DUDLEY HUGHES LIBRARY
MAY 1 1954
MAY 1 1954
MAY 1 1954

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California

THESIS

NUTRIENT STUDY OF MESOSCALE THERMAL
FEATURES OFF POINT SUR, CALIFORNIA

by

Walter Elof Hanson, Jr.

September 1980

Thesis Advisor:

Eugene D. Traganza

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

T197841

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

KNOX LIBRARY
NAVY DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE
HATFIELD LIBRARY

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Nutrient Study of Mesoscale Thermal Features off Point Sur, California		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Master's Thesis; September 1980
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Walter Elof Hanson, Jr.		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		12. REPORT DATE September 1980
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 182
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) nutrients chemical fronts nitrate sea surface temperature phosphate satellite infrared imagery thermal fronts upwelling		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Thermal patterns with the appearance of cyclonic motion and sharp thermal fronts frequently are seen in satellite IR images off the California coast near Pt. Sur. These thermal patterns are associated with distinctly structured upwelling systems. In nine seasonal cruises since December 1978, nutrient fronts are strongly correlated with thermal fronts. However, an upwelling which is well defined by the satellite detected		

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

thermal front, may not be nutrient rich. A strong inverse linear correlation between nutrients and temperature though does exist for upwelling within an early stage of development. It is feasible, by utilizing limited in situ data, to infer nutrient distribution within satellite detected, surface thermal patterns associated with upwelling.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Nutrient Study of Mesoscale Thermal
Features Off Point Sur, California

by

Walter Elof Hanson, Jr.
Lieutenant, United States Coast Guard
B.S., United States Coast Guard Academy, 1974

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN OCEANOGRAPHY

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
September 1980

ABSTRACT

Thermal patterns with the appearance of cyclonic motion and sharp thermal fronts frequently are seen in satellite IR images off the California coast near Pt. Sur. These thermal patterns are associated with distinctly structured upwelling systems. In nine seasonal cruises since December 1978, nutrient fronts are strongly correlated with thermal fronts. However, an upwelling which is well defined by the satellite detected thermal front, may not be nutrient rich. A strong inverse linear correlation between nutrients and temperature though does exist for upwelling within an early stage of development. It is feasible, by utilizing limited in situ data, to infer nutrient distribution within satellite detected, surface thermal patterns associated with upwelling.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION-----	13
II.	METHODS-----	16
	A. STUDY AREA-----	16
	B. CRUISES-----	16
	C. SURFACE SEAWATER SAMPLING SYSTEM-----	16
	D. VERTICAL PROFILE SAMPLING-----	18
	E. TEMPERATURE-----	18
	F. NUTRIENTS-----	19
	G. LINEAR REGRESSION AND CORRELATION COMPUTATIONS-----	19
	H. SATELLITE IMAGERY-----	20
	I. SATELLITE ASSISTED SAMPLING STRATEGY-----	21
	J. SATELLITE ASSISTED MAPPING OF SURFACE TEMPERATURE-----	22
	K. SURFACE NUTRIENT MAPS-----	24
	L. DEFINITION OF FRONT AND CALCULATION OF ITS GRADIENTS-----	24
III.	RESULTS-----	26
	A. SEPTEMBER 1979 CRUISE-----	26
	B. NOVEMBER 1979 CRUISE-----	45
	C. JUNE 1980 CRUISE-----	66
IV.	DISCUSSION-----	83
	A. SATELLITE DETECTED THERMAL PATTERNS COMPARED TO <u>IN SITU</u> SURFACE MAPS-----	83

B.	NUTRIENT-TEMPERATURE CORRELATION AND 'ACTIVE UPWELLING' SYSTEMS-----	84
C.	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOURCE WATER CHARACTERISTICS AND THE OCEANIC FRONT-----	87
D.	NUTRIENT DISTRIBUTION PREDICTION REQUIRES GROUND TRUTH-----	89
E.	THE FEASIBILITY OF SATELLITE PREDICTION AIDED BY LIMITED <u>IN SITU</u> SAMPLING-----	89
1.	Use of the Oceanic Water Temperature as an Intercept for a Predictive Regression Line-----	90
2.	Hypothesis That Nutrient/Temperature Relation within Source Water Is Linear----	90
3.	The Effects of Wind Mixing and Heat Exchange to the Atmosphere on Surface Water near Oceanic Front-----	93
4.	Determination of Depth from Which Source Water Was Advected-----	93
5.	A Hindcast of Nitrate Concentration Using Data from the November 1979 Cruise--	94
a.	Comparison of Predicted Nitrate Concentration to That Computed by Regression Analysis of Observed Data--	95
b.	Summary of Conditions for Which This Test Appeared Satisfactory-----	96
V.	CONCLUSIONS-----	98
APPENDIX A:	Listing of Surface Data: Time, Latitude, Longitude, Elapsed Distance, Nitrate, Phosphate, Nutrient Ratio, ATP, Δ ATP/ATP, Chlorophyll, Temperature-----	99
APPENDIX B:	Listing of Nansen Cast Data: Station, Time, Latitude, Longitude, Depth, Salinity, Temperature, Density, Nitrate, ATP-----	157
APPENDIX C:	Computer Programs-----	158
BIBLIOGRAPHY	-----	177
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST	-----	179

LIST OF TABLES

I.	Linear Regression Analysis-----	81
II.	Gradients and Observed Values at the Oceanic Front-----	82

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHIC PLATES

Plate 1.	TIROS-N Satellite IR Image for 26 September 1979-----	27
Plate 2.	TIROS-N Satellite IR Image for 29 November 1979-----	52
Plate 3.	TIROS-N Satellite IR Image for 9 June 1980-----	67

LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Bathymetry of the Study Area with Grid Superimposed-----	17
2.	Satellite Features Observed from 20 September to 2 October 1979 [Johnson, 1980]-----	28
3.	Track of the September 1979 Cruise and Outline of the Oceanic Front-----	29
4.	Nitrate, Phosphate, and Sea Surface Temperature Versus Elapsed Distance along the Track of the September 1979 Cruise-----	30
5.	Nutrient Ratio and Sea Surface Temperature versus Elapsed Distance along the Track of the September 1979 Cruise-----	33
6.	Nitrate versus Phosphate for the September 1979 Cruise-----	35
7.	Nitrate versus Temperature for the September 1979 Cruise-----	36
8.	Phosphate versus Temperature for the September 1979 Cruise-----	37
9.	Nutrient Ratio versus Temperature for the September 1979 Cruise-----	38
10.	Sea Surface Temperature Map for the September 1979 Cruise-----	39
11.	Surface Nitrate Map for the September 1979 Cruise---	40
12.	Surface Phosphate Map for the September 1979 Cruise-----	41
13.	Surface Nutrient Ratio Map for the September 1979 Cruise-----	42
14.	Incremental Change per Kilometer of Temperature, Nitrate, and Phosphate versus Elapsed Distance along the Track of the September 1979 Cruise-----	43

15.	Vertical Temperature Sections along the Track of the September 1979 Cruise [Johnson, 1980]-----	46
16.	Satellite Feature Observed from 19 November to 14 December 1979 [Johnson, 1980]-----	51
17.	Track of the November 1979 Cruise and Outline of the Oceanic Front-----	53
18.	Nitrate and Sea Surface Temperature versus Elapsed Distance along the Track of the November 1979 Cruise-----	55
19.	Nitrate versus Temperature for the November 1979 Cruise-----	56
20.	Sea Surface Temperature Map for the November 1979 Cruise-----	57
21.	Surface Nitrate Map for the November 1979 Cruise----	58
22.	Incremental Change per Kilometer of Temperature and Nitrate versus Elapsed Distance along the Track of the November 1979 Cruise-----	59
23.	Vertical Temperature Sections along the Track of the November 1979 Cruise [Johnson, 1980]-----	61
24.	Temperature, Salinity, and Nitrate versus Depth at Seven Stations on the November 1979 Cruise-----	64
25.	T-S and T-N Diagrams of the Seven Stations on the November 1979 Cruise-----	65
26.	Track of the June 1980 Cruise and Outline of the Oceanic Front-----	68
27.	Nitrate, Phosphate, and Sea Surface Temperature versus Elapsed Distance along the Track of the June 1980 Cruise-----	69
28.	Nutrient Ratio and Sea Surface Temperature versus Elapsed Distance along the Track of the June 1980 Cruise-----	70
29.	Nitrate versus Phosphate for the June 1980 Cruise---	72
30.	Nitrate versus Temperature for the June 1980 Cruise-----	73
31.	Phosphate versus Temperature for the June 1980 Cruise-----	74

32.	Nutrient Ratio versus Temperature for the June 1980 Cruise-----	75
33.	Sea Surface Temperature Map for the June 1980 Cruise-----	76
34.	Surface Nitrate Map for the June 1980 Cruise-----	77
35.	Surface Phosphate Map for the June 1980 Cruise-----	78
36.	Surface Nutrient Ratio Map for the June 1980 Cruise-----	79
37.	Incremental Change per Kilometer of Temperature, Nitrate, and Phosphate versus Elapsed Distance along the Track of the June 1980 Cruise-----	80
38.	Summary of Regression Lines for Nitrate, Phosphate, and Nutrient Ratio versus Temperature, 7 December 1978 - 10 June 1980-----	85
39.	T - S and T - N Diagram for Station 2, Ocean Reference, with 25m and 2m Data from Stations 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 Added to Identify Source Water---	92

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis is a result of ongoing research in chemical oceanography at the Naval Postgraduate School which is supported by the Office of Naval Research, Code 482, NSTL, Bay St. Louis, Mississippi. I thank the sponsors and key individuals whose assistance made this task possible, including: Dr. Eugene Traganza, principal investigator, Professor Jacob Wickham, Mr. Dana Austin, Ms. Andrea McDonald, Mr. Jerry Norton, Ms. Bonita Hunter, all of the Naval Postgraduate School; Captain W. W. Reynolds and the crew of the R/V ACANIA; Mr. Larry Breaker of the National Environmental Satellite Service at Redwood City, California; and Lt. Sherman Bronsink, USN, my research colleague. Lastly, I thank Robin, my wife, for her forbearance, support and love.

I. INTRODUCTION

This thesis is a study of the linear correlation of satellite detected sea surface thermal patterns to nitrate and phosphate levels in a coastal upwelling region. In part, it considers whether the chemical mesoscale in upwelling systems may be inferred from satellite IR detection of surface thermal patterns.

The Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) on the TIROS (Television Infrared Observation Satellites) satellite series can be used to locate sea surface temperature patterns which identify upwelling regions for in situ automated biochemical and thermal sampling and analyses. Surface temperature and nutrient maps can be generated using in situ measurements and inference re temperature based on synoptic satellite IR imagery and nutrient to temperature correlations.

Previous studies which used the same methods to detect and describe the thermal features (e.g., Traganza, Nestor, and McDonald, 1980) showed that some of these features resulted from upwelling pulses and produced nutrient structures of the same scale. These highly structured upwelling systems had sharp thermal and chemical gradients or fronts. The recurrent formation of a "cyclonic upwelling system" off Pt. Sur, California [Traganza, Conrad, and Breaker, 1980], offers a unique opportunity to investigate the significance of frontal systems in

regions of upwelling. Significantly higher nutrient concentrations observed in upwelling systems can enhance biological activity in the proximity of the fronts.

The ability to infer the nutrient concentrations associated with an upwelling system, identified in satellite IR imagery, might help in explaining the relationships between the chemical mesoscale and pelagic ecosystem in the region. The occurrence of microplanktonic blooms adjacent to sharp thermal and chemical gradients has been documented in many coastal upwelling regions around the world [Barber, 1980; Blasco, 1980; Boyd and Smith, 1980; Traganza, Conrad, and Breaker, 1980]. Because phytoplankton are the primary producers in the pelagic ecosystem, their productivity supports the foodweb of which man harvests primarily the higher level heterotrophs. The magnitudes of nutrient concentrations and nutrient fluxes in upwelling systems could provide clues to the biological productivity in such areas. The associated thermo-chemical gradients or fronts could become the foci for fishing efforts. With the potential for biological production known and the growth rate predicted for an ecosystem, fishing fleets could be effectively dispatched to optimize yields.

While thermal patterns may correlate well with satellite observations, correlations for chemical patterns with IR imagery are by inference and may be less simple, tending to vary as a function of the initial conditions, boundary

conditions, biological processes, and the dynamic processes acting over time. An understanding of all these processes may be necessary to translate satellite thermal patterns into nutrient levels.

The objectives of this thesis are:

1. To investigate the linear relationship between in situ values of nutrients (nitrate and phosphate) and temperature associated with coastal upwelling occurring under varying nutrient and temperature characteristics of the ambient water mass and stages of development.
2. To map observed values of temperature, nitrate, phosphate, and nutrient ratio (nitrate/phosphate).
3. To compare the magnitudes and gradients of temperature and nutrients associated with the oceanic front in different types of upwelling in different stages of development.
4. To examine the relationship between the surface temperatures and nutrient concentrations in recently upwelled water and to estimate the depth from which it advected.
5. To test the accuracy of a satellite-aided prediction in which the source water temperature and nutrient characteristics are used in a regression equation to hindcast surface nutrient concentrations.

