

Silver City. Feb. 8. 1881.

My Dear Mr. Watson:

It is very refreshing
to see your handwriting again, after so
long a time of dearth. The Bot. Cal. vol. III
came a week ago in perfect condition.
I had already bought a copy from California,
for as soon as I heard it could be bought I lost
no time. I have not understood that - any
copies were to be distributed gratuitously; but
no bill came with this you sent. What is it?
If you have for disposal copies of Vol II, do
send me one immediately registered and
tell me the price, so that I can send a
postage paid order, paying for the two, as one
sending. My extra copy of Vol. II I have
an opportunity to dispose of here in Silver City.
There are one or two of your notes on my plants
to which I wish to reply, viz. 1st. That my
idea of the white flowers in Ribes leptanthum
is from what I have seen of it in the
region where it was first obtained.

Through Colo. & N. Mex. I have never seen
it of any color but white (which in drying
gets a tinge of green, but not of yellow) as
in the fragment I enclose, from the Mogollon
Mts. The California "var. (?) brachyanthemum" which

of you. Mr. A. you will have to take this for the type & just one more soft-fragaceous genus. These calyxes, capsules & seeds all seem to go with this of Whipplea undulata. They are even pointed & strongly undulate near their base I suppose itately! Whipplea undulata has its own very peculiar habit of growth, it's own mucilage, (antidiaphilic) mounty white-glutinous inflorescence, not to repeat its paradoxical whitish calyx, & fruit.

In this Polidiaea affair, with a "thin" instead of whols' I had overlooked the possibility of an enlargement of calyx, after judg'd of capsule.

Dr. Gray does not admit any Polidiaea flavescens, though every body else does, though he does not know a father & son if to coeruleum or flavescens!

He had not seen flowers of Whipplea peninsularis, when his was pronounced of A. Grisearia.

Flowers & habit of the species still I regard having but it goes with plant, after what you say. But it has spotted - undulate - long bracts & the anthers! The longitudinal "valley bands" spreading them & the pointed lateral ones "acute, not pointed" no seems oblique & undulated, You can do better & I do.

I take to be a good enough species, has flowers tinged with rose, but often more white, not yellow at all. I am going to have second to contradict; but from the original shrub's bearing always white flowers, I suppose the character would be constant. Dr. Gray wrote that there was in his herbarium no good flower of the species.

Bigelovia juncea you will find to be my distinct genus. B. Bigelovii of its allies appears, those of the latter being entirely smooth. Dr. Gray admits any species without hesitation.

But Whipplea undulata should be now says, on having occurred at New, my best specimens. "One can see that it ought to be a Gaudichaudia and not a Whipplea, even though the character of the former genus has to be altered to admit it." In habit and in Stems & calyx & capsule, as well as seed, the two genera are "apparently as distinct as can be"; seed the "lettuce-like" should be in all these points wholly Gaudichaudia. But that in Whipplea + stamens it is like Whipplea is just as true. Still the preponderance of points is decidedly, is it not, with Gaudichaudia? But I guess when you good folks get out another big vol.

Postscript.

214 which you call Mollugo verticillata
must be another species.

M. verticillata grows here, but only along road-sides &c., as if introduced. This is very different habit being erect, though spreading out its branches so that in the dried specimen it may seem prostrate. It grows on hills in oak woods, or on the open plains.

I find no other Mollugo attributed to this region, but this is so common that I can not believe I am first to collect it. I must now distribute to my correspondents, this & many other by written plants. ~~to my correspondents~~, and I am ticketing it Mollugo glauca, n. sp. for I am sure it will hold distinct from verticillata. It is very glaucous, as you can see from my younger specimens. I will not, however, print it without permission from either yourself or Dr. Gray. I do wish you may have time to look at it again in view of several Mexican species of Cupressus to one of which the C. Horizonica may be found to belong. I leave it to you to dispose of as you may find necessary. I know to whom specimens have gone under my name, & can write to them all to suppose it if it proves necessary or desirable to do so.

Mr. Sargent can show you) sincerely yours,
wood, bark, &c. of the
Cupressus,

Edw. L. Greene.

2
1
1
6
1

160. = 153000

1
5
2 - 1

4
5

6
5

20
1

15

1

1

12

1-2

1

33

1

1

1-2

7

1

12

1

1

1

1

1

2-1

5

8

1-2

1

2

1

5

7

1

4

1

1-2

1

1

5

1 = 37
1-2 6
2 3

74

1
1
1
1
1
2-1
5
8
1-2
1
2
1
5
7
1
4
5
1
5
6
2-3
1



BHL

Biodiversity Heritage Library

Greene, Edward Lee. 1881. "Greene, Edward L. Feb. 8, 1881 [to S. Watson]."
Edward Lee Greene letters to Asa Gray

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/225942>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/256017>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia 19th Century Collections Digitization/Harvard Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The Library considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection

License: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.