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Speciation by aneuploid and polyploid changes in chromosome numbers is so common in flowering plants as to be almost a characteristic of the angiosperms. Elegant examples of these patterns of evolution are exhibited by monkey flowers of the genus Mimulus (Scrophulariaceae).

The genus Mimulus contains some 150 species occurring in western North and South America with a few outlying species in eastern North America, Japan, Vietnam, the Himalayas, New Zealand, Australia, and South Africa. The center of diversity is California, with a secondary center in Chile. Some species are annuals of deserts, grasslands, or forests; some are biennials of marshy places; some are herbaceous perennials from springs, streamsides, or lake-shore habitats; and others are woody shrubs of the dry California chaparral. The species form clusters reflecting these various life forms. There are $8-10$ such clusters commonly recognized as sections of the genus Mimulus (Grant 1924, Pennell 1951, Chuang and Heckard personal communication).

Chromosome numbers of over 50 species (Table 1), that is, approximately one-third of the Mimulus species, have been ascertained by Vickery and his co-workers (Vickery 1978, Vickery, Chu et al. 1981, Vickery, Simpson et al. 1981, Vickery et al. 1982, 1985, 1986, 1990, unpublished) and by Chuang and Heckard (personal communication). Chromosome numbers reveal intriguing patterns of evolution by aneuploidy and polyploidy.

First, let us consider the base chromosome numbers of the eight main sections of the genus. Section Mimulastrum has a base number of $x=7$; Eunanus and Erythranthe have base numbers of $x=8$; Paradanthus 8, 9, 10; Eumimulus 8, 11, 12; Oenoe 9; Diplacus 10;
and Simiolus 14, 15, 16, 30. Base numbers of the sections suggest extensive evolution by both aneuploidy and polyploidy. For the genus as a whole, the base number appears to be $x=$ 8 , inasmuch as the other plausible base number, $x=7$, is found only in one, apparently derived, desert species, M. mohavensis Lemmon (Table 1).

Next, let us consider the chromosome numbers by individual species. All species counted thus far are the same in each of several sections, specifically, in Mimulastrum, Erythranthe, Oenoe, and Diplacus. The other sections are polymorphic for their species' chromosome numbers and frequently exhibit speciation by aneuploidy and/or polyploidy, often in complex combinations. For example, the various species of section Eumimulus exhibit $n=8$, 11, and 12; species of section Eunanus exhibit $n=8,10$, and 16 ; species of section Paradanthus exhibit $n=8,9,16,17,18$, and 30 ; and species of section Simiolus exhibit $n=13,14$, $15,16,24,28,30,31,32,46$, and 48 (Table 1).

Section Simiolus, which shows by far the most speciation by aneuploidy and/or polyploidy of all sections of the genus, consists of six species groups, that is, complexes of related species and varieties. First is the M. guttatus complex, centered in California; it has as its base number $x=14$, with aneuploid forms at $n=13$ and $n=15$ (Table 1), as well as tetraploid forms with $n=28$. Second is the alpine (western United States) M. tilingii complex with its base number of $x=14$ and aneuploid forms at $n=15, n=16$, and an unusual polyploid form at $n=24$. The third species group is the M. dentilobus complex of southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico with its base number of $x=16$ and an aneuploid form at $n=15$. Fourth is the M. luteus complex

[^0]Table 1. Chromosome numbers in the genus Mimulus by sections (counts by Chuang and Heckard and by Vickery and co-workers; see text for references).