II. METHODS

A. STUDY AREA

The study area (Fig. 1) extends ca. 30 km north of Pt. Sur and ca. 60 km to the south. It is bounded by the 122° 30' W meridian and the Central California coastline stretching from Monterey to Cape San Martin.

B. CRUISES

Three cruises were conducted aboard the Naval Postgraduate School's research vessel R/V ACANIA, 27 and 28 September 1979; 29 and 30 November 1970; and 10 and 11 June 1980. Positions were determined by LORAN-C which has good coverage in this region. Running plots of temperature and nitrate and phosphate concentrations were maintained and used to ensure coverage of features of interest. Particular attention was given to the location of thermal gradients observed in satellite images received prior to each cruise.

C. SURFACE SEAWATER SAMPLING SYSTEM

Seawater was continuously sampled from a depth of 2.5m. The September and November cruises pumped seawater from a keel intake via the ship's pump to the shipboard laboratory. The June cruise used an overboard nylon hose intake at 2.5m, which was attached to a deck-mounted Wilden, M-4, air operated diaphragm pump (Wilden Pump and Engineering Company,

Fig. 1. Bathymetry of the study area with grid superimposed.

Colton, California 92324). An air debubbler was used in line between the pump and measuring equipment [Technicon Autoanalyzer, AA-II and Turner III Fluorometer; see Bronsink, 1980].

D. VERTICAL PROFILE SAMPLING

Seven Nansen casts were conducted also on the November cruise. Seawater samples were collected at 25, 50, 100, 200, 300, and 400 meters for analysis of temperature, salinity, and nitrate.

E. TEMPERATURE

A thermistor sensed the temperature of the seawater entering the keel intake. A strip chart recorder continuously plotted it. The equipment was calibrated and monitored every 30 minutes by bucket thermometer readings of the sea surface. The mean difference, $T(\text{bucket}) - T(\text{thermistor})$, of 0.1°C (std. dev. $\pm 0.2^{\circ}\text{C}$) was determined for the temperature. Sippican expendable bathythermograph (XBT) probes were released every 20 minutes, or ca. every 5 km, during the September cruise. The September 1979 and June 1980 cruises dropped XBT probes only in areas of major interest. A spacing of ca. 5 km was maintained along the major axis of the feature and during front transits. For calibration, sea surface temperature was measured by bucket thermometer simultaneously with the launch of each XBT probe. The mean

difference, $T(\text{bucket}) - T(\text{probe})$, between surface measurements was 0.0°C (std. dev. $\pm 0.2^{\circ}\text{C}$).

F. NUTRIENTS

Surface (2.5m) nutrient concentrations were analyzed every two minutes according to the Technicon Industrial Method 175-72-WM, 177-72-WM [Anonymous, 1973] and 100-70-WM [Anonymous, 1978]. Nitrate here may include traces of in situ nitrite, since the nitrate is reduced to nitrite before measurement. However, according to Paulson [1972] there is little or no nitrite at the surface sampling depth in this area.

G. LINEAR REGRESSION AND CORRELATION COMPUTATIONS

Regression lines were generated for nitrate versus phosphate, nitrate versus temperature, phosphate versus temperature, and nutrient ratio (nitrate/phosphate) versus temperature. The linear regression analysis used the NORLSQ library subroutine from the W.R. Church Computer Center at the Naval Postgraduate School.

The population correlation coefficient was derived by the equation:

$$r = \frac{n\sum x_i y_i - (\sum x_i)(\sum y_i)}{([\sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2][\sum y_i^2 - (\sum y_i)^2])^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

When a "none detected" condition occurred due to concentrations below the sensitivity of the instrument, the nutrient and temperature data were not used in the calculations.

H. SATELLITE IMAGERY

The AVHRR has a temperature resolution of $.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ within the IR ($10.5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ to $12.5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) spectral window and a spatial resolution of 1.1 km . The thermal energy measured by the AVHRR is primarily a function of radiation emitted from the upper millimeters of the sea surface, clouds, and atmospheric gases. The greatest errors in estimating sea surface temperature are induced by atmospheric attenuation of the thermal energy due to absorption and scattering. The effect of absorption by moisture in the atmosphere presents the most severe problem because of the magnitude of absorption and the variability of the moisture field. At mid-latitudes over the ocean, this attenuation may result in measurements that are 2 to 6°C lower than actual sea surface skin temperatures [Maul and Sidran, 1973].

The AVHRR has a temperature response from ca. -90 to 60°C . The measured thermal energy is normally displayed as gray tones on photographic film to produce images interpretable as clouds, land, and water. The colder temperatures within these images are assigned lighter shades of gray. Image enhancement reduced the range of temperatures to those representative of the sea surface, while retaining all the gray tones [see Methods: Satellite Assisted Sampling Strategy]. In the enhancement of the TIROS-N images (Plates 1, 2, and 3) a temperature range representative of the in situ range of values was assigned to shades of gray with white equal

to ca. 7°C and black ca. 22°C. Studying satellite images alone is subjective because of the inability to assign temperature values representative of the sea surface to each gray shade. However, the relative temperature values and thermal gradients are more representative of in situ measurements within the same image than are absolute values. Because of the atmospheric effects on the thermal energy reaching the satellite, these measurements can best be described as "apparent temperatures."

I. SATELLITE ASSISTED SAMPLING STRATEGY

Approximately one week prior to each scheduled departure, Mr. Breaker, the staff oceanographer at the National Environmental Satellite Service (NESS), in Redwood City, California, would be alerted. He closely monitored images of TIROS-N satellite series for oceanic features of interest in the study area. The images were computer enhanced by him to better delineate sea surface thermal patterns. All images had the non-linear distortion associated with the earth's curvature and rotation removed. The day prior to the cruise and sometimes during the cruise, Mr. Breaker sent updated satellite information regarding the approximate location, center, size, and orientation of the feature. When possible, the most useful and most recent images were delivered prior to sailing. All proved invaluable in locating features and planning sampling strategy.

J. SATELLITE ASSISTED MAPPING OF SURFACE TEMPERATURE

Two objective temperature fields were drawn by the CONISD computer program; one field was formed from only in situ temperature, the other included data from satellite imagery as well.

The size of the study area was small enough that the spherical distortion attributed to the earth's curvature was assumed to be negligible. Therefore, the author constructed a grid on the assumed planar surface (see Appendix C) with the head of the Sur submarine canyon as its origin (Fig. 1). Each in situ data point had cartesian coordinates assigned. Using this annotated data, the CONISD library subroutine from the W.R. Church Computer Center drew surface contours. Because the contouring computations involved a triangulation process between data points nearest the limits of the grid and the study area boundaries, it was necessary to assign ocean and near coast boundary values that were realistic to generate a surface map which well represented the external limits of the surface feature. These values were inferred from the thermal patterns visible in satellite IR images.

Enhanced satellite images taken during or nearest the period of in situ observation and having a cloud-free view of the study area were used. These images were taken to NESS to geographically locate the surface thermal patterns associated with the upwelling system on a transverse mercator projection of the study area. The author outlined shifts in

the gray tones, visible to the naked eye. These lines represented a subjectively determined change in the gray scale. A uniform distribution of atmospheric moisture over the small area of the surface feature was assumed. The change in gray scale could therefore be related to synoptic variations in apparent surface temperature. Mr. Breaker, with his expertise in using the Baush and Lomb Zoom Transfer Scope, transcribed the apparent thermal patterns onto the transverse mercator projection. These patterns were then transferred by the author onto the grid developed for the study area.

Using a surface temperature map generated only from in situ measurements, a plot of the ship's track (to know where and when in situ measurements were taken) and the synoptic thermal patterns inferred from the satellite image of the feature, the thermal patterns within the area of concentrated in situ measurements were assigned temperature values equivalent to those on the surface temperature map. The satellite detected and in situ thermal patterns matched well, within $\pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$. Where these thermal patterns approached the coast, those values inferred above were applied to the near coast boundary. For objective (machine) contouring the oceanic temperature field beyond 6 km from the seaward outline of the surface thermal feature was arbitrarily assigned a uniform value. The oceanic boundary formed a curve at a constant distance (ca. 6 km) seaward of the surface thermal feature. The warmest in situ measurement made in this oceanic region

was assigned to the oceanic boundary. With the inferred near coast and ocean boundary values and in situ data, another surface temperature map was generated by the CONISD subroutine. Hand smoothing was applied to reduce the distortion thought to be caused by advection or other dynamic processes over the time period of the study. These temperature surface maps appear in Figures 10, 20, and 34.

K. SURFACE NUTRIENT MAPS

The sea surface nutrient maps (Figs. 11, 12, 13, 21, 34, 35, and 36) were generated in similar fashion to the sea surface temperature map. Each in situ data point was assigned cartesian coordinates on the study area grid. The near coast and ocean boundary values for temperature were put into the regression equations for nitrate/temperature, phosphate/temperature, and nutrient ratio/temperature. The respective nitrate, phosphate, and nutrient ratio output were assigned to the coordinates of the input temperature. Using this annotated in situ and temperature inferred data, the CONISD library subroutine drew surface contours. Hand smoothing was applied to reduce the distortion thought to be caused by advection or other dynamic processes over the time period of the study.

L. DEFINITION OF FRONT AND CALCULATION OF ITS GRADIENTS

For the purpose of this thesis, the oceanic front is defined as the area where the thermal and chemical gradients

reach their maximum change per kilometer [see Discussion: The Relationship Between Source Water Characteristics and the Oceanic Front]. In order to locate the oceanic front and assess its gradients, the following procedures were carried out.

The incremental change per kilometer of nutrient concentrations and temperature was determined from the in situ values observed along the ship's track. The elapsed distance midway between the magnitudes used to compute this spatial gradient was assigned as the position on the ship's track at which the change occurred (Figs. 14, 22, and 38). This technique was used to take advantage of the high spatial resolution (better than 0.6 km) along the ship's track which showed microstructure fronts within the feature as well as the oceanic front.

The magnitude of the gradient of the front was computed after correcting for the angle at which the ship transited the front. It was assumed that the front parallels isolines of temperature and nutrient concentrations on the machine-contoured charts. The angle of incidence the ship's track intersected these isolines at the vicinity of the front was measured using surface nutrient and temperature maps. Applying the law of sines, the distance along the normal was found and used to compute the magnitude of the gradient.

III. RESULTS

A. SEPTEMBER 1979 CRUISE

This cruise investigated and verified the existence of a satellite sensed wedge-like surface thermal feature extending 100 km SW from Pt. Sur (Plate 1). From satellite images received from 18 to 26 September, it appeared that this feature formed off Pt. Sur on 18 September. By 20 September the apex of this wedge-like feature had acquired a northward, anti-cyclonic curvature. Between 20 and 26 September this feature became diffuse, a new coastal upwelling event commenced, and a new feature was superimposed. A satellite imagery summary is presented in Figure 2. The best image of the feature (Plate 1) was taken at 2255 GMT, 26 September, ca. 2 hours prior to the ship's departure for the study area. Winds, as measured by the ship's anemometer, blew from the northwest averaging between 5 and 7 m·sec⁻¹.

The objectives of this cruise were to obtain surface samples from the feature and its oceanic surroundings, to sample both day and night to minimize diel effects, and to maximize time near the cold core of the feature. A seven-pointed star track was planned (Fig. 3) using thermal pattern information derived from satellite IR imagery. Temperature, nitrate, and phosphate are plotted over elapsed distance in Figure 4. Correlation coefficients of $r = 0.78$ for nitrate

Plate 1. TIROS-N satellite IR image of the California coast for 26 September 1980.

Fig. 2. Satellite features observed from 20 September to 2 October 1979 [Johnson, 1980].

SHIP'S TRACK
27-28 SEPTEMBER 1979

SCALE 1 : 750,000

DISTANCES IN KILOMETERS

TIME : GMT

Fig. 3. Track of the September 1979 cruise and outline (dashed line) of the oceanic front.

Fig. 4. Nitrate, phosphate, and sea surface temperature versus elapsed distance along the track of the September 1979 cruise.

Fig. 4 (cont'd) Nitrate, phosphate, and sea surface temperature versus elapsed distance along the track of the September 1979 cruise.

to phosphate, $r = -0.91$ for nitrate to temperature, and $r = -0.66$ for phosphate to temperature were obtained (Table I). Nutrient ratio and temperature are plotted over elapsed distance in Figure 5. The linear correlation coefficient between nutrient ratio and temperature is $r = -0.50$.

The linear regression analysis of nitrate/phosphate, nitrate/temperature, phosphate/temperature, and nutrient ratio/temperature (Figs. 6, 7, 8, and 9) yielded slopes of 13.85, -2.66, -1.00, and -7.72 respectively, and x-axis intercepts of 0.45 μM phosphate, 16.68°C, 16.77°C, and 16.53°C respectively. The x-intercept indicated that the environment is nitrate limited and determined the warmest temperature for which these regression lines could be applied.

The major axis of the upwelling system appeared to parallel and be located in the vicinity of the Sur submarine canyon (Figs. 10, 11, 12, and 13). The largest area of the feature and the part which appears to curl cyclonically is south of the submarine canyon. The leading edge of the curl is located between the Sur and Lucia submarine canyons over the shelf slope, beyond the 1500 meter depth contour.

