

mosquitoes. They do feed very heavily on the fragrant blossom of the common milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca*); 25 *Ac. vexans* were collected from one flower cluster of milkweed in 15 minutes. The age of the flower was also a factor, with fewer feeding attempts being observed on the older blossoms.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS. A total of 1,981 specimens representing 4 genera and 14 species of mosquitoes was captured in nature after imbibing nectar meals. They were taken from a large number of different plant species during the early light-time hours. Various factors, such as temperature, wind and rainfall, in addition to availability of the nectar, and the color, age and aroma of the flower, may affect the plant-feeding activity of the mosquito. The ease with which nectar-feeding mosquitoes are found and the variety of plants upon which they feed supports the belief that mosquitoes in nature may rely extensively upon nectar for satisfying their biological requirements.

References Cited

BREELAND, S. G., and PICKARD, E. 1961. Observations on mosquito feeding activity on the

flower heads of *Eupatorium* and *Solidago* (Compositae). *Mosquito News* 21:32-34.

BRITTEN, H. 1937. *Taeniorhynchus richiardii* and *Culex pipiens* feeding on the flower heads of creeping thistle (*Cnicus arvensis*). *Northw. Nat.* 12:57.

DOWNES, J. A. 1958. The feeding habits of biting flies and their significance in classification. *Ann. Rev. Entom.* 3:249-266.

HAEGER, J. S. 1955. The non-blood feeding habits of *Aedes taeniorhynchus* (Diptera, Culicidae) on Sanibel Island, Florida. *Mosquito News* 15:21-26.

HOCKING, B. 1953. The intrinsic range and speed of flight of insects. *Trans. Roy. Ent. Soc. London* 104:223-345.

KNAB, F. 1907. Mosquitoes as flower visitors. *J. New York Entomol. Soc.* 15:215-219.

LARSEN, E. B. 1948. Observations on the activity of some culicids. *Ent. Medd.* 25:263-277.

NIELSEN, E. T., and GREVE, H. 1950. Studies on the swarming habits of mosquitoes and other Nematocera. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 41:227-258.

PHILIP, C. B. 1943. Flowers as a suggested source of mosquitoes during encephalitis studies, and incidental mosquito records in the Dakotas in 1941. *J. Parasit.* 29:328-329.

RAUP, H. M. 1930. The pollination of *Habenaria obtusata*. *Rhodora* 32:88-89.

ROBERTSON, C. H. 1889. Flowers and insects: III. *Bot. Gaz.* 14:304. Cited by Knab, 1907.

ROBERTSON, C. 1928. Flowers and insects. Carlinville, Illinois. 221 pp.

WEST, A. S., and JENKINS, D. W. 1951. Plant feeding habits of northern mosquitoes studied with radioisotopes. *Mosquito News* 11:217-219.

THE INFLUENCE OF FLOWER SCENTS ON AGGREGATIONS OF CAGED ADULT *Aedes aegypti*

A. J. THORSTEINSON AND R. A. BRUST

Department of Entomology, The University of Manitoba

INTRODUCTION. Female mosquitoes have been observed to visit and probe several species of flowering plants for nectar and juices (Barr, 1958). Under laboratory conditions *Aedes communis* (De Geer) males and females visit flowers and ingest plant juices and nectars as shown by their accumulation of radioactivity from flowering plants treated with P^{32} (West and Jenkins, 1951).

This behaviour is undoubtedly a factor in longevity of adult mosquitoes. In our preliminary experiments we observed that *Aedes aegypti* (Linnaeus) adults survived only 24-48 hours without water and 4 to 5 days on water without sugar whereas they can survive about 60 days in the laboratory when provided with sugar syrup or honey. Hocking (1953) has shown that nectar supply is important for

flight of mosquitoes and hence is a major factor in dispersal and migration. These considerations raise the question as to how mosquitoes orientate to flowers in their "search" for nectar. In this study we made a preliminary enquiry into the role of flower fragrance as a factor in the orientation of mosquitoes to flowers.

METHODS. Sweet clover [*Melilotus officinalis* (L.) Lam.], red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) and mustard plants (*Brassica kaber* (DC) L. C. Wheeler) were selected at the flowering stage and the blooms were picked for use in the tests. The fresh flowers were placed in petri dishes and covered with cotton wool in order to standardize the visual stimulus in the behavioural response. Water was used in place of flowers in the control dish. Adults were given no food for 24 hours before the trials because better results were obtained with starved than with fed insects. The test dish together with

a control dish were placed simultaneously 10 inches apart in a "Saran" plastic screen cage (2' x 2' x 3'). The mosquitoes that aggregated on each dish were counted every 30 seconds for a five-minute period. The positions of the dishes were interchanged after each 30-second count.

