UNIVERSITY OF
ILLINOIS LIBRARY
AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN
BOOKSTACKS

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2011 with funding from
University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

<http://www.archive.org/details/statisticallearn43shet>

27 pages

Faculty Working Papers

Statistical Learning Theory and Consumer Learning
An Experimental Investigation

Jagdish N. Sheth, University of Illinois
M. Venkatesan, University of Massachusetts
Eugene Kaczka, University of Massachusetts

#43

College of Commerce and Business Administration
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

FACULTY WORKING PAPERS

College of Commerce and Business Administration

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

March 21, 1972

Statistical Learning Theory and Consumer Learning
An Experimental Investigation

Jagdish N. Sheth, University of Illinois
M. Venkatesan, University of Massachusetts
Eugene Kaczka, University of Massachusetts

#43

Jagdish N. Sheth is Professor, University of Illinois, M. Venkatesan and E. Kaczka are Associate Professors at the University of Massachusetts (Amherst). Financial support, in part, was received from the Research Council, University of Massachusetts, for which the authors are grateful.

[illegible]

 

[illegible]

Table 1
EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

N	Group*	Choices and Reward Schedule
32	I Experimenter-controlled	Two-choice situation Personna 70%, Gillette 30%
22	II Experimenter-controlled	Three-choice situation Personna 70%, Wilkinson 20%, Gillette 10%
31	III Subject-controlled	Two-choice situation Wilkinson 70%, Personna 30%
30	IV Subject-controlled	Three-choice situation Wilkinson 70%, Personna 20%, Gillette 10%

*Groups V (n = 18), VI (n = 15), VII (n = 17), and VIII (n = 16) are matching "uninvolved" (control) groups for these four experimental groups.

Table

TABLE I

TABLE I	
1	2
3	4
5	6
7	8
9	10
11	12
13	14
15	16
17	18
19	20
21	22
23	24
25	26
27	28
29	30
31	32
33	34
35	36
37	38
39	40
41	42
43	44
45	46
47	48
49	50
51	52
53	54
55	56
57	58
59	60
61	62
63	64
65	66
67	68
69	70
71	72
73	74
75	76
77	78
79	80
81	82
83	84
85	86
87	88
89	90
91	92
93	94
95	96
97	98
99	100

Table 2

PROPORTIONS OF CHOICE OF MOST REWARDED ALTERNATIVE

Trial	Exp-Controlled Two-Choice	Exp-Controlled Three-Choice	Sub-Controlled Two-Choice	Sub-Controlled Three-Choice
1	.32	.06	.50	.27
2	.18	.13	.64	.37
3	.32	.19	.68	.40
4	.32	.22	.61	.43
5	.27	.31	.75	.57
6	.32	.19	.32	.23
7	.50	.19	.75	.43
8	.32	.38	.46	.47
9	.18	.34	.61	.47
10	.27	.31	.64	.50
11	.32	.31	.75	.40
12	.50	.28	.68	.37
13	.41	.38	.82	.40
14	.32	.38	.82	.37
15	.23	.38	.71	.47
16	.50	.44	.61	.47
17	.41	.22	.86	.57
18	.50	.44	.39	.60
19	.36	.28	.75	.40
20	.46	.38	.61	.57
21	.50	.38	.75	.53
22	.36	.34	.75	.53
23	.46	.41	.79	.57
24	.27	.28	.68	.60
25	.41	.34	.71	.47
26	.41	.34	.82	.57
27	.40	.34	.68	.47
28	.46	.28	.75	.57
29	.50	.38	.79	.50
30	.36	.31	.71	.63

THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE COMMISSIONER OF THE LAND OFFICE FOR THE YEAR 1900

LANDS BELONGING TO THE UNITED STATES				
IN THE TERRITORY OF ARIZONA				
AS OF JANUARY 1, 1900				
ACRES	SQUARE MILES	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL	PERCENTAGE OF TERRITORY	PERCENTAGE OF COUNTRY
1,000,000	15,625	100	100	100
900,000	14,062	90	90	90
800,000	12,500	80	80	80
700,000	10,937	70	70	70
600,000	9,375	60	60	60
500,000	7,812	50	50	50
400,000	6,250	40	40	40
300,000	4,687	30	30	30
200,000	3,125	20	20	20
100,000	1,562	10	10	10
50,000	781	5	5	5
25,000	390	2.5	2.5	2.5
12,500	195	1.25	1.25	1.25
6,250	97.5	.625	.625	.625
3,125	48.75	.3125	.3125	.3125
1,562	24.375	.15625	.15625	.15625
781	12.1875	.078125	.078125	.078125
390	6.09375	.0390625	.0390625	.0390625
195	3.046875	.01953125	.01953125	.01953125
97.5	1.5234375	.009765625	.009765625	.009765625
48.75	.76171875	.0048828125	.0048828125	.0048828125
24.375	.380859375	.00244140625	.00244140625	.00244140625
12.1875	.1904296875	.001220703125	.001220703125	.001220703125
6.09375	.09521484375	.0006103515625	.0006103515625	.0006103515625
3.046875	.047607421875	.00030517578125	.00030517578125	.00030517578125
1.5234375	.0238037109375	.000152587890625	.000152587890625	.000152587890625
.76171875	.01190185546875	.0000762939453125	.0000762939453125	.0000762939453125
.380859375	.005950927734375	.00003814697265625	.00003814697265625	.00003814697265625
.1904296875	.0029754638671875	.000019073486328125	.000019073486328125	.000019073486328125
.09521484375	.00148773193359375	.0000095367431640625	.0000095367431640625	.0000095367431640625
.047607421875	.000743865966796875	.00000476837158203125	.00000476837158203125	.00000476837158203125
.0238037109375	.0003719329833984375	.000002384185791015625	.000002384185791015625	.000002384185791015625
.01190185546875	.00018596649169921875	.0000011920928955078125	.0000011920928955078125	.0000011920928955078125
.005950927734375	.000092983245849609375	.00000059604644775390625	.00000059604644775390625	.00000059604644775390625
.0029754638671875	.0000464916229248046875	.000000298023223876953125	.000000298023223876953125	.000000298023223876953125
.00148773193359375	.00002324581146240234375	.0000001490116119384765625	.0000001490116119384765625	.0000001490116119384765625
.000743865966796875	.000011622905731201171875	.00000007450580596923828125	.00000007450580596923828125	.00000007450580596923828125
.0003719329833984375	.0000058114528656005859375	.000000037252902984619140625	.000000037252902984619140625	.000000037252902984619140625
.00018596649169921875	.00000290572643280029246875	.0000000186264514923095703125	.0000000186264514923095703125	.0000000186264514923095703125
.000092983245849609375	.000001452863216400146234375	.00000000931322574615478515625	.00000000931322574615478515625	.00000000931322574615478515625
.0000464916229248046875	.0000007264316082000731171875	.000000004656612873077392578125	.000000004656612873077392578125	.000000004656612873077392578125
.00002324581146240234375	.00000036321580410003655859375	.0000000023283064365386962890625	.0000000023283064365386962890625	.0000000023283064365386962890625
.000011622905731201171875	.000000181607902050018279296875	.00000000116415321826934814453125	.00000000116415321826934814453125	.00000000116415321826934814453125
.0000058114528656005859375	.0000000908039510250091396484375	.000000000582076609134674072265625	.000000000582076609134674072265625	.000000000582076609134674072265625
.00000290572643280029246875	.00000004540197551250456982421875	.0000000002910383045673370361328125	.0000000002910383045673370361328125	.0000000002910383045673370361328125
.000001452863216400146234375	.000000022700987756252284912109375	.00000000014551915228366851806640625	.00000000014551915228366851806640625	.00000000014551915228366851806640625
.0000007264316082000731171875	.0000000113504938781261424560546875	.000000000072759576141834259033203125	.000000000072759576141834259033203125	.000000000072759576141834259033203125
.00000036321580410003655859375	.00000000567524693906307122802734375	.0000000000363797880709171295166015625	.0000000000363797880709171295166015625	.0000000000363797880709171295166015625
.000000181607902050018279296875	.000000002837623469531535614013671875	.00000000001818989403545856475830078125	.00000000001818989403545856475830078125	.00000000001818989403545856475830078125
.0000000908039510250091396484375	.0000000014188117347657678070068359375	.000000000009094947017729282379150390625	.000000000009094947017729282379150390625	.000000000009094947017729282379150390625
.00000004540197551250456982421875	.00000000070940586738288390350341796875	.0000000000045474735088646141895751953125	.0000000000045474735088646141895751953125	.0000000000045474735088646141895751953125
.000000022700987756252284912109375	.000000000354702933691441951751708984375	.00000000000227373675443230709478759765625	.00000000000227373675443230709478759765625	.00000000000227373675443230709478759765625
.0000000113504938781261424560546875	.0000000001773514668457209758758544921875	.000000000001136868377216153547393798828125	.000000000001136868377216153547393798828125	.000000000001136868377216153547393798828125
.00000000567524693906307122802734375	.00000000008867573342286048793792724609375	.0000000000005684341886080767736968994140625	.0000000000005684341886080767736968994140625	.0000000000005684341886080767736968994140625
.000000002837623469531535614013671875	.000000000044337866711430243968963623046875	.00000000000028421709430403838684844970703125	.00000000000028421709430403838684844970703125	.00000000000028421709430403838684844970703125
.0000000014188117347657678070068359375	.0000000000221689333557151219844818115234375	.000000000000142108547152019193424224853515625	.000000000000142108547152019193424224853515625	.000000000000142108547152019193424224853515625
.00000000070940586738288390350341796875	.00000000001108446667785756099224090576171875	.0000000000000710542735760095967121124267578125	.0000000000000710542735760095967121124267578125	.0000000000000710542735760095967121124267578125
.000000000354702933691441951751708984375	.000000000005542233338928780496120452880859375	.00000000000003552713678800479835605621337890625	.00000000000003552713678800479835605621337890625	.00000000000003552713678800479835605621337890625
.0000000001773514668457209758758544921875	.0000000000027711166694643902480602264404296875	.000000000000017763568394002399178028106689453125	.000000000000017763568394002399178028106689453125	.000000000000017763568394002399178028106689453125
.00000000008867573342286048793792724609375	.00000000000138555833473219512403011322021484375	.0000000000000088767841970011995890140533447265625	.0000000000000088767841970011995890140533447265625	.0000000000000088767841970011995890140533447265625
.000000000044337866711430243968963623046875	.000000000000692779167366097562015056610107421875	.0000000000000044383920985005997945070266723828125	.0000000000000044383920985005997945070266723828125	.0000000000000044383920985005997945070266723828125
.0000000000221689333557151219844818115234375	.0000000000003463895836830487810075283050537109375	.000000000000002219196049250299897253513336171875	.000000000000002219196049250299897253513336171875	.000000000000002219196049250299897253513336171875
.00000000001108446667785756099224090576171875	.0000000000001731947918415243905037641525268546875	.000000000000001109598024625149948626756667890625	.000000000000001109598024625149948626756667890625	.000000000000001109598024625149948626756667890625
.000000000005542233338928780496120452880859375	.00000000000008659739592076219525188207626342734375	.0000000000000005547990123125749743133783334453125	.0000000000000005547990123125749743133783334453125	.0000000000000005547990123125749743133783334453125
.0000000000027711166694643902480602264404296875	.000000000000043298697960381097625941038131713671875	.00000000000000027739950615628748715668916672265625	.00000000000000027739950615628748715668916672265625	.00000000000000027739950615628748715668916672265625
.00000000000138555833473219512403011322021484375	.0000000000000216493489801905488129705190658568359375	.000000000000000138699753078143743578344583361328125	.000000000000000138699753078143743578344583361328125	.000000000000000138699753078143743578344583361328125
.000000000000692779167366097562015056610107421875	.00000000000001082467449009527440648525953292841796875	.0000000000000000693498765390718717891722916816640625	.0000000000000000693498765390718717891722916816640625	.0000000000000000693498765390718717891722916816640625
.0000000000003463895836830487810075283050537109375	.000000000000005412337245047637203242629766464208984375	.00000000000000003467493826953593589458614584083203125	.00000000000000003467493826953593589458614584083203125	.00000000000000003467493826953593589458614584083203125
.0000000000001731947918415243905037641525268546875	.00000000000000270616862252381860162131488323214421875	.000000000000000017337469134767967947293072920416015625	.000000000000000017337469134767967947293072920416015625	.000000000000000017337469134767967947293072920416015625
.00000000000008659739592076219525188207626342734375	.000000000000001353084311261909300810657441616072109375	.0000000000000000086687345673839839736465364602080078125	.0000000000000000086687345673839839736465364602080078125	.0000000000000000086687345673839839736465364602080078125
.000000000000043298697960381097625941038131713671875	.000000000000000676542155630954650405328720808036046875	.00000000000000000433436728369199198682326823010400390625	.00000000000000000433436728369199198682326823010400390625	.00000000000000000433436728369199198682326823010400390625
.0000000000000216493489801905488129705190658568359375	.0000000000000003382710778154773252026643604040180234375	.000000000000000002167183641845995993411634115052001953125	.000000000000000002167183641845995993411634115052001953125	.000000000000000002167183641845995993411634115052001953125
.00000000000001082467449009527440648525953292841796875	.00000000000000016913553890773866260133218020200901171875	.0000000000000000010835918209229979967058170725260009765625	.0000000000000000010835918209229979967058170725260009765625	.0000000000000000010835918209229979967058170725260009765625
.000000000000005412337245047637203242629766464208984375	.000000000000000084567769453869331300666090101004505859375	.00000000000000000054179591046149899835290853626300048828125	.00000000000000000054179591046149899835290853626300048828125	.00000000000000000054179591046149899835290853626300048828125
.00000000000000270616862252381860162131488323214421875	.0000000000000000422838847269346656503330450505022529296875	.000000000		

