

## ARTICLES

EFFECTIVENESS OF FOG, DUST, AND MIST APPLICATIONS OF SEVERAL ORGANOPHOSPHORUS COMPOUNDS AGAINST *Aedes taeniorhynchus*<sup>1</sup>H. F. SCHOOF, C. M. ELMORE, JR., AND J. P. DUFFY<sup>2</sup>

The relative merits of fog, dust, or mist applications in reducing adult mosquitoes are of much interest to mosquito control personnel. In tests conducted at Savannah, Georgia, over a 2-year period, these three types of space treatment, as well as the relative effectiveness of several organophosphorus compounds, were evaluated against *Aedes taeniorhynchus*. Messrs. F. Freeman and B. O. Smith gave valuable assistance in the field aspects of this study.

All tests were made between 7 and 11 a.m. in a sparsely populated subdivision characterized by pine woods, weeds, and brush, except in the roadway areas. The mosquitoes were exposed in cylindrical wire screen cages (3.25" D x 6") suspended from iron supports 3 feet above the ground, mostly at distances of 135', 270', 540', and 1080' from the discharge of the machine. In certain tests, the distances of 330' and 660' were used instead of 270' and 540'. Cages were positioned in two lines: (A) along the relatively unobstructed roadway, and (B) parallel to the roadway but 75' to 225' in the interior of the block. The "A" set of cages thus were located in a relatively "open" zone as contrasted to the "B" set located in woods, brush, or undergrowth. Approximately 200 adult mosquitoes were used per cage and a single cage was positioned at each distance. The

test specimens were laboratory-reared *A. taeniorhynchus* derived from Chatham County sod samples and from eggs received from the United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Entomology Research Division, Orlando, Florida and from the Entomological Research Center, Florida State Board of Health, Vero Beach, Florida. The age of the mosquitoes exposed varied from 3 to 7 days.

A Dyna-Fog Sr. was used for the fog treatment and a Buffalo Turbine for the mist and dust applications. (NOTE: The use of trade names for equipment or for the insecticides is for identification only and does not constitute endorsement by the Public Health Service.) Both machines were operated along a one-quarter-mile run at speeds varying from 5 to 12 miles per hour depending upon the strength of the formulation and the dosage rate desired. For all treatments, the dosage, expressed as pounds per acre, was based on an effective swath width of 300 feet. Test plots were established in four compass directions to insure suitable downwind locations for each test regardless of the general wind direction prevailing at the time of the test.

In each test, the caged mosquitoes remained in place for 10 minutes after the application of the insecticide. All cages were then collected and the specimens transferred to clean holding cages with the aid of carbon dioxide anesthesia. The specimens were held at 80° F. and 70 percent relative humidity for 24 hours and the mortality of the females was then determined.

The insecticides used were malathion,

<sup>1</sup> From the Technical Development Laboratories, Technology Branch, Communicable Disease Center, Public Health Service, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Savannah, Georgia.

<sup>2</sup> The present addresses of Mr. Elmore and Mr. Duffy are: Chatham County Mosquito Control Commission, Savannah, Georgia, and Department of Biology, St. Francis College, Biddeford, Maine, respectively.

DDVP, Diazinon, and ronnel. For the mist and fog treatments, the toxicant was prepared at a concentration of 6.25 percent in No. 2 fuel oil. Diazinon, malathion, and ronnel were tested as dusts, prepared at a 12.5 percent strength in pyrophyllite from commercial 25 percent wettable powders. In one series of tests, a commercial 4 percent malathion dust was compared to mist sprays in which the percent toxicant ranged from 0.8 to 5 percent.

**RESULTS.** The principal comparison of the fog, mist, and dust applications was derived from tests with malathion. Even with the use of the same toxicant, the validity of the comparative data was influenced markedly by the environmental conditions that prevailed at the time of tests. Consequently, unless a number of tests were run so that similar conditions occurred with each type of application, it was not possible to group the data for direct comparison of the mortalities achieved with the different treatments. In Table 1, comparative data presented for tests performed on the same nights indicated that the fog and mist treatments were superior to the dust, particularly when the dosage rates were considered. Little difference was apparent in the relative effectiveness of the mist and fog treatments.

