BUCEPHALUS BAER, 1827, AND B. POLYMORPHUS BAER, 1827 (TREMATODA); PROPOSED USE OF THE PLENARY POWERS TO CONSERVE THESE NAMES IN ACCORDANCE WITH GENERAL USE. Z.N.(S.) 2251

By Barbara Baturo (Research Centre for Parasitology, Warsaw, Poland)

Abstract. - Recent work on trematode parasites of freshwater fishes has shown that, if the Law of Priority is strictly applied, the species commonly known as Rhipidocotyle illensis (Ziegler, 1883) Vejnar, 1956, must be known as R. polymorphus (Baer, 1827) and the forgotten name Gasterostomum fimbriatum Siebold, 1848 must be applied to the species widely known as Bucephalus polymorphus Baer, 1827. At the same time, the well known generic name Rhipidocotyle Diesing, 1858 must fall as a synonym of Bucephalus Baer, 1827, and the forgotten name Gasterostomum Siebold, 1848 must be revived for the genus known at present as Bucephalus. The Commission is asked to take the necessary steps to prevent these changes being made.

In European freshwater fishes there are two common species of trematodes of the family BUCEPHALIDAE, known as Bucephalus polymorphus, Baer, 1827 and Rhipidocotyle illensis (Ziegler, 1883) Vejnar, 1956. It has been assumed that Bucephalus polymorphus develops from the cercaria described under this name by Baer in 1827, but the cercaria of the trematode now known by that name has not yet been described, although it was figured by Kinkelin (1968).

- 2. While studying the biology of these two species, both of which occur in Poland, I examined bivalves (the first intermediate host), cyprinid fishes (the second intermediate host) and predatory fishes (the definitive host); I found two different cercariae from which I experimentally obtained metacercariae of two species, but the metacercaria of *Rhipidocotyle illensis* developed from cercariae identical with those described by Baer as *Bucephalus polymorphus*. Detailed data on the morphology of all developmental stages can be found in Baturo (1977).
- 3. As a result of this study it has become necessary to set in order the names of these two species of Trematoda. For stability of nomenclature it is necessary to maintain the names commonly used

and accepted in all keys, textbooks and monographs: the generic name *Bucephalus* and the specific name *B. polymorphus* for the species known under this name; the other species, which belongs to the genus *Rhipidocotyle* Diesing, 1858, should under the Law of Priority be known as *R. campanula* (Dujardin, 1845). The history of the case is as follows.

- 4. Baer (1827:570-589) established the genus *Bucephalus* for the new species *B. polymorphus* (the type species by monotypy). He based the description on sporocysts and cercariae from the bivalves *Anodonta mutabilis* Clessin and *Unio pictorum* Linnaeus.
- 5. In 1845 Félix Dujardin described from the intestine of Esox lucius Linnaeus small adult trematodes which, according to the author, represent the same species as metacercariae that he had formerly found on the branchia of Cyprinus idus Linnaeus. He classified them in the genus Distoma and gave the new name campanula. The description of the anterior organ given by Dujardin suggests that he was dealing with the adult trematode known today under the name Rhipidocotyle illensis (Ziegler, 1883).
- 6. Siebold (1848) gave the first short description of the adult trematode from the intestine of *Perca fluviatilis* Linnaeus and *Lucioperca sp.* and erected the new genus *Gasterostomum* for it with the new species *fimbriatum*. Siebold expressed the assumption that the cercaria described by Baer was a larva of this adult stage.
- 7. Wagener (1852, 1857, 1858) gave in his works a more accurate description of Gasterostomum fimbriatum, together with drawings of the trematodes. He states that the trematode G. fimbriatum that he found is characterised by five tentacles on the anterior organ. In his next work he presented drawings of the trematode described and said that G. fimbriatum Siebold was probably a synonym of Bucephalus polymorphus Baer. A year later Wagener considered G. fimbriatum Siebold and Distoma campanula Dujardin to be synonyms of B. polymorphus. He regarded G. fimbriatum Siebold as a sexually mature, tailless B. polymorphus Baer.
- 8. Ever since Wagener's papers, the view has been adopted that the adult trematode *G. fimbriatum* Siebold, characterised by the presence of long tentacles on the anterior sucker, develops from the cercariae described under the name *Bucephalus polymorphus*. Diesing questioned this view in 1858, but by reason of erroneous interpretations by this author in other matters, his works have not been taken into account.
- 9. In 1883 Ziegler obtained metacercariae experimentally by infecting Leuciscus erythrophthalmus Linnaeus with B. polymorphus cercariae developed in Anodonta mutabilis Clessin from

the Ille river. In describing and illustrating the material obtained, he pointed out the morphological differences between the specimens reared and G. fimbriatum Siebold, but he did not determine unequivocally the specific distinction of these two forms. He stipulated, however, that in case the differences observed by him should prove to be specific differences, he proposed to call the reared form Gasterostomum illense.