Table 3

COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GROUPS

	Exp.-Controlled Two-Choice		Exp.-Controlled Three-Choice		Subject-Controlled Two-Choice		Subject-Controlled Three-Choice	
	Involved	Noninvolved	Involved	Noninvolved	Involved	Noninvolved	Involved	Noninvolved
1	.32	.47	.06	.24	.50	.35	.27	.31
2	.18	.47	.13	.29	.64	.53	.37	.31
3	.32	.53	.19	.24	.68	.35	.40	.38
4	.32	.67	.22	.24	.61	.24	.43	.38
5	.27	.40	.31	.41	.75	.35	.57	.38
6	.32	.40	.19	.41	.32	.29	.23	.19
7	.50	.60	.19	.35	.75	.47	.43	.44
8	.32	.53	.38	.23	.46	.24	.47	.31
9	.18	.33	.34	.29	.61	.35	.47	.38
10	.27	.40	.31	.35	.64	.47	.50	.31
11	.32	.33	.31	.47	.75	.59	.40	.31
12	.50	.53	.28	.47	.68	.41	.37	.56
13	.41	.47	.38	.53	.82	.59	.40	.25
14	.32	.53	.38	.47	.82	.53	.37	.31
15	.23	.53	.38	.47	.71	.53	.47	.44
16	.50	.40	.44	.59	.61	.59	.47	.38
17	.41	.33	.22	.41	.86	.59	.57	.38
18	.50	.47	.44	.35	.39	.41	.60	.43
19	.36	.47	.28	.41	.75	.53	.40	.38
20	.46	.53	.38	.41	.61	.65	.57	.44
21	.50	.53	.38	.35	.75	.53	.53	.44
22	.36	.33	.34	.47	.75	.35	.53	.38
23	.46	.40	.41	.65	.79	.47	.57	.50
24	.27	.47	.28	.29	.68	.53	.60	.38
25	.41	.40	.34	.24	.71	.59	.47	.44
26	.41	.40	.34	.47	.82	.41	.57	.44
27	.46	.53	.34	.35	.68	.53	.47	.25
28	.46	.53	.28	.35	.75	.53	.57	.44
29	.50	.53	.38	.65	.79	.47	.50	.38
30	.36	.53	.31	.47	.71	.47	.63	.56

1. 關於「臺灣省教育廳」之組織

2. 關於「臺灣省教育廳」之業務

3. 關於「臺灣省教育廳」之經費

4. 關於「臺灣省教育廳」之人事

5. 關於「臺灣省教育廳」之教育

6. 關於「臺灣省教育廳」之研究

7. 關於「臺灣省教育廳」之宣傳

8. 關於「臺灣省教育廳」之其他事項

9. 關於「臺灣省教育廳」之附屬機關

10. 關於「臺灣省教育廳」之其他事項

FIGURE 1. Subject-Controlled Condition--Two Choice Situation

FIGURE 2. Subject-Controlled Condition--Three Choice Situation

Several researchers in consumer behavior have attempted to adapt and validate Bush-Mosteller (1) models of learning theories as explanations for the development of consumers' brand loyalty [see Sheth (9, 10) for review]. Some researchers [Kuehn (6), Sheth (10), Tucker (13)] have been able to support the position that systematic repetitive buying behavior can be described and explained in terms of statistical learning models. Others, however, have found this not to be true [Frank (3), Montgomery (8)].

In this paper the Bush-Mosteller statistical learning models are reviewed, the research literature in brand loyalty and learning theory is surveyed briefly and finally a study which was designed to test the role of statistical learning theory in consumer behavior is presented.

Description of Statistical Learning Models

Although there are several theories of learning [Hilgard (4)], such as classical conditioning vs. respondent conditioning, reinforcement vs. contiguity and the like, Bush and Mosteller (1) provided a single mathematical expression to measure the learning of systematic behavior. Essentially, it is a linear learning operator model in which it is assumed that following a response to an alternative, some event occurs (e.g., reinforcement or stimulus change) which has an effect on the probability of response to the same alternative next time the occasion arises.

This can be expressed as:

$$P_{t+1} = a_i + \alpha_i P_t \quad (1)$$

where p_{t+1} is the revised probability due to the consequences of the event, and it is summarized as a linear function of the probability of responding. This event and consequential effect may be positive in that it enhances the probability of responding or it may be negative in that it diminishes that probability. This is easily achieved if we define

$$\alpha_i = 1 - a_i - b_i$$

Then equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$p_{t+1} = p_t + a_i (1 - p_t) - b_i p_t \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) now is stated in a manner that if the event has positive effect, it is proportional to the largest possible gain in probability (namely $1 - p_t$ because it cannot exceed unity). On the other hand, if the event has a negative effect, it is proportional to the largest possible loss in probability (namely $-p_t$ because it cannot go below zero).

If there was complete learning after one event, the coefficients a_i and b_i would be unity. Hence if the event had a positive effect, the initial probability of responding would become unity, and if it had negative effect, it would be reduced to zero. However, most of the learning appears to be gradual over several trials and also it seldom is complete; it usually fluctuates between an upper limit or a lower limit. This concept of limit can be easily brought in if we define:

$$a_i = (1 - \alpha_i) \lambda_i$$

where λ_i is the limit. If we substitute this expression in equation (1), we get

$$\alpha p_{t+1} = \alpha_i p_t + (1 - \alpha_i) \lambda_i \quad (3)$$

If the probability of response p_t is equal to λ_i , we see that there is no further gain. If p_t is less than λ_i , then there is a proportional gain, and if p_t is greater than λ_i , there is a proportional decrease in the probability of responding next time. In fact, we can see that α_i and $1 - \alpha_i$ sum to unity and they are weights for p_t and λ_i .

Finally, we can with the use of equation (3), represent a learning or growth curve over several consecutive trials:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{t+1} &= \alpha_i p_t + (1 - \alpha_i) \lambda_i \\ p_{t+2} &= \alpha_i p_{t+1} + (1 - \alpha_i) \lambda_i \\ &= \alpha_i [\alpha_i p_t + (1 - \alpha_i) \lambda_i] + (1 - \alpha_i) \lambda_i \\ &= \alpha_i^2 p_t + (1 - \alpha_i^2) \lambda_i \end{aligned}$$

and

$$p_{t+n} = \alpha_i^n p_t + (1 - \alpha_i^n) \lambda_i \quad (4)$$

Hence probability of responding after n trials is now a weighted average of initial probability (p_t) and the limit (λ_i). However, since α_i ranges between zero and unity, the greater the sequence of consecutive trials, the smaller it becomes such that it tends to become zero. And thus, the probability of responding after learning reaches the limit λ_i .

Bush and Mosteller (1) proposed three specific types of statistical learning models which encompass all varieties of learning situations. The first type is referred to as experimenter-controlled situation in which the consequence of events (reward and punishment or stimulus configuration change) following a choice among alternatives is non-contingent upon the

specific response that an individual chooses to make. Instead, the consequences following from occurrence of specific events are fixed and determined by the experimenter. Most of the experiments with rats in T-maze or Y-maze in which conditions and proportions of rewards and punishments are controlled by the experimenter are representative of this situation. The statistical model in this situation predicts that in the long run (equilibrium state) the proportion of responses to various alternatives equals the proportion of times those alternatives are reinforced. Hence if in a two-choice situation, alternative A is rewarded 65 percent of the time, the level of systematic behavior toward them is predicted to be 0.65 and 0.35 respectively.

The second type of learning is called subject-controlled situation in which events following responses to specific alternatives are directly a function of the specific responses. Hence consequences are contingent upon the choice among a set of alternatives; each alternative is presumed to have entailing consequences of various magnitudes. A good example of a subject-controlled learning situation is the Solomon and Wynne (11) experiment in which dogs learned to jump a barrier to avoid an intensive electric shock; the latter is completely predicated upon jumping by the dog within a prespecified time. Once again, the level of learning, to respond a specific alternative, in the long run is determined by the number of times the consequences of a response are found to be reinforcing.

