SEA NOISE VS NEAR AND DISTANT WAVE HEIGHT AND WIND SPEED

Correlation of measurements made in deep, open-ocean areas
of the Northeast Pacific

W. L. Frisch • Research and Development Report • 11 July 1966
U. S. NAVY ELECTRONICS LABORATORY, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

TR
7855
105
no. 1390

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

PROBLEM

Within a general investigation of the properties, mechanisms, and origins of low-frequency underwater ambient noise, examine the relationships, if any, between deep, open-ocean ambient sea noise, in the frequency range from 10 to 400 c/s, and both near and distant wave height and wind speed.

RESULTS

1. Sound pressure spectrum level is influenced by wave height and wind speed at both ends of the frequency range from 10 to 400 c/s; greatest dependence is in the 160-to-400-c/s portion.
2. Ambient-noise levels in the range from 32 to 160 c/s are the least influenced by near and distant wave height.
3. No dependence of ambient-noise levels on distant wave-height fluctuation was observed for periods during which local wave-height conditions were moderate and uniform.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Extend the analysis of the noise data acquired in this project to ambient noise below 10 c/s.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Work was performed under SF 101 03 15, Task 8119 (NEL L20461). The report covers work from 1 July 1965 to 1 June 1966 and was approved for publication 11 July 1966.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION ... *page 5*

METHODS ... *8*

RESULTS ... *13*

CONCLUSIONS ... *23*

ILLUSTRATIONS

- 1 Sea-noise spectra for various sea states ... *page 6*
- 2 Ambient-noise spectra, summarizing results and conclusions concerning spectrum shape and level and probable sources and mechanisms of the ambient noise in various parts of the spectrum between 1 c/s and 100 kc/s ... *7*
- 3 Portion of wind-wave analysis from Fleet Numerical Weather Facility, Monterey, California, showing wave-height contours ... *8*
- 4 Typical sections of records of broadband ambient-noise levels ... *10*
- 5 Distribution of maximum wave heights ... *12*
- 6 Variation with frequency of coefficient of correlation between sound pressure spectrum level and cumulative maximum wave heights, all stations ... *14 - 15*
- 7 Monthly average sound pressure level at 20-c/s midband frequency, and monthly average local wave height ... *16*
- 8 Variation with frequency of coefficient of correlation between sound pressure spectrum level and cumulative maximum wave heights for periods of highest and lowest frequency of occurrence of 20-c/s signal, all stations ... *17 - 18*
- 9 Variation with frequency of coefficient of correlation between sound pressure spectrum level and maximum wave heights for periods during which wave height at source was less than 3 feet ... *19*

ILLUSTRATIONS (Continued)

- 10 Variation with frequency of coefficient of correlation
 between sound pressure spectrum level and wind
 speed . . . *page 21*
- 11 Ambient noise versus frequency at station D . . . *22*

INTRODUCTION

The classic curves of Knudsen¹ (fig. 1) and Wenz² (fig. 2) show the relationship between ambient noise in the ocean and storm or wind activity in terms of wind speed or wave height measured directly, or very nearly directly, above the area in which the sound measurements are made. The Knudsen curves, which treat the frequency range from about 100 c/s to 10 kc/s, describe a consistent dependence of noise level on wind speed and wave height. The Wenz curves treat frequencies down to 1 c/s, and reflect the lack of such dependence below 100 c/s in much of the experimental data.

It is not well known what relationships, if any, exist between ambient-noise levels in the very-low frequencies and storm activity occurring at great distances from the hydrophone. The hydrophones that provided data utilized for this report were located in deep ocean areas providing conditions favorable for the reception of any low-frequency noise propagated from distant storm centers.

In a pilot study³ ambient-noise levels were considered to be related to the action of winds at the interface. Wind fields over increasing areas to as far as 1400 nautical miles from the hydrophone were considered.

In general, the trends suggested a dependence of ambient noise on wind speed in both the high and low ends of the frequency range considered. The dependence decreases with range, but the decrease is smaller at frequencies below 25 c/s. Anomalous results were noted at 20 c/s.