A second series of experiments was performed in which sweet clover honey and buckwheat honey replaced flowers in the treated dish. In a third series of experiments, extracts of plant flowers provided by a perfume distributor (P. Roberts Inc., 221 Fourth Ave., New York 3, N. Y.) were tested in a similar way. Each experiment was conducted at a room temperature of 25°-26° C. and 50-60 percent relative humidity and replicated two or three times as indicated in Tables 1, 2, and 3. Student's "t" test was applied to the comparison of treatment means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION. The data in Table 1 show that some flower fr

TABLE 1.—Mean numbers of *Aedes aegypti* adults aggregated under olfactory influence of flower fragrance.

Number of experiments	Odour treatment	Number of mosquitoes aggregated on dishes		
		Treated dish	Control dish	Student "t"
3	Sweet clover	193	145	3.1**
2	Red clover	111	94.5	3.2*
2	Mustard	151.5	142.5	2.6*

NOTE: Means calculated from experiments each involving 10 observations at 30 second intervals.

** Significant at the 1 percent level.

* Significant at the 5 percent level.

TABLE 2.—Mean numbers of *Aedes aegypti* adults aggregated under olfactory influence of honey or sugar solutions.

Number of experiments	Odour treatment	Number of mosquitoes aggregated on dishes		
		Treated Dish	Control Dish	Student "t"
3	10% Buckwheat honey	305.3	50.7	5.6**
3	10% Sweet clover honey	97.3	49	5.3**
3	10% Sucrose	57.3	57.7	0.23*
3	10% Glucose	79.7	78.3	0.65*

NOTE: Means calculated from experiments each involving 10 observations at 30 second intervals.

** Significant at the 1 percent level.

* Significant at the 5 percent level.

frances induce significantly larger mosquito aggregations than water. This behaviour clearly implies an olfactory response of some kind. Our observations do not necessarily imply that the mosquitoes are actually attracted by the odours. We favour the interpretation that the treated and control dishes are both visually "attractive" (equally so) and that the larger aggregations of mosquitoes build up through an olfactory "arrestant" influence (Dethier, *et al.*, 1960). Observations on the frequency of landing would be required to decide between the alternative interpretations.

Table 2 shows that sweet clover honey and especially buckwheat honey are con-

factor possibly accounting for the greater "attractiveness" of sweet clover honey as compared with sweet clover blossoms. However, other effects may be involved related to the processing of nectar into honey by the bee or the treatment of the honey by the beekeeper and packager. We have not been able to discover any striking olfactory effect from fresh buckwheat blossoms (*Fagopyron sagittatum* Gilib.); lilac blossoms (*Syringa vulgaris* L.) although not highly attractive, are not repellent. Nevertheless the results justify more thorough studies of the olfactory influence of flower fragrances on mosquito behaviour and such investigations are proceeding in our laboratory.

TABLE 3.—Mean numbers of *Aedes aegypti* adults aggregated under olfactory influence of flower extracts.

Number of experiments	Odour treatment	Number of mosquitoes aggregated on dishes			Student's "t"
		Treated dish (T)	Control dish (C)	T - C	
2	Rose moroc (1323 J) †	71.5	41.5	40	2.5**
2	Rose (3700) †	101.5	61.5	40	2.3**
2	Strawberry (3709) †	13	71.5	-59	3.6**
2	Lilac (3717) †	12	39	-27	3.2**

NOTE: Means calculated from experiments each involving 10 observations at 30 second intervals.

** Significant at the 1 percent level.

* Significant at the 5 percent level.

† Manufacturer's Number.

siderably more effective than water in inducing aggregations on the treated dishes. This effect cannot be simulated by sugar solutions inasmuch as they proved equal to water as olfactory influences on the aggregations.

Table 3 shows that some perfumes (e.g., rose) can induce aggregations in the same way as honey and flower fragrance and others (strawberry and lilac) apparently repel the mosquitoes or excite locomotion of mosquitoes so that aggregations develop more slowly than they would under the influence of water vapour.

It is admitted here that no adequate account was taken of the concentrations of the active principles inasmuch as these are not yet identified. One would, of course, expect concentration to be an important

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This investigation was supported by grants from the Defence Research Board of Canada and the Greater Winnipeg Mosquito Abatement District.

References

- BARR, R. A. 1958. The Mosquitoes of Minnesota (Diptera: Culicidae: Culicinae). University of Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Station. Technical Bulletin 228.
- DETHIER, V. G., BARTON, BROWNE, L., and SMITH, CARROLL N. 1960. The designation of chemicals in terms of the responses they elicit from insects. *J. econ. Ent.* 53(1):134-136.
- HOCKING, B. 1953. The intrinsic range and speed of flight of insects. *Trans. R. Ent. Soc. Lond.* 104:223-345.
- WEST, A. S., and JENKINS, D. W. 1951. Plant feeding habits of northern mosquitoes studied with radio-isotopes. *Mosquito News* 11(4):217-219.