| Taxon | $=$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mimulastrum Gray ( $x=7$ ) M. mohavensis Lemmon | 7 |
| Eumimulus Gray ( $x=8,11,12$ ) |  |
| M. alatus Aiton | 11 |
| M. gracilis R. Br. | 8 |
| M. ringens L . | 8, 12 |
| Eunanus Gray ( $x=8$ ) |  |
| M. bolanderi Gray | 8 |
| M. layneae (Greene) Jepson | 8 |
| M. brevipes Bentham | 8 |
| M. cusickii (Greene) Piper | 8 |
| M. nanus Hook. \& Arn. | 8 |
| M. torreyi Gray | 10 |
| M. biglovii Gray | 16 |
| Paradanthus Grant ( $x=8,9,10$ ) |  |
| M. bicolor Hartweg ex Bentham | 8 |
| M. filicaulis Watson | 8 |
| M. breweri (Greene) Coville | 16 |
| M. floribundus Douglas | 16 |
| M. moschatus Douglas | 16 |
| M. latidens (Gray) Greene | 16 |
| M. arenarius Grant | 16 |
| M. primuloides Rydb. | 9, 17, 18 |
| M. repens R. Br. | 10 |
| M. nepalensis Bentham | 16, 30 |
| Erythranthe Greene ( $x=8$ ) |  |
| M. cardinalis Douglas | 8 |
| M. eastwoodiae Rydb. | 8 |
| M. lewisii Pursh | 8 |
| M. nelsonii Grant | 8 |
| M. rupestris Greene | 8 |
| M. verbenaceus Greene | 8 |
| Oenoe Gray ( $x=9$ ) |  |
| M. pictus (Curran) Gray | 9 |
| M. tricolor Lindl. | 9 |
| M. pygmaeus Grant | 9 (or 10?) |
| M. pilosellus Greene | 9 |
| Diplacus Gray ( $x=10$ ) |  |
| M. aridus (Abrams) Grant | 10 |
| M. aurantiacus Curt. | 10 |
| M. calycinus Eastw. | 10 |
| M. clevelandii Brandg. | 10 |
| M. fasiculatus (Pennell) McMinn | 10 |
| M. longiflorus (Nutt.) Grant | 10 |
| M. puniceus (Nutt.) Steud. | 10 |
| Simiolus Greene ( $x=14,15,16$ ) |  |
| M. gutatus Fischer ex DC. | 14, 15, 28 |
| M. laciniatus Gray | 14 |
| M. nasutus Greene | 13, 14 |
| M. glaucescens Greene | 14 |
| M. platycalyx Pennell | 15 |
| M. tilingii Regel | $14,15,24,28$ |
| M. gemniparus Weber | 16 |
| M. dentilobus Rob. \& Fern. | 15, 16 |
| M. wiensii Vickery | 16 |
| M. glabratus HBK | 15, 30, 31 |
| M. andicolus HBK | 46 |
| M. pilosiusculus HBK | 46 |
| M. externus (Skotts.) Skotts | 46 |
| M. luteus L. | 30, 31, 32 |
| M. cupreus Dombrain | 31 |
| Undescribed |  |
| n. sp \#A | 16 |
| n. sp \#B | 32 |
| n. sp \#C | $32,48 \pm 1-4$ |

from the central and southern Andes of South America. Its base number is $x=30$, but there are $n=31$ and $n=32$ forms as well. Fifth, there is the M. glabratus complex that ranges from Canada to Patagonia. Its varieties in central North America exhibit the base number of the complex, $x=15$. In the Rio Grande drainage we find tetraploids with $n=30$.
From northern Mexico to southern Colombia we find the aneuploid tetraploid $n=31$ varieties of the complex. From Ecuador south to southern Argentina and including the Juan Fernandez Islands off the coast of Chile, we find the aneuploid hexaploid species and varieties with $n=46$ chromosomes. Apparently, each change in chromosome number facilitated an adaptive radiation further south. Last is the M. wiensii complex of the mountains of western Mexico with its base number of $x=$ 16 and three apparent new species that are morphologically distinct and reproductively isolated (Vickery et al. unpublished). One has $n=16$ chromosomes, one has $n=32$ chromosomes, and the third has two forms-one with $n=32$ chromosomes and the other with $n=48$ $\pm 1-4$ chromosomes (incipient aneuploidy?).

How does speciation by aneuploidy and polyploidy occur? We carefully examined meiosis in M. glabratus var. utahensis and M. glabratus var. fremontii, two of the widespread diploid varieties of the M. glabratus complex, and their intervarietal $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ hybrids. First, of 1317 cells examined in diakinesis or metaphase of first meiosis (Tai and Vickery 1970, 1972), 1090 exhibited regular 15 bivalent chromosomes. Another 23 cells, or $1.7 \%$, had aneuploid numbers of chromosome pairs ranging from only 6 to as many as 13 , plus $4-18$ univalents. These cells presumably could produce aneuploid gametes, at least in some cases. A sizeable minority, 204 cells, exhibited 14 II and 2 I , or 13 II and 1 IV , or complement fractionation with its uneven groupings of chromosomes. These cells might produce aneuploid gametes also. Second, of 782 additional cells observed in Anaphase I, 294 (37.5\%) exhibited unequal disjunction, laggard chromosomes, or chromatin bridges. These cells also could result in aneuploid gametes. Some 47 of these abnormalities occurred in M. glabratus var. fremontii, only 18 occurred in M. glabratus var. utahensis, but most, 229 , occurred in the intervarietal hybrids. Thus, varieties differ in their potential for producing aneuploid gametes,
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