This study examines the dependence of ambient noise in the frequency range of 10 to 400 c/s on wave height. Wave height is measured both in very near proximity to the hydrophone and at great distances. In addition, changes in noise level are compared with changes in wind speed measured in moderate proximity to the hydrophone.

Figure 1. Sea-noise spectra for various sea states.

Figure 2. Ambient-noise spectra, summarizing results and conclusions concerning spectrum shape and level and probable sources and mechanisms of the ambient noise in various parts of the spectrum between 1 c/s and 100 kc/s.

METHODS

The noise data originated from four hydrophones located at depths greater than 500 fathoms. The four hydrophone stations were selected to sample the Pacific coastal area from Washington to Southern California. They are designated A, B, C, and D in order from north to south. The larger part of the analysis was done on station A, which provided ambient-noise data with a nominal amount of contamination by ship and general transients, and had larger fluctuation in wave height at large distances from the hydrophone.

Data on wave height^{4,5} were supplied by the Fleet Numerical Weather Facility, U. S. Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, in the form of contour charts of wave height in 3-foot intervals for the general areas of interest (fig. 3).

Figure 3. Portion of wind-wave analysis for 0600 GMT 01 October 1964 from Fleet Numerical Weather Facility, Monterey, California, showing wave-height contours. Contour interval is 3 feet.

One problem encountered in treating the noise data was contamination by ship and traffic noise. Traffic noise, the contribution of distant ships, is frequently not recognizable in the noise records. Ship noise, however, displays a pronounced transient effect on a continuous display in both broadband records and third-octave-band records, particularly in the 50-to-63-c/s region. For times during which there were obvious transient effects in the broadband records, all noise data were deleted from the data from station C, which were heavily contaminated. Figure 4 shows some of the characteristic transients of these broadband records. In this example, all of record C and all the third-octave-band data corresponding to the times designated by arrows on records A and B were deleted.

The method of recording sea-state conditions was to take the hydrophone location as the center for the generation of a series of ranges at equal radial increments as depicted:

In most instances, the storm configurations were such that it was convenient and practical, as a first approximation, to use linear interpolation. Data were recorded according to wave height in the immediate vicinity of the hydrophone and the maximum values of wave height observed anywhere on arcs at radial ranges of 200, 400, 600, and 800 nautical miles from the hydrophone.

These data were compared with ambient-noise levels recorded at the center of the system, which were reduced by the third-octave digital analyzer^{6,7} to third-octave-band data starting at 10 c/s and ending at 400-c/s midband frequency. Coefficients of correlation between the third-octave-band noise levels and the

Figure 4. Typical sections of records of broadband ambient-noise levels.

cumulative wave heights* at increasing areas surrounding the hydrophone, were computed. General trends in dependence of noise levels for particular frequencies on varying areas of storm magnitude may be detected in this way.

One of the salient problems associated with the study is the possible overlap of the effect of storm activity at the various ranges. For this reason, an effort was made to study the effect of distant changes in wave height corresponding to times when wave height nearby was relatively constant and small. The most frequently occurring waves above the hydrophone were less than 3 feet, and one grouping was designed to observe only the times for which the area had this sea state. Subsequent examination showed that the most frequently occurring sea state at 200 nautical miles was 3 feet. A second sort, made to include only times for which the sea state was constant over the hydrophone (less than 3 feet) and at the 200-nautical-mile range (3 feet), enabled better judgment from the correlation coefficients of the effect of distant wave fluctuation on ambient-noise level.

A property of the maximum wave heights recorded from the contour charts further compounded the aforementioned problems. The validity of the correlation tests is subject to further question as a few of the wave-height distributions were not normal. Note in figure 5 the extremely skewed curves for the wave heights at the source and at the 200-nautical-mile range. With further increases in distance from the shoreline the distributions begin to take on a more normal form.

