## MEASURING STREAM DISCHARGE AND CALCULATING TREATMENT OF RATES OF *BACILLUS THURINGIENSIS* (H14) FOR BLACK FLY CONTROL<sup>1</sup>

JAMES W. AMRINE, JR.

Division of Plant and Soil Science, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506

ABSTRACT. A modified float method for measuring stream discharge for black fly control is presented as well as a calculator program to assist in calculations and to provide a printed record. In addition, a calculator program is presented that provides a method for calculating rates of material [specifically Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis (Bit)] to be applied to streams for black fly control and cost for treatment.

A limitation to routine control of black flies is finding a simplified, yet accurate, method of determining stream discharge (flow rate) and subsequently determining how much material to apply for control. Most materials are applied to streams at parts per million rates (i.e. mg of control agent per liter of water). Hocking et al. (1949) gave instructions for estimating stream discharge for black fly control by measuring stream cross sectional area and then determining the maximum velocity (Vm) through this cross section by passing a float over a measured distance; they estimated mean velocity (Va) =  $\frac{4}{3}$ Vm. (Discharge = cross-sectional area  $\times$  Va). The accuracy of the float method was determined to be within 5% of the more accurate Gurley current meter method (Hocking 1950). Wallace et al. (1973) calculated average water depth by taking a series of measurements at one meter intervals across the stream; an average stream width was determined from the average of three tape measurements (10 m apart); and an average water velocity was estimated with a Gurley current meter. Wallace et al. (1976) used a dye dilution method for calculating discharge. However, this method is inaccurate unless mixing of the dye is very thorough and uniform across the entire section of the stream (Bean 1971). Fredeen (1974, 1975) used discharge data supplied by gaging stations on the Saskatchewan River systems.

Stream discharge is most accurately measured by taking a series of closely spaced depth and velocity measurements using a calibrated current meter (Bean 1971, Buchanan and Somers 1969, Hammer and MacKichan 1981). However, current meters are expensive and considerable labor is required to achieve a high degree of accuracy. For the purpose of black fly control, the author believes that ± 10% error in calculations of discharge is acceptable. The method below is designed for use by control personnel using readily available materials and

was developed following consultation with stream hydrologists (West Virginia Geological Survey). Individuals planning black fly control should first contact the State Geological Survey since many larger streams have automatic gaging stations and "instantaneous" discharge information can be easily obtained by phone, thus saving considerable labor.

MEASURING STREAM DISCHARGE. Select a straight reach of the stream with a narrow and uniform cross section (some irregular, or turbulent rocky streams may present considerable difficulty in finding a suitable point of measurement). "The ideal measuring section has a smooth bottom and fairly uniform depth, the velocity is well distributed across the stream, and the flow is perpendicular to the section" (Hammer and MacKichan 1981). Divide larger or complex streams into partial sections. Accurately measure the width and average of each partial section and calculate the partial area. Set up a measured distance for timed passage of a float over the center of each section (approximately 10 seconds duration gives accurate velocity measurements). Release a float a few meters upstream so that it passes over the center of the partial section. Be careful to insure a low float profile to reduce wind influence on velocity measurement (I found ping-pong balls painted bright orange and injected with 25 cc H<sub>2</sub>O to be very useful). Each calculated velocity is then reduced by 0.85 to compensate for bottom resistance (Buchanan and Somers 1969). The product of partial areas and respective velocities gives partial discharges which are summed to give the stream discharge. Careful measurements can give discharges with an error of ± 10%, which the author believes is adequate for black fly control work (Buchanan and Somers 1969, Bean 1971).

Considerable time can be saved by using a programmable, hand-held calculator in the field to make calculations. The author devel-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Published with the approval of the Director of the West Virginia Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station as Scientific Article #1755.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Mention of proprietary products does not represent endorsement of such products by West Virginia University or the state of West Virginia.

oped the following program for the Texas Instrument TI 59<sup>®2</sup> with print cradle. This instrument allows the operator to record programs on magnetic cards for subsequent use. (Send blank magnetic cards to author for a copy).

### Program Procedure:

- Enter the 362 program steps listed in Fig.
   1.
- 2. List the partial widths, depths, and velocities (in meters or meters/second) in tabular form.
- Store partial widths (up to 10 measurements) by entering the first value and then depressing label A; entering the second value then depressing the key, R/S; the third value, R/S; etc.
- Store average depths corresponding to partial widths by entering the first value then depressing label B; the second value, R/S, etc.
- Store average velocities corresponding to partial sections by entering the first value, then depressing label C; second value, then R/S; etc.
- 6. Perform calculations by depressing label D. The cradle will print "Discharge = ," then print the value followed by "CM/S" (cubic meters per second). (To convert to 1/s, multiply by 10<sup>3</sup>; to convert to cubic feet/sec, multiply by 35.315).
- 7. If more than 10 partial widths are measured (e.g. when performing accurate stream gaging with a current meter and frequent measurements, (see Buchanan and Somers 1969) enter the values in sets of 10, as above. After each calculation press label A' (to sum calculations) and then press label E (to clear memories without losing data). When all measurements have been calculated press label B'. The cradle will print "Total Discharge = ," then print the discharge followed by "CM/S." (This step requires that the calculator be attached to a print cradle).

