

Retiro 10th. Apr. 1864

My Dear Dr

Your two last of 22<sup>nd</sup> & 30<sup>th</sup> ult came this morning both together - the former containing Grisebach's 2<sup>nd</sup> list of names and the obituary of Dr. Booth. I go over them to make observations answer questions &c. & then I shall tell you a little of what I am doing & intend to do.

I beg Mr. Bennett's pardon for thinking he did just as is quite natural any stranger might do - consult he himself on such subjects as he himself might not well understand & then follow the counsel given. Strange information that which he received at the Post Office!! I don't see why you should say "and foolishly - not finding where to leave the publications, brought them back again." I think I should have done the same.

I shall write to Poej on the subject of the sets of plants & state your suggestion to wait a further distribution. That orchid which fertilizes without opening its flowers is not found in this part of the island as well as I remember only from the Cobre Mts eastward.

I have now plenty of good specimens of the *Cala jugosa* (fl. ♂ & ♀) - also ♂ of *Cicca arborea*.

Glad to hear that Dr. Torrey has good health again. Tell him to give his "cod liver" to some railroad engineer the best use it can be put to. Poor Mr. Leconte died of "Le Roy".

I still have the draft on Roeding. I have intended to send it to Gundlach for collection so soon as he advises us when he will come this way.

Last week I ran down to the south coast for a few days to look for new plants & feed musketoes & jeyenes. I did a little of all three. I brought home quite a lot of pl. - a palm not till now collected a coccoloba which I believe

to be new to my coll. - a plant which reminds me of *Holca  
cuntha* (fr) just putting forth its little leaves. I once before  
saw it not far fr. Gudutanamu. - a *Ruellia* which I don't  
know - a *Zippia* which looks strange (they all look weedy  
& foreign). This year I shall get plenty of flowering spec.  
of a little leafless orchid which I share of tea met with  
fr but not till now with fl. (I know I want something  
with which to study this fam). I am drying up as fast  
as I can that I may again be at liberty for another  
excursion, which I shall be in a day or two. Today I  
saw fl. of a *Rhamnium*? At least it agrees well  
with the character. You have fruiting specimens  
of last year. I shall soon get fl. of *Cacasia* I  
hope. Gns. has *Purdica monodynanus* in B is it not  
Richard's *Cosca leucensis*? And should it not retain  
this ~~name~~ sp. specific name? Did you mean *Ottoria punct.*  
& *Sphaerocarpa* when you wrote *Okeina*? & what is *Beha  
mia leucensis*, n. gen. Gns. in Pl. R. *Brahmiamia* *Cathura* in Gns  
which in Pl. *Rhoda*

Today passing a plant of that great incursion of *Statis* I  
wrote in my last I fertilized all or nearly all of the fl.  
about 50. Let us see if art. will do more for it than na-  
ture so far as concerns the setting of its capsules

Gns. enumerates *Belainia spinosa*. I wish I could  
find it. I have sent about 20 specimens of a *Belainia*  
with bipinnate leaves & named *Belainia* *trilobata* *W.*  
but that is not *B. spinosa* of Rich. by a great deal. I  
hope you'll see that no blunders of such a size are com-  
mitted

I want a copy of Pl. W. Cal. bound with alternate  
blank leaves of sized paper for notes observation & also  
a like copy for friend Blam who will thus aid us greatly  
Some time when you go to the printer you could leave  
the order unless you think it best (and perhaps it is) to

wait till I come or even till the continuation Pt. 2nd  
is printed.

It I have just made some nice experiments on another  
*Oncidium*. I have recorded them. The downward movement begins  
immediately on drawing out the pollen-mass.

"Grisclark wants that *Calan juglans* greatly." He surely  
has or can have fr & leaves & I enclose a few fl. with these  
he will have time enough to determine it I think  
I sent you nothing named *Caryopsis calana*. It was *Caryosma*  
You write *Leciditia*; *Gris* *Leciditia*. Who is right?

13. Some days ago I found a *Celastraceae* bush just showing  
its flowers. I could find no ovules in the ovary. Yesterday I  
found the  $\sigma$  without a rudiment of stamens. It is no genus in  
the genus. The characters appear clear. Cal. cor 4-nerv. the  
cor. imbricate ovary  $\sigma$  filled with a pendulous ovule in each  
well developed

Shall we never hear more from Mr Lindley? I suppose  
you will send him as a first specimen of the orchid. If  
he does work them up they had better be made to other  
hands. And when the 2nd pt. Pl. W. Cal. is published it  
would be well to include all these & those formerly re-  
ported by Mr L. in this little paper printed some years  
ago - that is the character of the n. sp. should be given in  
full. Will it not be well to do so?