The correlation between ambient sea noise in the low-frequency range and local storm activity was further explored with data from an automatic weather buoy associated with CNO Project DS/200. The data were compared with third-octave-band ambient-noise data at station D by means of the correlation coefficient and by grouping the noise data to correspond to sets of wind data that fell within certain Beaufort groupings.

* Simple sums — the value at the source plus the maximum value at 200 nautical miles, the preceding plus the maximum value at 400 nautical miles, and so on.

Figure 5. Distribution of maximum wave heights.

RESULTS

The effect of ever-increasing areas (approximated by the cumulative wave-height tabulations) over which maximum wave height is considered on the ambient-noise level in the third-octave-band channels at each station is shown in figure 6. With the exception of station C, all stations yielded coefficients of correlation* which suggest a noise/wave dependence at frequencies less than about 32 c/s and greater than about 160 c/s.

An apparent anomaly in the neighborhood of 20 c/s is noted in figure 6. A possible explanation for it is the way the respective seasonal characteristics of sound pressure level in these frequencies vary with the seasonal wave-height characteristics. Note in figure 7 that all stations exhibit a significant increase in sound pressure level in the 20-c/s band for the months of September and October. The increase probably results from 20-c/s signals previously reported by Cummings and Thompson⁸. In the areas around station D, for example, the average local wave height decreases during these months, and thus a negative coefficient appears that is unusually large compared to the surrounding frequencies. The seasonal effect of the predominance of the 20-c/s signal is illustrated in figure 8. No peculiar effect appears at these frequencies at the time of minimum occurrence of the 20-c/s signals (May and June).

Comparable results are yielded for all four stations by the following data sets:

1. Occurrences for which the value of wave height above the source was less than 3 feet, and
2. Occurrences for which the value of wave height above the source was less than 3 feet *and* the value at the 200-nautical-mile range was 3 feet. Station A (fig. 9) is typical. The smallest number of points in any of the computations for data set 1 was 149; for data set 2, 107. The first group shows a general decrease for the coefficients compared to the parent sample (fig. 6) for nearly all frequencies with the smallest decrease in the 16-to-25-c/s region.

*

Greatest 1 percent minimum critical value for the four stations is 0.13.

Figure 6. Variation with frequency of coefficient of correlation between sound pressure spectrum level and cumulative maximum wave heights observed at the indicated radial distances for the hours 0600 and 1800 GMT, April through December 1964.

Figure 6. (Continued).

Figure 7. Monthly average sound pressure level at 20-c/s midband frequency, and monthly average local wave height.

Figure 8. Variation with frequency of coefficient of correlation between sound pressure spectrum level and cumulative maximum wave heights observed at the indicated radial distances.

Figure 8. (Continued).

Figure 9. Variation with frequency of coefficient of correlation between sound pressure spectrum level and maximum wave heights observed at the indicated radial distances for periods during which wave height at source was less than 3 feet, April through December 1964.

At the 1-percent level, the critical absolute value of the correlation coefficient is about 0.21. The second group suggests that all frequencies with the exception of 20 c/s are unaffected by fluctuation in sea height at ranges greater than 200 nautical miles (1-percent absolute critical value for the second group is 0.25). Again, the suggested relationship in this narrow frequency grouping is probably merely a coincidental result from the presence of 20-c/s signals, and not the result of distant storm activity.

Although the near-wind effect on noise has been described in the literature, it was desired to use the data from the Navy weather buoy for comparison with ambient-noise levels, and to perform the same correlation computations on the same frequency range as with the wave-height comparisons. There were several advantages in using the buoy data — consistency of location of the anemometer in relation to the surface and station, and accurate wind-speed measurement. The wind data were compared with noise data received from station D. The weather buoy was located about 50 miles from the hydrophone location. The results (fig. 10) show a high noise/wind correlation in the higher frequencies (above 160 c/s) and no correlation for 10 to 16 c/s and 32 to 80 c/s. Minimum critical value at the 1-percent level for the 150 points is about 0.208. Although the number of data points was limited, a sufficient number of points existed to group the data into categories of Beaufort 2 (4 to 6 knots), Beaufort 3 (7 to 10 knots), and Beaufort 4 (11 to 16 knots)⁹ (fig. 11). Standard tests (t statistic) on significance of mean-value differences for the Beaufort groupings were run for select frequency bands. Differences in mean levels for the Beaufort groupings for frequencies of 200 c/s or greater were significant at a 1-percent level. In the 20-to-25-c/s region only a few pairs (sound pressure level at 20 c/s for Beaufort 3 compared with Beaufort 2, for example) were significant (5-percent level).

