1Rhodora

JOURNAL OF

THE NEW ENGLAND BOTANICAL CLUB

Vol. 60

September, 1958

No. 717

MINOR FORMS OF NORTH AMERICAN SPECIES OF ROSA

Walter H. Lewis¹

During the study of the North American rose species east of the Rocky Mountains, several unique and distinct forms have been observed. Variants include: individuals with more than five petals in each flower; those with white rather than pink petals; specimens having unarmed floral stems where armature is typical; and those with glandular-hispid hypanthia and pedicels when the expression for these characters is normally Since their distinctiveness eliminates any possible eglandular. confusion in naming them, since transplant studies have shown that the forms are not expressions of environmental modification, and since they may be of considerable importance to other biological disciplines (plant breeding, horticulture), it is felt that natural variations such as these should be given nomenclatural recognition at the rank of form. These several variations are doubtless the result of different types of genetic situations such as gene mutations, gene combinations controlling expression in certain cases (as probably with armature), and others.

With the new taxa are included new combinations that have been modified in view of the evidences obtained from a revision of the North American roses (Lewis, 1957a).

1. R. nitida Willdenow, f. spinosa f. nov.

R. carolina L., var. setigera Crépin. Rhodora 2: 113 (1900) pro syn.

R. carolina x R. nitida Crépin. Rhodora 2: 113 (1900).

R. nitida x R. palustris Rydberg. N. Am. Fl. 22: 496 (1918).

? R. nitida x R. virginiana Rydberg. N. Am. Fl. 22: 502 (1918).

¹ The Blandy Experimental Farm, University of Virginia. Present address: Department of Biology, Stephen F. Austin State College, Nacogdoches, Texas.

Caulis floreus armatus setis tenuibus et amplificatis infrastipulatis spinis saepe inparem. Floral stems armed with fine bristles and enlarged infrastipular thorns, often in pairs. Very rarely these stems are without the bristles typical of R. nitida and have only infrastipular thorns. The kind of armature is the only certain way in which the form may be differentiated from R. nitida.

HOLOTYPE.—Wilton, Franklin County, Maine. M. L. Fernald. 11 Aug. 1894 (GH).

Both Crépin (1900) and Rydberg (1918) believed f. spinosa to be a hybrid between R. palustris Marshall and R. nitida. sidering the success of many artificial hybrids between most diploid Cinnamomeae species (Erlanson 1934), such a cross could conceivably be possible in nature. The F₁ generation might even be male fertile as, for example, has been found in the progeny of R. Woodsii x R. blanda and R. blanda x R. pisocarpa crosses (Flory 1950). The small amount of abortive pollen (16%) found from a specimen of f. spinosa collected at Orono, Maine, would add evidence to this opinion. The difficulty with this approach arises, however, when it is realized that one of the parents, R. palustris, is not known from Newfoundland or central and northern Nova Scotia (some specimens from the latter province have been confused with R. palustris, but they are R. virginiana Miller). Since typical specimens of R. nitida, f. spinosa have been examined from Newfoundland at St. George Bay and at St. John's, it hardly seems possible that the form is a result of a cross between R. palustris and R. nitida when the variation is found in a region separated by hundreds of miles of water from one of the parents. It has been pointed out to the author, however, that a bird might transport the hybrid fruit from an area where such a hybrid is possible and deposit it in an area some distance from one of the parents. Although such an event would explain the occurrence of the form in Newfoundland, there is no evidence as yet to support this theory. R. palustris is definitely established as native to Newfoundland, experimental hybridization produces a phenotype similar to f. spinosa, or until bird migration is known to be a significant factor here, the form is considered a non-hybrid variation of R. nitida.

Rosa nitida x R. virginiana of Rydberg (1918) tentatively has been placed in synonymy under R. nitida, f. spinosa. Erlanson's

(1934) data showed that artificially produced F₁ plants from these species were highly sterile—a condition not apparent in the pollen of f. spinosa from Orono, Maine. This plant is morphologically similar to those cited by Rydberg as representative of the hybrid, R. nitida x R. virginiana.

Selected specimens examined:—Canada. Newfoundland: St. John's, Robinson & Schrenk, 3 Aug. 1894! (GH). Quebec: Stanstead Co.: Magog, Pease 728 (GH). United States. Maine: Franklin Co.: Wilton, M. L. Fernald, 11 Aug. 1894 (as R. carolina x nitida? by Crépin; as R. nitida x palustris by Rydberg; as R. palustris? by Fernald) holotype (GH). Kennebec Co: Pine Point, Scarboro, Hyland 321 (Maine). Penobscot Co.: Bangor bog, Orono, Fernald 2958 (Maine). Piscataquis Co.: Foxcroft, G. B. Fernald 161 (GH), Fernald 319 (GH). Washington Co.: West Sidney, Hyland 115 (Maine). New Hampshire: Coös Co.: Pike pond, Stark, Pease 23778 (GH).