In another series of comparative tests of fog versus dust applications on the same nights at approximately 0.1 pound per acre (Table 2), dust treatments gave average mortalities of 95 and 69 percent in the "A" zone at 135' and 270', while fog treatments showed average mortalities of 92 and 96 percent at the same distances. At the stations in the "B" zone, or at 540' and 810' in the "A" zone, there was little difference in the percent kills obtained.

Table 3 shows the mortalities obtained with malathion fog and dust treatments that were run at temperatures of 78° F. and above but not on the same nights. These data reflect the same general trends as shown in Table 2, except that the dust applications gave superior results at 540'

and 810' in the "A" zone. Dosage rates for the dust applications were slightly higher than those for the fog treatments.

In comparative tests of malathion mist and dust applications, six mist treatments (concentrations 2.5 to 5 percent) gave average kills of 94 and 84 percent in "A" and "B" zones (135' to 660') at 0.15-0.3 pound per acre as compared to 99 and 94 percent mortalities for five malathion dust (4 percent) applications in the same dosage range.

Table 4 is an overall tabulation of the results obtained with the four organophosphorus pesticides tested. As a fog application, malathion was superior to the other compounds. Diazinon at 0.1 to 0.3 pound per acre gave kills equal to malathion at 0.07-0.085 pound per acre but the equivalent dosage level produced poor kills. DDVP gave excellent kills at 135' but performed poorly at the other distances. As a dust or mist, malathion always gave higher mortalities than the other compounds tested. Ronnel was relatively ineffective as a fog or dust.

In tests run on the same night, malathion fog (0.06 pound per acre) gave kills at or above 90 percent at all distances in the "A" zone, whereas DDVP (0.07 lb per acre) gave this level of mortality at 135' only. In the "B" zone, DDVP and malathion gave 96 and 4 percent kills, respectively, at 135' but at all other distances both compounds were ineffective. In two similar tests, each of DDVP and Diazinon fogs (0.06-0.1 pound per acre) DDVP gave superior kills at 135' in the "A" and "B" zones. At the greater distances, neither compound was effective in one test but in the second test DDVP in the "A"/"B" zones gave percent kills of 100/100 and 1/27 at 270' and 540' respectively; whereas with Diazinon, percent mortalities of 87/17 and 86/7 were recorded at the same distances.

On the same nights, four tests of malathion and Diazinon dusts yielded "A" zone kills of 80 and 48 percent for malathion at distances of 135' and 270', respectively as compared to mortalities of 50 and

TABLE 1.—Average percent mortalities of caged *Aedes taeniorhynchus* exposed to malathion fog, mist, and dust treatments applied on the same nights. (Three tests each; mortalities are combined figures for "A" and "B" zones.)

Treatment	Lb./A.	Percent kill at			
		135'	270'	540'	810'
Fog	0.1	98	53	38	0
Mist	0.2	94	55	32	27
Dust	0.3	63	79	3	3

TABLE 2.—Average percent mortalities of caged *Aedes taeniorhynchus* exposed to malathion fog and dust applications (0.1 lb./A.) on the same nights. (Number of tests in parentheses.)

Treatment	Lb./A.	Percent kill at			
		135'	270'	540'	810'
Fog (4)	0.1	92/63 <sup>1</sup>	96/27	79/13	35/8
Dust (5)	0.1	95/76	69/38	52/8	43/0

<sup>1</sup>"A" zone mortalities/<sup>2</sup>"B" zone mortalities.

TABLE 3.—Average percent mortalities of caged *Aedes taeniorhynchus* exposed to fog or dust applications of malathion.

Treatment	Lb./A.	Percent kill at			
		135'	270'	540'	810'
Fog (9) <sup>1</sup>	0.07-0.085	93/57 <sup>2</sup>	90/22	57/6	17/11
Dust (4)	0.11-0.14	90/47	51/24	74/5	53/4

Number of tests in parentheses.