Figure 10. Variation with frequency of coefficient of correlation between sound pressure spectrum level and wind speed measured at Navy weather buoy.

Figure 11. Ambient noise versus frequency at station D, June through August 1965.

CONCLUSIONS

Many of the forms of weather data now available (the data from Fleet Numerical Weather Facility are considered the best in the sense of the overall patterns they describe) do not lend themselves well to this type of study. To use a high coefficient of correlation in a derivation of a regression line prediction model, one must be assured that the accuracy of measurement of the independent variable is significantly greater than that of the dependent variable (ambient noise). Such was not the case with data used in this study. However, even though precise prediction relations cannot be formulated, an indication is provided of the frequency regions in which the noise is affected by wind and wave height at deep-mounted hydrophones in open-ocean locations.

The results do not support the hypothesis of very-long-range noise/storm dependence. They do suggest that both wind speed and local wave activity have a significant effect on underwater ambient noise greater than about 160 c/s, and that local wave activity may have a small effect on low-frequency noise. By using a 30-hour prediction chart, available at Fleet Numerical Weather Facility, one may be able to predict whether the noise will increase or decrease in regions greater than 160 c/s and to provide a gross estimate of the amount of change. The general dip in the correlation coefficient to insignificant values in the midfrequency range (32 to 160 c/s) for most of the stations is probably due to masking by ship and traffic noise.

REFERENCES

1. National Defense Research Committee. Division 6 Report 6.1-NDRC-1848, Survey of Underwater Sound: Report No. 3; Ambient Noise, by V. O. Knudsen and others, 26 September 1944 (PB 31021)
2. Wenz, G. M., "Acoustic Ambient Noise in the Ocean: Spectra and Sources," Acoustical Society of America. Journal, v. 34, p. 1936-1956, December 1962
3. Navy Electronics Laboratory Technical Memorandum 840, Effect of Winds on the Underwater Low Frequency Ambient Noise Recorded at a Location Off the West Coast of the United States, by W. L. Frisch and T. S. Scanlan, 8 September 1965*
4. Fleet Numerical Weather Facility, Monterey, California, Operational Forecasts of Sea and Swell, by W. E. Hubert, (1964)
5. Fleet Numerical Weather Facility, Monterey, California, Numerical Environmental Prediction Progress Report, May 1964
6. Navy Electronics Laboratory Report 938, Third-Octave Digital Analyzer (TODA), by J. V. Schaefer, 16 December 1959
7. Navy Electronics Laboratory Report 1286, Modification of Third-Octave Digital Analyzer (TODA), by R. E. Cruse, 10 May 1965
8. Cummings, W. C. and Thompson, P. O., "20-Hz Signals in the Northeast Pacific," in Third U. S. Navy Symposium on Military Oceanography, CONFIDENTIAL, 1966 (In press)
9. Von Arx, W. S., An Introduction to Physical Oceanography, p. 68, Addison - Wesley Publishing Company, Incorporated, 1962