The incremental change per kilometer of temperature and nutrient concentrations are plotted over elapsed distance in Figure 14. The oceanic front appears to be located at elapsed distance 13, 18, 39, 67, 166, 200, 312, 361, and 414 km (Table II)

Fig. 5. Nutrient ratio and sea surface temperature versus elapsed distance along the track of the September 1979 cruise.

Fig. 5 (cont'd) Nutrient ratio and sea surface temperature versus elapsed distance along the track of the September 1979 cruise.

Fig. 6. Nitrate versus phosphate for the September 1979 cruise.

Fig. 7. Nitrate versus temperature for the September 1979 cruise.

Fig. 8. Phosphate versus temperature for the September 1979 cruise.

Fig. 9. Nutrient ratio versus temperature for the September 1979 cruise.

Fig. 10. Sea surface temperature map for the September 1979 cruise (contour interval, 0.5°C). Map generated from in situ data aided by IR imagery.

Fig. 11 Surface nitrate map for the September 1979 cruise (contour interval, 1 μM nitrate). Map generated from in situ data aided by inferences from IR imagery.

Fig. 12 Surface phosphate map for the September 1979 cruise (contour interval, $0.2 \mu\text{M}$ phosphate). Map generated by in situ data aided by inferences from IR imagery.

NUTRIENT RATIO: $\text{NO}_3 / \text{PO}_4$

27-28 SEPTEMBER 1979

SCALE 1 : 750,000

DISTANCES IN KILOMETERS

Pt. SUR

36°N

121° 30' W

CAPE
SAN MARTIN

Fig. 13 Surface nutrient ratio map for the September 1979 cruise (contour ratio interval, 1). Map generated by in situ data aided by inferences from IR imagery.

Fig. 14 Incremental change per kilometer of temperature, nitrate, and phosphate versus elapsed distance along the track of the September 1979 cruise. Frontal transit occurred at peaks.

Fig. 14 (cont'd) Incremental change per kilometer of temperature, nitrate, and phosphate versus elapsed distance along the track of the September 1979 cruise. Frontal transit occurred at peaks.

The thermal and chemical gradients are both sharp and well defined despite a narrow spread of surface nutrient and temperature values between the ocean and the upwelling system, 0.01 to 4.36 μM nitrate, 0.03 to 0.96 μM phosphate, and 17.05 to 14.80°C. The oceanic front seemed to stay in close proximity to the 15.9°C, 1.7 μM nitrate, and 0.6 μM phosphate isolines. The oceanic front is outlined in Figure 3.

Figure 15 shows the vertical thermal structure of the upwelling system. One hundred forty XBT probes were used with an average spacing of 4 km. The thermal feature is evident from 15 to 26, 43 to 64, 197 to 246, 372 to 383, and 408 to 428 km. The thermocline under the upwelling system was continuous but upwarped to within 20m of the surface.

B. NOVEMBER 1979 CRUISE

The staff oceanographer at NESS began monitoring satellite images of the study area on 9 November. He noticed a discernible though weak thermal feature on 11 November. It extended 35 km southwest of Pt. Sur. From satellite observation, the thermal feature appeared to reach its maximum seaward extent of at least 60 km on 20 November. By 27 November it had become diffuse and by 28 November was indistinguishable. Due to intermittent cloud cover, no satellite information pertaining to a specific feature was therefore available when the ship departed 28 November. A prior cruise in the area from 26 to 27 November detected a frontal feature from in situ temperature measurements.

Fig. 15 Vertical temperature sections along the track of the September 1979 cruise [Johnson, 1980]. Vertical lines represent XBT drops.

Fig. 15 (cont'd) Vertical temperature sections along the track of the September 1979 cruise [Johnson, 1980]. Vertical lines represent XBT drops.

Fig. 15 (cont'd) Vertical temperature sections along the track of the September 1979 cruise [Johnson, 1980]. Vertical lines represent XBT drops.

Fig. 15 (cont'd) Vertical temperature sections along the track of the September 1979 cruise [Johnson, 1980]. Vertical lines represent XBT drops.

As it happened, another distinctive thermal pattern was seen later in images on 28 November. This feature extended west of Pt. Sur and grew to maximum size by 6 December. It advected north and apparently merged with upwelling off Monterey Bay around 14 December (Fig. 16). Despite intermittent cloud cover, the best satellite image was taken during the study period at 2310 GMT, 29 November (Plate 2). The average wind was 3 to 5 m·sec⁻¹ from the northwest.

The cruise plan had the ship transit south paralleling the coast. When the front was penetrated, an expanding square search would be followed to delineate the shape, center, orientation, and size of the feature. A ladder search pattern was then to be executed to provide additional transits across the major axis of the feature. When the expanding square search located a small feature south of Pt. Sur, the ship transited north along a front and then began a ladder search seaward. The first leg transected the major axis of a large feature located west of Pt. Sur. After the general location of a sharp thermal gradient was found about the feature, an expanding square search was begun in the vicinity of the leading edge (Fig. 17).

The data herein presented is associated with those measurements taken during this ladder search and final expanding square. In addition, seven oceanographic stations were occupied near the end of the study period. Nansen casts were made to sample temperature, salinity, and nitrate.

Fig. 16 Satellite feature observed from 19 November to 14 December 1979 [Johnson, 1980].

Plate 2. TIROS-N satellite image of the California coast for 29 November 1979.

Fig. 17 Track of the November 1979 cruise and outline (dashed line) of the oceanic front.

Because of contamination in the combined working reagent, no reliable phosphate concentrations could be measured on the Autoanalyzer.

The inverse correlation between nitrate and temperature (Fig. 18 and 19) was strong, $r = -0.93$. The regression line of nitrate to temperature had a slope of -3.24 and an x-intercept of 14.74°C . The feature extended seaward in a southwesterly direction from a point near the coast ca. 20 km north of Pt. Sur. At a position ca. 20 km west of Pt. Sur, it appeared to curl cyclonically (Figs. 20 and 21). Unlike the September feature, this upwelling system did not appear to extend beyond the shelf slope to depths greater than 1200m.

The incremental change per kilometer of temperature and nitrate are plotted over elapsed distance in Figure 22. The oceanic front appears to be transited at elapsed distances 151, 196, 203, 231, 257, and 270 km.

The thermal and nitrate gradients are sharp and well defined. The steepest appear to occur near the equatorward edge of the curl. Compared with the September cruise, the nitrate gradient at the front is greater for the December feature, whereas the temperature gradients show no great variation (Table II). The spread of the surface temperature and nitrate values between the ocean and the upwelling feature, 15.50 to 11.85°C and 0.12 to $9.92\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ nitrate, was greater in magnitude than the September cruise. The oceanic

Fig. 18 Nitrate and sea surface temperature versus elapsed distance along the track of the November 1979 cruise.

Fig. 19 Nitrate versus temperature for the November 1979 cruise.

Fig. 20 Sea surface temperature map for the November 1979 cruise (contour interval 0.5°C). Map generated from in situ data aided by IR imagery.

Fig. 21 Surface nitrate map for the November 1979 cruise (contour interval, 1 μM nitrate). Map generated from in situ data aided by inferences from IR imagery.

Fig. 22 Incremental change per kilometer of temperature and nitrate versus elapsed distance along the track of the November 1979 cruise. Frontal transit occurred at peaks.

front seemed to closely approximate the 14.0°C and 2.5 μM nitrate isolines. The oceanic front is noted in Figure 17.

Figure 23 shows the vertical profile of the upwelled feature based on 40 XBT profiles. The vertical thermal structure is apparent from elapsed distance 179 to 216, 226 to 247, 275 to 290, and 298 to 319 km. The thermocline under the upwelling system is continuous and shows no great perturbations.

The data obtained from the seven Nansen casts appears in Figures 24 and 25. Because of the increase in nitrite as depth increases, the actual concentration of nitrate below the surface layer can be less than the values recorded (see Methods: Nutrients).

Station 7 was taken near the major axis of the upwelling system, whereas station 2 sampled the warmer oceanic water to the south of the feature. Stations 3, 4, 5, and 6 were all taken in close proximity to the oceanic front. An intrusion of warmer oceanic water into the central region of the feature appeared to have been recorded at station 1. The Nansen cast data showed that the upwelling system apparently corresponded to a 26.4 σ_t tongue of water upwarping close to the coast and travelled seaward near the surface. The nitrate concentrations seem to closely agree with the density structure.

Fig. 23 Vertical temperature sections along the track of the November 1979 cruise [Johnson, 1980]. Vertical lines represent XBT drops; heavy lines represent bottom topography.

Fig. 23 (cont'd) Vertical temperature sections along the track of the November 1979 cruise [Johnson, 1980]. Vertical lines represent XBT drops; heavy lines represent bottom topography.

Fig. 23 (cont'd) Vertical temperature sections along the track of the November 1979 cruise [Johnson, 1980]. Vertical lines represent XBT drops.

Fig. 24 Salinity, temperature, and nitrate versus depth at seven stations on the November 1979 cruise.

Fig. 25 T - S and T - N diagrams of seven stations on the November 1979 cruise.

C. JUNE 1980 CRUISE

Satellite monitoring of the waters off Pt. Sur on 9 June indicated a pulse of upwelled water had surfaced just before the ship departed Monterey en route to the study area. Plate 3 was the best image of the surface thermal feature, taken at 2242 GMT 9 June 1980, four hours prior to the commencement of the study. The wind during the study period, June 10 and 11, averaged between 11 and 13 m·sec⁻¹ and was blowing from the northwest.

With the feature located by satellite thermal patterns, a five-pointed star track was planned so that crossings of the feature and coastal upwelling could be made. By halving the ship's speed during front transits, finer resolution data was to be obtained to compare the poleward and equatorward fronts for differences in thermal and nutrient gradients. The cruise plan was modified because of rough seas. After three crossings of the feature, the front analysis was given priority. A modified ladder track maneuvered the ship back and forth across the oceanic front. Before concluding the study, the ship transected the upwelling along its major axis. The ship's track is shown in Figure 26.

The correlation coefficients were $r = 0.96$ for nitrate to phosphate, $r = -0.96$ for nitrate to temperature, $r = -0.92$ for phosphate to temperature, and $r = -0.96$ for nutrient ratio to temperature (Figs. 27 and 28). Linear regression analyses between the above parameters yielded slopes of 18.05, -4.24,

Plate 3. TIROS-N satellite image of the California coast for 9 June 1980.

Fig. 26 Track of the June 1980 cruise and outline (dashed line) of the oceanic front.

Fig. 27 Nitrate, phosphate, and sea surface temperature versus elapsed distance along the track of the June 1980 cruise.

Fig. 28 Nutrient ratio and sea surface temperature versus elapsed distance along the track of the June 1980 cruise.

-0.64, and -2.07 respectively, and x-axis intercepts of 1.07 μM phosphate, 13.47°C, 13.88°C, and 13.97°C respectively (Figs. 29, 30, 31, and 32).

The major axis of the thermal and nutrient patterns are parallel to and directly over the axis of the Sur submarine canyon (Figs. 1, 33, 34, 35, and 36). The leading edge of the thermal feature appeared to curl cyclonically in the vicinity of the head of Sur submarine canyon. The crossing of the oceanic front appeared to have occurred at elapsed distances 14, 36, 112, 158, 172, 206, and 222 km (Fig. 37).

The sharp and well defined thermal and chemical gradients at the front seem to be less than in the November feature but greater than in September (Table II). However, the spread of temperature and nutrient concentrations is the widest for the June cruise; 13.77 to 9.04°C, 0.29 to 19.98 μM nitrate, and 0.91 to 2.31 μM phosphate. The oceanic front appeared to closely approximate the 11.5°C, 8.8 μM nitrate, and 1.6 μM phosphate isolines. Figure 26 shows the oceanic front.

The vertical temperature profile was generated from 23 XBT profiles. The thermocline under the upwelling was discontinuous and appeared to surface in the vicinity of the oceanic front.

Fig. 29 Nitrate versus phosphate for the June 1980 cruise.

Fig. 30 Nitrate versus temperature for the June 1980 cruise.

Fig. 31 Phosphate versus temperature for the June 1980 cruise.

Fig. 32 Nutrient ratio versus temperature for the June 1980 cruise.

Fig. 33 Sea surface temperature map for the June 1980 cruise (contour interval, 0.5°C). Map generated from in situ data aided by IR imagery.

Fig. 34 Surface nitrate map for the June 1980 cruise (contour interval, 1 μM nitrate). Map generated by in situ data aided by inferences from IR imagery.

Fig. 36 Surface nutrient ratio map for the June 1980 cruise (contour ratio interval, 1). Map generated from in situ data aided by IR imagery.

Fig. 37 Incremental change per kilometer of temperature, nitrate and phosphate versus elapsed distance along the track of the June 1980 cruise. Frontal transits occur at peaks.