The third type of learning is called experimenter-subject controlled situation. As the name implies, the occurrence of an event with entailing consequences is partly contingent upon the choice of alternative by the subject and partly by the experimenter. The most common are the learning

experiments with rats using T-maze or Y-maze in which the rat chooses the left or the right turn, and the experimenter controls the rate of reinforcement at the end of each turn.

Learning Theories and Brand Loyalty

The work by Kuehn (6) was the first effort to attempt to describe consumer brand choice with a generalized form of the Bush-Mosteller stochastic learning model. "Factorial analysis" was performed on panel data to determine the effect of the four preceding purchases of frozen orange juice on the probability of selecting a particular brand of the fifth purchase.

In another study, Frank (3) analyzed consumer panel data on coffee purchases and suggested a model which involved constant response (purchase) probabilities which are different for different consumers. He then used simulation to demonstrate when aggregating such heterogeneous consumers an effect may be obtained which appears as if learning is occurring.

Carman (2) used consumer panel data on dentifrice purchases to test the linear learning model proposed by Kuehn and to test the hypothesis suggested by Frank's work that the learning effect within homogeneous groups of consumers is negligible. His results indicated purchase probability behavior which was consistent with the generalized linear learning model. Further, an analysis based upon the division of the panel into "brand loyal" and "brand switcher" groups indicated that the learning effect cannot be completely explained by the aggregation of data. Consumer panel data however pose problems as a source of

the same way as the other two, but the results are not so clear. The first two cases show a clear trend, but the third case is more complicated. The results are given in the following table.

Table 1. Results of the experiments.

The first two cases show a clear trend, but the third case is more complicated. The results are given in the following table.

Table 1. Results of the experiments.

The first two cases show a clear trend, but the third case is more complicated. The results are given in the following table.

The first two cases show a clear trend, but the third case is more complicated. The results are given in the following table.

data for testing the learning model, for one lacks control over the environment in which the purchase decisions are made.

The model developed by Montgomery (8) is an extension of some of Coleman's work in mathematical sociology. It is a binary choice model which allows the response probabilities of different consumers to be different, and to change through time. He tested his model against much of the same dentifrice purchasers panel data that Carman had used. This study demonstrated that the model provided a very good fit to the data and as such it appears to have some empirical viability.

Unfortunately, most of the research in applying statistical learning theory to consumers' development of brand loyalty seems to have suffered from at least two limitations in construct validation methods. The first limitation is related to the inappropriateness of the empirical reality of consumer behavior in which statistical learning theory has been applied. For example, it has been tested on standard (commercial) purchase panel data in which product classes and brands such as coffee or toothpaste are all very well known. In such a case, one would expect the consumers to have already learned brand preferences prior to the time period chosen for analysis, and therefore they would manifest steady habit behavior in the analysis time period. In addition, the reinforcement aspect inherent in statistical learning theory has been missing in empirical situations so that validation of learning construct is at best incomplete.

The second limitation is related to problems of data analysis. One of the basic issues is the number of alternatives involved in the learning situation. Instead of working deductively from the theory, most analyses have grouped alternatives that are not even mutually exclusive,

that is, during the period of the first two years of the

average of the first two years of the period.

The first two years of the period (1950-1951) are

considered as the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

which also includes the first two years of the period.

much less being exhaustive. For example, the total set of brands involved in the choice situation is not known from the panel data, and often the alternative of "not buying" is included among alternatives of choosing a set of brands once the consumer has decided to buy. Consequently, the role of statistical learning theory in consumer behavior has still remained untested.

The objective of this study is to validate, to the extent possible, the major statistical learning models under simulated conditions of consumers' choice behavior. This research effort was conducted in a laboratory setting. The experimenter-controlled and the subject-controlled models were tested using a consumer product, viz., razor blades. With each model two and three choice situations were presented to groups of involved and non-involved subjects. The time interval between selections was identical for all groups eliminating any confounding which might be attributed to differentials in usage rates.

METHOD

Subjects

The subjects were 209 male and female college students, all undergraduates from the University of Massachusetts, School of Business Administration. They served in the experiment during the duration as part of a requirement for an introductory course in Business Administration. This total was comprised of 168 male and 41 female students. Based on their responses to a preliminary questionnaire administered to all of them, this pool of subjects was separated into "users" and "nonusers" of razor blades. One-hundred-nineteen males and 24 females from the

It is expected that the results of this study will be of value to the extent possible.

in established fetal/neonatal models of cerebral ischemia. [10-12]

It is better than any traffic jammer and it's better than any...

valley, and the low-lying hills to the west and south.

[illegible]

to acquire all information necessary to conduct the investigation and to report the results thereof.

Information reported by the following individuals was obtained from the following sources:

of their other employees in a similar manner. The Government

of the mean of all differential in bindings.

100 101

7. 2. 1.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, *Survey of Current Business*, 1997, 76, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, 755, 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 774, 775, 776, 777, 778, 779, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 785, 786, 787, 788, 789, 790, 791, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796, 797, 798, 799, 800, 801, 802, 803, 804, 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813, 814, 815, 816, 817, 818, 819, 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836, 837, 838, 839,

association's members are encouraged to share their own experiences.