* NEL technical memoranda are informal documents intended primarily for use within the Laboratory.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D		
<i>(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)</i>		
1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY <i>(Corporate author)</i> Navy Electronics Laboratory, San Diego, California 92152		2a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
		2b GROUP
3 REPORT TITLE SEA NOISE VS NEAR AND DISTANT WAVE HEIGHT AND WIND SPEED		
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES <i>(Type of report and inclusive dates)</i> Research and Development Report, July 1965 to June 1966		
5 AUTHOR(S) <i>(Last name, first name, initial)</i> Frisch, W. L.		
6 REPORT DATE 11 July 1966	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 24	7b. NO. OF REFS 9
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 1390	
b. PROJECT NO. SF 101 03 15 Task 8119		
c. (NEL L20461)	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) <i>(Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)</i>	
d.		
10 AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Distribution of this document is unlimited		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Naval Ship Systems Command Department of the Navy	
13 ABSTRACT Correlation of measurements made in deep, open-ocean areas of the Northeast Pacific indicates: 1. Sound pressure spectrum level is influenced by wave height and wind speed at both ends of the frequency range considered (10 to 400 c/s); influence is greatest between 160 and 400 c/s. 2. Ambient-noise levels between 32 and 160 c/s are the least influenced by near and distant wave height. 3. For periods during which local wave heights were moderate and uniform, no dependence of ambient-noise level on distant wave-height fluctuation was observed.		

DD FORM 1473

1 JAN 64

0101-807-6800

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

14	KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
		ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
<p>Ocean Waves - Acoustic Properties</p> <p>Underwater Noise - Analysis</p>							
<p align="center">INSTRUCTIONS</p> <p>1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (<i>corporate author</i>) issuing the report.</p> <p>2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.</p> <p>2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.</p> <p>3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.</p> <p>4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.</p> <p>5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.</p> <p>6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.</p> <p>7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.</p> <p>7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.</p> <p>8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.</p> <p>8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.</p> <p>9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.</p> <p>9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (<i>either by the originator or by the sponsor</i>), also enter this number(s).</p> <p>10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:</p> <p>(1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."</p> <p>(2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."</p> <p>(3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."</p> <p>(4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."</p> <p>(5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."</p> <p>If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.</p> <p>11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.</p> <p>12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (<i>paying for</i>) the research and development. Include address.</p> <p>13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.</p> <p>It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).</p> <p>There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.</p> <p>14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.</p>							