TABLE I
LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS

CRUISE	SPREAD OF OBSERVED VALUES				SLOPE		X-INTERCEPT			CORRELATION	
	T °C	μM NO ⁻³	μM PO ₄ ⁻³	NO ⁻³ PO ₄ ⁻³	NO ⁻³ T	PO ₄ ⁻³ T	NO ⁻³ T °C	PO ₄ ⁻³ T °C	NO ⁻³ μM PO ₄ ⁻³	NO ⁻³ T	NO ⁻³ PO ₄ ⁻³ T
DEC 79	9.60 to 12.70	2.64 to 23.16	0.47 to 1.93	3.8 to 12.4	- 6.98	- .73	12.91	13.11	0.33	- .96	- .92
MAR 79	11.00 to 12.30	1.11 to 12.10	0.58 to 1.54	1.9 to 8.2	-12.19	-1.86	12.09	12.17	0.46	- .84	- .79
APP 79	9.40 to 13.60	0.29 to 23.11	0.42 to 2.06	0.5 to 11.5	- 6.48	- .64	12.99	13.33	0.58	- .96	- .97
JUN 79	11.14 to 13.83	0.55 to 12.10	0.33 to 1.29	1.7 to 12.3	- 4.99	- .48	13.79	14.01	0.45	- .92	- .93
AUG 79	12.23 to 17.20	0.14 to 20.91	0.03 to 2.07	0.2 to 15.6	-13.63	- .93	15.35	15.99	0.52	- .24	- .69
SEP 79	14.80 to 17.05	0.01 to 4.36	0.03 to 0.96	0.0 to 6.3	- 2.66	-1.00	16.68	16.77	0.45	- .91	- .86
NOV 79	11.85 to 15.50	0.12 to 9.92	---	---	- 3.24	---	14.74	---	---	- .93	---
JUN 80	9.04 to 13.77	0.29 to 19.98	0.91 to 2.31	0.3 to 10.2	- 4.24	- .64	13.47	13.88	1.07	- .96	- .92

TABLE II

GRADIENTS AND OBSERVED VALUES AT THE OCEANIC FRONT

CRUISE	POSITION	GRADIENT AT FRONT			OBSERVED VALUES AT FRONT			POSITION ON FRONT WHERE GRADIENTS AND VALUES MEASURED
		$\frac{T^{\circ}\text{C}}{\text{km}}$	$\frac{\mu\text{M NO}_3^-}{\text{km}}$	$\frac{\mu\text{M PO}_4^{3-}}{\text{km}}$	$T^{\circ}\text{C}$	$\mu\text{M NO}_3^-$	$\mu\text{M PO}_4^{3-}$	
DEC 79	1	0.17	1.09	0.07	11.2	9.0	1.0	
	2	0.38	2.87	0.16	11.1	10.0	1.0	
APR 79	1	0.38	2.13	0.15	10.5	14.8	1.4	
	2	0.34	1.74	0.10	11.9	8.7	1.2	
	3	0.31	1.43	0.09	10.5	15.9	1.5	
	4	0.34	1.93	0.13	10.8	11.6	1.3	
	5	0.29	2.33	0.13	11.2	12.8	1.3	
JUN 79	1	0.45	2.05	0.12	13.2	7.1	0.7	
	2	0.44	2.56	0.19	13.4	1.2	0.4	
AUG 79	1	0.41	1.56	0.08	15.5	7.0	0.8	
	2	0.39	0.83	0.04	16.5	4.0	0.8	
SEP 79	1	0.20	0.29	0.01	15.9	1.3	0.6	
	2	0.17	0.37	0.05	15.7	1.8	0.6	
	3	0.33	0.60	0.04	16.0	2.3	0.7	
	4	0.12	0.44	0.04	16.4	1.4	0.6	
	5	0.15	0.41	0.04	15.9	1.5	0.5	
	6	0.21	0.60	0.04	15.9	1.7	0.5	
	7	0.18	0.37	0.03	16.0	0.6	0.6	
	8	0.20	0.49	0.04	16.0	2.6	0.6	
	9	0.16	---	0.06	15.7	---	0.8	
NOV 79	1	0.45	1.66	---	14.2	1.5	---	
	2	0.76	2.69	---	14.4	2.5	---	
	3	0.51	2.05	---	14.1	2.2	---	
	4	0.76	2.28	---	13.9	4.8	---	
	5	0.45	0.77	---	14.2	1.9	---	
	6	0.35	1.21	---	13.4	2.5	---	
JUN 79	1	0.27	1.28	0.09	11.1	9.8	1.7	
	2	0.33	1.07	0.06	11.6	9.0	1.7	
	3	0.32	0.97	0.09	11.1	8.6	1.6	
	4	0.32	1.25	0.08	11.6	8.4	1.5	
	5	0.59	2.49	0.09	12.1	8.3	1.5	

IV. DISCUSSION

A. SATELLITE DETECTED THERMAL PATTERNS COMPARED TO IN SITU SURFACE MAPS

Inferring the distribution of surface nutrient concentrations from surface thermal patterns detected by satellites appear feasible from the correlation of in situ nutrients and temperature. In this study sea surface nutrient maps were generated for comparison with satellite detected thermal patterns formed by upwelling systems off Pt. Sur, California. For example, the surface nitrate and phosphate maps for the June 1980 feature (Figs. 34 and 35) appear similar to the thermal distribution (Fig. 33) with regard to the general location of the nutrient feature, its center, and the orientation of the major axis. However, the nutrients exhibited a highly structured pattern with sharp gradients within the feature which was not predictable from the satellite image. The magnitude of the difference between the distribution implied by the image and the actual surface distribution of nutrients within the feature varied among the April, September, November 1979 and June 1980 upwelling systems. (The other features lacked sufficient data to construct surface nutrient maps.) The thermal and spatial resolution of the AVHRR (1°C and 1.1 km respectively) could account for some of the difference. However, the relative ranges of the

nutrient values compared to the range of the temperature varied too much in different features at different times to be satisfactorily explained by the lack of resolution alone.

B. NUTRIENT-TEMPERATURE CORRELATION AND "ACTIVE UPWELLING" SYSTEMS

To better evaluate these differences, regression analyses were applied to in situ values of nutrients and temperature within the different features which formed at different times of the year (Fig. 38). Although there were variations, the slopes for all features were negative. A strong inverse linear correlation existed in five of the seven features observed since December 1978 (Table I). This implied that regression lines between nutrients and temperature might be useful in describing the distribution of nutrients with respect to surface temperature patterns. The variations in slope of these regression lines suggests that the relationship of nutrients to temperature might depend on numerous factors. These may include the temperature and nutrient characteristics of the source water, the depth from which the water was advected, wind stress and bathymetric effects, surface dynamic processes (viz., mixing, advection, and heat exchange) and biological processes. Because of the complexity of the problem, trends were investigated with a view toward developing indices for prediction of nutrient distribution.

The strong inverse correlations between temperature and nutrients occurring in five cruises suggested that 'active

Fig. 38 Summary of regression lines for nitrate, phosphate, and nutrient ratio versus temperature 7 DEC 1978 - 10 JUN 1980. 20 JAN, oceanic water; 7 DEC, 30 APR, 8 AUG, and 10 JUN upwelled water from below thermocline; remaining cruises "upwelled" water from above thermocline.

upwelling' systems had occurred. This was readily apparent for the April 1979 and June 1980 cruises for which the vertical temperature profiles showed the thermocline surfacing near the edge of the surface thermal feature. This break, through the thermocline, would have permitted the advection of nutrient-rich, "biochemically new" water [Tragana et al., 1980], to the surface waters within the feature. This seems to be supported by the high nutrient concentrations, high nutrient ratios, and low temperatures below 10°C observed inside the feature (Figs. 27 and 28, respectively).

Although satellite history of the November 1979 cruise suggests the observed feature was sampled during a stage of 'active upwelling', XBT data indicate that the system appeared not to have penetrated the thermocline. The thermocline under the feature was continuous and did not appear to be perturbed by the shallow (ca. 50m) upwelling system. This would have accounted for the moderate level of nitrate observed at the surface inside the feature.

The December 1978 and June 1979 cruises did not collect vertical temperature data. From the wind data and the moderate levels of nitrate and phosphate, the upwelling system observed on the June 1979 cruise was assumed 'active' [Conrad, 1980]. Because the nutrient levels were similar in magnitude to the November 1979 cruise, this feature could be representative of a shallow upwelling.

As the December 1978 cruise had low temperatures, high nutrient concentrations and nutrient ratios similar to those of the April 1979 and June 1980 cruises, the feature observed could have been associated with a discontinuity in the thermocline permitting deep upwelling. Thus, strong linear correlations between nutrients and temperature may be assumed for 'active upwelling' systems.

C. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOURCE WATER CHARACTERISTICS AND THE OCEANIC FRONT

The ability to determine the initial condition of the upwelled water was considered a major factor in prediction. The nutrients in this subsurface source water would provide the maximum nutrient concentrations observed in the upwelled surface water. The nutrient concentrations and temperature were expected to be a function of depth and ambient water mass. The depth of the source water is most likely tied to the duration and strength of wind or other forcing functions, e.g., internal waves [Johnson, 1980].

Within an 'active upwelling,' the surface is replenished by vertical advection of source water. The properties characteristic of the source water are confined to the surface feature by the oceanic front. For every feature studied, the maximum gradient analysis of in situ temperature and nutrients (see Methods: Definition of Front and Calculation of its Gradients) showed the nutrient fronts coincided within ± 0.6 km to the thermal front (Figs. 14, 22, and 37). Thus, the thermal

front detected in satellite imagery closely approximates the nutrient front.

Since nutrients are linearly related to temperature, thermal gradients are well represented within a satellite image, and the nutrient fronts and thermal front coincide, the features were examined to see if the sharpness of the thermal front might reflect the range of nutrients within the feature.

The sharpness of the thermal front was not found to be a dependable measure of the nutrient distribution within a feature. The thermal gradients at the fronts of the December 1978, April and September 1979, and June 1980 features had similar magnitude, $0.12^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{km}$ to $0.38^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{km}$ (Table II). Within the December, April, and June features high concentrations, 8.3 to 23.1 μM nitrate and 1.0 to 2.3 μM phosphate were observed. These surface values were considered the result of 'active' deep upwelling. However, the September feature had significantly different nutrient concentrations, 0.6 to 4.3 μM nitrate and 0.5 to 0.9 μM phosphate. The vertical temperature profile of the September cruise showed a continuous though greatly perturbed thermocline under the surface feature (Fig. 15). The low nutrient concentrations and nutrient ratios, together with the vertical temperature data [see Johnson, 1980], suggest that a shallow upwelling or mixing in the upper layer caused the feature. The satellite history of the feature revealed the thermal patterns were becoming

indistinguishable at the time of the cruise. The lack of strong correlation in the nitrate to phosphate and nutrient to temperature regressions also suggested that the feature was dissipating. The June, August, and November 1979 features also showed differences between nutrient distributions despite their similar thermal fronts.

D. NUTRIENT DISTRIBUTION PREDICTION REQUIRES GROUND TRUTH

Because of the inability to describe adequately and therefore predict the nutrient distribution from satellite detected thermal patterns alone, ground truth data are needed. In that the linear correlation was strong between nutrients and temperature, an indicator of active upwelling, for the five features mentioned earlier, the sum effects of the initial conditions, boundary conditions, and dynamic processes appear confined to altering the slope of the nutrient to temperature regression line and its intercept.

E. THE FEASIBILITY OF SATELLITE PREDICTION AIDED BY LIMITED IN SITU SAMPLING

A test, therefore, was conducted to determine how good a satellite prediction could be, using source water temperature and nutrient characteristics in a regression equation to forecast surface nutrient concentrations. The regression line is bounded by the coldest temperature in the feature and the warmest oceanic water temperature.

1. Use of the Oceanic Water Temperature as an Intercept for a Predictive Regression Line

The temperature (T) intercepts computed from in situ nutrient/temperature regression equations were compared with the warmest oceanic temperature to determine if a trend could be seen that would be useful in developing a regression equation to forecast nutrient concentrations. The phosphate/temperature T-intercept was always greater (warmer) than the nitrate/temperature T-intercept. Because the oceanic environment appeared to be nitrate-limited (nitrate goes to zero while phosphate is still present, see Figs. 6 and 29), the phosphate/temperature line would have to be extrapolated to reach the temperature axis, thus greater (warmer) temperatures. This would be an over estimate of the actual oceanic water temperature. The difference, $T_{\text{ocean}} - T_{\text{intercept}}$, for active upwelling systems as defined by nutrient values and concentrations ranged from -0.21 to $+0.61^{\circ}\text{C}$ for nitrate/temperature and -0.18 to $+0.27^{\circ}\text{C}$ for phosphate/temperature regression equations (Table I). Within the thermal resolution ($.5^{\circ}\text{C}$) of the satellite sensor, the T-intercept might therefore be considered equivalent to the temperature of the oceanic water.

2. Hypothesis That Nutrient/Temperature Relation within Source Water Is Linear

Strong linear correlations were seen between both nutrients and temperature and their gradients in the November

1979 shallow upwelling system and June 1980 deep upwelling system. From satellite history, these features appear to have been observed during an initial stage of development indicating the water upwelled from its source depth had been in contact with the surface for a day or less. Therefore, the source water nutrient and temperature characteristics should be linearly related. If this is the case, and the source water regression line is known (from recent or historical data), this relation could be used, along with the oceanic water temperature as a T-intercept, to provide a first approximation of the nutrient distribution reflected by the surface thermal patterns.