Journal of Management Inquiry 16(4) December 2007 489-504

0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100. 101. 102. 103. 104. 105. 106. 107. 108. 109. 110. 111. 112. 113. 114. 115. 116. 117. 118. 119. 120. 121. 122. 123. 124. 125. 126. 127. 128. 129. 130. 131. 132. 133. 134. 135. 136. 137. 138. 139. 140. 141. 142. 143. 144. 145. 146. 147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159. 160. 161. 162. 163. 164. 165. 166. 167. 168. 169. 170. 171. 172. 173. 174. 175. 176. 177. 178. 179. 180. 181. 182. 183. 184. 185. 186. 187. 188. 189. 190. 191. 192. 193. 194. 195. 196. 197. 198. 199. 200. 201. 202. 203. 204. 205. 206. 207. 208. 209. 210. 211. 212. 213. 214. 215. 216. 217. 218. 219. 220. 221. 222. 223. 224. 225. 226. 227. 228. 229. 230. 231. 232. 233. 234. 235. 236. 237. 238. 239. 240. 241. 242. 243. 244. 245. 246. 247. 248. 249. 250. 251. 252. 253. 254. 255. 256. 257. 258. 259. 260. 261. 262. 263. 264. 265. 266. 267. 268. 269. 270. 271. 272. 273. 274. 275. 276. 277. 278. 279. 280. 281. 282. 283. 284. 285. 286. 287. 288. 289. 290. 291. 292. 293. 294. 295. 296. 297. 298. 299. 300. 301. 302. 303. 304. 305. 306. 307. 308. 309. 310. 311. 312. 313. 314. 315. 316. 317. 318. 319. 320. 321. 322. 323. 324. 325. 326. 327. 328. 329. 330. 331. 332. 333. 334. 335. 336. 337. 338. 339. 340. 341. 342. 343. 344. 345. 346. 347. 348. 349. 350. 351. 352. 353. 354. 355. 356. 357. 358. 359. 360. 361. 362. 363. 364. 365. 366. 367. 368. 369. 370. 371. 372. 373. 374. 375. 376. 377. 378. 379. 380. 381. 382. 383. 384. 385. 386. 387. 388. 389. 390. 391. 392. 393. 394. 395. 396. 397. 398. 399. 400. 401. 402. 403. 404. 405. 406. 407. 408. 409. 410. 411. 412. 413. 414. 415. 416. 417. 418. 419. 420. 421. 422. 423. 424. 425. 426. 427. 428. 429. 430. 431. 432. 433. 434. 435. 436. 437. 438. 439. 440. 441. 442. 443. 444. 445. 446. 447. 448. 449. 450. 451. 452. 453. 454. 455. 456. 457. 458. 459. 460. 461. 462. 463. 464. 465. 466. 467. 468. 469. 470. 471. 472. 473. 474. 475. 476. 477. 478. 479. 480. 481. 482. 483. 484. 485. 486. 487. 488. 489. 490. 491. 492. 493. 494. 495. 496. 497. 498. 499. 500. 501. 502. 503. 504. 505. 506. 507. 508. 509. 510. 511. 512. 513. 514. 515. 516. 517. 518. 519. 520. 521. 522. 523. 524. 525. 526. 527. 528. 529. 530. 531. 532. 533. 534. 535. 536. 537. 538. 539. 540. 541. 542. 543. 544. 545. 546. 547. 548. 549. 550. 551. 552. 553. 554. 555. 556. 557. 558. 559. 560. 561. 562. 563. 564. 565. 566. 567. 568. 569. 570. 571. 572. 573. 574. 575. 576. 577. 578. 579. 580. 581. 582. 583. 584. 585. 586. 587. 588. 589. 590. 591. 592. 593. 594. 595. 596. 597. 598. 599. 600. 601. 602. 603. 604. 605. 606. 607. 608. 609. 610. 611. 612. 613. 614. 615. 616. 617. 618. 619. 620. 621. 622. 623. 624. 625. 626. 627. 628. 629. 630. 631. 632. 633. 634. 635. 636. 637. 638. 639. 640. 641. 642. 643. 644. 645. 646. 647. 648. 649. 650. 651. 652. 653. 654. 655. 656. 657. 658. 659. 660. 661. 662. 663. 664. 665. 666. 667. 668. 669. 670. 671. 672. 673. 674. 675. 676. 677. 678. 679. 680. 681. 682. 683. 684. 685. 686. 687. 688. 689. 690. 691. 692. 693. 694. 695. 696. 697. 698. 699. 700. 701. 702. 703. 704. 705. 706. 707. 708. 709. 710. 711. 712. 713. 714. 715. 716. 717. 718. 719. 720. 721. 722. 723. 724. 725. 726. 727. 728. 729. 730. 731. 732. 733. 734. 735. 736. 737. 738. 739. 740. 741. 742. 743. 744. 745. 746. 747. 748. 749. 750. 751. 752. 753. 754. 755. 756. 757. 758. 759. 760. 761. 762. 763. 764. 765. 766. 767. 768. 769. 770. 771. 772. 773. 774. 775. 776. 777. 778. 779. 780. 781. 782. 783. 784. 785. 786. 787. 788. 789. 790. 791. 792. 793. 794. 795. 796. 797. 798. 799. 800. 801. 802. 803. 804. 805. 806. 807. 808. 809. 810. 811. 812. 813. 814. 815. 816. 817. 818. 819. 820. 821. 822. 823. 824. 825. 826. 827. 828. 829. 830. 831. 832. 833. 834. 835. 836. 837. 838. 839. 840.

2014-2015 2016-2017 2018-2019 2019-2020 2020-2021 2021-2022 2022-2023 2023-2024 2024-2025 2025-2026 2026-2027 2027-2028 2028-2029 2029-2030 2030-2031 2031-2032 2032-2033 2033-2034 2034-2035 2035-2036 2036-2037 2037-2038 2038-2039 2039-2040 2040-2041 2041-2042 2042-2043 2043-2044 2044-2045 2045-2046 2046-2047 2047-2048 2048-2049 2049-2050 2050-2051 2051-2052 2052-2053 2053-2054 2054-2055 2055-2056 2056-2057 2057-2058 2058-2059 2059-2060 2060-2061 2061-2062 2062-2063 2063-2064 2064-2065 2065-2066 2066-2067 2067-2068 2068-2069 2069-2070 2070-2071 2071-2072 2072-2073 2073-2074 2074-2075 2075-2076 2076-2077 2077-2078 2078-2079 2079-2080 2080-2081 2081-2082 2082-2083 2083-2084 2084-2085 2085-2086 2086-2087 2087-2088 2088-2089 2089-2090 2090-2091 2091-2092 2092-2093 2093-2094 2094-2095 2095-2096 2096-2097 2097-2098 2098-2099 2099-2100 2100-2101 2101-2102 2102-2103 2103-2104 2104-2105 2105-2106 2106-2107 2107-2108 2108-2109 2109-2110 2110-2111 2111-2112 2112-2113 2113-2114 2114-2115 2115-2116 2116-2117 2117-2118 2118-2119 2119-2120 2120-2121 2121-2122 2122-2123 2123-2124 2124-2125 2125-2126 2126-2127 2127-2128 2128-2129 2129-2130 2130-2131 2131-2132 2132-2133 2133-2134 2134-2135 2135-2136 2136-2137 2137-2138 2138-2139 2139-2140 2140-2141 2141-2142 2142-2143 2143-2144 2144-2145 2145-2146 2146-2147 2147-2148 2148-2149 2149-2150 2150-2151 2151-2152 2152-2153 2153-2154 2154-2155 2155-2156 2156-2157 2157-2158 2158-2159 2159-2160 2160-2161 2161-2162 2162-2163 2163-2164 2164-2165 2165-2166 2166-2167 2167-2168 2168-2169 2169-2170 2170-2171 2171-2172 2172-2173 2173-2174 2174-2175 2175-2176 2176-2177 2177-2178 2178-2179 2179-2180 2180-2181 2181-2182 2182-2183 2183-2184 2184-2185 2185-2186 2186-2187 2187-2188 2188-2189 2189-2190 2190-2191 2191-2192 2192-2193 2193-2194 2194-2195 2195-2196 2196-2197 2197-2198 2198-2199 2199-2200 2200-2201 2201-2202 2202-2203 2203-2204 2204-2205 2205-2206 2206-2207 2207-2208 2208-2209 2209-2210 2210-2211 2211-2212 2212-2213 2213-2214 2214-2215 2215-2216 2216-2217 2217-2218 2218-2219 2219-2220 2220-2221 2221-2222 2222-2223 2223-2224 2224-2225 2225-2226 2226-2227 2227-2228 2228-2229 2229-2230 2230-2231 2231-2232 2232-2233 2233-2234 2234-2235 2235-2236 2236-2237 2237-2238 2238-2239 2239-2240 2240-2241 2241-2242 2242-2243 2243-2244 2244-2245 2245-2246 2246-2247 2247-2248 2248-2249 2249-2250 2250-2251 2251-2252 2252-2253 2253-2254 2254-2255 2255-2256 2256-2257 2257-2258 2258-2259 2259-2260 2260-2261 2261-2262 2262-2263 2263-2264 2264-2265 2265-2266 2266-2267 2267-2268 2268-2269 2269-2270 2270-2271 2271-2272 2272-2273 2273-2274 2274-2275 2275-2276 2276-2277 2277-2278 2278-2279 2279-2280 2280-2281 2281-2282 2282-2283 2283-2284 2284-2285 2285-2286 2286-2287 2287-2288 2288-2289 2289-2290 2290-2291 2291-2292 2292-2293 2293-2294 2294-2295 2295-2296 2296-2297 2297-2298 2298-2299 2299-2300 2300-2301 2301-2302 2302-2303 2303-2304 2304-2305 2305-2306 2306-2307 2307-2308 2308-2309 2309-2310 2310-2311 2311-2312 2312-2313 2313-2314 2314-2315 2315-2316 2316-2317 2317-2318 2318-2319 2319-2320 2320-2321 2321-2322 2322-2323 2323-2324 2324-2325 2325-2326 2326-2327 2327-2328 2328-2329 2329-2330 2330-2331 2331-2332 2332-2333 2333-2334 2334-2335 2335-2336 2336-2337 2337-2338 2338-2339 2339-2340 2340-2341 2341-2342 2342-2343 2343-2344 2344-2345 2345-2346 2346-2347 2347-2348 2348-2349 2349-2350 2350-2351 2351-2352 2352-2353 2353-2354 2354-2355 2355-2356 2356-2357 2357-2358 2358-2359 2359-2360 2360-2361 2361-2362 2362-2363 2363-2364 2364-2365 2365-2366 2366-2367 2367-2368 2368-2369 2369-2370 2370-2371 2371-2372 2372-2373 2373-2374 2374-2375 2375-2376 2376-2377 2377-2378 2378-2379 2379-2380 2380-2381 2381-2382 2382-2383 2383-2384 2384-2385 2385-2386 2386-2387 2387-2388 2388-2389 2389-2390 2390-2391 2391-2392 2392-2393 2393-2394 2394-2395 2395-2396 2396-2397 2397-2398 2398-2399 2399-2400 2400-2401 2401-2402 2402-2403 2403-2404 2404-2405 2405-2406 2406-2407 2407-2408 2408-2409 2409-2410 2410-2411 2411-2412 2412-2413 2413-2414 2414-2415 2415-2416 2416-2417 2417-2418 2418-2419 2419-2420 2420-2421 2421-2422 2422-2423 2423-2424 2424-2425 2425