<p>Navy Electronics Lab., San Diego, Calif. Report 1390 SEA NOISE VS NEAR AND DISTANT WAVE HEIGHT AND WIND SPEED, by W. L. Frisch, 24 p., 11 Jul 66.</p> <p>Correlation of measurements made in deep, open-ocean areas of the Northeast Pacific indicates:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sound pressure spectrum level is influenced by wave height and wind speed at both ends of the frequency range considered (10 to 400 c/s); influence is greatest between 160 and 400 c/s. 2. Ambient-noise levels between 32 and 160 c/s are the least influenced by near and distant wave height. 3. For periods during which local wave heights were moderate and uniform, no dependence of ambient-noise level on distant wave-height fluctuation was observed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ocean Waves - Acoustic Properties 2. Underwater Noise - Analysis <p>I. Frisch, W. L.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>SF 101 03 15, Task 8119 (NEL L20461)</p> <p>This card is UNCLASSIFIED</p>
<p>Navy Electronics Lab., San Diego, Calif. Report 1390 SEA NOISE VS NEAR AND DISTANT WAVE HEIGHT AND WIND SPEED, by W. L. Frisch, 24 p., 11 Jul 66.</p> <p>Correlation of measurements made in deep, open-ocean areas of the Northeast Pacific indicates:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sound pressure spectrum level is influenced by wave height and wind speed at both ends of the frequency range considered (10 to 400 c/s); influence is greatest between 160 and 400 c/s. 2. Ambient-noise levels between 32 and 160 c/s are the least influenced by near and distant wave height. 3. For periods during which local wave heights were moderate and uniform, no dependence of ambient-noise level on distant wave-height fluctuation was observed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ocean Waves - Acoustic Properties 2. Underwater Noise - Analysis <p>I. Frisch, W. L.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>SF 101 03 15, Task 8119 (NEL L20461)</p> <p>This card is UNCLASSIFIED</p>
<p>Navy Electronics Lab., San Diego, Calif. Report 1390 SEA NOISE VS NEAR AND DISTANT WAVE HEIGHT AND WIND SPEED, by W. L. Frisch, 24 p., 11 Jul 66.</p> <p>Correlation of measurements made in deep, open-ocean areas of the Northeast Pacific indicates:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sound pressure spectrum level is influenced by wave height and wind speed at both ends of the frequency range considered (10 to 400 c/s); influence is greatest between 160 and 400 c/s. 2. Ambient-noise levels between 32 and 160 c/s are the least influenced by near and distant wave height. 3. For periods during which local wave heights were moderate and uniform, no dependence of ambient-noise level on distant wave-height fluctuation was observed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ocean Waves - Acoustic Properties 2. Underwater Noise - Analysis <p>I. Frisch, W. L.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>SF 101 03 15, Task 8119 (NEL L20461)</p> <p>This card is UNCLASSIFIED</p>
<p>Navy Electronics Lab., San Diego, Calif. Report 1390 SEA NOISE VS NEAR AND DISTANT WAVE HEIGHT AND WIND SPEED, by W. L. Frisch, 24 p., 11 Jul 66.</p> <p>Correlation of measurements made in deep, open-ocean areas of the Northeast Pacific indicates:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sound pressure spectrum level is influenced by wave height and wind speed at both ends of the frequency range considered (10 to 400 c/s); influence is greatest between 160 and 400 c/s. 2. Ambient-noise levels between 32 and 160 c/s are the least influenced by near and distant wave height. 3. For periods during which local wave heights were moderate and uniform, no dependence of ambient-noise level on distant wave-height fluctuation was observed. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ocean Waves - Acoustic Properties 2. Underwater Noise - Analysis <p>I. Frisch, W. L.</p> <p>UNCLASSIFIED</p> <p>SF 101 03 15, Task 8119 (NEL L20461)</p> <p>This card is UNCLASSIFIED</p>

