Description of the Fourth Instar Larva of Culex (Culex) trifilatus trifilatus Edwards, 1914
(Diptera, Culicidae)
H. Ribeiro', Valery N. Danilov ${ }^{2}$ and Helena da Cunha Ramos ${ }^{3}$

ABSTRACT. The hitherto unknown fourth instar larva of Culex trifilatus trivilatus Edwards 1914, is described and the diagnostic characters for the separation of the nominate subspecies from Culex trifilatus aenescens Edwards 1941 are given.

## INTRODUCTION

Culex trifilatus was described by Edwards (1914) on the basis of adult specimens of both sexes from Kabete, Kenya. Much later, the same author described subspecies aenescens from the Toro District, in Uganda, also based on adults (Edwards 1941). The fourth instar larva of this subspecies was described by Hopkins (1952). However, as far as we know, the larva of the nominate subspecies remaines unknown (Knight and Stone 1977; Knight 1978).

During the survey of the Angolan mosquitoes being carried out by the first and third authors, several dozen larvae differing only slightly from those of subspecies aenescens were caught at Huambo (=Nova Lisboa), and a few typical trifilatus imagines of both sexes were reared (Ribeiro and Ramos 1980). A series of 20 fourth instar larvae and a larval skin, collected by Dr. T. S. Detinova in Amani, Tanzania, 26 October 1962, initially suspected by the second author (Danilov) to belong also to trifilatus sensu stricto, showed to be, in fact, indistinguishable from the Angolan larvae.

The brief description that follows is based on the examination of all this material and adopts the system developed by Belkin (1962) for the larval chaetotaxy.
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## DESCRIPTION

Length, color and general morphology as in subspecies aenescens.
Head (Fig. 1 A, B and Table 1). Broader than long. Setae 1-C rather thin and finely drawn out distally, their lengths somewhat shorter than distances between their bases. Antenna $0.61 \pm 0.028$ head length (range 0.58-0.67; $n=36$ ), slender, narrowed distad of seta $1-A$, spiculate and darkened at extreme base and distal third. Antennal tuft (seta 1-A) inserted at about $3 / 4$ of shaft from the base ( $0.73 \pm 0.018 ; 0.69-0.78 ; n=75$ ), with $24.93 \pm 1.14$ (range 23-27; $n=30$ ). Setae 2-, 3-A inserted near tip of antennal shaft. Mental plate (Fig. 1B) with strong central apical tooth and 8-11 lateral teeth on either side. One tooth at base greatly enlarged and 1 or 2 subbasal teeth more strongly developed on each side. Total number of teeth in mental plate $19.92 \pm 1.11$ (range 17-22; $n=54$ ). Clypeus separated from rest of head by transverse chitinous bar.

Abdomen (Fig. 1 C-G and Table 1). Comb of segment VIII (Fig. 1 C, D) usually with $34-57$ (mean $47.41 \pm 4.69 ; n=89$ ) narrow scales, but in five larvae of the Tanzanian series this number was much smaller, from 12 to 23 (mean $17 \pm$ $3.65 ; \mathrm{n}=10$ ). These larvae were collected in the same place and at the same time as 15 other larvae with a normal number of comb scales, being, except for this, quite identical with them*.

Index of siphon (Fig. 1 C) $3.15 \pm 0.09$ (range 2.61-3.88; $n=66$ ). Pecten (Fig. 1 C ) extending to about 0.60 of siphon length (range $0.52-0.75 ; n=87$ ), with $13.73 \pm 1,89$ rather evenly spaced spines (range $10-20 ; n=134$ ) which increase in size from base distally. The 3 or 4 distal pecten spines exceptionally long, straight and simple (Fig. 1 E), basal spines usually with 2 or 3 (range 1-4) small denticles (Fig. $1 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{G}$ ). Siphon with $6.07 \pm 0.58$ tufts (setae 1-S) usually inserted along the mid-ventral line (range 5-8; $n=67$ ), each tuft consisting of $4.96 \pm 0.86$ plumose branches (range $3-9 ; n=274$ ) which are slightly longer than diameter of siphon at the insertion level. Whole set of ventral tufts occupying about the central third of siphon, a little nearer to the base, usually within the pecten. On each side of the distal $2 / 5$ of siphon there are usually 2 (only one in about 10 percent of the instances) lateral tufts (setae $1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{S}$ ) with $1-5$ (usually 2 or 3 ) simple branches which are about as long as siphon breath. Seta 9-S rather strong, curved.

Anal segment (Fig. 1 C ) about as long as wide or a little longer, completely ringed by the saddle. Lateral seta (1-X) always single, usually $3 / 4$ length of saddle: $0.75+0.01$ (range 0.57-1.09; $n=70$ ). Seta $2-\mathrm{X}$ with the longest branch slightly shorter than seta $3-\mathrm{X}$, the other (one or two) branches only about half the long one or less. Ventral brush ( $4-\mathrm{X}$ ) consisting of $12.00 \pm 0.32$

[^0]well developed tufts (range $11-13 ; n=61$ ). Anal papillae broad, dorsal pair about $3.12 \pm 1.98$ times length of ventral (range 1.84-4.60; $n=41$ ) and $1.36 \pm$ 0.27 times length of saddle (range $0.92-1.79 ; n=34$ ). Ventral pair only about half of saddle: $0.49 \pm 0.16$ (range $0.31-0.97 ; n=63$ ).

