

The specimen was of a hundred pounds weight, eviscerated, but the length could not be taken at the time, although it was estimated as about four and a half or five feet. There were no points of difference in general appearance between it and the specimens from Japan and Monterey Bay, later examined, except in the absence of any dark bars on the body, which was a uniform dark color, save on the lighter ventral surface. The dorsal formula was **XI**, 16, that of the anal **III**, 14, in both fins the first spines being indistinct and buried. There were 126 series of scales along the lateral line, 31 longitudinal series above it, and 48 below. The head was contained about 3 or $3\frac{1}{2}$ times in the body length, but, as measurements had to be made very roughly with the hands, they are not of much value, and may be omitted, with the statement that they conformed in

hundred small *regalis* about 3 inches long, one of which was identified by Mr. Barton A. Bean of the United States National Museum as *nothus*.

In no case have I found the body of my specimens of *nothus* more compressed than the bodies of *regalis* of the same size caught at the same time. There is great variation with age in *regalis*, and in order properly to compare *nothus* with it one must have specimens of the same size and condition of nutrition. Whenever I have made such comparison the color has been the only obvious difference between the two.

The only way that I can explain the remarkable correspondence in size, between specimens of *nothus* and *regalis* with which they are caught is by supposing them to have been spawned with the *regalis*; and as two species of fish cannot come from the same lot of spawn, I consider *nothus* simply an abnormally colored *regalis*.

RUSSELL J. COLES,
Danville, Va.

LOUISIANA WATER THRUSH EATING FISH.

On April 2, 1916, a very early Louisiana Water Thrush (*Seiurus motacilla*) appeared in Central Park, New York City. It was remarkably tame, walking about the edges of some small ponds, and at one time going under a low bridge upon which several persons were standing. The most remarkable action on its part was to dart toward the surface of the water and seize a small fish perhaps an inch and a half in length. The bird did not swallow the fish whole, but pecked it bit by bit, probably consuming all of the flesh.

GEORGE E. HIX,
New York, N. Y.



Coles, Russell J. 1916. "Is *Cynoscion nothus* an Abnormal *regalis*." *Copeia* 30, 30–31. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1435786>.

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/53398>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1435786>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/85669>

Holding Institution

Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

Sponsored by

Smithsonian

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.