- 10. Lühe (1909) considered G. fimbriatum as a synonym of B. polymorphus Baer, but the description and drawing included in the key correspond to illensis. Similarly Eckmann (1932) acknowledged the existence of only one species, recognising G. fimbriatum as a synonym of B. polymorphus and questioning whether the form obtained by Ziegler was a separate species.
- 11. A return to the former concept of the occurrence of more than one species of BUCEPHALIDAE in European freshwater fishes dates from the work of Koval (1949), who recorded two species in the fishes of the River Dnieper. She described one of them as a new species, *Bucephalus markewitschi*, and used the name *B. polymorphus* Baer for the second species, which corresponded with *G. illense*.
- 12. This proposition was not accepted, and Vejnar (1956), for example, predicating the existence of two species of trematodes in Percid fishes, regarded the form with tentacles as B. polymorphus Baer and identified the other species with the forms described by Ziegler. He transferred this species to the genus Rhipidocotyle Diesing, 1858, using the combination Rhipidocotyle illense [sic], (Ziegler, 1883). Vejnar's view was supported by Kozicka (1959), who included in her work the history of the study of one of these trematodes, together with detailed descriptions and drawings of adult worms of both species. Kozicka treated the name B. markewitschi Koval as a synonym of B. polymorphus Baer. The characters mentioned by Kozicka as differentiating the two species have become key characters and are quoted in all recent monographs and keys (e.g. Skrjabin, 1962, Trematody zhivotnych i cheloveka; Yamaguti, 1971, Synopsis of Digenetic Trematodes of Vertebrates; Bykovskij, 1962, Opredelitel' parazitov preznovodnych ryb SSSR; Ergens & Lom, 1970, Puvodci parasitarnich nemoci ryb.
- 13. Dollfus (1968) discussed the problems of synonymy once again. Presenting the documentation of the manuscript of the chapter on Trematodes from Dujardin's L'histoire naturelle des Helminthes, he drew attention to the likeness of the drawing of Distoma campanula made by Dujardin to R. illensis and proposed the new combination Rhipidocotyle campanula (Dujardin, 1845) for this species.

14. Finally, it is necessary to mention the paper by Kinkelin

and others (1968) who, in a study of the pathogenic effects of B. polymorphus cercariae, presented photographs of three developmental stages of this trematode. Cercariae from Dreissena polymorpha Pallas differ from B. polymorphus cercariae drawn by Baer. The metacercariae and adult are characterised by finger-like tentacles on the anterior sucker. Although the authors did not discuss this problem in their paper, thanks to their correct documentation the adult stage with finger-like tentacles on the anterior sucker was for the first time associated with its corresponding cercaria. My study confirms that these are successive developmental stages of one species.

- 15. Thus, according to the Law of Priority, the species commonly known as *Rhipidocotyle illensis* (Ziegler, 1883) Vejnar, 1956, whose adult develops from cercariae described by Baer (1827), should bear the name *polymorphus* Baer, 1827, while the forgotten name *fimbriatum* Siebold, 1848, should be restored for the species widely known as *Bucephalus polymorphus* Baer, 1827. At the same time, it is necessary to regard the generic name *Rhipidocotyle* Diesing, 1858, as a synonym of *Bucephalus* Baer, 1827, and to validate the forgotten name *Gasterostomum* Siebold, 1848, for the genus known at present as *Bucephalus*.
- 16. The introduction of such changes, though in accordance with the Law of Priority, disagrees with the principle of stability and universality of nomenclature. The key characters of trematodes are based on the morphology of the adults and metacercariae, not on the cercariae. Likewise, most data in the literature concern these two developmental stages. Both species are common parasites of fishes and are widely met as metacercariae and adults. For many practising parasitologists the cercariae from which the metacercariae and adults develop are often unknown as witness the paper by Kinkelin and others (1968), in which the authors, presenting adequate photographic documentation, did not observe the fact that they were dealing with unknown cercariae. Strict application of the Law of Priority to the nomenclature of these common fish parasites will lead to much confusion and erroneous identification of material.
- 17. Through the cooperation of Dr G. Hartwich, syntypes of G. fimbriatum Siebold, 1848, prepared by Eckmann (1932) have been found in the Zoological Museum, Humboldt University, Berlin. By designating one of these as the lectotype of G. fimbriatum (and I hereby so designate microscopic preparation No. 1655b) and also as the neotype of Bucephalus polymorphus Baer, 1827, stability of nomenclature can be assured. This latter action, however, can only be taken by the Commission using its plenary