In order to test this hypothesis, source water characteristics were examined using T - S and T - N diagrams from the shallow upwelling November 1979 Nansen casts (Fig. 25). The 2 and 25m T - N samples for station 7 (located near the coldest water in the feature) and stations 3, 4, 5, and 6 (located near the front) were plotted on the T - N diagram for station 2, which was representative of the oceanic environment (Fig. 39). The 25m samples were tightly clustered on the station 2 curve between the 25 and 50m depths, suggesting that the water had upwelled from that depth. The 2m samples of the ocean front stations were skewed off the station 2 reference curve toward warmer, lower nutrient water. However, station 7 varied little between the surface and 25m depth. Apparently station 7 was nearest the area where the source

Fig. 39 T - S and T - N diagram for station 2; ocean reference, with 25m (solid circles) and 2m (open circles) data from stations 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 added to identify source water.

water first came in contact with the surface. The ocean front station data were obtained in this source water after it had been affected by mixing, heat exchange, and other processes, dynamical and biological.

3. The Effects of Wind Mixing and Heat Exchange to the Atmosphere on Surface Water near Oceanic Front

The difference in 2 and 25m temperatures could be associated in part with the mixing caused by the 3 to $5\text{m}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$ winds during the November cruise and the atmospheric thermal exchange associated with a low percentage of cloud cover and ca. 10 hours of daylight observed. Over the one day, the upwelled water known to have been in contact with the surface the longest (which was assumed to be the water at the oceanic front) was predicted to be ca. 0.8°C warmer [James, 1966]. This agreed with what was observed on the T - N diagram (Fig. 39).

4. Determination of Depth From Which Source Water Was Advected

It was postulated that a nutrient source depth range could be determined by the depths at which the cold temperature in the center of the feature, T_c , and the temperature of the ocean front, T_f , were found on a temperature versus depth profile, obtained, e.g., with an XBT. From these depths a range of nutrient values could be measured by in situ sampling. The change in nutrients versus the change in temperature over the depth range, corresponding to $T_c - T_f$,

could be a first approximation of the slope, m , for a regression equation to predict nutrient concentrations within the surface feature. This slope approximation could be combined with the oceanic water surface temperature as described earlier to compute the nutrient (N) intercept, b , whereby the total regression equation, $N = mT + b$, can be formulated. The conditions for which this approximation would be best suited are those in which there were not thermal exchanges with the environment and changes in nutrient concentrations due to biological activity. Accuracy of the application to IR satellite data would depend on the extent of agreement between the surface temperature inferred from the satellite image and the actual surface temperature.

5. A Hindcast of Nitrate Concentration Using Data from the November 1979 Cruise

The November 1979 cruise station 2 vertical temperature profile showed the nitrate source depth range for 11.85°C , T_c , and 14.0°C , T_f , to be 40 to 14m respectively. The nitrate range between these depths was 11.7 to $3.9\ \mu\text{M}$. The slope for this line, therefore, was ca. -3.7. A second approximation correcting for wind mixing and thermal exchange with the atmosphere [James, 1966] used 13.2°C for T_f to generate a slope of ca. -3.1. The oceanic water surface temperature used to predict the N-intercept, $b = -mT$, was 15.50°C . From these few measurements, a predictive regression equation was formulated.

The predictive regression equation computed from 333 in situ measurements was compared with the equations developed using the slope derived from source water characteristics and the temperature of the ocean water used as the T-intercept. The nitrate concentrations for 11.85° and 14.0°C were computed.

The best fit linear regression (correlation, $r = -0.93$) based on underway in situ measurements was:

$$N = -3.24 T + 47.74.$$

where $N = \mu\text{M}$ nitrate and $T = ^\circ\text{C}$ temperature. The predictive regression equation, assuming no thermal exchange with the environment, was:

$$N_1 = -3.7 T + 57.35$$

The second approximation which corrected for wind mixing and atmospheric thermal exchange was:

$$N_2 = -3.1 T + 48.05$$

- a. Comparison of Predicted Nitrate Concentration to That Computed by Regression Analysis of Observed Data

The solution to the equations are as follows:

for T_c of 11.85°C, $N = 9.82 \mu\text{M}$, $N_1 = 13.5 \mu\text{M}$, and $N_2 = 11.3 \mu\text{M}$ nitrate; for T_f of 14.0°C, $N = 2.94 \mu\text{M}$, $N_1 = 5.5 \mu\text{M}$, and $N_2 = 4.7 \mu\text{M}$ nitrate. The percentage of error ($\frac{N_1 - N}{N} \times 100$ and $\frac{N_2 - N}{N} \times 100$), associated in predicting the nitrate concentration at the cold center or most recently upwelled water appears to be ca. +37% for the first approximation,

N_1 , and ca. +15% for N_2 which accounted for wind mixing and thermal exchange. In the vicinity of the ocean front, the percentage of error increased; for T_f of 14.0°C, N_1 had an error of ca. +98% whereas N_2 had an error of ca. +58%. In both hindcasts the nutrient concentrations predicted were higher than those computed from the equation based on in situ surface measurements. Both hindcasts also deteriorated in reliability proceeding away from the cold center of the feature. The predictive equation which corrected for wind mixing and thermal exchange with the atmosphere had significantly less error than the equation that did not. Because nitrate and temperature are nonconservative within the upper layer of the ocean, the 15% error derived from linear regression should be considered reasonable. In all, the longer the upwelled water is in contact with the surface layer of the ocean, the more complex the interactions with the physical and biological environment may become, and the more unrealistic the simple predictive equations.

b. Summary of Conditions for Which This
Test Appeared Satisfactory

This hindcast was applied only to a shallow upwelling system which satellite history showed to be in an initial stage of development. The prediction was based on knowledge of the temperature of the sea surface within the coldest thermal pattern detected, surface temperature of the oceanic front detected by thermal patterns, a vertical

temperature and nutrient profile within the upper 200m of the ocean seaward of the oceanic front, and atmospheric parameters to hindcast ocean-atmosphere thermal exchange [James, 1966]. In this special case, given this limited ground truth, it was possible to hindcast within 15% error the maximum nutrient concentration in an upwelling system detected by satellite imagery. It therefore seems reasonable to assume that at least the major patterns of nutrient distributions can, with further research, be inferred using satellite imagery and limited ground truth (i.e., data from buoys and AXBT's).

V. CONCLUSIONS

1. Inference of nutrient distribution by satellite detected upwelling systems is feasible.

2. Active upwelling systems are expected to have strong inverse linear correlations between nutrients and temperature.

3. The nutrient front position can be approximated closely by the thermal oceanic front.

4. The nutrient distribution within a feature can not be related to the sharpness of the thermal front.

5. To predict nutrient distributions, ground truth as well as satellite detected thermal patterns are required.

6. A linear regression can be used to forecast nutrient maxima for upwelling systems in the initial stage of development aided by only limited in situ data.

7. The approximation of nutrient concentrations by linear regression can be improved by estimating the effects of wind mixing and thermal exchange with the atmosphere.

8. With greater knowledge of source water characteristics (from in situ monitoring or historical data), stage of development (inferred from satellite images and in situ monitoring), and dynamic processes (wind mixing, advection, and heat transfer) a forecast of nutrient distributions with a surface thermal feature could be made.

99

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CPLISE IX)

27 SEPTEMBER 1979

21/10/1941

[illegible]

[illegible]

CHEMICAL RESOSCALE (CPLISF IX)

27 SEPTEMBER 1979

R/V ACANTIA

TIME	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	WIND KTS	WIND DIR	PC4 UN	NUTR. RATIO N/P3/PC4	ATP N/AL	CHL A MG/W3	TEMP C
0730	35 27.5	122 5.1	0.5	---	0.46	0.0	254.3	0.46	16.60
					0.46	0.1		0.46	16.60
					0.47	0.1		0.47	16.65
					0.45	0.1		0.45	16.60
					0.44	0.1		0.44	16.60
					0.43	0.1		0.43	16.60
					0.43	0.1		0.43	16.61
					0.43	0.0		0.43	16.55
					0.43	0.0		0.43	16.55
					0.45	0.0		0.45	16.45
					0.46	0.1		0.46	16.40
					0.43	0.1		0.43	16.40
					0.44	0.1		0.44	16.40
0800	35 31.0	122 5.1	0.5	---	0.48	0.3	275.8	0.48	16.35
					0.46	0.3		0.46	16.35
					0.45	0.3		0.45	16.30
					0.45	0.3		0.45	16.40
					0.46	0.5		0.46	16.50
					0.47	0.4		0.47	16.50
					0.46	0.4		0.46	16.55
					0.47	0.6		0.47	16.60
					0.45	0.7		0.45	16.60
					0.48	0.8		0.48	16.55
					0.47	0.5		0.47	16.50
					0.47	0.5		0.47	16.50
					0.48	0.5		0.48	16.50
0900	35 30.2	121 54.7	0.5	---	0.48	0.5	444.6	0.48	16.40
					0.48	1.0		0.48	16.50
					0.49	1.0		0.49	16.45
					0.49	0.9		0.49	16.40
					0.49	0.9		0.49	16.40
					0.50	0.9		0.50	16.40
					0.50	1.0		0.50	16.40
					0.48	1.0		0.48	16.40
					0.48	1.0		0.48	16.40
					0.48	1.0		0.48	16.40
					0.48	1.0		0.48	16.40
					0.48	1.0		0.48	16.40
					0.48	1.0		0.48	16.40

[illegible]

CHEMICAL MESSISCALE (CPLIS IX)

27 SEPTEMBER 1979

F/V 2000

三才圖會

UNITED STATES

卷之四

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525

113
114

425

403/PJ4
NUTR. 24710

ATF
NCIL

CHL A
NGL NE

2
2
1
1

1300

36 C. 1

121 53.2

n. 33

1.45

2.7

70361

● ●

16.5 J

1400

35 24.5

1223.

● ●
● ●
● ●
● ●

• •

• •
• •

11

100.

● ●
● ●

0351

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS (CRUISE IX)

27 SEPTEMBER 1979

R/V SCAUT

TIME

LATITUDE

LONGITUDE

WIND

WAVE

PC4

WATER RATIO

ATP

CHL A

TEMP

1500 35 48.3 122 11.7

(X1 51783) 570565: 74015: 4)

27 SEPTEMBER 1979

1871

[illegible]

CEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE IX)

27 SEPTEMBER 1979

R/V ACQUILA

TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	DISTANCE KM	U13 UM	PF4 UP	NUTR./PC4 MNO3/PC4	ATP NG/L	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP C
1800	35 39.3	122 5.0	258.0	0.32	0.51	0.6	215.0	0.47	16.0
			259.0	0.32	0.51	0.6		0.47	16.5
			260.0	0.33	0.50	0.6		0.46	16.5
			261.1	0.33	0.47	0.6		0.44	16.5
			262.2	0.35	0.49	0.5		0.47	16.5
			263.4	0.35	0.48	0.5		0.47	16.5
			264.5	0.35	0.50	0.4		0.47	16.5
			265.7	0.36	0.49	0.4		0.44	16.0
			266.8	0.39	0.49	0.4		0.48	16.5
			267.4	0.42	0.51	0.4		0.45	16.5
			268.0	0.42	0.51	0.4		0.42	16.5
			269.1	0.42	0.51	0.4		0.40	16.5
			270.3	0.42	0.50	0.4		0.40	16.5
			271.5	0.42	0.48	0.4		0.46	16.5
			272.7	0.42	0.47	0.4		0.46	16.5
			273.0	0.42	0.47	0.4		0.46	16.5
1900	35 40.5	121 57.4	274.4	0.43	0.51	0.6	210.8	0.51	16.5
			275.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			276.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			277.4	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			278.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			279.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			280.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			281.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			282.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			283.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			284.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			285.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			286.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			287.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			288.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5
			289.0	0.43	0.51	0.6		0.51	16.5

27 SEPTEMBER 1979 CHEMICAL WSDSCALE (CRUISE IX)

P/V ACANT

2000

2030

TIME	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DATA	MLD DB	P74 UM	NUTR. RATIO NO3/PC4	ATP AC/L	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP C
			0.7.5	---	0.45			0.54	16.75
			0.7.5	---	0.46			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.49		691.3	0.45	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.47			0.45	16.75
			0.7.5	---	0.48			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.49			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.49		720.7	0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.49			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.49			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.51		211.4	0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.48			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.43			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.37			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---				0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.44		314.1	0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.46			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.48			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.49			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.47		305.1	0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.49			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.50			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.51			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.53		246.4	0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.53			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.54			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.54		357.3	0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.54			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.53			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.54		111.3	0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.58			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.60			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.63			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---				0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.53			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.54			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.58			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.60			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.63			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---				0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.79		366.5	0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.61			0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.54		251.8	0.54	16.65
			0.7.5	---	0.52			0.54	16.65

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CGLISE IX)