CALCULATING RATES OF *B. thuringiensis* (H14). A person planning black fly control should apply the *B. thuringiensis* formulation to a stream as parts per millions (mg per liter) over a preestablished period of treatment (1, 15 or 30 min.) (Undeen and Lacey 1982). In a situation involving considerable mixing when the material is released (e.g. in a stilling basin below a dam), application of the material at one quick release (= 1 min.) is probably justified. In other situations, the application may have to be extended over several minutes to insure that a higher proportion of the black fly larvae will

feed on the B. thuringiensis before it passes (approximately 15 or 30 min. release duration). In all cases, the mass of water containing B. thuringiensis tends to become lengthened during passage downstream, and the greater the ratio of impounded water to discharge, the greater the dilution effect or apparent lengthening of the "slug" as it passes downstream. The basic information required to estimate rate of B. thuringiensis application is stream discharge, duration of treatment in minutes, and parts per million applied. Parts per million varies according to the target species concerned: 0.5 and 1.0 ppm are most frequently found to be effective for black fly control (see recommendations of manufacturers3).

One factor to take into consideration is the ratio of discharge to volume of "impounded" waters, i.e., the volume of natural deep holes, small dams, reservoirs and the volume of slow-moving stretches along the waterway. Such impounded waters greatly dilute the rate applied to the discharge and also both lengthen and delay the passage of the slug. If a stream has many such impoundments, the rate should be increased accordingly.

The author's experience of using *B. thuringiensis* for mosquito control has indicated a possible susceptibility of the *B. thuringiensis* preparation to ultraviolet light (treated water samples collected and returned immediately to the lab remained active indoors for several days; treated water collected in the field after 24 hr had no activity). For this reason, it may be wise to delay application until late afternoon to prevent the possibility of impaired activity. Many other parameters should be considered carefully before control is initiated (Undeen and Lacey 1982).

When discharge is given in liter/second, the rate is determined by the formula: discharge  $(\ell')$ sec) X 60 (sec/min) X (no. minutes) X ppm  $(10^{-6}) = \text{kg } B$ . thuringiensis. A program was developed for the TI 59 and print cradle for producing labeled calculations of rates of B. thuringiensis to be applied (kg) and cost per treatment.

Program Procedure For Calculating B. thuringiensis (H14) Rates and Cost:

- 1. Enter the 185 program steps listed in Fig. 2; store the following values in the registers indicated. 10<sup>6</sup>, R-00; 60, R-04.
- 2. Enter liters per second, depress label A; enter minutes duration, depress label B; enter parts per million (the integer less the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Biochem Products, P.O. Box 264, Montchanin, DE 19710; Sandoz Inc., 480 Camino Del Rio So., San Diego, CA 92108; Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, IL 60064.