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

CHIEF OF NAVAL MATERIAL
MAT 0331
COMMANDER, NAVAL SHIP SYSTEMS COMMAND
SHIPS 2021 (2)
SHIPS 1610
SHIPS 1620
SHIPS 1631
SHIPS 204113
COMMANDER, NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND
AIR 9132
AIR 9132 (DLI-304)
AIR 0322
AIR 0352
AIR (PASS)
COMMANDER, NAVAL ORNANCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
ORD 604
ORD 604 (DLI-304)
ORD 533
ORD 5330
ORD 5330 (RUDG-2)
ORD 5330 (RUDG-3)
ORD (PASS)
COMMANDER, NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
CODE 42310
CHIEF OF NAVAL PERSONNEL
PEAS 113
CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
OP-312 F
OP-07T
OP-701
OP-71
OP-724
OP-035G
OP-09B5
OP-311
OP-322C
OP-345
OP-702C
OP-713
OP-716
OP-922Y4C1
CHIEF OF NAVAL RESEARCH
CODE 416
CODE 418
CODE 427
CODE 455
CODE 461
CODE 466
CODE 468
CODE 493
COMMANDER IN CHIEF US PACIFIC FLEET
COMMANDER IN CHIEF US ATLANTIC FLEET
COMMANDER OPERATIONAL TEST AND
EVALUATION FORCE
DEPUTY COMMANDER OPERATIONAL TEST +
EVALUATION FORCE, PACIFIC
COMMANDER CRUISER-DESTROYER FORCE,
US ATLANTIC FLEET
US PACIFIC FLEET (CODE 425)
COMMANDER SUBMARINE FORCE
US PACIFIC FLEET
US ATLANTIC FLEET
DEPUTY COMMANDER SUBMARINE FORCE,
US ATLANTIC FLEET
COMMANDER ANTISUBMARINE WARFARE FOR
US PACIFIC FLEET
COMMANDER FIRST FLEET
COMMANDER SECOND FLEET
COMMANDER TRAINING COMMAND
US PACIFIC FLEET
US ATLANTIC FLEET
OCEANOGRAPHIC SYSTEM PACIFIC
COMMANDER SUBMARINE DEVELOPMENT
GROUP TWO
COMMANDER SERVICE FORCE
US ATLANTIC FLEET
COMMANDER KEY WEST TEST +
EVALUATION DETACHMENT
DESTROYER DEVELOPMENT GROUP PACIFIC
FLEET AIR WINGS, ATLANTIC FLEET
SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY TEAM
US NAVAL AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER
NADC LIBRARY
US NAVAL MISSILE CENTER
TECH. LIBRARY
PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE /CODE 3250/
US NAVAL AIR TEST CENTER
WEAPONS SYSTEMS TEST DIVISION
US NAVAL ORNANCE LABORATORY
LIBRARY
SYSTEMS ANALYSIS GROUP OF THE ASW
R-D PLANNING COUNCIL
ASW SYSTEMS ANALYSIS STAFF /DU/
CODE RA
US NAVAL ORNANCE TEST STATION
PASADENA ANNEX LIBRARY
CHINA LAKE
FLEET COMPUTER PROGRAMMING CENTER
ATLANTIC, TECHNICAL LIBRARY
US NAVAL WEAPONS LABORATORY
KKL
LIBRARY
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SHIPYARD
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD
PUGET SOUND NAVAL SHIPYARD
SAN FRANCISCO NAVAL SHIPYARD
PHILADELPHIA NAVAL SHIPYARD
USN RADIOLOGICAL DEFENSE LABORATORY
DAVID TAYLOR MODEL BASIN
/LIBRARY/
US NAVY MINE DEFENSE LABORATORY
US NAVAL TRAINING DEVICE CENTER
HUMAN FACTORS LABORATORY
CODE 365H, ASW DIVISION
USN UNDERWATER SOUND LABORATORY
LIBRARY
CODE 905
CODE 920
ATLANTIC FLEET ASW TACTICAL SCHOOL
USN MARINE ENGINEERING LABORATORY
US NAVAL CIVIL ENGINEERING LAB.
LS4
US NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
CODE 2027
CODE 5440
US NAVAL ORNANCE LABORATORY
CORONA
NAVY UNDERWATER PWNS RSCH & ENG STATION
BEACH JUMPER UNIT TWO
US FLEET ASW SCHOOL
US FLEET SONAR SCHOOL
USN MEDICAL FIELD RESEARCH LAB
USN UNDERWATER ORNANCE STATION
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
PASADENA
US NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE
NEW LONDON
US NAVAL SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS
ENGINEERING STATION
US NAVAL AIR TECH TRAINING CTR 85
CHIEF OF NAVAL AIR TRAINING
US PERSONNEL RESEARCH ACTIVITY
SAN DIEGO
USN WEATHER RESEARCH FACILITY
US NAVAL OCEANOGRAPHIC OFFICE
SUPERVISOR OF SHIPBUILDING US NAVY
GROTON
US NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
LIBRARY(CODE 0384)
DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH BR OFFICE
LONDON
BOSTON
CHICAGO
SAN FRANCISCO
FLEET NUMERICAL WEATHER FACILITY
US NAVAL APPLIED SCIENCE LABORATORY
CODE 9200, ELECTRONICS DIVISION
CODE 9832
US NAVAL ACADEMY
ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY R-D
US NAVAL SECURITY GROUP H00TR5(G43)
ONR SCIENTIFIC LIAISON OFFICER
WOODS HOLE OCEANOGRAPHIC INSTITUTION
INSTITUTE OF NAVAL STUDIES
LIBRARY
AIR DEVELOPMENT SQUADRON ONE /VX-1/
CHARLESTON NAVAL SHIPYARD
SUBMARINE FLOTILLA ONE
DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER (20)
DOD RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING
WEAPONS SYSTEMS EVALUATION GROUP
DEFENSE ATOMIC SUPPORT AGENCY
