

size objects etc the same proportion, at the
same rate of magnifying.
Another - the first line of both genera
Hypericum for persistence.

That will do for tonight.
I think I ought to send Breway and
your Oaks back, after carefully looking
over them again.

"Agave Shawsii" is in bloom now at
Shaws. Those immense bracts distinguish
it very much.

What may be Gray's 784 - a hairy
low genus like Holcine, but with tubular
flowers, pendulous almost oblique pollinia, pointed
stigma. I can not make it out - I
had sent you one Hottentot specimen at the
time.

Gray's Taxidea I have not from him.

Good night

Yours truly

J. Engelm.

What is *Ceratiphyllum* from Japan? I find it in
no book of mine.

GEO. ENGELMANN, M. D.
3008 Locust Street.

St. Louis, Mo., Feb 6 1877

Dear Gray

I got your card today. Yes,
send me those Revision in a moments
of no time & done. It probably anticipates
me and serves me right - for keeping back
so long; or much worse, as I know that
Mr. Hale was working at such things; but
his former publications do not seem to me
to be of much value.

Your Holp to come soon time ago -
Thanks! - A good work, I would have answered
it sooner, but wanted to go over gradually
carefully. - I have finished that today. What a
confusion those pods, without flowers, and
without pods make! This suberosus, I think
you probably ought to have crowded to R. Br.
(Grenada Linn.) I have from Curtis & from
Makarow - both say that the pod is smooth! -
Well Mr. will find out next summer. But here
comes another trouble. In an out of the way
place I find a *gossypium* from Sumatra; leaf
less pods and flowering sp. in same sheet,
marked: pod rounded in section - the other
another sheet: pod winged - angled in section.
Now, the flowering sp. are all the same
J. laevis, smooth or strongly hairy, with oval or
elliptic almost panicle leaves, subsessile or peduncled
occasionally - but this smooth tooth fruit, of

I send you one, is a poser. It could not be *Evolanis*? that has a slender stalk. Nor could *Cavis* have both kinds of fruit? I have written to *Lilium* about it, but he will scarcely help us - 75 years!

I have found a good thing; the ovary shows broad distinct papillæ, where the fruit is smooth; and I believe, I find ridges in *Cavis-cavis* - but can not be so positive; I suppose in the fresh flower there will be no difficulty about that.

Our *Gnolobus*, which Sargent has growing, is *G. carolinianus* - very deep purple. - My herbarium for Georgia is neither very white nor deep purple, or the latter - but has the short and long etc.

If *septentrionalis*, *parvifolia* & *hastulata* I do not have.

Now about *Adonis*. For my taste there are too many subdivisions - but then, it is to be ^{of} a key - And would it not be better to be more systematic with those signs e.g. after the 3rd which marks sections & hence first Number, I. 1 - then either A. a. & + that gives you 6 grades - then you may commence with signs * + x also having the larger first - and none lying + or - etc takes too much room. I cannot say, that it is difficult to make out the order in which your signs come; also because they are not pushed back (what is the technical term?) from the margin according to their subordination.

A few errors of the pen are p. 65 1st line
+ ab antheris remoti
+ p. 72 Podostemone: anther as large super
+ p. 76 g. herbaria coron 10-crenata

About the species I have little to say. That character of the ~~decurrent~~ ^{or bent} peripetalous throat seen every day, has been unnoticed by me as every body else; it is a very pretty and good one. Your *quadrivalvis* is a nice discovery; but my specimen shows two ~~good~~ fruits, probably drawing for A. *mycteria* if this you might have given me credit. And *luteopeltata* you might - cross which is I suppose correct.

I suppose also that *Anthonia Adonis* and the other species will be as good as many others in this and other such families (Compositae genera) But I object to your putting species character in the generic ones ~~as~~ ^{as} ~~the~~ ^{the} properties of the *Cacoulli*, which is so excessively variable in time Adonis, ought not to help to characterize *Anthonia*; the ~~short~~ ^{short} petioles show that it is a genus, for which the good characters must and will be found; I think you do good then in the Author's note.

I find among my foreign notes a few hasty remarks made in looking over Cesati's *Herbarium*, which may have some interest.

I ought to have said a word about *Calycotome* - Good! I hope you will cultivate it. I notice here again that characters of trifling value (property of Anthonia & *flavescens*) are given as generic. In the plate the size of the unripe & ripe ought to be marked, & both are about equal. So as the calyculose, add a line (I) to show the real size, or state it like (I) to show the real size, or state it like (I) etc. - If possible it is best to give the (10) etc. -



Parry, C. C. 1877. "Parry, C. C. Feb. 6, 1877." *George Engelmann letters to Asa Gray*

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/227886>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/255761>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia 19th Century Collections Digitization/Harvard Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The Library considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.