has been deactivated and all sanitary work has been stopped there. At Fort Davis 381 A. albimanus were captured in 907 trap nights for an average of 0.4 per night. During the course of these studies Mindi Dairy was expanded and now surrounds Fort Davis on three sides of the post, and since this dairy is outside the army control it is impossible to keep Ft. Davis free of mosquitoes.

In contrast to the mentioned sanitated areas, the upper Chagres River, a few miles above Gamboa, a total of 4,017 A. albimanus were captured in 74 nights operation for an average of 54.3 per trap night.

During this period a total of 161,284 specimens were collected from horse-baited traps of which 6.602 were Anopheles albimanus, 17,960 Anopheles punctimacula,

13,520 other Anopheles, and 123,202 pest species.

Of the pest species, Aedes taeniorhynchus is the most serious. This species follows heavy flooding of salt marshes after peak tides and heavy rainfall. There is usually one peak emergence at Fort Kobbe each year followed by one or more minor flights. Several species of the Mansonia group, especially M. titillans and fasciolata, are troublesome at times on some military installations.

SUMMARY. Horse-baited traps have been operated on most of our Army posts during the past three years in order to maintain a constant picture of the mosquito population and to check on the efficacy of control measures. A total of 4,478 trap nights resulted in the capture of 161,284 mosquitoes. Of those, 6,602 were Anopheles albimanus, 17,960 An. punctimacula and 13,520 were Anopheles of other species and 123,202 were pest species.

The population of Anopheles albimanus was lowest at Albrook Field, a well sanitated post, with an average of 0.02 specimens per trap night. This, compared to the number collected in the Middle Chagres, an unsanitated area with an average of 54.3 A. albimanus per trap night, shows the potential breeding when control methods are not used.

Acknowledgments. To the enlisted technicians of the 25th Medical Detachment and the Malaria Control Branch who have assisted with the identification, grateful acknowledgment is made. Some of the men who should be mentioned are Hector G. Baxter, Wilbur Lowe, Luis G. Pinzon, and Luis Palma. Grateful acknowledgment is also made to Mr. F. C. Henderson and Mr. Harold E. Small, who supervised the collection and care of the specimens from the traps.

Literature Cited

Bates, Marston. 1944. Notes on the construction and use of stable traps for mosquito studies. J. Nat. Mal. Soc. 3:135-145.

CARPENTER, S. J. and E. L. PEYTON. 1952. Mosquito studies in the Panama canal zone dur-

27

Rico.

ing 1949 and 1950 (Diptera Culicidae). American Midland Naturalist, 48:(3)673-682.

EARLE, W. C. and H. H. HOWARD. The determination of Anopheles mosquito prevalence. Bol. Asoc. Med. de Puerto Rico, 28:233-

GOODWIN, MELVIN H. 1942. Studies on artificial resting places of Anopheles quadrimaculatus Say. J. Nat. Mal. Soc. 1:93-99.

KUMM, H. W. and H. ZUNIGA. 1944. Seasonal variation in the numbers of Anopheles albimanus and A. pseudopunctipennis caught in stable traps in Central America. Amer. I. Hvg. 39:8-15.

Magoon, E. H. 1935. A portable stable trap for capturing mosquitoes. Bull. Ent. Res., 26; 363-369.

PRITCHARD, A. E. and H. D. PRATT. 1944. I. A comparison of light trap and animal bait trap anopheline mosquito collections in Puerto II. A list of the mosquitoes of Puerto

Public Health Reports 59:221-233. Russell, R. F. and D. Santiago. 1934. earth-lined trap for anopheline mosquitoes. Proc.

Ent. Soc. Wash. 36:1-21.

SIMMONS, J. S., G. R. CALLENDER, S. C. SCHWARTZ and R. RANDALL. 1939. In malaria in Panama. Amer. Jour. Hyg. Monog. Series No.

THE SPREAD OF AEDES SOLLICITANS (WALKER) IN KENTUCKY

ELBERT B. DIXON Entomologist, Kentucky State Dept. of Health

Aedes sollicitans (Walk.), the salt-marsh mosquito, is now established in Hopkins Henderson Counties, Kentucky. Complaints of mosquitoes in Madisonville (Hopkins County) led to the collection of five female adults on May 27, 1954. They were found to be Aedes sollicitans (Walk.). On June 22, 1954 in the course of a mosquito control program by the City of Henderson and the Henderson County Health Department ten females were collected in Henderson. The following day numerous pupal exuviae and adults were seen at Smith Mills (Henderson County), located about eleven miles west of Henderson; but no larvae were found. Numerous larvae, however, were found at this site on October 5, 1954, breeding in a swamp polluted by salt from oil wells. On June 24, 1954, twenty larvae were collected at Richland, about three miles from Madisonville, breeding in coalmine polluted water which had overflowed, forming a pool beside a creek. Dr. Alan Stone, of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, has confirmed the identification. Four adult females and six larvae have been deposited in the U.S. National Museum.

A check through the files of mosquito records at the Kentucky State Department of Health showed that on July 4 and 5, 1946, Dr. Frank W. Fisk and Mr. James H. Crawford, then employed by CDC, U. S. Public Health Service, collected 35 females by hand and 9 females by New Jersey light trap at Smith Mills. Their record, however, was unpublished. Blakeslee and Payne (1953) reported one female collected by light trap on June 6, 1953, at Fort Knox (Hardin County).

The finding of this species in the Western Coal Field shows that since 1946 this species has spread at least thirty miles south of Henderson and is breeding in sufficient numbers in mine-polluted water to cause a problem in mosquito control.

Literature Cited

BLAKESLEE, THEODORE and GEORGE S. PAYNE. 1953. Aedes (O) sollicitans (Walker) and Culiseta (C) morsitans (Theobald) in Kentucky. Mosquito News 13(3):210.