NATIONAL OCEANOGRAPHIC DATA CENTER
US COAST GUARD OCEANOGRAPHIC UNIT
COMMITTEE ON UNDERSEA WARFARE
US COAST GUARD H00TR5(OSR-2)
ARCTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY
WOODS HOLE OCEANOGRAPHIC INSTITUTION
US COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY
WASHINGTON SCIENCE CENTER - 23
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
US WEATHER BUREAU
DIRECTOR, METEOROLOGICAL RESEARCH
LIBRARY
NATIONAL SEVERE STORMS LABORATORY
NORMAN, OKLAHOMA 73069
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
BOULDER LABORATORIES
AUDIOLOGY-SPEECH PATHOLOGY CLINIC
FORT SNEELING
US GEOLOGICAL SURVEY LIBRARY
DENVER SECTION
US BUREAU OF COMMERCIAL FISHERIES
WASHINGTON, D. C. 2024C
WOODS HOLE, MASSACHUSETTS 02543
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96812
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305
TUNA RESOURCES LAB LA JOLLA
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD. 21005
US ARMY R-D ACTIVITY
ELECTRONIC WARFARE DIVISION
REDSTONE SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION
CENTER
US ARMY ELECTRONICS R-D LABORATORY
AMSEL-RD-MAT
XL-C
FRANKFORD ARSENAL
US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE /DURHAM/
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE
COASTAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER
CORPS OF ENGINEERS, US ARMY
92D USASAC
FORT HUACHUCA,
HEADQUARTERS, US AIR FORCE
AFRSTA
AIR UNIVERSITY LIBRARY
STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND
AIR FORCE EASTERN TEST RANGE
/AFMTC TECH LIBRARY - MU-135/
AIR PROVING GROUND CENTER, PGPS-12
HO AIR WEATHER SERVICE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AF BASE
SYSTEMS ENGINEERING GROUP (RTD)
USAF SECURITY SERVICE
ESD/ESG
ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS DIVISION/EST/
L. G. HANGCOM FIELD
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
OFFICE OF RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION
UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI
THE MARINE LAB. LIBRARY
MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
HUDSON LABORATORIES
ELECTRONIC RESEARCH LABS
LAMONT GEOLOGICAL OBSERVATORY
DARTMOUTH COLLEGE
RADIOPHYSICS LABORATORY
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
JET PROPULSION LABORATORY
HARVARD COLLEGE OBSERVATORY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF OCEANOGRAPHY
GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
CHIEF, ELECTRONICS DIVISION
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
DEPARTMENT OF OCEANOGRAPHY
FISHERIES-OCEANOGRAPHY LIBRARY
NEW YORK UNIVERSITY
DEPT OF METEOROLOGY + OCEANOGRAPHY
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
DIRECTOR, COOLEY ELECTRONICS LAB
DR. JOHN C. AYERS
RADAR + OPTICS LABORATORY
TUFTS UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
DIRECTOR, APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY
OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY
ANTENNA LABORATORY
PROFESSOR L. E. BOLLINGER
UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA
GEOPHYSICAL INSTITUTE
UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND
NARRAGANSETT MARINE LABORATORY
YALE UNIVERSITY
BINGHAM OCEANOGRAPHIC LABORATORY
FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY
OCEANOGRAPHIC INSTITUTE
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
HAWAII INSTITUTE OF GEOPHYSICS +
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING DEPT
HARVARD UNIVERSITY
GORDON MCKAY LIBRARY
A-M COLLEGE OF TEXAS
DEPARTMENT OF OCEANOGRAPHY
THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
DEFENSE RESEARCH LABORATORY
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING RESEARCH LAB
HARVARD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SAN DIEGO
SCRIPPS INSTITUTION OF OCEANOGRAPHY
MARINE PHYSICAL LAB
PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY
ORNANCE RESEARCH LABORATORY
NAVAL WARFARE RESEARCH CENTER
STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE
MASSACHUSETTS INST OF TECHNOLOGY
ENGINEERING LIBRARY
MIT-LINCOLN LABORATORY
LIBRARY, A-082
RADIO PHYSICS DIVISION
THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY
INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES
FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY