

rangements for sanitation, water management, and source reduction while planning any industrial establishment and township. Judicious use of insecticides is essential. Perfect maintenance of scout service to discover mosquito breeding, and filaria patients is of utmost importance. And above all, nature's role in controlling the vector population-density, transmission of the disease, parasite activities and other related problems have to be studied in depth.

#### References Cited

- Payne, A. M. M. 1966. WHO, Expert Committee on Filariasis (Second Report). WHO Technical Report Series No. 359, Geneva.
- Sinha, V. P. 1968. Global studies on filariasis. *Patna Journ. of Medicine* 42(5): 207-232.
- Varma, B. K., Das, N. L. and Sinha, V. P. 1961. Filariasis in the rural population around Bhagalpur Town. Part I. *Indian Journ. of Malariology* 15(4):285-292.
- WHO. 1974 WHO Expert Committee on Filariasis (Ti) (Third Report). WHO Technical Report Series No. 542, Geneva.

### THE EASTERN MUD MINNOW, *UMBRA PYGMAEA* (DEKAY):

#### A POTENTIAL CONTROL AGENT OF WOODLAND POOL *Aedes* spp.

PATRICK T. SLAVIN, EDWARD BRADFORD, ROBERT HALPIN AND DOUGLAS McCORMICK

Cumberland County Mosquito Extermination Commission, 800 E. Commerce Street, Bridgeton, New Jersey 08302

Mosquito control agencies in the Northeast concentrate much of their efforts on the control of woodland pool *Aedes* spp. However, few attempts at the biological control of these species have been recorded.

The eastern mud minnow, *Umbra pygmaea* (DeKay), was selected as a potential control agent for the following reasons: (1) It inhabits sluggish woodland streams and ditches (Eddy 1957). (2) It feeds on crustacea and insect larvae (Hubbs and Sagler 1958). (3) The mud minnow is most active from early spring to early summer, the time of peak abundance of woodland pool *Aedes* larvae. (4) It has been taken from semi-permanent water conditions, a characteristic of most woodland pools (Westman 1941).

A related species, *U. limi* (Kirtland), consumed between 60 and 100 mosquito larvae per fish per day in the laboratory (Maw 1968). The author recorded similar results with *U. pygmaea* in the laboratory when late instar mosquito larvae were offered as the sole source of food.

Two woodland pools containing 1st to 3rd instars of *Ae. canadensis* were stocked at the rate of 1 minnow per m<sup>2</sup> of surface water. One pool supported large numbers of a variety of macro-invertebrates including isopods, amphipods, ostracods, copepods, and coleopterous and other dipterous larvae. Minnows in this pool were seined 1 and 2 weeks after stocking, and their gut contents were examined. Larval air tubes served as good indicators of the presence of larvae in the gut. The number of air tubes per minnow varied from 3 to 11 ( $\bar{x}$  = 6.5). When mosquito larvae were recovered reasonably whole, they consisted from 10 to 30 percent of the gut contents. By comparing the size of air tubes found in the gut with those of known instar larvae, it was determined that 90% of the larvae consumed were 3rd and 4th instars.

In the 2nd stocked pool, mosquito larvae and copepods were the only invertebrates observed. Emergence first occurred 9 weeks after the date of stocking. In a nearby control pool populated with larvae of the same age, emergence first occurred after 5 weeks. The stocked pool dried up completely only 3 days after the first signs of emergence and it may have been that mosquitoes were able to reach the adult stage only because water in the pool was so shallow that predation was impeded.

The eastern mud minnow was reported to have survived despite the complete freezing of its habitat water (Westman 1941). Two woodland pools were stocked in the autumn with the hope that the minnows would survive and prey on the larvae as soon as the mosquito eggs hatched the following spring. The water in both pools froze completely but there was no indication that any mud minnows survived the winter.

Although *U. pygmaea* does not seem to have as voracious an appetite for mosquito larvae as certain other fish, such as *Gambusia*, the time woodland pool *Aedes* spp. spend in the immature stages (usually 4 to 10 weeks in New Jersey) may be long enough to permit effective predation.

#### References Cited

- Eddy, S. 1957. *How to Know the Freshwater Fishes*. W.C. Brown Co. Dubuque. 252 pp.
- Hubbs, C. and K. Sagler. 1958. *Fishes of the Great Lakes Region*. Cranbrook Inst. of Sci. Bull #26. Bloomfield hills, Mich.
- Maw, M. 1968. The mudminnow *Umbra limi* (Kirt.): a possible mosquito control agent in semi-permanent pools. *Mosquito News*. 28 (1): 120.
- Westman, J. 1941. Ph.D. thesis. Cornell Univ.

### AN OPERATIONAL COMPARISON OF TWO GRANULE APPLICATORS

W. JOHNSON AND B. McRAE

Metropolitan Mosquito Control District, 1802 Como Ave., St. Paul, Minn. 55108

The Seymour bucket seeder (Model 75-105 universal spreader) has become increasingly popular in re-

cent years with employees of the Metropolitan Mosquito Control District. Since the formation of the District in 1958, the heavy duty Cyclone seeder (Model 1A1 broadcast hand crank) has been used. In 1965 we modified it as described by Martin, et al. (1966). In recent years we have been applying more granular formulations on 15 mesh sand the additional weight of which stressed the older Cyclone seeders. It was necessary to seal cracks and seams of our Cyclone seeders to prevent leakage. Devcon plastic solder proved to be the most effective of the materials tried.

With the Seymour bucket seeder, no modifications have been needed, except a floating lid made of galvanized metal or masonite. The swath width is approximately 2 ft less when using the Seymour, however, about 95% of our people prefer the Seymour. If the Seymour seeders continue to perform multiple seasons without major repairs the higher initial cost of this seeder \$22.00 vs. \$10.00 for the cyclone seeder, will be recovered.

If anyone has an efficient low cost hand granulator other than the 2 mentioned, we'd appreciate hearing about it.

#### References Cited

Martin, C. L., Skoglund, J., and Wermerskirchen, E. P. 1966. Modification of a grass seeder for granular dispersal. Mosquito News 26:585-586.

#### OBSERVATION ON PENETRATION OF A FEEDING MOSQUITO BY THE MOUTH PARTS OF ANOTHER MOSQUITO

DANIEL STRICKMAN  
Department of Entomology,  
University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801

While I was feeding caged females of *Aedes trivittatus* (Coquillett) on my arm on 2 February, 1977, I observed a mosquito with its labium bent and its stylets inserted into the anterior part of the abdomen of a mosquito which was feeding normally. The stylets of the secondary feeder were directed into the red and swelling part of the midgut of the mosquito imbibing on my arm. I saw no evidence of the passage of blood into the secondary feeder. After several seconds of attachment, the two mosquitoes became agitated and then separated with some difficulty.

The mosquitoes I observed were contained in an Illinois oviposition cage (15 × 2.5 × 2.5 cm, Horsfall et al 1973) with 13 other mosquitoes. To feed the mosquitoes, I held 2 cages side-by-side between my forearms. The mosquitoes had been reared from eggs collected from a floodplain in Piatt County, Illinois, during the fall of 1976.

#### Reference

Horsfall, W. R., H. W. Fowler, Jr., L. J. Moretti, J. R. Larsen. 1973. Bionomics and embryology of the inland floodwater mosquito *Aedes vexans*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana. 211 pp.