2. R. palustris Marshall, f. inermis (Regel) stat. nov.

R. hudsoniana Thory ex Red. Roses 1: 95 (1817).

- R. hudsoniana salicifolia Thory ex Red. Roses 1: 95 (1817).
- R. carolina salicifolia Seringe ex DC. Prod. 2: 605 (1825).
- R. carolina L., var. inermis Regel. Tent. Ros. Mon. 78 (1877).
- R. carolina inermis Schuette. Am. Ass. Adv. Sci. 46: 279 (1898).
- R. palustris Marshall, var. inermis (Schuette) Erlanson. Pap. Mich. Ac. Sci. Arts & Let. 5: 90 (1925).

Individuals typical of *R. palustris* except that the floral stems are without armature. The form occurs infrequently throughout the range of the species.

Selected specimens examined. Canada. Ontario: Muskoka Co.: Dorset, C. Huber 5854 (as R. palustris Marsh., var. inermis (Schuette) Erl.) (GH). United States. connecticut: Windham Co.: Plainfield, Wauregan, Sheldon 537 (as R. carolina) (GH). Florida: Putnam Co.: Welaka, Laessle, 26 June 1940 (Flas). Maine: Hancock Co.: Seal Harbor, Mount Desert I., Redfield, 21 Aug. 1890 (as R. carolina) (GH). Massachusetts: Berkshire Co.: Lenox, Hoffmann, 11 Aug. 1911 (as R. carolina) (GH). Norfolk Co.: Milton, Churchill, 17 July 1898 (as R. carolina) (GH). Michigan: Charlevoix Co.: Boyne City, Erlanson 5789/B (as R. palustris var. inermis) (Mich). Cheboygan Co.: Lancaster Lake, Ehlers 613 (as R. carolina) (GH). New York: Monroe Co.: Manitou Beach, Killip 7843 (as R. carolina) (GH). Tompkins Co.: near Spencer Lake, Muenscher & Bechtel 520 (as R. carolina) (GH). Tennessee: Unicoi Co.: Unaka Springs, Lyle 19415 (Tenn). Vermont: Rutland Co.: East Wallingford, Kent, June 1897 (as R. carolina) (GH). Wisconsin: Brown Co.: Blesh's Farm, Schuette, 22 June 1890 (as R. carolina inermis) (GH).

3. R. palustris Marshall, f. alba (Rafinesque) stat. nov.

R. carolina L., var. alba Rafinesque Ann. Gen. Sci. Phys. 5: 214 (1820).

This form established by Rafinesque (1820) as a variety of R. carolina L. (Sp. Pl. 1: 703, 1762) non L. (Ibid: 492, 1753) is nomenclaturally corrected in combination with the binomial for the eastern swamp rose. No white petaled specimens have been observed in this study.

4. R. palustris Marshall, f. plena f. nov.

R. carolina L., var. pimpinellifolia Rafinesque. Ann. Gen. Sci. Phys. 5: 214 (1820) pro parte.

- R. hudsoniana flore multiplici Thory. Prod. Gen. Rosa 147 (1820).
- R. hudsoniana subcorymbosa Thory ex Red. Roses 2: 109 (1821).
- R. carolina L., var. scandens (Thory) Seringe ex DC. Prod. 2: 605 (1825).
- R. carolina L., var. hemisphoerica Seringe ex DC. Prod. 2: 605 (1825).

A form of *R. palustris* with more than five petals in each flower. No specimens have been observed.

5. R. Woodsii Lindley, f. hispida f. nov.

R. adenosepala Wooton & Standley. Contr. U. S. Nat. Herb. 16: 131 (1913) pro parte.

R. MacDougali x R. ultramontana Rydberg. N. Am. Fl. 22: 523 (1918).

Hypanthia sunt glandulari-hispida et plerumque cum pedicellis glandulari-hispidis. Hypanthia are glandular-hispid and usually with glandularhispid pedicels. Accompanying the glandular-hispid hypanthia and pedicels in the type are glandular leaflets, gland-tipped double serrations, glandular stipules, and hispid petioles.

HOLOTYPE: Near Helena, Lewis & Clark County, Montana. F. D. Kelsey, June 1892 (as R. Fendleri). Herbarium of Montana State College. Selected specimen examined. Montana: Missoula Co.: Missoula, Sandberg, Aug. 1892 (as R. pisocarpa) (MIN).