"user" group were randomly assigned to the four experimental conditions (involved) and 49 males and 17 females from the "nonuser" group were assigned to the four "uninvolved" (control) conditions.

Design and Procedure

Four experimental conditions were created: Two experimenter-controlled situations (Groups I and II) and two subject-controlled situations (Groups III and IV). Two choice alternatives were provided for Groups I and III and three choices were provided to Groups II and IV. The task involved a choice among two or three brands of double-edged razor blades over a period of time.

The procedure required the subjects to come to the laboratory three times a week (once every Monday, Wednesday and Friday) and to indicate a choice among the brands of blades indicated for his group. In the first meeting with the subject, he was told that the experiment would last several weeks and he (she) is required to come every Monday, Wednesday and Friday to make a choice. If the subject agreed to participate for several weeks, then, based on the group to which he was assigned, he was told to indicate a choice among two or three brands of blades and was told to continue making a choice each time from among these (two or three) alternatives only. For those who were assigned to the experimenter-controlled situations, the subject was told that while he is required to make a choice on each visit, among the alternatives indicated, he will be given a razor blade (free) as indicated for him by the computer for that trial, irrespective of his choice. The subjects in the subject-controlled situations were told that on each visit the subject has to indicate a

and the other two groups were given the same instructions.

The first group was given the instructions in the form of a

written statement, the second group was given the instructions

orally and the third group was given the instructions

by a tape recording. The instructions were given to the

three groups in the form of a written statement (Group I and II) and a

tape recording (Group III and IV). The instructions were given to the

three groups in the form of a written statement (Group I and II) and

a tape recording (Group III and IV). The instructions were given to the

three groups in the form of a written statement and a

tape recording. The instructions were given to the three groups

in the form of a written statement and a tape recording. The

instructions were given to the three groups in the form of a

written statement and a tape recording. The instructions were given to the

three groups in the form of a written statement and a tape recording.

The instructions were given to the three groups in the form of a

written statement and a tape recording. The instructions were given to the

three groups in the form of a written statement and a tape recording.

The instructions were given to the three groups in the form of a

written statement and a tape recording. The instructions were given to the

three groups in the form of a written statement and a tape recording.

The instructions were given to the three groups in the form of a

written statement and a tape recording. The instructions were given to the

three groups in the form of a written statement and a tape recording.

The instructions were given to the three groups in the form of a

choice on the choice sheet and he would be given a blade (free) if his choice matched the choice that is indicated for him (her) by the computer. In other words, the subject was told, that if his choice matched the choice indicated for him by the computer, he would get a free blade, otherwise no blade would be given him on that trial.

The reward schedules were determined for each block of ten trials in advance. For each subject there was a folder, in which there was a "choice sheet" to indicate the subject's choice and there was a sheet for the experimenter in which just before each trial, the free blade choice (computer choice) was indicated. Thus the research assistant was not in a position to know the "computer choice" earlier. Each subject was run individually. Two separate rooms and two research assistants were used to separate the experimenter-controlled situations from the subject-controlled situations.

On entering the laboratory, the subject was asked to indicate his choice for that time period on his sheet. Then the research assistant made sure that the alternative indicated was among the applicable set of alternatives for the group to which that subject was assigned and then looked into his folder to see the computer choice. In the experimenter-controlled situations, the subject received a blade free, in accordance with the computer choice. For the subject-controlled situations, the subject was told whether his choice matched or did not match to that made by the computer, and the subject was given a blade if there was a "match." The blades had been individually packaged with the name written on top of the small envelope and thus the participating subjects were unaware of all the brands that were involved in the experiment. The subjects had been

told that there were several studies that were in progress using different brands of blades and was cautioned not to compare his situation with that of others.

The choices involved and the reward schedule that was used are indicated in Table 1. The tasks for Groups V, VI, and VII and VIII were similar in every way (choices, etc.) with the exception that these subjects were told that since they were "dry" shavers, we wanted them to play the "game," and no mention was made of any free blade being given away. The reason given to them was that we were interested in seeing how well they would be able to guess the computer's choices.

At the end of 30 trials the experiment was terminated and subjects were debriefed as to the nature and purpose of the experiment. A few subjects who had missed two or three trials were allowed to complete them at their last time period. There is no reason to suspect that there was any more than natural interreaction among the subjects during the duration of the experiment.

Insert Table 1 about here

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results from all the four experiments are summarized in Table 2. The basic statistic under consideration is the proportion of subjects at each trial who chose the brand of blades that had the greatest reward schedule. That is, Personna brand of blade in the two-choice and three-choice experimenter-controlled situations and Wilkinson brand of blade in the two-choice and three-choice subject-controlled situations.

It is not clear from the text whether the author is referring to a specific case or to a general principle.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

It is not clear from the text whether the author is referring to a specific case or to a general principle.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

The text is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems to be discussing a legal or philosophical issue.

Insert Table 2 about here

In accordance with the statistical learning theory prediction, at the end of thirty trials the response rate should be equal to the asymptotic level of learning. The latter turns out to be 0.70 in all the four situations. It is obvious from Table 2 that both choice situations in experimenter-controlled conditions failed to reach the level of learning predicted by the model. In fact, in the three-choice situation the proportions are not far better than what one would expect by chance, and in the case of the two-choice situation, the proportions hardly reached the 0.50 level one would expect by chance if the choices were random.

