

some months has been lying perfectly helpless  
and is thought by all around him to be dying.  
I hope he is not so bad and may partially recover.  
However that may be he has been making  
arrangements for his herbarium going at his  
death to Cambridge University (I mean one  
Cambridge) and I believe I shall see to the arranging  
and glueing it and get certain portion for  
myself and I may in that case want to publish  
a considerable quantity of paper forever and  
thank you will turn out to be best paying  
cost price freight & duty.

It is I believe now quite settled that holding  
herbarium goes to Oxford University who  
will build for it at the Botanic garden and pay and  
an annual sum toward keeping it up.

I have been almost entirely occupied with  
Indian Leguminosae - I have worked up about  
200 species of Astragalus (including those) Cryptosepalus  
and small allied genera. I hope for Webster and  
J. Thomson are going to begin immediately their  
Flora India and if so I shall do the Leguminosae  
I am also getting on with Flacouria longilobata  
as fast as I can get it printed but it is measurable  
work only getting in 8 pages at a time and every  
now and then "no room" even for that. In the  
mean time Hauss is making a mess in Walpers'  
Annals - his new genus added to Dilleniaceae is the  
old Delicia carnestola and he has a new genus of  
Cunimbetaria of which the epithet character is to  
have no ovary at all! - Once he has published  
an "Epimelior Botanica" updated as usual by two  
years and replete with blunders

I sent a paper on Rosaceae Malacoceras Rubiacem  
to Copenhagen in April - and am about to do the  
Compositae

With hundred regards to Mr. Gray to  
whom Mr. Heatham will write shortly  
before in every year most sincerely  
George Heatham

### Among Wright's Solenocionae

- 498 = *Solenocion rigidula* Benth var pubescens  
500 = — *cinerea* R.  
501 = — *longiflora* Don,  
496, 497 and 499 appear to be new  
*Solenocion*

June 2 [1852]

Before I forget it I must  
mention that the Amer. Egypt. Expedition  
plant from Sydney which we named  
*Secomia australis* is not the true  
plant figured in Bot. Mag. <sup>f. 385</sup> but  
appears to be *Secomia jasminoides*, Linn.

Wright's 434 is certainly *Monechma*  
*pilarella* Willd and no *Monechma* as you  
suspect. — 436 must be a new genus.

I cannot rightly understand  
Mr. American's genus and do not  
think them near so well done as the  
Indian portion - and I can now often  
looking at the plants again say no  
more than I did in town without  
rewriting up Mr. American's genus  
which would take up a long time

Please do not send the money  
for Sporeana etc. without hearing  
from me for I may very likely  
give you the commission to lay it out



BHL

# Biodiversity Heritage Library

Bentham, George. 1852. "Bentham, George June 2, [1852] [attached note]."  
*George Bentham letters to Asa Gray*

**View This Item Online:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/226393>

**Permalink:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/261103>

**Holding Institution**

Harvard University Botany Libraries

**Sponsored by**

Arcadia 19th Century Collections Digitization/Harvard Library

**Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: Public domain. The Library considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection

License: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.