COMMENTS ON THE USE OF THE PLENARY POWERS TO SUPPRESS THE SPECIFIC NAME "VENULOSA", LAURENTI, 1768 AS PUBLISHED IN THE COMBINATION "HYLA VENULOSA". (AS MODIFIED BY ROBERT MERTENS AND HOBART M. SMITH) (CLASS AMPHIBIA)

(Commission Reference : Z.N.(S.) 771)

(For the proposals in this case see Bull. zool. Nomencl. 12: 287, 307-308)

(Enclosure to letter dated 11th January, 1957)

(a) By WILLIAM E. DUELLMAN

(Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, U.S.A.)

Mertens and Smith have supported my proposals dealing with the use of the Plenary Powers to suppress certain specific names and one generic name of Neotropical Hylidae, except for the suppression of the specific name venulosa Laurenti. Each stated that the name venulosa is so well known and has been so widely used that it should not be suppressed. The above authors have proposed that the Commission use its Plenary Powers to link the specific name venulosa Laurenti with the specific name zonata Spix, making the name zonata Spix a junior objective synonym of venulosa Laurenti. Mertens and Smith believe zonata Spix to be the least known of the other species concerned. Their proposal may be nomenclaturally acceptable, but I believe it to be both nomenclaturally and biologically impractical for the reasons given below.

2. The name venulosa Laurenti is well known and has been widely used only as a name, not as a specific name in reference to any known population of hylid frogs. The name venulosa has been applied to a group of hylid frogs now known to be a generic assemblage of seven species. The literature references are, for the most part, concerned with this combination of seven species. Consequently, the name has been widely used, but not in reference to some given species of frog, and the fact that it has been widely used can hardly be used in support of the proposals of Mertens and Smith that would link the name with a given population of frogs.

3. There is no biological or nomenclatural basis for linking the name venulosa Laurenti with the name zonata Spix. The name zonata Spix is based upon a specimen of hylid frog from the Upper Amazon Basin in Brazil and is applicable to a population of frogs inhabiting the Amazon Basin. The name venulosa Laurenti is based upon a plate in Seba that is unidentifiable with any known hylid frog. Each of the seven species in this assemblage, now known as the genus *Phrynohyas*, is well defined with a definite range and a type locality that is known to be exact or approximate. Therefore, there is no just reason for using the name venulosa for the population now called zonata, nor is there any just reason for applying the name venulosa to any of the other populations.

4. There is no evidence for the fact that the *Hyla venulosa* of Laurenti originated from South America, possibly not even the western hemisphere. Wholesale restriction of type localities has served no practical purpose, and in many cases these

restrictions are unwarranted to the extent that the type locality is not within the natural range of the species or subspecies. Such unmerited restriction of type localities, as would be involved in the case of the name *venulosa* Laurenti, can only lead to confusion, not only as regards the nomenclature, but as regards the biology of the species. They should be discouraged by the Commission.

5. The name zonata Spix is the type species of the genus *Phrynohyas* Fitzinger. The name venulosa Laurenti is the type species of the genus *Acrodytes* Fitzinger. (Both of these genera were proposed on the same page as subgenera of the genus *Phrynohyas*, also proposed by Fitzinger on the same page. The genus and subgenus *Phrynohyas* have line priority over *Acrodytes*.) The use of the Plenary Powers to link the name venulosa Laurenti to the name zonata Spix, thereby reducing zonata to a junior objective synonym of venulosa, would place the generic name *Phrynohyas* as a synonym of *Acrodytes*. Since neither generic name was accompanied by a description, but only a named type species, the characteristics of the genus rest upon the description of the type species. *Phrynohyas* is based upon a welldescribed and figured specimen, whereas *Acrodytes* is based upon the noninformative description of Laurenti, which, in turn is based upon a weird plate in Seba. The linking of the name venulosa Laurenti with the name zonata Spix would thereby bring about great confusion of the genera and synonymize the one genus that is truly applicable.

6. I believe that the principles of *nomina conservanda*, although applicable, should not be exercised in the present case, for the results will be more confusing than the present state of affairs. On the basis of the reasons given above I cannot agree with the proposals submitted by Mertens and Smith. I feel that the only way to correct the existing state of confusion is for the Commission to use its Plenary Powers to suppress the specific name *venulosa* Laurenti.

7. Few workers have become involved with this nomenclatural problem; expressions of the confusion may be found in Cochran (1955:55) and Taylor (1944:63-64 and 67, and 1952:799-800). The generic and specific status has been discussed at length in the systematic revision of the group by Duellman (1956: 57-58 and 36-37).

References

Cochran, Doris M., 1955. "Frogs of Southeastern Brazil" Bull. U.S. nat. Mus. 206:1-423

Duellman, William E., 1956. "The Frogs of the Hylid Genus Phrynohyas Fitzinger, 1843" Misc. Publ. Mus. Zool. Univ. Mich. 96: 1-47

Taylor, Edward H., 1944. "The Hylid Genus Acrodytes with Comments on Mexican Forms" Kans. Univ. Sci. Bull. 30(1) (No. 6): 63-68

Taylor, Edward H., 1952. "A Review of the Frogs and Toads of Costa Rica" Kans. Univ. Sci. Bull. 35(5): 577-942

Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature

(b) By KARL P. SCHMIDT

(Chicago Natural History Museum, U.S.A.)

(Letter dated 15th January 1957)

It is very gratifying to learn that Dr. Mertens has an alternative proposal to that of Duellman with respect to $Hyla \ venulosa$, and that it is supported by my valued colleagues, Hobart Smith and Arthur Loveridge. I strongly support Dr. Mertens' plan for the preservation and fixation of this name.

SUPPORT FOR THE PROPOSAL BY DONALD BAIRD ON THE GENERIC NAMES "ANCHISAURIPUS" LULL, 1904 (CLASS REPTILIA : THEROPODA [ICHNITES])

(Commission Reference : Z.N.(S.) 1081)

(For the proposal in this case see Bull. zool. Nomencl. 12: 221-224)

(a) By D. M. S. WATSON

(University College, London)

(Letter dated 3rd December 1956)

I have read the article in the Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature by Donald Baird, dealing with the generic name Anchisauripus Lull, and suppression of the generic name Otouphepus Cushman, and the specific name ascribed to it. I entirely agree that the procedure suggested in paragraph 8 of this paper should be adopted.

(b) By C. C. YOUNG

(Academia Sinioa, Peking, China)

(Letter dated 4th January 1957)

I have pleasure in agreeing about the protection of the generic name Anchisauripus Lull, 1904, by suppressing the generic name Otouphepus Cushman, 1904, and the suppression of the specific name magnificus Cushman, 1904, published in combination with the latter generic name (Class Reptilia : Theropoda [Ichnites]).



Duellman, William E. 1958. "Comments on the use of the Plenary Powers to suppress the specific name venulosa, Laurenti, 1768 as published in the combination Hyla venulosa (As modified by Robert Mertens and Hobart M. Smith) (Class Amphibia)." *The Bulletin of zoological nomenclature* 13, 99–101. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.3545.

View This Item Online: https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.3545 Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/3545

Holding Institution Natural History Museum Library, London

Sponsored by Natural History Museum Library, London

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. Rights Holder: International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.