The results for the two subject-controlled conditions provide a different picture. In the three-choice situation, the proportions are significantly different from chance proportions, although the asymptotic level of learning is not attained. In the two-choice situation, not only are the proportions systematically different from what we would expect by chance, but the rate of learning has reached or even surpassed the asymptotic level predicted by the model.

Statistically, it would be more appropriate to compare the observed proportions over 30 trials with what the model theoretically predicts. However, in order to obtain the theoretical learning curves, three parameters are needed: the initial probability of response to the alternative (p_0), the asymptotic level of learning (λ_i) and the rate of learning ($\alpha_i = 1 - a_i - b_i$). The first two parameters are given by the dictates of the model: If there is no prior learning and if there are no individual differences, the initial probability p_0 is equal to chance probability.

English Literature

The English Literature course is designed to provide students with a comprehensive understanding of the English language and its literary heritage.

Students will study the works of major English writers from the Middle Ages to the present day, including Chaucer, Shakespeare, Milton, and the Victorian novelists.

The course also explores the development of the English language over time, from Old English to Modern English, and the influence of other languages on English.

Students will be encouraged to develop their critical thinking skills and to write clearly and effectively about the literature they study.

The course is suitable for students who are interested in the English language and its literary heritage, and who wish to develop their critical thinking and writing skills.

Students who complete this course will be well-prepared to pursue further studies in English Literature or related fields.

The course is taught by experienced lecturers who are experts in their fields, and who will provide students with a high-quality education.

Students who are interested in this course should contact the English Literature department for more information.

The English Literature course is a challenging and rewarding experience that will provide students with a deep understanding of the English language and its literary heritage.

Students who complete this course will be well-prepared to pursue further studies in English Literature or related fields.

The course is taught by experienced lecturers who are experts in their fields, and who will provide students with a high-quality education.

Students who are interested in this course should contact the English Literature department for more information.

The English Literature course is a challenging and rewarding experience that will provide students with a deep understanding of the English language and its literary heritage.

Students who complete this course will be well-prepared to pursue further studies in English Literature or related fields.

The course is taught by experienced lecturers who are experts in their fields, and who will provide students with a high-quality education.

Students who are interested in this course should contact the English Literature department for more information.

The English Literature course is a challenging and rewarding experience that will provide students with a deep understanding of the English language and its literary heritage.

Students who complete this course will be well-prepared to pursue further studies in English Literature or related fields.

The course is taught by experienced lecturers who are experts in their fields, and who will provide students with a high-quality education.

Students who are interested in this course should contact the English Literature department for more information.

The English Literature course is a challenging and rewarding experience that will provide students with a deep understanding of the English language and its literary heritage.

Students who complete this course will be well-prepared to pursue further studies in English Literature or related fields.

The course is taught by experienced lecturers who are experts in their fields, and who will provide students with a high-quality education.

Students who are interested in this course should contact the English Literature department for more information.

The English Literature course is a challenging and rewarding experience that will provide students with a deep understanding of the English language and its literary heritage.

Students who complete this course will be well-prepared to pursue further studies in English Literature or related fields.

In a two-choice situation, this would be 0.50 and in a three-choice situation, it will be 0.34. Similarly, the asymptotic level of learning would equal the proportion of times a response is reinforced. In all the four experimental conditions, the value of λ_i is 0.70. However, the parameter values of α_i need to be estimated from the data.

Bush and Mosteller (1) provided a variety of estimation procedures primarily to permit assumptions related to the inequality of consequences following the reward as opposed to punishment events. However, not knowing whether matching the brand of blade that a subject had chosen (reward) is different from not matching the brand of blade (nonreward), we have assumed that their respective effects on the probability of choosing an alternative are about the same although inversely related. The rate of learning (α_i) in all the four experiments is accordingly estimated with the method suggested by Bush and Mosteller (1, p. 281).¹

With the use of estimated α_i , the theoretical proportions for subject-controlled conditions were calculated. In the case of experimenter-controlled sequence experiments, it was clear from the data that observed values were consistently lower than theoretical (fitted) values. In fact, there was not even a single trial when the observed proportions were equal to or greater than the theoretical proportions. On the other hand, both the subject-controlled experiments approximate the theoretical proportions better, as shown in Figures 1 and 2. Since the estimated values are high, it may be indicative of slow rate of learning ($\alpha_i = 1 - a_i - b_i$). Thus, the rate of learning is greater generally in the subject-controlled conditions, and in particular, for the two-choice situation.

¹See Appendix for calculations.

1997-1998

Insert Figures 1 and 2 about here

Two types of tests of goodness-of-fit were performed on the observed and theoretical proportions. The first is a runs test proposed by Sved and Eisenhart (12). At each trial, if the observed value is greater than the theoretical value, a plus (+) sign is given to that trial, and if it is less, a minus (-) sign is given. Then the number of consecutive pluses or minuses (runs) is calculated. This number is compared to what would be expected by chance alone. If the runs are too many or too few as compared to expected number of runs, it indicates that there are significant differences between the observed and theoretical proportions of the choices over 30 trials.

A normal deviate is computed using the following formula in cases when the trials are large in number. It is as follows:

$$Z = \frac{d - E(d)}{\sigma_d}$$

where d = number of runs of consecutive pluses or minuses in the data,

$$E(d) = \frac{2n_1n_2}{n_1+n_2} + 1 \quad \text{where } n_1 = \text{number of pluses}$$

n_2 = number of minuses,

$$\text{and } \sigma_d^2 = \frac{2n_1n_2(2n_1n_2 - n_1 - n_2)}{(n_1+n_2)^2(n_1+n_2-1)}$$

Only for the two-choice subject-controlled condition, the actual runs were significantly more than the expected number of runs (number of runs = 19, $Z = 2.15$, significant at .05 level). For all the other conditions, the runs turned out to be fewer than would be expected.

We can conclude from these tests that in most of the cases experimental data do not match the behavior predicted by learning models. However, the theoretical models were based on certain assumptions which may not be true in the real-life situations. For example, the models presumed that there is no prior learning or that there are no differences among subjects when participating in the experiments. Our examination of the data revealed that there were set preferences for certain brands of blades that were used in the experiments: Wilkinson had been found to be generally more preferred and used by the subjects prior to their participation in the experiments, and Personna was found to be less preferred and used.

These preferences clearly state that initial probability (p_0) is not likely to be equal to chance probability and hence our estimations of initial probabilities should be other than the equal chance probabilities that had been used in the calculations. Secondly, the reinforcement schedules are likely to be more or less effective depending upon prior preferences or prejudices toward the brands. Hence the asymptotic levels which were presumed to be equal to the levels of reinforcement schedules should be revised.

The initial probabilities were re-estimated from the data: the first five trials were examined in their proportions and the mean level of these proportions was chosen as the estimate of initial probability. The asymptotic levels (π_i) were reduced from 0.70 to 0.60 in both of the experimenter-controlled sequences because the alternative under consideration, namely Personna blade, was less preferred. On the other hand, the asymptotic levels were raised to 0.80 in both of the subject-controlled experiments because Wilkinson blade was more preferred by the subjects.