powers, because the proposed neotype does not agree "with what is known of the original type-material" as is required under Article 75c(4).

18. Before putting precise proposals to the Commission, it is necessary to clarify the status of the genus *Rhipidocotyle* Diesing, 1858. This was proposed by Diesing (1858: 313, 361) with two included species, *Distoma gracilescens* Rudolphi, 1819: 111, 409, and *Gasterostomum minimum* Wagener, 1852: 558, neither of which was designated as type species. According to Eckmann, 1932: 99, Stiles & Hassall "1906" [sic; correctly 1908: 358] were the first authors to designate a type species, and chose gracilescens. However, Stiles & Hassall only said "(type probably gracilescens)", and that cannot be accepted as a valid designation. Nicoll, 1914: 490, definitely designated *Gasterostomum minimum* Wagener, 1852: 558, and that stands as the first valid designation of a nominal type species for *Rhipidocotyle*. The valid name for this species is *R. galeata* (Rudolphi) (Monostoma galeatum Rudolphi, 1819: 86).

19. Accordingly, the International Commission is requested:

(1) to use its plenary powers to set aside all designations of type specimen hitherto made for the nominal species Bucephalus polymorphus and to designate microscopic preparation No. 1655b in the Zoological Museum, Humboldt University, Berlin, as neotype of that species;

(2) to place on the Official List of Generic Names in

Zoology:

(a) Bucephalus Baer, 1827 (gender, masculine), type species, by monotypy, Bucephalus polymorphus Baer, 1827;

(b) Rhipidocotyle Diesing, 1858 (gender: feminine), type species, by subsequent designation by Nicoll, 1914, Gasterostomum minimum Wagener, 1852;

(3) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in

Zoology:

(a) the specific name polymorphus Baer, 1827, as published in the binomen Bucephalus polymorphus (specific name of type species, as defined by the neotype designated under the plenary powers in (1) above, of Bucephalus Baer, 1827);

(b) the specific name galeatum Rudolphi, as published

in the binomen Monostoma galeatum;

(4) to place on the Official List of Family-Group Names in Zoology the family name BUCEPHALIDAE Poche, 1907 (type genus *Bucephalus* Baer, 1827).

I should like to express my gratitude to Miss G. Supel for her help in translating my work, and to Docent Dr hab. M. Mroczkowski for his advice in formulating my application.

