A TRIAL OF ULTRALOW VOLUME PYRETHRIN SPRAYING AS A MALARIA CONTROL MEASURE IN EL SALVADOR
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ABSTRACT. A field trial of ultralow volume spraying of synergized pyrethrins was carried out in a malarious coastal locality of El Salvador during the main transmission season of 1974. The spray was applied with ground equipment, and the insecticide used was a 5 percent solution of pyrethrins synergized with 15 percent piperonyl butoxide. Entomological evaluation of the spraying using mosquitoes in cages placed in and near houses, showed that the spraying caused high mortalities in these mosquitoes. Light trap studies in the sprayed and control localities showed a depression of the natural population of the vector Anopheles albimanus in the sprayed area. Malaria surveillance indicated that the ULV spraying had a marked impact on transmission of the disease during the main transmission season.

INTRODUCTION

During the malaria transmission season of 1974, the Central America Research Station (CARS) conducted a field trial of the ground application of ULV pyrethrins in a coastal area of El Salvador, with entomologic and epidemiologic assessment.

The periodic use of pyrethrin sprays as a malaria control measure in and around houses was a well-known procedure prior to the introduction of DDT. Their value on a large scale was first reported from South Africa by Park-Ross (1935), who showed that malaria could be controlled by pyrethrin spraying of houses in Natal and Zululand. Covell et al. (1938) used spray killing in India. Swellengrebel and de Buck (1938) reported good results in the Netherlands, by spraying houses five times during each August-September peak transmission period. Russell et al. (1943) carried out spray-killing experiments for several years in South India where the vector was Anopheles culicifacies. They reported success in reducing malaria transmission by weekly application of the spray to houses and animal sheds, even in villages where the houses were of open mud and thatch construction. In these early trials, the sprays were kerosene extracts of pyrethrum flowers usually containing about 0.1 percent natural pyrethrins, and were delivered by hand sprayers. With the appearance of DDT and other long-acting insecticides in the 1940’s, the use of pyrethrins in malaria control declined, since malaria workers found these newer insecticides more convenient and economical to use. Pyrethrins were still found useful in the disinfestation of aircraft and in epidemic situations where a rapid knock-down of mosquitoes was required.

In recent years there has been a renewal of interest and experimentation in the use of pyrethrins for the control of pest and vector mosquitoes, since some species have developed physiologic resistance to the organochlorine, organophosphorus, and carbamate insecticides. In Middle America, and specifically in certain areas of El Salvador, Anopheles albimanus, the vector of malaria, is moderately to highly resistant to DDT, dieldrin, malathion, and propoxur. Georgiou (1972) has studied and reported these resistance levels in A. albimanus collected in Pacific coastal areas and has correlated this resistance with the widespread aerial application of insecticides for the control of cotton pests. This resistance has been considered to be an important contributing factor to the failure of domiciliary applications of re-
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sidual sprays to control malaria in coastal "problem areas" of El Salvador. Rachou et al. (1965) found that DDT house spraying had little impact on malaria transmission in areas of high vector resistance and exito-repellency to DDT. Hobbs and Mason (1974) found that spraying of houses every 5 weeks with propoxur in one of these "problem areas" did not prevent the initiation of a sharp outbreak of P. falciparum malaria. Relatively high levels of propoxur resistance were found in the vector anopheline populations of the area at this time.

Mount et al. (1968) reported on a technique for dispersing ultralow volumes (ULV) of undiluted insecticides as nonthermal aerosols using truck-mounted equipment. In the past few years ground application of ULV sprays has been successfully employed by many mosquito control organizations in the United States and in other countries. Mount and Pierce (1972) have shown ground application of ULV synergized pyrethrins to be effective against caged female Aedes taeniorhynchus (Wiedemann). Space spraying as a modern malaria control measure has often been mentioned as an alternative to house spraying but has been rarely employed except for aerially applied sprays such as those of malathion reported in Haiti by Eliason et al. (1975). The study reported here was carried out to test the effectiveness of pyrethrins applied as ULV ground sprays against Anopheles albimanus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area. One of the CARS study areas (District 13) is a 100 square km tract of coastal plain east of the port city of La Libertad. In the area there is intensive production of cotton, sugarcane, and beef cattle. The resident population of about 6,000 is concentrated in villages at the margins of 5 large haciendas. One of these villages, Cangrejera, was selected for the ULV spray testing since most of the houses were accessible to roads. A nearby village, Melara, selected as the control village, has similar housing, agrucultural practices, and anopheline densities.