27 SEPTEMBER 1979

27 SEPTEMBER 1979

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KI	H13 H14	P74 UV	WTR-RATIO NO3/PC4	APF NG/L	CHL A M	TEMP C
			317.3	0.08	0.45	1.6		0.55	15.95
			318.4					0.64	16.40
			319.5					0.64	16.45
			320.6					0.64	16.45
			321.7					0.64	16.45
			322.8					0.64	16.45
			323.9					0.64	16.45
			325.0					0.64	16.45
			326.1					0.64	16.45
			327.2					0.64	16.45
			328.3					0.64	16.45
			329.4					0.64	16.45
			330.5					0.64	16.45
			331.6					0.64	16.45
			332.7					0.64	16.45
			333.8					0.64	16.45
			334.9					0.64	16.45
			336.0					0.64	16.45
			337.1					0.64	16.45
			338.2					0.64	16.45
			339.3					0.64	16.45
			340.4					0.64	16.45
			341.5					0.64	16.45
			342.6					0.64	16.45
			343.7					0.64	16.45
			344.8					0.64	16.45
			345.9					0.64	16.45
			347.0					0.64	16.45
			348.1					0.64	16.45
			349.2					0.64	16.45
			350.3					0.64	16.45
			351.4					0.64	16.45
			352.5					0.64	16.45
			353.6					0.64	16.45
			354.7					0.64	16.45
			355.8					0.64	16.45
			356.9					0.64	16.45
			358.0					0.64	16.45
			359.1					0.64	16.45
			360.2					0.64	16.45
			361.3					0.64	16.45
			362.4					0.64	16.45
			363.5					0.64	16.45
			364.6					0.64	16.45
			365.7					0.64	16.45
			366.8					0.64	16.45
			367.9					0.64	16.45
			369.0					0.64	16.45
			370.1					0.64	16.45
			371.2					0.64	16.45
			372.3					0.64	16.45
			373.4					0.64	16.45
			374.5					0.64	16.45
			375.6					0.64	16.45
			376.7					0.64	16.45
			377.8					0.64	16.45
			378.9					0.64	16.45
			380.0					0.64	16.45
			381.1					0.64	16.45
			382.2					0.64	16.45
			383.3					0.64	16.45
			384.4					0.64	16.45
			385.5					0.64	16.45
			386.6					0.64	16.45
			387.7					0.64	16.45
			388.8					0.64	16.45
			389.9					0.64	16.45
			391.0					0.64	16.45
			392.1					0.64	16.45
			393.2					0.64	16.45
			394.3					0.64	16.45
			395.4					0.64	16.45
			396.5					0.64	16.45
			397.6					0.64	16.45
			398.7					0.64	16.45
			399.8					0.64	16.45
			400.9					0.64	16.45
			402.0					0.64	16.45
			403.1					0.64	16.45
			404.2					0.64	16.45
			405.3					0.64	16.45
			406.4					0.64	16.45
			407.5					0.64	16.45
			408.6					0.64	16.45
			409.7					0.64	16.45
			410.8					0.64	16.45
			411.9					0.64	16.45
			413.0					0.64	16.45
			414.1					0.64	16.45
			415.2					0.64	16.45
			416.3					0.64	16.45
			417.4					0.64	16.45
			418.5					0.64	16.45
			419.6					0.64	16.45
			420.7					0.64	16.45
			421.8					0.64	16.45
			422.9					0.64	16.45
			424.0					0.64	16.45
			425.1					0.64	16.45
			426.2					0.64	16.45
			427.3					0.64	16.45
			428.4					0.64	16.45
			429.5					0.64	16.45
			430.6					0.64	16.45
			431.7					0.64	16.45
			432.8					0.64	16.45
			433.9					0.64	16.45
			435.0					0.64	16.45
			436.1					0.64	16.45
			437.2					0.64	16.45
			438.3					0.64	16.45
			439.4					0.64	16.45
			440.5					0.64	16.45
			441.6					0.64	16.45
			442.7					0.64	16.45
			443.8					0.64	16.45
			444.9					0.64	16.45
			446.0					0.64	16.45
			447.1					0.64	16.45
			448.2					0.64	16.45
			449.3					0.64	16.45
			450.4					0.64	16.45
			451.5					0.64	16.45
			452.6					0.64	16.45
			453.7					0.64	16.45
			454.8					0.64	16.45
			455.9					0.64	16.45
			457.0					0.64	16.45
			458.1					0.64	16.45
			459.2					0.64	16.45
			460.3					0.64	16.45
			461.4					0.64	16.45
			462.5					0.64	16.45
			463.6					0.64	16.45
			464.7					0.64	16.45
			465.8					0.64	16.45
			466.9					0.64	16.45
			468.0					0.64	16.45
			469.1					0.64	16.45
			470.2					0.64	16.45
			471.3					0.64	16.45
			472.4					0.64	16.45
			473.5					0.64	16.45
			474.6					0.64	16.45
			475.7					0.64	16.45
			476.8					0.64	16.45
			477.9					0.64	16.45
			479.0					0.64	16.45
			480.1					0.64	16.45
			481.2					0.64	16.45
			482.3					0.64	16.45
			483.4					0.64	16.45
			484.5					0.64	16.45
			485.6					0.64	16.45
			486.7					0.64	16.45
			487.8					0.64	16.45
			488.9					0.64	16.45
			490.0					0.64	16.45
			491.1					0.64	16.45
			492.2					0.64	16.45
			493.3					0.64	16.45
			494.4					0.64	16.45
			495.5					0.64	16.45
			496.6					0.64	16.45
			497.7					0.64	16.45
			498.8					0.64	16.45
			499.9					0.64	16.45
			501.0					0.64	16.45
			502.1					0.64	16.45
			503.2					0.64	16.45
			504.3					0.64	16.45
			505.4					0.64	16.45
			506.5					0.64	16.45
			507.6					0.64	16.45
			508.7					0.64	16.45
			509.8					0.64	16.45
			510.9					0.64	16.45
			512.0					0.64	16.45
			513.1					0.64	16.45
			514.2					0.64	16.45
			515.3					0.64	16.45
			516.4					0.64	16.45
			517.5					0.64	16.45
			518.6					0.64	16.45
			519.7					0.64	16.45
			520.8					0.64	16.45
			521.9					0.64	16.45
			523.0					0.64	16.45
			524.1					0.64	16.45
			525.2					0.64	16.45
			526.3					0.64	16.45
			527.4					0.64	16.

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE IX)

28 SEPTEMBER 1979

S/V AGATE

TIC GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NO3 UM	PO4 UM	NUTR. RATIO NO3/PO4	ATP NG/L	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP C
0200	35 48.4	122 13.1	401.6	---	0.64			0.14	15.81
			403.0	---	0.63			0.14	15.81
			404.4	---	0.61		260.9	0.17	15.75
			405.8	---	0.63			0.15	15.75
			407.2	---	0.65			0.16	15.65
			408.6	---	0.71		277.3	0.16	15.35
			409.9	---	0.72			0.14	15.35
			411.3	---	0.77			0.14	15.35
			412.7	---	0.79		2585.9	0.12	15.35
			414.1	---	0.81			0.11	15.45
			415.5	---	0.85		384.5	0.10	15.25
			416.9	---	0.80			0.09	15.65
			418.3	---	0.76			0.09	15.75
			419.7	---	0.73		1167.6	0.09	15.75
			421.1	---	0.77			0.09	15.65
			422.5	---	0.72			0.09	16.05
0300	35 40.3	122 4.9	417.8	---	0.86			0.09	16.13
			419.5	---			165.2	0.09	16.45
			421.3	---				0.09	16.75
			423.0	---				0.09	16.81
			424.7	---			155.2	0.09	16.81
			426.5	---				0.09	16.70
			428.1	---			257.1	0.09	16.65
			429.9	---				0.09	16.70
			431.6	---				0.09	16.70
			433.4	---			327.5	0.09	16.70
			435.2	---				0.09	16.70
			436.9	---				0.09	16.75
			438.7	---			1825.5	0.09	16.75
			440.5	---				0.09	16.75
			442.3	---				0.09	16.75
			444.1	---				0.09	16.75

CHROMIUM NITRIDE (CRNIX)

28 SEPTEMBER 1979

11/11/11

[illegible]

28 SEPTEMBER 1979

S/V ACENTA			29 NOVEMBER 1979			CHEMICAL WINDSCALE (COLIST X)			
TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NU3 UP	PC4 UP	NU3/P04	ATF AC/L	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP DEG C
0645	36 5.7	121 46.0	83.7				235.0		14.70
			84.3						14.80
			85.6						14.85
			86.3						14.95
			87.0						15.15
			88.2						15.10
			88.9						15.05
			89.6						14.90
0703	36 7.8	121 45.2	90.2				481.1		14.95
			91.2						14.80
			91.7						14.80
			92.7				377.0		14.75
			93.2						14.60
			93.7						14.50
			94.2						14.40
			94.7				419.0		14.30
			95.2						14.20
			95.7						14.15
			96.2						14.10
0734	36 11.6	121 52.5	96.7				262.0		14.90
			97.7						14.75
			98.4						14.70
			98.9				452.1		14.70
			99.3						14.70
			100.6						14.50
			101.3						13.90
			102.0						13.50
0747	36 12.7	121 50.2	102.7						13.50
			103.2				388.0		13.55
0752	36 13.2	121 50.8	103.8						13.75
			104.3						13.30
			104.8						13.00
			105.3						13.00
0800	36 12.7	121 51.5	105.8				338.0		14.25
			106.5						14.65
			107.0						14.65
			107.5						14.65
			108.2				430.0		14.65
			108.9						14.65
			109.6						14.50
			110.3						14.50
0817	36 11.5	121 54.2	111.0				243.0		14.50
			111.6						14.50
			112.3						14.50

F/V ACANTIA			29 NOVEMBER 1979			CHEMICAL MESOSCAL (CRUISE X)			
TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	CHL A KM	N13 UM	PC4 UM	NUTR. RATIO NO3/PO4	ATP AC/L	CHL A MC/M3	TEMP DEG C
0855	36	121 49.8	113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
0902	36	121 50.4	113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
0957	36	121 57.8	113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50
			113.0	0.24					14.50

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE X)

29 NOVEMBER 1979

S/V SCATHA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE N/S	LONGITUDE W/E	DISTANCE KM	TEMP °C	PC4 UV	NUTR. RATIO N:P3/PC4	ATP NG/L	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP °C
1149	36 21.5	122 2.8	173.3	7.35			224.0		12.35
			173.5	6.55					12.45
			175.1	5.62					12.55
			175.6	5.34					12.60
			176.8	4.86					12.65
			176.8	4.86					12.70
			177.4	4.80					12.70
			177.9	5.14			352.0		12.65
			178.5	5.10					12.60
			179.1	5.50					12.60
			180.2	6.02			205.0		12.55
			181.4						12.55
			182.5						12.50
			183.7						12.25
			184.9				115.0		12.15
			185.9						12.15
			187.0						12.20
			187.7						12.20
			188.9				155.0		12.25
			189.4						12.25
			190.5						12.25
			190.9						12.20
			191.7						12.20
			193.3						12.20
			193.4						12.20
			194.7				215.0		12.45
			195.7						12.35
			195.7						12.35
			197.5						12.45
1305	36 10.2	122 4.4	198.5	10.48					14.35
			198.5	10.48			185.0		14.70
			198.5	10.48					14.70
1315	36 10.1	122 5.0	200.5	10.24					14.70
			201.5	10.24			161.0		14.75
			201.5	10.24					14.60

P/V SCALING		29 NOVEMBER 1979		CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CPLISE XI)		
TIME GMT	LATITUDE LONGITUDE HEIGHT	CRISTAL MM	PC4 UM	WATER RATIO NO3/P2O4	ATP MG/L	CHL A MG/M3
1400	26 16.3 122 5.6	20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
1415	26 16.7 122 5.4	20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1		215.0	
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
1430	35 16.9 122 8.7	20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1		155.0	
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			
		20.0 1.1	0.0 2.1			

TIME GMT	LATITUDE N	LONGITUDE W	29 NOVEMBER 1979		CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE X)			TEMP C
			TIME GMT	TIME GMT	PC4 UM	NUTR. RATIO NO3/PC4	ATP NG/L	CHL A MG/M3
1542	36	122 9.9	0000	0000	0.58			14.10
			0005	0005	4.17			14.13
			0010	0010	2.11			14.44
			0015	0015	1.96			14.60
			0020	0020	1.84		169.0	14.70
			0025	0025	1.55			14.77
			0030	0030	1.79			14.75
			0035	0035	1.38		127.0	14.80
			0040	0040	0.23			14.80
			0045	0045	0.23		241.0	14.81
1555	36	122 11.7	0050	0050	0.23			14.77
			0055	0055	0.17			14.75
			0100	0100	0.24			14.70
			0105	0105	0.24			14.55
			0110	0110	0.24			14.50
			0115	0115	0.24		152.0	14.50
			0120	0120	0.24			14.44
			0125	0125	0.24		172.0	14.44
			0130	0130	0.24			14.25
			0135	0135	0.24			14.30
			0140	0140	0.24		177.0	14.30
			0145	0145	0.24			14.35
			0150	0150	0.24			14.40
			0155	0155	0.24		224.0	14.45
			0200	0200	0.24			14.55
			0205	0205	0.24			14.70
			0210	0210	0.24			14.75
			0215	0215	0.24		169.0	14.75
			0220	0220	0.24			14.70
			0225	0225	0.24			14.65
			0230	0230	0.24			14.65
			0235	0235	0.24		134.0	14.60
			0240	0240	0.24			14.55
			0245	0245	0.24			14.40
			0250	0250	0.24			14.00
			0255	0255	0.24		175.0	14.00
			0300	0300	0.24			13.90
			0305	0305	0.24			13.80
			0310	0310	0.24			13.15
			0315	0315	0.24			13.15