<sup>1</sup>"A" zone mortalities/<sup>2</sup>"B" zone mortalities.

TABLE 4.—Effectiveness of several organophosphorus compounds against caged *Aedes taeniorhynchus* as fog, mist, or dust treatments.

Application	Toxicant	No. test	Lb./A.	Percent mortality at			
				135'	270'	540'	810'
Fog	Malathion	9	0.07-0.085	93/57 <sup>1</sup>	90/22	57/6	17/11
	Diazinon	3	0.10-0.15	93/87	96/46	57/15	11/10
		4	0.06-0.08	48/25	36/6	36/2	1/3
	Ronnel	2	0.08-0.10	14/36	7/6	1/2	2/2
Dust	DDVP	3	0.06-0.10	97/96	44/37	2/9	2/0
	Malathion	4	0.11-0.14	90/47	51/24	74/5	53/4
	Diazinon	5	0.07-0.12	53/53	28/14	23/6	3/5
Mist	Ronnel	3	0.06-0.12	21/22	19/3	12/12	12/6
	Malathion	2	0.26-0.31	100/100	100/54	52/38	52/28
		4 <sup>2</sup>	0.05-0.09	100/96	85/66	60/15	....
Diazinon	2	0.06-0.11	85/78	23/22	59/4	15/1	

<sup>1</sup>"A" zone mortalities/<sup>2</sup>"B" zone mortalities.

Percent concentration ranged from 0.8 to 1.6 percent.

percent for Diazinon. In the "B" zone, both compounds gave similar kills below 50 percent.

DISCUSSION. As the results show, fog treatments of malathion gave kills above those obtained with dust treatments. This difference was apparent in all tests (Tables 1, 2, and 3), particularly at distances of 135' and 270'. However, it is possible that use of a lower dust concentration, whereby a greater amount of dust per acre would be required to obtain the same dosage, might have increased the kill. In the tests with a 4 percent dust, the effectiveness was similar to that obtained with mist applications. However, with a 4 percent dust the gross amount required per mile for the different application rates would have been approximately 110 to 220 pounds as compared to one-third that quantity for the 12.5 percent dust. Mist applications gave results similar to fog treatments.

The choice of selection of which technique to use apparently lies in other factors, such as public acceptance, prevailing environmental factors, ease of application, and cost of treatment. Local wind conditions may render impotent a dosage that was highly effective under conditions prevailing the previous night. A malathion mist applied at 0.05 pound per acre when the wind velocity was 2.2 mph gave 100 percent kills up to 660' in the "A" zone. In contrast, a dosage of 0.1 pound per acre applied as a mist on a still night did not kill any mosquitoes even at 135' from the machine. A dust application (0.05

pound per acre) of 4 percent malathion on the same calm night gave kills of 77, and 69 percent at 135', 330', and 66' respectively. Observations indicated that wind movements too low to be measured still were adequate to disseminate dust but not mists. Fogs, because of their visibility, can be observed more accurately than either dusts or mists; thus possess a psychological benefit that is not necessarily correlated with biological effectiveness.

The marked effect of vegetative barriers on the efficacy of a treatment was evident in the various tests. In Table 3, the average mortalities for nine malathion fog applications showed that kills of 90 to 100 percent were obtained at "open" sites at distances of 135' and 270'. However, in the "B" zone where barriers (woods, etc.) were present, fog movements were reduced, the effectiveness of the treatment was reduced considerably as shown by kills of 57 and 22 percent at 135' and 270', respectively. The same is evident in the four dust treatments. From these data, the presence of obstructions at the 135' and 270' sites tends to decrease the efficacy in the same degree as do distances beyond 270' at the "open" sites.

On a comparative basis, malathion was the insecticide of choice of the four compounds tested.

Despite the fact that one type of treatment gave better results in certain experiments, the biological data when considered in their entirety do not reflect a marked superiority of any one method of application.