Spraying Operations. A truck-mounted LECO® sprayer, Model ULV-HD, was used to deliver the spray. The insecticide used was a 5 percent solution of pyrethrins synergized with 15 percent piperonyl butoxide (Pyrocide®, MKG Co., Minneapolis, Minn.). This solution was sprayed at a discharge rate of 2–2.5 fluid oz. per min. while the truck was moving at 5 mi per hr. The objective was to treat the village with .002 to .0025 lbs per acre of pyrethrins and .010 to .0125 lbs per acre of piperonyl butoxide. The treated area of the test village included approximately 185 acres. The spray swath width was calculated to be about 100 m, and all of the houses of the village were located within this distance from roads. Approximately 150 fluid oz. of the insecticide were expended per application.

The ULV spray was applied once weekly starting the first week of May and ending the last week in August 1974. This period represents the peak malaria transmission season in the study area. The spraying was started at sundown, about 6 pm, and about 1 hr was required to spray both sides of every road in the village. During this part of the early evening, Anopheles albimanus females were seen moving about, actively seeking blood meals. Breeland (1972) found that the diel resting cycle of females of this species shows a pattern of resting during the daylight hours, followed by several evening hours of activity with no resting.

Entomologic Evaluation. To measure the immediate knock-down and killing effect of the ULV spray, caged A. albimanus were placed in houses and open animal shelters located 30 to 40 meters from roads. The mosquitoes used in exposure cages (15 females per cage), were colony reared, 4-days old, and blood fed.
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on the day of exposure. Beginning with spraying operations on June 13 and continuing through the last week in August, 4 to 6 cages were exposed during the weekly spraying; these tests were repeated 8 times during the trial period. Knock-downs in these cages were recorded 1 hr after completion of the spray application. Control cages were exposed in similar situations, for a comparable period of time, in the unsprayed village of Melara.

To measure the natural *A. albimanus* population densities, and to compare these densities in the sprayed and unsprayed villages, New Jersey light traps were installed in Cangrejera and Melara. These traps operated 1 night a week, from sunset to sunrise during the entire 4 months of the trial period. The night chosen for trap operations was the night before the weekly ULV spray application, so as to separate in time as much as possible the trapping night from the spraying night.

**Epidemiologic Evaluation.** Malaria surveillance information for the transmission seasons of 1973 and 1974 in the villages of Cangrejera and Melara was available through the voluntary collaborator post located in the center of each of the villages. The voluntary collaborator posts are part of the surveillance system of District 13; persons with fever may report to these posts, located throughout the District, where a blood slide is taken and free antimalaria drugs dispensed. Slides are examined for parasites in the CARS laboratory, and results used for calculations of malaria rates in different localities of the study area by month. Experience has shown that these posts provide a sensitive measure of malaria incidence in the small villages of the area (Mason and Hobbs, in press).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Entomologic.** The ULV spray application was effective in knocking down caged *A. albimanus* females placed in and near houses. Knock-downs after 1 hr ranged from 81.5 to 100 percent during 8 weekly trials (Table 1). Most of the houses in the study area are of relatively open construction, with open spaces around the eaves and spaces between the boards and poles used in wall construction. People living in this area are generally inside or immediately outside their houses during the hour following sunset. Since this is a peak hour of blood-seeking activity by *A. albimanus*, an effective space spray application at this hour could be expected to kill infective mosquitoes.

Light-trap results show lower densities of the natural populations of *A. albimanus* in the sprayed village of Cangrejera than in the control village of Melara during the spray period (Figure 1). The studies of previous years have shown that none of the localities of the study area are exactly equivalent in anopheline densities, as measured by light-trap studies. Melara, in 1973, had slightly higher densities than Cangrejera. During the ULV spray pe-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>CANGREJERA (sprayed)</th>
<th>MELARA (unsprayed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caged Mosquitoes Exposed</td>
<td>Knock Down After 1 hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 June</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 June</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 June</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 July</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 July</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Aug.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Aug.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Aug.</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. New Jersey Light Trap Catches in Cangrejera (Sprayed) and Melara (Unsprayed) by Week, District 13, 1974
period of 1974, however, the vector population in the test village was markedly depressed. In these villages, which are separated by 3 km, the main rainy season larval habitats are near the houses and blood sources. It seems unlikely that large scale migrations of new mosquitoes from village to village take place frequently. Although aerosols of pyrethrins have no residual effectiveness, it appears that the weekly killing of gravid and potentially gravid females with these insecticides can bring about a measure of control of local _Anopheles albimanus_ populations.