CHEMICAL MEASUREMENTS (COLISE X)

29 NOVEMBER 1979

5/0 1200

TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	DISTANCE	WIND	PC4	NUTR. RATIO	ATC	CHL A	TEMP
GMT	°N	°E	km	km/h	UM	H13/PC4	NE/L	NC/M3	°C
1840	26 13.8	122 3.8	289.5	6.51			112.0		12.05
1843	26 13.5	122 4.4	289.1	5.28					12.10
1847	26 14.1	122 5.5	289.0	4.78					12.20
1855	26 14.6	122 4.1	291.3	1.12					12.25
			292.5	1.13			121.0		12.30
			293.7	1.92					12.35
			294.6	2.55					12.40
			295.5						12.45
			296.6				77.0		12.50
			297.8						12.55
			298.3						13.00
			299.8				120.0		13.05
			300.4						13.10
			301.7						13.15
			303.4				125.0		13.20
1912	26 12.7	122 2.8	304.1						13.25
			305.7				125.0		13.30
			306.9						13.35
			307.7						13.40
1923	26 11.6	122 4.7	308.8						13.45
			309.6				114.0		13.50
			310.7						13.55
			311.5						14.00
			311.7				128.0		14.05
			312.3						14.10
1943	26 12.0	122 7.3	313.8						14.15
			314.4				65.0		14.20
			315.3						14.25
			316.5						14.30
			317.5				75.0		14.35

29 NOVEMBER 1979

21/11 1944

[illegible]

R/V CRUISE 29-30 NOVEMBER 1979 CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUIS X)

TID GYS	LATITUDE N/E	LONGITUDE W/E	PC4 UN	NUTR. RATIO N13/P24	ATP PGL	CHL A MG/NE	TEMP C
2343	36 12.1	122 8.1	3.28		135.0		12.65
			3.44				12.40
			3.64				12.90
			3.89				12.95
			3.84				13.11
			3.44				13.11
			3.30				13.20
			3.28				13.33
			3.44		74.0		13.27
			3.44				13.75
			3.44				13.77
			3.28		65.0		13.65
0012	36 12.1	122 8.0	3.28				13.65
			3.44				13.65
			3.64				13.91
			3.89				13.55
			3.84				13.55
			3.44				13.65
			3.30				13.77
			3.28				13.77
			3.44				13.71
			3.44		122.0		13.55
			3.44				13.41
			3.28				13.10
0044	36 16.2	122 9.5	3.28				13.35
			3.44				13.35
			3.64				13.45
			3.89				13.45
			3.84				13.45
			3.44				13.45
			3.30				13.45
			3.28				13.45
			3.44		104.0		13.20
			3.44				13.25
			3.44				13.45
			3.28		7.0		13.11
0044	36 16.2	122 9.5	3.28				13.00
			3.44				13.00
			3.64				13.00
			3.89				13.00
			3.84				13.00
			3.44				13.00
			3.30				13.00
			3.28				13.00
			3.44		55.0		13.00
			3.44				13.00
			3.44				13.00
			3.28		7.0		13.00

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XID

10 JUNE 1980

R/V ACANIA

TIME
GMTLATITUDE
NORTHLONGITUDE
WESTDISTANCE
KMN13
U3P24
U3NU1R-RATIO
NU3/P04ATP
FG/1
285.0 Δ ATP
ATP
0.34CHL A
MG/W3

TEMP C

0300

36 18.0

122 11.7

0.6

4.00

1.35

3.0

48.0

0.09

0.43

12.35

0330

36 13.6

122 9.5

1.2

3.95

1.35

3.0

258.0

0.25

1.19

11.42

0400

36 9.7

122 7.4

1.9

8.00

1.57

5.1

1164.0

0.19

2.52

10.90

0430

36 1.0

122 1.0

1.0

8.00

1.57

5.1

931.0

0.33

2.54

10.09

CHEMICAL MESUSCALE (CRUISE XII)

10 JUNE 1980

R/V AGNIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	N73 J4	PG4 J4	NUTR. RATIO NJ3/PU4	ATP NG/L	Δ ATP ATP	CHI A MG/M3	TEMP DEG C
0430	36	5.3	122 4.3	29.6	1.79	6.1	596.0	0.23	2.12	10.53
				30.1	1.76	6.1			1.50	10.51
				30.7	1.77	6.0			1.20	10.54
				31.2	1.79	6.3	731.0	0.25	1.25	10.52
				31.3	1.83	6.5			1.14	10.70
				32.3	1.85	6.5			1.15	10.73
				32.9	1.85	6.5			1.16	10.73
				32.5	1.82	6.3			1.30	11.30
				33.0	1.82	5.9			1.23	11.35
				34.6	1.73	5.8	521.0	0.26	1.17	11.39
				35.1	1.70	5.3			1.12	11.45
				35.7	1.68	5.7			0.94	11.45
				36.2	1.56	5.6			0.94	11.05
				36.8	1.53	5.2	347.0	0.33	0.95	11.33
				37.3	1.59	4.8			0.95	11.73
				37.9	1.53	4.6			0.84	12.04
0500	36	1.5	122 1.3	38.5	1.52	4.5			0.91	12.03
				39.1	1.53				0.74	12.25
				39.7	1.52		229.0	0.20	0.73	12.32
				40.2	1.44				0.72	12.33
				40.8	1.38	3.2			0.71	12.45
				41.4	1.36	3.0			0.71	12.45
				42.0	1.35	2.9			0.70	12.50
				42.6	1.35	2.8	214.0	0.26	0.69	12.53
				43.2	1.35	2.7			0.69	12.61
				43.7	1.35	2.6			0.68	12.63
				44.3	1.34	2.7			0.62	12.63
				44.9	1.34	2.7	157.0	0.31	0.61	12.63
				45.5	1.32	2.8			0.60	13.03
				46.1	1.32	2.7			0.54	13.13
				47.2	1.28	2.2			0.44	13.13
				47.8	1.29	2.0			0.44	13.92
0530	35	57.6	121 58.1	48.4	1.30	2.1	175.0	0.35	0.59	12.75
				49.0	1.30	2.0			0.58	12.94
				49.5	1.26	1.8			0.58	13.10
				50.1	1.25	1.7			0.55	13.16
				50.7	1.25	1.7			0.56	13.24
				51.3	1.25	1.6	121.0	0.33	0.51	13.33
				51.9	1.28				0.50	13.37
				52.4					0.55	13.40
				53.0					0.50	13.50
				53.6					0.59	13.50
				54.2					0.59	13.43
				54.8			58.0	0.24	0.53	13.40
				55.3					0.53	13.47
				55.9					0.53	13.49
				56.5					0.53	13.52
				57.1					0.52	13.52
0600	34	53.6	121 55.2	57.7						

CHEMICAL MESUSCALE (CRUISE XII)

10 JUNE 1980

R/V ALGANTIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NU3 U4	PI4 U4	NUIR. RATIO NU3/PI4	AIP NG/L	$\frac{\Delta ATP}{ATP}$	CHL MG/L	TEMP C
0612	35 51.9	121 54.0	51.2	0.29	0.95	0.3	86.0	0.06	0.52	13.53
			58.8	0.49	0.95	0.5				13.77
			51.4	0.83	0.91	0.8				13.53
			60.0	0.93	0.95	1.1				13.53
			61.1	0.83	0.96	0.8				13.52
			61.7	0.93	0.98	1.1				13.54
			62.3	0.93	0.97	1.1				13.52
			62.9	0.93	0.99	1.1				13.51
			63.5	0.93	0.99	0.8				13.51
			63.6	0.73	0.97	0.8				13.49
			64.7	0.88	1.00	0.9				13.48
			64.0	0.93	0.99	1.1				13.49
			64.2	0.93	0.99	0.9				13.47
			64.3	0.73	0.99	0.7				13.47
			64.5	0.63	0.99	0.7				13.46
			64.6	1.27	1.04	1.2				13.42
0700	35 53.0	121 56.5	64.7	1.17	1.02	1.1	319.0	0.27	0.47	13.44
			64.9	0.93	1.02	0.9				13.44
			65.0	0.73	1.00	0.7				13.43
			65.2	0.63	1.00	0.6				13.43
			65.3	0.88	1.02	0.9				13.43
			65.4	0.88	1.06	1.1				13.43
			65.6	1.12	1.09	1.1				13.42
			65.8	1.30	1.09	1.7				13.53
			65.9	2.10	1.12	1.9				13.53
			66.1	2.16	1.12	2.1				13.53
			66.2	2.30	1.11	2.1				13.53
			66.4	2.30	1.11	2.1				13.51
			66.6	2.30	1.11	2.1				13.50
			66.7	2.30	1.15	2.0				13.49
			66.9	2.35	1.15	2.0				13.49
			67.0	2.35	1.14	2.0				13.49
0734	35 53.9	121 57.5	67.2	2.35	1.13	2.0	226.0	0.23	0.45	13.49
			67.4	2.35	1.13	2.0				13.49
			67.5	2.35	1.13	2.1				13.49
			67.7	2.35	1.13	2.1				13.49
			67.8	2.35	1.12	2.1				13.49
			68.0	2.35	1.12	2.1				13.49
			68.2	2.35	1.13	2.1				13.49
			68.3	2.35	1.13	2.1				13.49
			68.4	2.35	1.13	2.1				13.49
			68.6	2.35	1.12	2.1				13.49
			68.7	2.35	1.13	2.1				13.49
			68.8	2.35	1.13	2.1				13.49
			68.9	2.35	1.13	2.1				13.49
			69.1	2.40	1.13	2.1				13.49
			69.2	2.40	1.13	2.1				13.49
			69.3	2.40	1.13	2.1				13.49

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

10 JUNE 1980

R/V ACANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NU3 UM	PG4 UM	NUJR.RATIO NU3/PG4	ATP NG/L 236.0	Δ ATP ATP 0.23	CHL A %C/M3 0.45	TEMP C 13.20
0800	35 54.7	121 58.3	69.4	2.40	1.12	2.1	152.0	0.30	0.45	13.13
			69.6	2.40	1.14	2.1			0.45	13.17
			69.7	2.40	1.10	2.2			0.45	13.13
			69.9	2.35	1.10	2.1			0.45	13.21
			70.1	2.30	1.11	2.1			0.45	13.20
			70.2	2.30	1.11	2.1			0.44	13.20
			70.3	2.35	1.14	2.1			0.44	13.17
			70.5	2.35	1.13	2.2			0.43	13.17
			70.6	2.35	1.13	2.1			0.43	13.15
			70.7	2.40	1.14	2.1			0.42	13.14
0830	35 55.6	121 59.1	71.0	2.40	1.12	2.1	126.0	0.30	0.42	13.13
			71.1	2.40	1.08	2.2			0.41	13.17
			71.3	2.35	1.09	2.2			0.41	13.15
			71.4	2.35	1.10	2.2			0.44	13.14
			71.6	2.40	1.10	2.2			0.44	13.14
			71.7	2.40	1.11	2.2			0.43	13.16
			71.8	2.40	1.11	2.2			0.42	13.22
			72.0	2.40	1.13	2.0			0.41	13.22
			72.2	2.30	1.11	2.1			0.40	13.23
			72.4	2.25	1.11	2.0			0.39	13.23
0900	35 56.4	122 0.0	72.5	2.25	1.09	2.1	157.0	0.31	0.38	13.24
			72.6	2.20	1.10	2.0			0.38	13.24
			72.9	2.20	1.11	2.0			0.37	13.25
			73.0	2.25	1.08	2.1			0.36	13.21
			73.3	2.30	1.10	2.1			0.36	13.20
			73.5	2.30	1.12	2.1			0.36	13.17
			73.6	2.30	1.11	2.1			0.34	13.16
			73.7	2.30	1.12	2.1			0.38	13.15
			74.0	2.30	1.12	2.1			0.39	13.14
			74.1	2.35	1.13	2.1			0.40	13.12
			74.4	2.40	1.13	2.1	155.0	0.16	0.41	12.97
			74.5	2.40	1.15	2.1			0.41	12.93
			74.7	2.64	1.16	2.3			0.42	12.89
			74.8	2.74	1.18	2.3			0.42	12.86
			75.1	2.74					0.43	12.85
			75.4	2.74					0.43	12.85

K/V ACANIA 10 JUNE 1980

CHEMICAL MESUSCALE (CRUISE XII)