## REFERENCES

- BAER, K. 1827. Beiträge zur Kenntniss der niedern Tiere. Nova Acta Acad. Nat. Curios., vol. 13: 523-562.
- BATURO, B. 1977. Bucephalus polymorphus Baer, 1827, and Rhipidocotyle illense (Ziegler, 1883) (Trematoda, Bucephalidae): morphology and biology of developmental stages. Acta parasit. polonica, vol. 24: 203-220.
- BYKHOVSKIJ, B.E. (Editor). 1962. Opredelitel' parazitov presnovodnykh ryb SSSR. Izd. A.N.SSSR, Moscow and Leningrad, 776 pp.
- DIESING, C.M. 1836. Monographie der Gattungen Amphistoma und Diplodiscus. Ann. Wiener Mus. Naturg., vol. 1: 235-260.
- \_\_\_\_\_ 1850. Systema Helminthum, vol. 1. Vindobonae.
- 1858. Revision der Myzhelminthen. Abtheilung: Trematoda. Sber. k. Akad. Wiss. Wien, Math.-nat. Cl., vol. 32: 307-390.
- DOLLFUS, R.P. 1968. Les Trématodes de l'Histoire naturelle des Helminthes de Félix Dujardin (1845). Mém. Mus. nat. Hist. nat. Paris (A) vol. 54: 119-196.
- DUJARDIN, F. 1845. Histoire naturelle des helminthes ou vers intestinaux. Paris XII + 645 + 15 pp.
- ECKMANN, F. 1932. Beiträge zur Kenntniss der Trematodenfamilie Bucephalidae. Z. Parasitenk., Abt. F, vol. 5: 94-111.
- ERGENS, R. & LOM, R. 1970. Puvodci parasitarnich nemocri ryb. Academia, Prague, 384 pp.
- KINKELIN, P. DE, TUFFREY, G., LEYNAUD, G. & ARRIGNON, J. 1968. Etude épizootiologique de la bucéphalose larvaire (Bucephalus polymorphus) dans de peuplement piscicole du bassin de la Seine. Rech. vétér., No. 1: 77-98.
- KOVAL, V.P. 1959. Novyj vid Bucephalus v rybak Dnepra. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, vol. 68: 205-208.
- KOZICKA, J. 1959. Parasites of fishes of Druzno lake (Parasite fauna of the biocenosis of Druzno lake Part VIII). Acta parasit. polonica, vol. 7: 1-72.
- LUHE, M. 1909. Parasitische Plattwürmer. I. Trematoden. Die Süsswasserfauna Deutschlands (ed. A. Brauer), Heft 17, 217 pp.
- NICOLL, W. 1914. The trematode parasites of fishes from the English Channel. J. mar. biol. Assoc. U.K. (2) vol. 10: 466-505.
- PHILIPPI, F. de 1837. Descrizione di nuovi entozoi trovati in alcuni molluschi d'acqua dolce. Bibl. ital., vol. 87: 333-340.
- POCHE, F. 1907. Einige Bemerkungen zur Nomenclatur Trematoden. Zool. Anz., vol. 31: 124-126.
- RUDOLPHI, C.A. 1819. Entozoorum synopsis, cui accedunt mantissa et indices locupletissimi. Berlin.
- SIEBOLD, Th. C. 1848. Lehrbuch der vergleichende Anatomie der wirbellosen Tiere, Th. 1. Berlin, XV + 679 pp.

SKRJABIN, K.I. 1962. Semejstvo Bucephalidae Poche, 1907. In *Trematody zhivotnykh i cheloveka* (ed. K.I. Skrjabin), Izd. A.N. SSSR, Moscow and Leningrad, vol. 20: 183-551.

STILES, C.W. & HASSALL, A. 1908. Index-catalogue of medical and veterinary zoology. Subjects: Trematoda and trematode diseases.

Washington, D.C.

WAGENER, G.R. 1852. Enthelminthica III. Uber Distoma dimorpha Diesing, Distoma marginatum Rud. Arch. Anat. Phys. Med. Jahrg 1852: 555-569.

1857. Beiträge zur Entwicklungs-Geschichte der Eingeweidewürmer. Natuurk. Verh. holland. Maatsch. Vet., Haarlem, vol. 13: 1-112.

\_\_\_\_\_ 1858. Enthelminthica IV. Uber Distoma campanula (Gasterostomum fimbriatum Siebold) Duj. und Monostomum bipartitum Wedl. Arch. Naturges., vol. 24: 250-256.

VEJNAR, F. 1956. Prispevek k helminthofaune nasich okounovitych ryb. Sb.

vys. Sk. zemed. les. Fac. Brne (B), vol. 4 (25): 161-176.

YAMAGUTI, S. 1971. Synopsis of digenetic trematodes of vertebrates, I, II. Keigaku Publishing Co., Tokyo.

ZIEGLER, H.E. 1883. Bucephalus und Gasterostomum. Z. wiss. Zool., vol. 39: 537-571.



Baturo, Barbara. 1979. "Bucephalus And Bucephalus polymorphus Trematoda Proposed Use Of The Plenary Powers To Conserve These Names In Accordance With General Use." *The Bulletin of zoological nomenclature* 36, 30–36. <a href="https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.28788">https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.28788</a>.

View This Item Online: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/44478">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/44478</a>

**DOI:** <a href="https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.28788">https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.28788</a>

**Permalink:** https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/28788

## **Holding Institution**

Natural History Museum Library, London

## Sponsored by

Natural History Museum Library, London

## **Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

Rights Holder: International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Rights: https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.