6. R. blanda Aiton, f. carpohispida (Schuette) stat. nov.

R. blanda carpohispida Schuette. Proc. Am. Ass. Adv. Sci. 46: 279 (1898).

R. blanda Aiton, var. hispida Farwell. Pap. Mich. Ac. Sci. Arts & Let. 2: 25 (1923).

Rosa blanda which has glandular-hispid hypanthia and pedicels. The floral stems may be somewhat bristly. Although this description approaches that for the individuals intermediate between R. blanda and R. palustris, the forma is known to occur north of the range of R. palustris on the Gaspé, Quebec (Erlanson 1934).

Selected specimens examined. MAINE: Penobscot Co.: Greenbush, near Cardville, Hyland 816 (as R. blanda, var. hispida) (MAINE). MICHIGAN: Houghton Co.: Grand Traverse Bay, Richards 3644 (as R. blanda, var. hispida) (MAINE). MINNESOTA: Houston Co.: near Bee, Butters & Rosendahl 3827 (MIN). Rice Co.: 10 mi. w. Northfield, Butters & Rosendahl 2897 (MIN). Saint Louis Co.: Duluth, Lakela 1482 (as R. suffulta) (MIN). Yellow Medicine Co.: Granite Falls, Moyer 358 (as R. humilis) (MIN). WISCONSIN: Ashland Co.: 3. Ashland, Richards 3402 (as R. blanda, var. hispida) (MAINE). Door Co.: Little Sturgeon Bay, Schuette, 17 Aug. 1891 (as R. humilis x R. blanda) (US).

7. R. arkansana Porter, f. alba (Rehder) comb. nov.

R. pratincola Greene, f. alba Rehder. Mitt. Deutsch. Dendr. Ges. 1910 (19): 252 (1911).

R. arkansoides Schneider, f. alba Schneider. Ill. Hand. Laubh. 2: 971 (1912).

R. heliophila Greene, f. alba Rehder. Mitt. Deutsch. Dendr. Ges. 1915 (24): 222. 1916.

R. suffulta Greene, f. alba Rehder. Journ. Arn. Arb. 3: 17. 1921.

Since the pubescent leafed variation (= R. suffulta Greene) of this species is not considered specifically distinct, the white petaled form is combined with R. arkansana.

8. R. arkansana Porter, f. plena f. nov.

Forma ultra quinque petala in florem. A form typical of R. arkansana except that as many as twenty petals are found in a single flower.

HOLOTYPE. The form is known only from one locality, Woodrow, Sas-katchewan and has been distributed by P. H. Wright. Individuals have been planted at The Blandy Experimental Farm, Acc. No. 12876–54 (*Lewis 2310*). Herbarium specimens are deposited in the U. S. National Herbarium (holotype) and the Bailey Hortorium.

9. R. arkansana Porter, f. setulosa (Cockerell) comb. nov.

R. pratincola setulosa Cockerell ex Daniels Fl. Bould. Colo., Univ. Mo. Stud. Sci. Ser. 11 (2): 148. 1911.

R. suffulta Greene, f. setulosa Cockerell. Torreya 18: 179 (1918).

The form has glandular-hispid hypanthia and usually glandular pedicels. The isotype was collected by Cockerell at Boulder, Boulder County, Colorado.

Selected specimens examined. Colorado: Boulder Co.: Boulder, T. D. A. Cockerell (as R. suffulta setulosa) isotype (us). El Paso Co.: 5 mi. from Colorado Springs, Penfound, 18 July 1924 (colo). Larimer Co.: Fort Collins, Harrington 3262 (colo). Montana: Big Horn Co.: 6 mi. s. Pryor, Charff, 10 June 1953 (Mont).

10. R. carolina L., f. plena (Marshall) stat. nov.

- R. pennsylvanica plena Marshall. Arb. Am. 136 (1785).
- R. parviflora pleno Ehrhart. Beitr. Nat. 4: 21 (1789).
- R. pennsylvanica var. flore pleno Andrews. Roses 2: No. 102 (1828).
- R. caroliniana var. flore pleno Andrews. Roses 2: No. 104 (1828).
- R. carolina conglobata Trattinick. Rosac. Mon. 2: 156 (1823).
- R. parviflora (flore multiplici) Thory ex Red. Roses No. 11 (1824).
- R. humilis Marshall, var. plena Best. Journ. Trent. Nat. Hist. Soc. 2: 1 (1889).
- R. carolina L., var. plena (Marshall) Lynes. Baileya 3: 58 (1955).

First described in the eighteenth century, the double petaled form of *R. carolina* has recently been nomenclaturally revised by Lynes (1955). In this study the rare variation is reduced to forma status.

11. **R. acicularis** Lindley, subsp. **acicularis**, f. **alba** (Nakai) comb. nov. *R. acicularis* Lindley, var. *Gmelini* (Bunge) Meyer, f. *alba* Nakai. Bot. Mag. Tokyo **30**: 241 (1916).

