C. ALPINA L. Sp. Pl. i. 9 (1753). Cool woods, wet mossy openings, margins of streams, etc., southern Labrador to James Bay and north-westward to Alaska, southward through Newfoundland, eastern Canada, northern New England, northern New York, etc., and more locally to southern New England, Georgia, the Great Lake states, So. Dakota, etc.; also Eurasia.

GRAY HERBARIUM.

IS ASTER TARDIFLORUS A HYBRID?

ARTHUR STANLEY PEASE.

During the late summer of 1916, in the towns of Randolph, Gorham, and Jefferson, New Hampshire, I was on more than half-a-dozen occasions impressed by a blue-flowered Aster which I could not at once name. Its inflorescence at times suggested A. cordifolius, but the leaves were not cordate; the involucre was nearer to that of A. puniceus, but again the shape of the leaves failed to match. It was worthy of remark that the plant was in each instance solitary or appearing in but two or three specimens, while in each case A. puniceus and A. cordifolius were observed, usually in some abundance, near by. These facts led to the suspicion that one of the numerous hybrids of the genus had been found, and that A. puniceus and A. cordifolius, the two commonest and most generally distributed blue-flowered Asters of the region were its parents.¹

But my interest was further aroused when the plants in question proved, upon analysis, to match exactly the description in Gray's Manual of Aster tardiflorus L., and when subsequent comparison at the Gray Herbarium confirmed this diagnosis. The query, then, naturally arises whether A. tardiflorus is not a hybrid. Its intermediate characters can be more clearly indicated by the following résumé (compiled from the Manual descriptions of the three species I have mentioned).²

¹ The only other blue-flowered Asters known in the region are A. macrophyllus L., A. radula Ait., A. foliaceus Lindl., and A. novi-belgii L. The first two of these bear no resemblance to the plants in question, and the last two are of very local distribution and unknown in the neighborhood of most of the stations for the supposed hybrid.

² Points not noted in the Manual are enclosed in brackets.

i. Color of Stem.

cordifolius [green or purple.] tardiflorus [green or purple.] puniceus usually purple below.

ii. Pubescence of Stem.

cordifolius nearly glabrous (but var. Furbishiae Fernald densely villous).

tardiflorus glabrous or subpubescent (but var. vestitus Fernald densely villous).

puniceus rough hairy in lines [but a variety from northern Maine densely villous].

cordifolius cordate, the lower on slender and naked ciliate petioles.

tardiflorus ovate- or oblong-lanceolate, narrowed at both ends, the lower to a winged [often ciliate] petiole, not auriculate or only obscurely so.

puniceus oblong-lanceolate or lanceolate, not narrowed or but slightly so to the auricled base.

iv. Serration

iii. Shape of

Leaves.

All three have leaves sharply serrate in the middle.

v. Inflorescence.

In all three paniculate.

vi. Height of In-

cordifolius 4-6 mm. tardiflorus 5-7 mm. puniceus 7-12 mm.

volucre.

cordifolius appressed, tipped with short green points, obtuse or acutish [ca. 3seriate.

vii. Involucral Bracts.

tardiflorus lax, linear or linear-subulate, subequal or 2-3-seriate.

puniceus loose, thin, narrowly linear, attenuate, subequal, in about 2 rows, the outer sometimes foliaceous.

viii. Color of Rays.

cordifolius pale blue or nearly white. tardiflorus light blue. puniceus lilac-blue to white.

ix. Length of Rays.

cordifolius [rather short, for the genus.] tardiflorus [short to medium.] puniceus long and showy.

x. Date of Flowering. All three: Aug.-Oct.

xi. Range.
$$\begin{cases} \textit{cordifolius} \; \text{E. Que.} — \text{Ia.} — \text{Ga.} — \text{Mo.} \\ \textit{tardiflorus} \; \text{N. B.} & - \text{Pa.} \\ \textit{puniceus} \; \text{Nfd.} & - \text{Man.} — \text{Ga.} \end{cases}$$

To one who examines these points it will, I think, appear that a plant more exactly intermediate between two quite distinct species could hardly be found. And this would be even more apparent to one who should examine in actual specimens the shape of the leaf of A. tardiflorus, which is the only natural intermediate that one could expect between a cordate petioled leaf and an oblong-lanceolate auricled one. The supposed parent-species are abundant northeastward, hence it is hardly surprising that this hybrid should have appeared at various places. Material at the Gray Herbarium, however, does not indicate commonness anywhere save perhaps in the vicinity of Lisbon, New Hampshire, where it was rather often collected by the late Edwin Faxon. But, as the last summer's experience of the writer shows. when once one has learned what this plant looks like he may see it in a considerable number of places without finding anywhere more than one or two plants in a station, so that the numerous collections by Mr. Faxon need not indicate a degree of commonness that might lead one to regard Aster tardiflorus as an established and stable species.

The writer would be glad to learn of the observations of others in regard to this somewhat infrequently studied plant. Perhaps someone with facilities for the work might undertake to produce an artificial hybrid for comparison with A. tardiflorus.

CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS.



Pease, Arthur Stanley. 1917. "IS ASTER TARDIFLORUS A HYBRID?" *Rhodora* 19, 88–90.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/14490

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/188280

Holding Institution

Missouri Botanical Garden, Peter H. Raven Library

Sponsored by

Missouri Botanical Garden

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.