Epidemiologic. Malariometric data from the volunteer collaborator posts in Cangrejera and Melara were analyzed for the period 15 June to 15 September 1973 and 1974. A comparison was then made between the 2 villages in terms of cases of _Plasmodium falciparum_ malaria and _falciparum_ attack rates per 100 population (Table 2). Attack rates are shown for _falciparum_ malaria only; the total number of malaria cases includes an immeasurable number of _Plasmodium vivax_ relapses and, therefore, does not clearly reflect current transmission.

**Table 2. Plasmodium falciparum attack rates (per 100 pop.) in Melara and Cangrejera main transmission seasons of 1973 and 1974**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transmission Season</th>
<th>Melara (Control) total malaria cases</th>
<th>Melara <em>P. falciparum</em> cases per 100 pop.</th>
<th>Cangrejera (Sprayed) total malaria cases</th>
<th>Cangrejera <em>P. falciparum</em> cases per 100 pop.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 June-15 Sept. 1973</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 June-15 Sept. 1974</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of cases in Cangrejera, the sprayed village, decreased from 118 in 1973 to 69 in 1974 for the months under study and total _falciparum_ cases decreased from 63 to 21. _Falciparum_ attack rates decreased from 15.4 per 100 population to 5.1 per 100 population. In the control village of Melara, on the other hand, total cases increased from 25 in 1973 to 101 in 1974, while _falciparum_ cases increased from 9 to 35. _Falciparum_ attack rates in the control village increased from 1.8 per hundred in 1973 to 7.2 per hundred in 1974. This shows that the once weekly application of ULV pyrethrins had a measurable impact on malaria transmission in Cangrejera during the transmission season of 1974.

Even though the present cost of synergized pyrethrins is high, the ground application of ULV sprays is operationally simple, and manpower costs are low. The degree of protection afforded by this strategy is, of course, difficult to assess from this single trial. This control method might be considered in other malarious localities where conventional house spraying has failed to achieve control due to insecticide resistance of the vector or peri-domesticilly transmission of the disease.
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ABSTRACT. During the summers of 1974 and 1975 an extensive mosquito control program was conducted near Laramie, Wyoming. Part of this work included aerial control of adult *Aedes*, primarily *A. dorsalis* and *A. melanornus* over irrigated pastures southwest and northwest of Laramie. It was concluded that adulticiding efforts of both years stopped an influx of adult *Aedes* into Laramie. In 1974 this influx was delayed for 26 days from the southwest and 14 days from the northwest. In 1975 the influx was delayed for 14 days from both the southwest and northwest. The results indicate that near Laramie, adult *Aedes* move approximately 5 mi. in a period of 14 to 26 days.

During the summers of 1974 and 1975 an extensive mosquito control program was conducted near Laramie, Wyoming. Part of this work was done with ULV malathion for the control of adult *Aedes*. Hay pastures southwest and northwest of Laramie are flood-water irrigated and produce tremendous numbers of mosquitoes. Water may stand on the fields from mid May until the end of June. Larval counts average 1–3 per dip in the irrigation water. These areas are adjacent to Laramie and extend for 6 mi. In addition, flooding of the Laramie River can produce great numbers of *Aedes*.

The aerial treatment for adult control which occurred on June 28 and July 18, 1974 included 2,900 acres of irrigation water. Earlier in the season (end of May and early June) this area had been treated by air with Witco GB1313® a petroleum distillate larviciding oil at the rate of 2 gallons per acre. In 1975 the aerial treatment for adults in the same areas occurred on July 7 and July 18. These areas were larviced in 1975 in late May and mid June with Baytex® Liquid Concentrate, 9.67 lbs/active fenthion per gallon, at 1 oz./acre. The Laramie River flood plain was not extensively treated due to very little flooding in 1974 and 1975. Although larval control was very successful, adult control was necessary because adults from adjacent flooded areas moved into treated areas. These adjacent areas southwest of town continue beyond the treated areas for at least another 20 miles along the Laramie River.

Previous light trap collections in Laramie, and larval collections in the field