## TAXONOMY AND DIAGNOSIS

The larvae of both forms of Culex trifilatus sensu lato are very similar. Nevertheless, larval trifilatus sensu stricto exhibits some minor differences from aenescens larvae, as follows: seta 5-C usually with 4 or more branches, instead of 3 ; siphon tufts ( $1-5$ ) inserted along the mid-ventral line or very close to it, with 3-9 (mean 5) branches, instead of the alternating tufts of aenescens, each with 2-5 branches; ventral pair of anal papillae only about half of saddle, instead of being about the same length, and dorsal pair about three times the length of ventral, instead of $11 / 2$ times.

Though these differences will probably separate the great majority of the larvae of both forms, they are, in fact, less marked than those usually found between different species, which confirms the subspecific status given by Edwards (op. cit.) to the adult-based aenescens.

According to our present knowledge of their geographical distribution, the two forms are allopatric, which is also consistent with a taxonomic treatment at the subspecific level. Subspecies trifilatus is distributed throughout the highlands of the East and South African Subregion, while subspecies aenescens appears to be confined to the West African Subregion, according to the division of the Ethiopian Region by Chapin (1932).

In order to include both subspecies of $C x$. trifilatus, the larval key to genus Culex by Hopkins (op. cit.) may be amended as follows:
12. Pecten extending to $3 / 5$ or beyond . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 13

- Pecten not extending beyond $1 / 2$, seldom beyond $1 / 3$. . . . . . . . 14

13. Siphonal index about 6 or more weschei

- Index about 3 1/2 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 13a

13a. Head seta C (seta 5-C) usually with 4 or more branches, rarely trifid; siphon tufts (setae l-S) inserted along the mid-ventral line or very close to it, each with 3-9 branches; gills (anal papillae) broad, ventral pair only about $1 / 2$ of saddle and $1 / 3$ of dorsal pair . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . trifilatus trifilatus

- Head seta C 3-branched; subventral tufts of siphon alternate in position, not in line, each with 2-5 branches; gills slender, ventral pair about as long as saddle and $2 / 3$ of dorsal pair
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## LEGEND

Fig. 1. Culex (culex) trifilatus trifilatus. Fourth instar larva.
A. Head
B. Mental plate
C. Abdominal segments VIII, $X$ and siphon
D. Comb scales
E. Distal pecten tooth
F. Median pecten tooth
G. Proximal pecten tooth

Table 1．Chaetotaxy of the fourth instar larva of Culex（Culex）trifizatus trifilatus Edwards ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  | Seta nr． | $n$ | Observed range | Mean | 95\％confidence interval | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Standard } \\ & \text { deviation } \end{aligned}$ | Coefficient of variability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 苟 | 1 | 30 | 23－27 | 24－93 | 24．50－25．36 | 1.14 | 4.57 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\overrightarrow{0}} \\ & \stackrel{9}{9} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { जñ } \\ & \text { 合 } \end{aligned}$ | 4 <br> 5 <br> 6 <br> 7 <br> 8 <br> 9 | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \\ 83 \\ 103 \\ 85 \\ 83 \\ 67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 3-7 \\ 3-5 \\ 5-12 \\ 1-3 \\ 2-4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 4.76 \\ 4.19 \\ 9.11 \\ 1.99 \\ 2.82 \end{gathered}$ | $4.61-4.91$ <br> $4.08-4.30$ <br> $8.80-9.42$ <br> $1.94-2.04$ <br> $2.68-2.96$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.69 \\ 0.58 \\ 1.44 \\ 0.24 \\ 0.56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 14.50 \\ 13.84 \\ 15.81 \\ 12.06 \\ 19.86 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 <br> 4 <br> 5 <br> 6 <br> 7 <br> 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \\ 66 \\ 99 \\ 100 \\ 60 \\ 79 \\ 70 \\ 89 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1-5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2-3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2.70 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2.15 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> 2．57－2．83 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> 2．05－2．25 $\qquad$ | 0 0 0 0.66 0 0 0.40 0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 24.44 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 18.61 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 空 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 96 \\ 105 \\ 87 \\ 100 \\ 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-7 \\ 1 \\ 5-10 \\ 1 \\ 3-6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.77 \\ 1 \\ 7.51 \\ 1 \\ 4.23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.62-4.92 \\ & \hline \text { 7.30-7.72 } \\ & 4.09-4.37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.76 \\ 0 \\ 0.96 \\ 0 \\ 0.62 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.93 \\ 0 \\ 12.78 \\ 0 \\ 14.66 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{2} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 274 | 3－9 | 4.96 | 4．86－5．06 | 0.86 | 17.34 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \\ 108 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2-3 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2: 04 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $2.00-2.07$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.19 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 9.33 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ See also text；$\underline{b}_{\text {b }}$ The standard deviations are those of the Angolan series



[^0]:    *Similar bimodal distribution of the number of comb scales was observed, for example, in the 4th stage larva of Aedes (Ochlerotatus) fitchii Felt and Young from Minnesota, U. S. A. (Barr 1958).

