The question then arises, Shall we apply to Linnæus himself the canons of nomenclature which would be rigorously enforced were the claims of his successors in question? It is with a view to obtaining the opinions of those who are expert in these matters that we present below a full synopsis of the literature bearing upon the questions at issue.

The facts in this case have doubtless been fully unearthed by many investigators; but, probably believing it best to "let sleeping dogs rest," no one \* has to my knowledge seriously raised the questions to which I desire now to direct attention.

The genus *Tethys* was founded by Linné in the tenth edition of the 'Systema Naturæ,' p. 653, for two species, thus :---

"254. ТЕТНҮЗ. Corpus oblongum, bilabiarum : corpusculo medio cartilagineo oblongo. Tentacula duo, cuneiforma. Foramina duo, spirantia.

"limacina 1. T. auriculis quatuor.

" Habitat in Oceano Australi.

" Corpus oblongum, antice quasi 4 auriculis acutis instructum.

"leporina. 2. T. corpore rubro, margine membranaceo, auriculis duobus.

"[a] Rond. pisc. 1. p. 520. Lepus marinus.

"[b] Bell. aquat. 437. Lepus marinus.

"[c] Gesn. aquat. 475. Lepus marinus. Aldr. exsangu. 78. Lepus marinus 1.

" Habitat in M. Mediterraneo.

" Conf. Column. aqu. t. 26, f. 2, 3."

It will be noticed that the above description of *limacina* contains nothing diagnostic of a species, though the genus is clearly indicated. As Linné gives us no reference to earlier writers, we have absolutely no means of learning what *Tethys limacina* is, and the name must be dropped.

In the case of *leporina*, Linné gives ample references to the sources whence his information was derived. These we analyze as follows:— [a] Gulielmi Rondeletii, etc., Libri de Piscibus Marinis, etc. (1554), Liber xvii. p. 520, figures an *Aplysia* which seems to be the *A. fasciata* of authors (for it lacks the conspicuous shell-foramen of *depilans* and the broadly united parapodia of *punctata*). [b] La Nature & diversité des poissons, avec leurs pourtraicts, representez au plus pres du naturel, par Pierre Belon du Mans (Paris, 1555), p. 437, seems to be an undeterminable species of "Lievre Marin" from the Cyclades, known to Belon through the ancient authors only. [c] Conradi Gesneri medici Tigurini Historiæ Animalium, Liber IIII. qui est de Piscium & Aquatilium Animantium natura (1558), p. 561 (Linné wrongly gives 475 as the page). A reversed copy of Rondelet's figure is given, Gesner's information being wholly second hand. [d] Ulyssis Aldrovandi etc., De Reliquis Animalibus

\* Except R. Bergh, who in Mal. Unters. i. p. 33, in Semper's 'Reisen,' 2ter Theil, has made the bald statement that Linnæus's earlier *Tethys* was an *Aplysia*, but who continues to use *Tethys* for the Nudibranch.

Ann. & Mag. N. Hist. Ser. 6. Vol. xvi. 30

## Miscellaneous.

exanguibus, libri quatuor, post mortem eius editi Nempe De Mollibus, Crustaceis Testaceis, et Zoophytis (1606), De Mollibus, liber I. p. 78. In this work, which is purely a compilation, all of Rondelet's figures again do service, and Linnæus's reference will naturally be confined to the first of these. Aldrovandus also figures (p. 82) a couple of species of Doris as "Leporis marini alia species," and (p. 83) two other figures possibly representing Aclesia. Linné's " conf. Columna" refers us to figures of the Nudibranch commonly known as Tethys fimbria; but this figure is merely cited for comparison, not as a representation of the species T. leporina.

It would therefore seem that Linné originally intended Tethys for the Aplysia species, his generic diagnosis and references unmistakably indicating the "Lepus marinus" of the early zoological renaissance authors.

In the twelfth edition of the 'Systema,' p. 1089, Linné wholly alters the diagnosis of Tethys, as follows :---

"289. TETHYS. Corpus liberum, oblongiusculum, carnosum, apodum. Os proboscide terminale, cylindrica, sub labis explicato. Foramina 2 ad latis colli sinistrum.

"leporin. 1. T. labro ciliato. †

"Column. aquat. 27. †. 26. Lepus marinus major.

"Rondel. pisc. 526. Leporis marini tertia species.

"Habitat in Mari Mediterraneo.

"fimbria. 2. T. labro crenulato.

"Bohads. mar. 54 t. 5. f. 1, 2. Fimbria.

"Habitat in mari adritico.

"Videtur a præcedenti distincti species."

All of these references belong to the one Mediterranean species (see Bergh, in Semper's 'Reisen,' 2ter Theil, ii. p. 348), known as Tethys fimbria or leporina \*.

On page 1082 of the twelfth edition the new genus Aplysia or Laplysia ± is proposed, thus :--

\* The specific name of this Nudibranch must stand *fimbria*, Linn., the binomial combination Tethys leporina being preoccupied by Linnæus, 1758. The synonymy of the genus is as follows :--

1761. Fimbria, Bohadsch, 1761 (a mononym).

1767. Tethys, L. 1767, not Tethys, L. 1758.

1801. Tethis, Lam. Syst. An. s. Vert. p. 63.
1808. Thethys, Cuvier, Ann. du Mus. d'Hist. Nat. xii. p. 257.
1808. Thetis, Meckel, Beytr. zu vergleich. Anat. I. i. p. 9, not Thetis, J. Sowb. Min. Conch. 1826.

1817 ?. Thetys, Fér. Tabl. Syst. p. 28.

1819. Phoenicurus, Rudolphi, Entozoorum Synopsis, p. 573.

1823. Vertumnus, Otto, Nov. A. Ac. C. Leop. Nat. Cur. xi. pp. 294-300.

Of these names, the first was not distinctly proposed as a genus, Bohadsch's nomenclature being strictly mononymic. The seventh and eighth were founded on minute appendages of the animal, supposed to be para-sitic worms; and certainly the genus could not be identified by these descriptions. The other names are variants on Linnæus's original *Tethys*.

t The spelling "Laplysia" is evidently a typographical error or over-



Minchin, E. A. 1895. "On the origin of the triradiate spicules of Leucosolenia." *The Annals and magazine of natural history; zoology, botany, and geology* 16, 427–428. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00222939508680298</u>.

View This Item Online: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/81045">https://doi.org/10.1080/00222939508680298</a> Permalink: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/62782">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/62782</a>

**Holding Institution** Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

**Sponsored by** Smithsonian

**Copyright & Reuse** Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.