

they refer to explaining
an ample lot of facts;
again & again I thank
you for your generous aid
in supplying a view, which
which you very properly told
yourself was needed. —

Yours truly
John Murt Carey

C. Davis

Now - Review in last Am. L. &
Mag. of N. H. on y Book - rather
bitter: I feel most certainly
it is f y good friend the
Editorial W. L. L. I have
not desired any new idea for this
Review. - Second Chapter so
far with me. - Rev. L. Rogers, a
good minister: then how with so a very

between the views of
Agepiz & such as mine
in my criticism & construction.

By the way if Agepiz
writes anything on
subject, I hope you will
tell me. — I am
charmed with your
metaphor of the shield.

was running against
the force of gravitation.

Your distinction between
an hypothesis & theory
seems to me very wise;

but I do not think it is
well followed. — Every one
speaks of the undulatory theory
of light; yet the ether is
itself hypothetical & the
undulation ^{is} inferred of
from explaining the phenomena
of light. — Even as to
theory of gravitation, is the
attraction force in any
way known, except by explaining
the fall of the apple & the
movements of the Planets?
It seems to me that an
hypothesis is developed into a



Darwin, Charles. 1860. "Darwin, Charles Feb. 18, 1860." *Charles Darwin letters to Asa Gray*

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/225921>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/254311>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia 19th Century Collections Digitization/Harvard Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The Library considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection

License: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.