Among the many specimens of this subspecies examined from Europe and Asia, only one had entirely white petals rather than the typical pink. The material was collected in Tobolsk, Omsk R. S. F. S. R. (LE). Nakai (1916) cited the following localities: "Ham-gyöng austr.: Atok-ryöng (Nakai 1825) Cho-työng-ryöng (Nakai 1569)."

12. R. acicularis Lindley, subsp. Sayi (Schweinitz) Lewis, f. plena f. nov.

Forma ultra quinque petala in florem. A form typical of *R. acicularis* subsp. *Sayi* (Lewis 1957b) except that as many as fifteen petals occur in each flower.

HOLOTYPE. The form is known from only one locality, Moose Range, Saskatchewan. Herbarium specimens from plants growing at The Blandy Experimental Farm (Acc. No. 13386-56) are deposited in the U. S. National Herbarium (holotype) and the Bailey Hortorium.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper was completed at The Blandy Experimental Farm, University of Virginia, under the guidance of Dr. W. S. Flory, Jr. whose assistance is gratefully acknowledged. To Mrs. Nina Woodford and Mr. Roy E. Shepherd, the author is indebted for living plants of several new forms. Throughout the paper, translations to the Latin have been done by Miss V. H. Randolph.

An expression of appreciation is due for the courtesy and cooperation of the curators of the following herbaria from which loans of specimens have been obtained or otherwise made available: University of Colorado Herbarium (COLO); Agricultural Experiment Station Herbarium, University of Florida (FLAS); Gray Herbarium of Harvard University (GH); Herbarium of the Botanical Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the U. S. S. R., Leningrad (LE); University of Maine Herbarium (MAINE); University of Michigan Herbarium (MICH); University of Minnesota Herbarium (MIN); Montana State

College Herbarium (MONT); University of Tennessee Herbarium (TENN); and the U. S. National Museum, Smithsonian Institution (US).

LITERATURE CITED

- CRÉPIN, F. 1900. Note upon a probable hybrid of Rosa carolina L. and Rosa nitida Willd. Rhodora 2: 112-113.
- Erlanson, E. W. 1934. Experimental data for the revision of the North American wild roses. Bot. Gaz. 96: 197-259.
- FLORY, W. S., Jr. 1950. Pollen conditions in some species and hybrids of *Rosa* with a consideration of associated phylogenetic factors. Va. Journ. Sci. 1 (N.S.): 11–59.
- Lewis, W. H. 1957a. Revision of the genus *Rosa* in eastern North America: A review. Am. Rose Ann. 42: 116–126.
- ———. 1957b. A monograph of the genus *Rosa* in North America. I. *Rosa acicularis*. Brittonia (in press).
- Lynes, D. 1955. A double-flowered Rosa carolina. Baileya 3: 58.
- Nakai, T. 1916. Praecursores ad floram sylvaticam Coreanam. VII. Bot. Mag. Tokyo 30: 217-242.
- Rafinesque, C. S. 1820. Prodrome d'une monographie des rosiers de l'Amérique septentionale. Ann. Gen. Sci. Phys. 5: 209-220.
- Rydberg, P. A. 1918. North American Flora 22: part 6.

NOTES ON NEARCTIC HEPATICAE VI. PHYTO-GEOGRAPHICAL RELATIONSHIPS OF CRITICAL SPECIES IN MINNESOTA AND ADJACENT AREAS OF THE GREAT LAKES

R. M. Schuster

(Concluded from p. 234)

(7) APPALACHIAN SPECIES OCCURRING NORTHWARD TO THE LAKE SUPERIOR REGION AND NEAR OR IN THE DRIFTLESS AREA

A number of species show this distribution pattern, Diplophyllum apiculatum (Fig. 13) and Mannia rupestris (Fig. 17) being perhaps typical. In both cases the population occurring near Lake Superior is somewhat disjunct, and represents the outlying population. A comparison of the distribution of the Appalachian endemic, Diplophyllum apiculatum, with that of another species of presumably Appalachian origin, Tsuga canadensis, is not without validity. The occurrence of such species of temperate occurrence around the shore of Lake Superior (and to the south in and near the Driftless Area), strongly suggests that the bulk of the distribution of these species is restricted to nonglaciated areas, with the restricted range around Lake Superior due to post-Pleistocene migration northward from a Pleistocene refugium in the Driftless Area. This thesis will be examined in more detail in the summation.



Lewis, Walter H. 1958. "Minor forms of North American species of Rosa." *Rhodora* 60, 237–243.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/14531

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/124504

Holding Institution

Missouri Botanical Garden, Peter H. Raven Library

Sponsored by

Missouri Botanical Garden

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Rights: https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.