0001 0002 0003 0004 0005 0007 0009 0101 0113 0144 0166 017 018 019 0102 0118 0120 0118 0120 0118 0120 0121 0123 0123	## C ##	91 R/S 096 42 ST0 098 91 R/S 099 91 R/S 099 91 R/S 099 91 R/S 099 91 R/S 100 17 17 101 18 18 102 42 ST0 103 18 18 104 42 ST0 106 19 19 107 91 R/S 103 42 ST0 106 20 20 110 176 LBL 112 13 C 113 24 LBL 112 13 C 113 25 114 21 21 115 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 22 23 23 121 24 24 124 24 24 124 21 24 123	76 LBL 144 14 D 145 00 0 146 42 STO 147 31 31 148 43 RCL 151 43 RCL 151 43 RCL 155 43 RCL 154 43 RCL 154 43 RCL 166 02 02 161 43 RCL 160 02 02 161 43 RCL 166 43 RCL 166 22 167 43 RCL 166 24 12 164 45 × 165 43 RCL 166 24 12 164 45 × 165 48 RCL 166 22 167 49 RCL 166 40 RCL 171 03 03 172 03 03 172 03 03 172	## C ## 3   192   ## 31   192   ## 32   194   ## 32   195   ## 33   195   ## 34   195   ## 35   ## 35   195   ## 35   195   ## 35   195   ## 35   195   ## 35   ## 35   195   ## 35   195   ## 35   195   ## 35   195   ## 35   ## 35   195   ## 35   195   ## 35   195   ## 35   195   ## 35   ## 35   195   ## 35   195   ## 35   195   ## 35   195   ## 35	## A SUM 254  ## A SUM 254  ## A SUM 244  ## A SUM 247  ## A SUM 247  ## A SUM 247  ## A SUM 247  ## A SUM 257  ##	D C 288 004 4 288 000 0 289 000 0 291 000 0 291 000 0 292 000 0 292 000 0 293 000 0 293 000 0 293 000 0 293 000 0 293 000 0 295 000 0 295 000 0 303 000 0 30	07 7 30	36 03 3 3 3 3 3 7 03 3 7 03 3 7 03 3 3 7 03 3 3 8 6 6 9 0 0 4 0 4 112 4 0 4 0 6 9 8 ADV V 13 4 0 6 4 0 8 4 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
017	42 STD 065	13 C 113	02 02 161	65 × 209	44 SUM 257			
						03 3 306	01 1 35	4 15 E
		42 STD 117	65 × 165	44 SUM 213	01 1 261			
								8 47 CMS
	91 R/S 073	23 23 121	44 SUM 169	65 × 217	03 3 265			
					06 6 266	98 ADV 314	01 1 36	
029	42 STD 077	91 R/S 125						
030	10 10 078	42 STD 126	43 RCL 174	27 27 222	02 02 270	91 R/S 318	06 6	
031 032	91 R/S 079 76 LBL 080	25 25 127 91 R/S 128	13 13 175 65 × 176	95 = 223 44 SUM 224	02 2 271 03 3 272	76 LBL 319	04 4	
033	12 B 081	42 STO 129	43 RCL 177	31 31 225	03 3 272	16 A' 320 43 RCL 321	00 0 00 0	
034	42 STO .082	26 26 130	23 23 178	43 RCL 226	03 3 274	31 31 322	00 0	
035 036	11 11 083 91 R/S 084	91 R/S 131 42 STO 132	95 = 179 44 SUM 180	08 08 227 65 × 228	03 3 275	44 SUM 323	00 0	
037	42 STO 085	27 27 133	44 SUM 180 31 31 181	65 × 228 43 RCL 229.	05 5 276 02 2 277	40 40 324 91 R/S 325	69 OP 04 O4	
038	12 12 086	91 R/S 134	43 RCL 182	18 18 230	02 2 278	76 LBL 326	98 ADV	
039 04 <b>0</b>	91 R/S 087	42 STO 135	04 04 183	65 × 231	01 1 279	17 B 327	69 💵	
040	42 STO 088 13 13 089	28 28 136 91 R/S 137	65 × 184 43 RCL 185	43 RCL 232 28 28 233	07 7 280 69 OP 281	03 3 328 07 7 329	05 05 69 DP	
042	91 R/S 090	42 STO 138	14 14 186	95 = 234	03 03 282	07 7 327	00 00	
043 044	42 STD 091	29 29 139 91 R/S 140	65 × 187	44 SUM 235	00 0 283	02 2 331	01 1	
044	14 14 092 91 R/S 093	91 R/S 140 42 STD 141	43 RCL 188 24 24 189	31 31 236 43 RCL 237	00 0 284 00 0 285	03 3 332 07 7 333	05 5 03 3	
046	42 STO 094	30 30 142	95 = 190	09 09 238	00 0 286	01 1 334	03 3 00 0	
047	15 15 095	91 R/S 143	44 SUM 191	65 × 239	06 6 287	03 3 335	06 6	

Fig. 1. Program for discharge calculations, for TI 59 Programmable Calculator. Column a is program step number, column b is calculator key position, column c is key label.

000 0001 0002 0003 0005 0006 0007 0010 0012 0013 0014 0016 0017 0018 0018 0019 0019 0019 0019 0019 0019	©L A TD1 76 L B TD1 916 L B TD2 916 L B TD2 916 L B TD2 916 L B TD2 916 L C X C TD3 917 C TD3 917 C TD3 917 C TD3 918 C TD3 91	<b>3</b> 041234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789000000000000000000000000000000000000	<b>b</b> 3 6 9 0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	080 080 081 082 083 084 086 086 087 089 099 099 099 101 102 103 104 106 107 108 109 111 112 113 114 115 117 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 118	06 06 09 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	120 121 1223 1224 1226 1226 1226 1226 1226 1226 1226	© 7 2 4 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	160 1612 1634 1645 1667 1677 1773 1777 1778 1779 1812 1834 185	D C 01 1 05 5 02 2 03 3 06 3 07 7 69 0P 04 0CL 21 0P 06 0P 09 0P 98 ADV 98 ADV 98 ADV 98 ADV 91 R/S 10 P 10 P
039	03 3	079	69 DP	119	03 3	159	00 00		

Fig. 2. Program for dosage calculations for TI 59 Programmable Calculator. Columns are same as Fig. 1.

exponent), depress label C; enter price per kilogram, depress label E; and perform calculations by depressing label D. The cradle will print each value entered with notation at right margin (L/S, MIN, PPM, Pr., Cost) and then print "Kilograms BTI =" followed by the number of kg required for treatment, price and cost of the treatment.

