ARTICLES

A SURVEY OF INSECTICIDES USED IN COUNTRY-WIDE MOSQUITO CONTROL IN 1955 *

JOSEPH M. GINSBURG

New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Department of Entomology, Rutgers University, New Brunswick

The objectives of this brief résumé of a country-wide survey on chemicals regularly employed in mosquito control and of those experimentally tested during 1955, were to assemble up-to-date information on the extent to which the newer insecticides are now used; their efficiency in pestmosquito extermination in comparison with the older insecticides; and present trends in mosquito control agencies.

In January 1956, a questionnaire was sent to most mosquito control agencies in the United States and Canada. By March 1, 1956, replies from some 120 active mosquito control commissions in 35 states had been received. After a careful study of the reports, the information was classified as follows:

1. Principal insecticides employed for practical mosquito control.

2. New insecticides tested or used in small quantities on specific areas.

3. Mosquito resistance to DDT.

4. Future trends in mosquito control.

RESULTS

Insecticides Employed (1 and 2). The principal toxicants employed by most of the mosquito control districts were DDT and mosquito-oil, but substantial quantities of BHC, malathion, pyrethrum, and dieldrin were also used, particularly in areas where there was mosquito resistance to DDT. Small quantities of other new

insecticides were also applied, either experimentally or on specific areas. In some districts only one insecticide was mainly used, while in others, several toxicants, singly or combined, were applied. Data on frequency of the use of various insecticides show that DDT, in various formulations, was used by 91 agencies; oil alone, with no other toxicant added, by 31; Pyrethrum, either as emulsion or added to DDT-oil solution, by 23; malathion by 11; BHC by 5; dieldrin, as emulsion and granular dust, by 6; Lethane or thanite, added to DDT-oil, by 10; chlordane by 4; heptachlor by 4; parathion by 2; toxaphene by 2; DDD by 2; aldrin by 1; Paris green by I; and EPN by 1. Parathion was applied by 8 districts in California where extra precautions were practiced for the prevention of possible health hazards.

Pre-Breeding. Thirty-one districts practiced pre-breeding treatments; 23 applied DDT and reported results of fair to excellent, with one application, applied in the early season, remaining effective for from 2 months to the better part of the summer.

Mosquito Resistance to DDT and Other Chlorinated Insecticides (3). Eleven agencies reported definite resistance to DDT, either over all the county or in local areas. A number of districts substantiated previous observations that DDT is less effective on mosquito larvae during hot weather than during cool weather, and less effective on certain polluted waters.

FUTURE TRENDS IN MOSQUITO CONTROL

(4). Reports definitely indicate greater

^{*} Paper of the Journal Series, New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Rutgers University, the State University of New Jersey, Department of Entomology, New Brunswick.

138

Vol. 16, No. 2

and less on larvicides and adulticides. This is indeed an encouraging trend. From years of experience in mosquito extermination, we have learned that the most effective and lasting results are obtained by permanent control operations, usually accomplished by proper water management. Only where permanent methods are either impossible or impractical does control re-

minimize possible hazards to the health of mosquito control operators and the public. The writer wishes to take this opportunity to express his gratitude to all the participants in the survey and to Ted

Raley, our Secretary of the A.M.C.A., for

supplying the mailing list of all the U.S.A.

mosquito control agencies.

sort to the use of chemicals.

Another highly desirable trend shown