the rejected and invalid type-generic name Conorhinus, Laporte, [1833]; Direction 63, Name No. 915).

- (lxxxi) CONORHINIDAE Walker, 1873, Catalogue of the Specimens of Hemiptera Heteroptera in the Collection of the British Museum, London 7:46, 50 (invalid family-group name, being based on the rejected and invalid typegeneric name Conorhinus Laporte, [1833]; Direction 63, Name No. 915).
- (lxxxii) Conorhinaria Distant, 1904, Fauna of British India, Rhynchota, 2, London: 282 (invalid divisional name being based on the rejected and invalid type-generic name Conorhinus Laporte, [1833]; Direction 63, Name No. 915).
- (lxxxiii) Conorrhinaria Jeannel, 1919, Insectes Hémiptères, 3, Voyage de Ch. Alluaud et R. Jeannel en Afrique Orientale, Paris: 176 (an erroneous subsequent spelling for conorrhinaria and invalid, being based on the rejected and invalid type-generic name Conorrhinas Laporte, [1833]; Direction 63, Name No. 915).

COMMENT ON THE PROPOSED SUPPRESSION OF AMPHISBAENA DUBIA RATHKE, 1863. Z.N.(S.) 1466

(see volume 18, page 220)

By Hobart M. Smith (Professor of Zoology, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, U.S.A.)

The species involved are not common and have not been widely noted in the literature. Little confusion would result from strict application of the automatic provisions of the Code. However, this one name jeopardizes two names, each of which would have to be changed: one by a new name, and one by the hitherto neglected name dubia Rathke. Furthermore, considerable effort might be necessary to determine definitely which of two forms should bear the name dubia Rathke. When by one simple action the Commission can obviate the conspicuously greater loss of time and energy that would be required to handle a nomenclatural matter than is expended in the Commission's consideration of the same matter, in the interest of efficiency the Commission should act upon it. In this case approval of the request is justified in spite of the very limited significance of the names involved.



Smith, Hobart M. 1962. "Comment on the proposed suppression of Amphisbaena dubia' Rathke, 1863." *The Bulletin of zoological nomenclature* 19, 22.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/44461

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/34781

Holding Institution

Natural History Museum Library, London

Sponsored by

Natural History Museum Library, London

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

Rights Holder: International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Rights: https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.