Yesterday I examined a plant of that great *Oncidium*  
It had 62 fl. all open & not one had its pollinia removed

As Mr. Sullivan, without consulting me took the  
liberty of sending my *Hepatica* to Gotsche. I suppose  
he will consider himself in some degree responsible for  
their reappearance. I should think he might have  
the goodness to let me know when if ever they will be  
elaborated

*Euphant. caseyana*. I saw but one & supposed it to be very  
rare & probable that none but myself had met with it. Surely  
it is more common in some part of the island I have not seen

To be new to my coll. - A plant which reminds me of *Holacanthia* (fr) just putting forth its little leaves. I once before saw it not far fr. Guadalupe - a *Ruellia* which I don't know - a *Lippia* which looks strange (they all look weedy & foreign). This year I shall get plenty of flowering spec. of a little leafless orchid which I saw of sea net with fr but not till now with fl. (I know I want something with which to study this fam.). I am drying up as fast as I can that I may again be at liberty for another excursion, which I shall be in a day or two. Today I saved fl. of a *Rhamnidium*? At least it agrees well with the character. You have fruiting specimens of last year. I shall soon get fl. of *Cacasia* I hope. Gms. has *Purdia monodymanis* n. s. Is it not Richard's *Cosca leucensis*? And should it not retain this ~~name~~ sp. specific name? Did you mean *Ottoria punct.* & *Sphaerocarpa* when you wrote *Ocokia*? & what is *Beha mia leucensis* n. gen. Gms. in Pl. Rone.

Today passing a plant of that great *Oncidium* of which I wrote in my last I fertilized all or nearly all of the fl. about 50. Let us see if art. will do more for it than nature so far as concerns the setting of its capsules.

Gms. enumerates *Belaisia spinosa*. I wish I could find it. I have sent abundant specimens of a *Belaisia* with bipinnate leaves & named *Belaisia tricholoba* W. but that is not *B. spinosa* of Rich. by a great deal. I hope you'll see that no blunders of such a size are committed.

I want a copy of Pl. W. Cub. bound with alternate blank leaves of sized paper for notes observation & also a like copy for friend Blain who will thus aid us greatly some time when you go to the printer you could leave the order unless you think it best (and perhaps it is) to

wait till I come or even till the continuation Pt. 2nd is printed.

As I have just made some nice experiments on another *Oncidium* I have recorded them. The downward movement begins immediately on passing out the pollen mass.

"Grisetash wants that Cuban Juglans greatly." He surely has or can have fr & leaves & I enclose a few fl. - with these he will have data enough to determine it I think. I sent you nothing named *Caryosma cubana*. It was *Caryosma*. You write *Leciditidia*; *Gris Lebaitia*. Who is right?

13. Some days ago I found a *Celastraceae* bush just showing its flowers. I could find no ovules in the ovary. Yesterday I found the same without a rudiment of stamens. It is no genus in the genus. The characters appear clear. Cal. lobes 4 - near the cor. imbricate. Ovary 2-celled with a pseudolateral ovule in each well developed.

Shall we never hear more from Mr. Lindley, I suppose you will send him as a first specimen of the orchid. If he does work them up they had better be handed to other hands. And when the 2nd Pt. Pl. W. Cub. is published it would be well to include all these & those formerly reported by Mr. L. in this little paper printed some years ago - that is the character of the n. sp. should be given in full. Will it not be well to do so?

Yesterday I examined a plant of that great *Oncidium*. It had 62 fl. all open & not one had its pollinia removed.

As Mr. Sullivan, without consulting me took the liberty of sending my *Depatica* to Gotzke I suppose he will consider himself in some degree responsible for their reappearance. I should think he might have the goodness to let me know when if ever they will be elaborated.

*Euphral. cassythodes* I saw but one & supposed it to be very rare & probable that none but myself had met with it. Likely it is more common in some part of the island I have not seen.

Kind regards to Mrs G. Glad to know that she is better  
Yours ever

Charles Wright



Dr. Asa Gray  
Cambridge  
Mass



Wright, Charles. 1864. "Wright, Charles Apr. 10, 1864." *Charles Wright correspondence with Asa Gray*

**View This Item Online:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/257972>

**Permalink:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/277033>

**Holding Institution**

Harvard University Botany Libraries

**Sponsored by**

Andrew W. Mellon Foundation

**Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.