### References Cited

- Bean, H. S. 1971. Fluid meters, their theory and application. Am. Soc. Mechan. Engineers, New York. 273 pp.
- Buchanan, T. J. and W. P. Somers, 1969. Discharge measurements at gaging stations. *In* Techniques of water resources investigations of the U.S. Geological Survey, Ch. A8, Book 3, 65 pp. U.S.G.S.
- Fredeen, F. J. H. 1974. Tests with single injections of methoxychlor black fly (Diptera: Simuliidae) larvicides in large rivers. Can. Entomol. 106:285–305.
- Fredeen, F. J. H. 1975. Effects of a single injection of methoxychlor black fly larvicide on insect larvae in a 161-km (100-mile) section of the North Saskatchewan River. Can. Entomol. 107:807-817.

- Hammer, M. J. and K. A. MacKichan. 1981. Hydrology and quality of water resources. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 486 pp.
- Hocking, B. 1950. Further tests of insecticides against black flies (Diptera: Simuliidae) and a control procedure. Scient. Agr. 30:489–508.
- Hocking, B., C. R. Twinn and W. C. McDuffie. 1949. A preliminary evaluation of some insecticides against immature stages of black flies (Diptera: Simuliidae). Sci. Agric. 29:69-80.
- Undeen, A. H. and L. A. Lacey. 1982. Field procedures for the evaluation of Bacillus thruingiensis var. israelensis (Serotype 14) against black flies (Simullidae) and nontarget organisms in streams. In (D. Molloy ed.), Biological control of black flies (Diptera: Simullidae) with Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis (Serotype 14): A review with recommendations for laboratory and field protocol. Misc. Pub. Entomol. Soc. Am. 12(4):25–30.
- Wallace, R. R., H. B. N. Hynes and W. F. Merritt. 1976. Laboratory and field experiments with methoxychlor as a larvicide for Simuliidae (Diptera). Environ. Pollut. 10:251–269.
- Wallace, R. R., A. S. West, A. E. R. Downe and H. B. N. Hynes. 1973. The effects of experimental blackfly (Diptera: Simuliidae) larviciding with Abate, Dursban, and methoxychlor on stream invertebrates. Can. Entomol. 105:817-881.

# ARTHROPODS COLLECTED FROM AIRCRAFT AT PIARCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, TRINIDAD, WEST INDIES.<sup>1</sup>

#### ASHTON LE MAITRE AND DAVE D. CHADEE

Insect Vector Control Division, Ministry of Health and Environment, P.O. Box 556, Port of Spain, Trinidad, West Indies

ABSTRACT. Insects were collected and identified from local and foreign aircraft entering Piarco International Airport, Trinidad, West Indies. Nine hundred and sixty-seven specimens were collected from 592 aircraft. The specimens collected represented eight taxonomic orders and 25 families. Aedes aegypti, an important vector of dengue and urban yellow fever, and Anopheles albimanus, a non-indigenous malaria vector were among those collected. Musca domestica, the house fly, was by far the most abundant insect in the collection, accounting for over 83% of the total catch. The need to maintain entomological surveillance at airports and seaports was encouraged.

### INTRODUCTION

The Insect Vector Control Division of the Ministry of Health and Environment, Trinidad and Tobago, West Indies, has maintained entomological surveillance at Piarco International Airport since 1939. Mosquitoes and other arthropods have been collected from aircraft and ships at international airports and seaports in many parts of the world (Soper and Wilson

<sup>1</sup> Published with approval from the Ministry of Health & Environment, Trinidad, and Tobago, West Indies. 1943, Pippin et al. 1968, Evans et al. 1963, Fox et al. 1961, Eads et al. 1965, Hughes 1961, Campos et al. 1961).

It has been shown that airport and seaport surveillance is an important feature of vector control, since in many tropical countries the vectors of a number of diseases occur in airport environments. Because of this fact, and due to increases in air travel, the chance of introduction of disease and associated vectors from one country to another has been increasing (Laird 1951, Highton and Van Someren 1970).