The new estimates of rates of learning (α_i) based on the new estimated values of initial probabilities and asymptotic levels of learning turned out to be not substantially different from the previous estimates indicating that the rates of learning are not affected by bringing in the prior experiences.

Comparisons between the experimental data and the new theoretical proportions revealed that the new estimates are considerably closer to the experimental data particularly in the initial stages of learning. However, the runs test over all the 30 trials did not show any improvement in the goodness-of-fit between experimental and theoretical values.

One of the important cognitive aspects relevant to consumer learning is 'involvement.' Krugman (7) has suggested that learning may take place even without involvement.

In order to examine the effects of non-involvement on the learning process, four control conditions had been created, Groups VI, VII, VIII, and IX. In Table 3 the proportions of choice of the most rewarded blade are given for the non-involved group. Comparison of the non-involved and involved groups reveal some very interesting similarities.

Insert Table 3 about here

First, in the case of experimenter-controlled conditions, the proportions are relatively very similar. In fact, the proportions for the non-involved group seem to match better than the involved group with the predicted proportions based on the theoretical models. In view of the fact that the experimenter-controlled conditions are more like game playing for both the groups, and hence their levels of involvement may in fact be the same as that of the control groups.

Secondly, in both of the subject-controlled conditions proportions are less for the non-involved groups than the involved group. This can be explained by two factors: (1) the subject-controlled conditions are more realistic and simulate consumer choice behavior, inasmuch as the consequences are directly a function of the choice. Hence the involved group would be expected to learn more rapidly and manifest greater systematic behavior; and (2) the involved group had prior preferences for the Wilkinson blade and the choices and reward schedule involved this brand of blades.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on our experimentation with a model based on statistical learning theories, it appears reasonable to conclude that even when these models are modified to make them realistic to consumer learning situations, they do not fully predict brand choice behavior. On the positive side, the experiment indicates that learning (systematic behavior) does take place, but the particular form of learning or model that would satisfactorily explain brand loyalty phenomenon is yet to be found. In examining the data, however, it appears that the subjects at first seem to manifest systematic behavior (as measured by the size of proportions) to a brand and then switch to the other alternatives and again come back to the first alternative. This cycling is occurring more than once in each of the experimental conditions. This may be indicative that learning may be fast enough for individuals in consumer learning situations as simple as this experimentation attempted to simulate, so that the subject may have

[illegible]

been switching possibly for exploratory purposes. Such post hoc explanations seem to support the cyclical phenomenon which Howard and Sheth (5) have called the "psychology of simplification and complication," need to be systematically investigated in the future.

of 1944. The first of these was the fact that the
 Government had been unable to secure the necessary
 funds to carry out its policy of maintaining the
 standard of living of the people. The second was the
 fact that the Government had been unable to secure the
 necessary funds to carry out its policy of maintaining the
 standard of living of the people.

REFERENCES

1. Robert R. Bush and Frederick Mosteller. Stochastic Models for Learning New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1955.
2. James M. Carmen, "Brand Switching and Linear Models," Journal of Advertising Research, 6, (June, 1966), 23-31.
3. Ronald E. Frank, "Brand Choice as a Probability Process," Journal of Business, 35, (1962), 43-56.
4. E. R. Hilgard. Theories of Learning. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1956.
5. John A. Howard and Jagdish N. Sheth. The Theory of Buyer Behavior. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1969.
6. Alfred A. Kuehn, "Consumer Brand Choice as a Learning Process," Journal of Advertising Research, 2, (1962), 10-17.
7. Herbert E. Krugman, "The Impact of Television Advertising: Learning without Involvement," Public Opinion Quarterly, 29, (Fall, 1965), 349-356.
8. David B. Montgomery. "A Probability Diffusion Model of Dynamic Market Behavior." Working Paper No. 205-66, Sloan School of Management, MIT, 1966.
9. Jagdish N. Sheth, "A Review of Buyer Behavior," Management Science, 13, (1967), B718-B756..
10. Jagdish N. Sheth, "How Adults Learn Brand Preference," Journal of Advertising Research, 8, (1968), 25-38.
11. R. L. Solomon and L. C. Wynne, "Traumatic Avoidance Learning: The Principles of Anxiety Conservation and Partial Irreversibility," Psychological Review, 68, (1954), 353-385.

12. F. S. Swed and C. Eisenhart, "Tables for Testing Randomness of Grouping in a Sequence of Alternatives," Annals. of Math. Statistics, 14, (1943), 66-87.
13. W. T. Tucker, "The Development of Brand Loyalty," Journal of Marketing Research, 1, (1964), 32-35.

the first of these is the fact that the system is not in equilibrium with the environment.

The second of these is the fact that the system is not in equilibrium with the environment.

The third of these is the fact that the system is not in equilibrium with the environment.

The fourth of these is the fact that the system is not in equilibrium with the environment.

APPENDIX

Estimation of (α_i) rate of learning

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{\pi_1 - V_{1,0}}{N\pi_1 - T}$$

where α = rate of learning parameter,

π_1 = asymptotic level of learning,

$V_{1,0}$ = proportion of responses to the alternative at the initial trial (p_0),

N = number of trials, and

$\bar{T} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k T_i$ = average number of responses to the alternative over all trials.

With the a priori knowledge of π_1 and $V_{1,0} = p_0$ for all the four experimental conditions, it is easy to determine α_i for various types of learning. The estimates are calculated below:

p_0 = average of first five trials

$\pi_1 = 0.60$ in experimenter-controlled situations

$\pi_1 = 0.80$ in subject-controlled situations

1. Experimenter-controlled situation, two-choice:

$$1 - \frac{\pi_1 - V_{1,0}}{N\pi_1 - \bar{T}} = 1 - \frac{.60 - .32}{30(.6) - 11.2} = 1 - \frac{.28}{6.8} = .959$$

2. Experimenter-controlled situation, three-choice:

$$1 - \frac{\pi_1 - V_{1,0}}{N\pi_1 - \bar{T}} = 1 - \frac{.60 - .18}{30(.6) - 9.2} = 1 - \frac{.42}{8.8} = .952$$

1950-51

1. The first of the following is the

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = 1$$

2. The second of the following is the

3. The third of the following is the

4. The fourth of the following is the

(1)

5. The fifth of the following is the

6. The sixth of the following is the

7. The seventh of the following is the

8. The eighth of the following is the

9. The ninth of the following is the

10. The tenth of the following is the

11. The eleventh of the following is the

12. The twelfth of the following is the

13. The thirteenth of the following is the

14. The fourteenth of the following is the

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = 1$$

15. The fifteenth of the following is the

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = 1$$

3. Subject-controlled situation, two-choice:

$$1 - \frac{\pi_i - V_{1,0}}{N\pi_i - \bar{T}} = 1 - \frac{.80 - .64}{30(.8) - 20.4} = 1 - \frac{.16}{3.6} = .956$$

4. Subject-controlled situation, three-choice:

$$1 - \frac{\pi_i - V_{1,0}}{N\pi_i - \bar{T}} = 1 - \frac{.80 - .41}{30(.8) - 14.2} = 1 - \frac{.39}{9.8} = .960$$

for $\epsilon = 0$ and $\epsilon = 1$ we have the following results:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \epsilon} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx$$

where the first term is the Dirichlet energy and the second term is the potential energy.

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \epsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx$$

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS-URBANA

3 0112 060296891