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	W3 U3	PU4 UM	NUTR. RATIO NO3/PO4	ATP NG/L	Δ ATP ATP	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP C
1128	36	122	5.1	83.0	1.51	5.1	288.0	0.32	0.77	12.03
				83.2	1.43	5.2			0.77	12.03
				83.3	1.52	5.0			0.77	12.03
				83.5	1.54	4.9			0.77	12.03
				83.6	1.53	4.9			0.77	12.03
				83.8	1.53	4.9			0.77	12.04
				83.9	1.53	4.9			0.77	12.05
				84.1	1.52	4.9			0.77	12.06
				84.3	1.52	4.9			0.77	12.08
				84.4	1.52	4.9			0.77	12.09
				84.5	1.52	4.9			0.77	12.09
				84.7	1.52	4.9			0.77	12.09
				84.8	1.51	5.0			0.77	12.09
				85.0	1.52	4.9			0.77	12.09
				85.1	1.51	4.9			0.77	12.09
				85.3	1.52	4.9			0.77	12.09
1200	36	122	6.1	85.4	1.51	4.8	203.0	0.22	0.71	12.09
				85.6	1.50	4.9			0.71	12.09
				85.7	1.50	4.9			0.71	12.09
				85.9	1.50	4.9			0.71	12.09
				86.0	1.50	4.9			0.71	12.09
				86.2	1.49	4.9			0.71	12.09
				86.3	1.51	4.8			0.71	12.09
				86.5	1.50	4.8			0.71	12.09
				86.6	1.51	4.7			0.71	12.09
				86.8	1.51	4.7			0.70	12.09
				87.0	1.50	4.7			0.72	12.12
				87.3	1.50	4.9			0.73	12.11
				87.5	1.49	4.9			0.74	12.11
				87.7	1.49	4.9			0.75	12.11
				87.9	1.49	4.8			0.76	12.11
				88.1	1.50	4.8			0.78	12.11
1230	36	122	7.3	88.3	1.50	4.6	215.0	0.33	0.73	12.05
				88.5	1.49	4.6			0.73	12.05
				88.7	1.49	4.6			0.74	12.05
				89.1	1.49	4.6			0.74	12.05
				89.4	1.49	4.6			0.74	12.05
				89.6	1.49	4.6			0.74	12.05
				89.8	1.50	4.6			0.74	12.05
				90.0	1.50	4.6			0.74	12.05
				90.2	1.50	4.6			0.74	12.05
				90.4	1.50	4.6			0.74	12.05
				90.6	1.49	4.6			0.74	12.05
				90.8	1.49	4.6			0.74	12.05
				91.0	1.50	4.6			0.74	12.05
				91.2	1.50	4.6			0.74	12.05
				91.4	1.50	4.6			0.74	12.05
				91.6	1.50	4.6			0.74	12.05

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

10 JUNE 1980

R/V ALANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NUTR NO3	PU4	NUTR/RATIO NO3/PU4	ATP NG/L	Δ ATP ATP	CHI A MG/M3	TEMP C
1300	36	122 4.4	91.9	7.03	1.54	4.6			1.22	11.93
			92.1	7.03	1.55	4.6			1.32	11.95
			92.3	7.03	1.54	4.6			1.42	11.97
			92.5	7.03	1.55	4.5			1.43	11.99
			92.7	6.52					1.44	11.99
			92.9				270.0	0.37	1.44	12.00
			93.2						1.34	12.00
			93.4						1.33	12.00
			93.6	6.78	1.43	4.7			1.41	12.01
			93.8	6.83	1.46	4.7			1.40	12.01
1330	36	122 9.7	94.0	6.93	1.46	4.7			1.39	12.00
			94.2	7.08	1.45	4.9			1.37	11.99
			94.5	7.08	1.47	4.4			1.37	11.99
			94.7	7.08	1.45	5.0			1.36	11.97
			94.9	7.24	1.47	4.9			1.35	11.97
			95.1	7.18	1.47	4.9	435.0	0.38	1.34	11.95
			95.3	7.29	1.47	5.0			1.25	11.92
			95.5	7.39	1.48	5.0			1.24	11.91
			95.8	7.44	1.51	4.9			1.23	11.90
			96.0	7.54	1.49	5.1			1.22	11.87
1400	36	122 11.2	96.2	7.64	1.52	5.0			1.14	11.77
			96.4	7.74	1.52	5.2			1.12	11.73
			96.6	7.90	1.53	5.2			1.12	11.72
			96.9	8.00	1.55	5.3			1.11	11.63
			97.1	8.15	1.54	5.3			1.10	11.62
			97.4	8.36	1.59	5.4			1.02	11.52
			97.6	8.78	1.65	5.9	272.0	0.41	0.94	11.30
			97.9	9.88	1.66	6.0			0.86	11.28
			98.1	10.03	1.66	6.0			0.86	11.13
			98.3	10.34	1.66	6.2			0.85	11.16
1400	36	122 11.2	98.6	10.29	1.66	6.2			0.84	11.12
			98.8	10.49	1.68	6.2			0.84	11.03
			99.0	10.39	1.68	6.2			0.80	11.03
			99.3	10.44	1.67	6.3			0.89	11.07
			99.5	10.54	1.71	6.2			0.89	11.03
			99.7				315.0	0.35	0.55	11.04
			99.9							11.04
			100.2							11.06
			100.4							11.09
			100.6							11.07
1400	36	122 11.2	100.8							11.04
			101.0	10.23	1.74	5.9				11.10
			101.3	10.39	1.77	5.9				11.04
			101.5	10.54	1.76	6.0				11.00
			101.7	10.64	1.75	6.1				11.00
			101.9	10.59	1.76	6.0				11.00
			102.2	10.64	1.75	6.1				11.00
			102.4	10.54	1.74	6.1				11.03
			102.6	10.54	1.74	6.1				11.02
			102.8	10.49	1.77	5.9				11.06
							308.0	0.34		11.08

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

10 JUNE 1980

R/V AGATIA

TIME
GMT
1430LATITUDE
NORTH
36 3.0LONGITUDE
WEST
122 12.7DISTANCE
KMNO3
U4PO4
U4NUTR. RATIO
NO3/PO4ATP
NG/L $\frac{\Delta ATP}{ATP}$ CHL A
MG/M3TEMP
DEG C

103.1	10.33	1.76	5.9			11.00
103.3	10.34	1.75	5.9			11.10
103.6	10.24	1.75	5.8			11.10
103.9	10.23	1.76	5.8			11.01
104.1	10.18	1.76	5.8			10.99
104.4	10.29	1.75	5.8			10.96
104.6	10.29	1.75	5.8			11.10
104.9	9.98	1.72	5.8			11.30
105.2	9.57	1.62	5.6			11.30
105.4	9.01	1.61	5.6			11.31
105.7	8.81	1.57	5.6			11.34
105.9	8.96	1.57	5.6			11.39
106.2	8.86	1.57	5.6			11.40
106.5	8.66	1.56	5.6			11.48
106.7	8.56	1.54	5.6	250.0	0.42	11.49
107.1	8.30	1.53	5.6			11.50
107.4	8.32	1.53	5.6			11.50
107.8	8.20	1.53	5.4			11.49
108.1	8.10	1.51	5.4			11.49
108.5	7.92					11.43
109.3	7.49					11.53
109.5	8.10					11.49
109.9	8.25					11.54
110.2	7.95					11.34
110.6	8.30			91.0	0.41	11.42
110.9	8.20					11.42
111.3	8.20					11.31
111.6	8.25					11.36
112.0	9.66			232.0	0.37	11.30
112.3	9.73					11.35
112.7	9.57					11.32
113.0	9.93					11.40
113.4	9.93					11.70
114.1	10.34					11.70
114.5	10.29					11.70
114.8	10.49					11.33
115.2	10.59			223.0	0.60	11.35
115.5	10.95					11.33
115.9	11.45					11.33
116.2	11.86					11.37
116.6	11.71					11.42
116.9	10.79					11.46
117.3	11.61					11.44
117.6	11.60					11.42
118.0	10.44			235.0	0.39	11.52
118.3	9.83					11.72
118.7	9.22					11.76
119.0	8.61					11.76

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

10 JUNE 1980

R/V ALANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NO3 UM	PO4 UM	NUTR. RATIO NO3/PO4	ATP NG/L	4ATP ATP	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP C
1612	36 7.3	122 6.6	113.4	8.35	1.61	5.2				13.74
			119.9	8.46	1.59	5.3				13.70
			120.3	3.00	1.59	5.0	547.0	0.42		13.54
			121.3							13.54
			121.7							13.58
			122.2							13.59
			122.7							13.59
			123.1							13.59
			123.6							13.57
1630	36 6.9	122 3.9	124.4				599.0	0.16		13.73
			124.8	8.05	1.57	5.1				13.73
			124.9	3.81	1.65	5.3				13.72
			125.2	3.66						13.72
			125.6	8.30			250.0	0.37		13.66
			126.0	9.12						13.66
			126.4	9.17						13.72
			126.8	9.47						13.74
			127.2	8.68						13.73
			127.6	10.03	1.62	5.4				13.67
			128.0	10.13	1.63	6.0				13.67
			128.4	10.18	1.63	6.2				13.67
			128.8	9.38	1.65	6.0				13.67
			129.2	10.23	1.66	6.2				13.65
			130.1	10.18	1.66	6.1				13.65
1700	36 6.6	121 59.9	130.5	10.39	1.66	6.3	313.0	0.22		13.65
			131.0	10.54	1.69	6.2				13.60
			131.4							13.60
			131.9	10.75	1.71	6.3				13.54
			132.3	11.10	1.72	6.5				13.47
			132.8	11.25	1.74	6.5				13.45
			133.2	11.45	1.74	6.6	280.0	0.35		13.43
			133.7	11.35	1.73	6.6				13.43
			134.1	11.00	1.72	6.4				13.39
			134.6							13.34
			135.0	9.78	1.63	6.0				13.37
			135.5	9.73						13.35
			135.9							13.35
			136.4	10.59	1.71	6.4				13.35
			136.8	11.15	1.68	6.6				13.31
1730	36 6.2	121 55.4	137.3	10.90	1.70	6.4	279.0	0.31		13.31
			137.8	8.71	1.71	6.4				13.27
			138.2	10.90	1.72	6.3				13.27
			138.7							13.27
			139.2	10.74	1.73	6.2			1.00	13.52
			139.6	10.95	1.70	6.4			0.62	13.51
			140.1	11.91	1.78	6.7			0.85	13.54
			140.6	11.71	1.75	6.7	338.0	0.28	1.01	13.55
			141.0						1.00	13.42

CHEMICAL MESUSCALE (CRUISE XII)

10 JUNE 1980

N/V ACANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NJ3 JA	PU4 UA	NUJR.RATIO NJ3/PO4	ATP NG/L	Δ ATP ATP	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP C
1802	36	121 50.7	141.5	11.15					1.17	9.23
			141.9						1.21	10.30
			142.4						1.23	11.23
			142.9						1.26	11.21
			143.3						1.36	11.16
			143.8						1.40	11.03
			144.2						1.22	11.03
			144.7						1.02	11.03
			145.2						0.95	9.26
			145.5						0.88	9.20
1828	36	121 46.6	146.1						0.81	9.26
			146.5						0.64	9.39
			147.0						0.63	9.47
			147.4						0.62	9.53
			147.9						0.61	9.53
			148.4						0.60	9.53
			148.8						0.59	9.54
			149.3						0.57	9.54
			149.7						0.56	9.55
			150.1						0.46	9.50
1838	36	121 45.6	150.4						0.35	9.33
			150.7						0.35	9.33
			151.0						0.34	9.33
			151.3						0.33	9.72
			151.7						0.33	9.73
			152.0						0.32	9.33
			152.3						0.31	9.33
			152.6						0.31	9.31
			152.9						0.30	9.32
			153.2						0.29	9.34
1906	36	121 48.6	153.6						0.28	9.55
			154.0						0.28	9.37
			154.3						0.31	9.37
			154.7						0.30	9.33
			155.0						0.29	9.36
			155.3						0.27	9.33
			155.7						0.42	9.33
			156.0						0.38	9.56
			156.3						0.47	9.53
			156.7						0.33	9.53
1906	36	121 48.6	157.0						0.30	9.50
			157.3						0.26	9.30
			157.6						0.28	9.24
			157.9						0.33	9.24
			158.2						0.33	9.24
			158.5						0.33	9.24
			158.8						0.36	9.24
			159.1						0.37	9.24
			159.4						0.34	9.24
			159.7						0.34	9.24

10 JUNE 1980

ALAVIA

TIME GMT	LAITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NU3 UM	PG4 UM	NUTR.RATIO NU3/PG4	ATP NG/L	$\frac{\Delta \text{ATP}}{\text{ATP}}$	CHI MG/M3	TEMP DEG C
1930	36 3.7	121 51.6	160.9	12.42	1.86	6.7	346.0	0.40	0.35	10.54
			161.3					0.33	10.54	
			161.7					0.32	10.53	
			162.1					0.31	10.53	
			162.4					0.29	10.50	
			163.2				0.40	0.26	10.64	
			163.6				0.26	10.57		
			164.0				0.24	10.50		
			164.4				0.21	10.53		
			164.8				0.20	10.52		
2000	36 2.3	121 55.0	165.2				320.0	0.40	0.17	10.33
			165.6					0.13	11.00	
			165.9				0.09	11.51		
			166.3				0.08	11.66		
			166.7				0.03	11.80		
			167.0			0.29	0.06	11.90		
			167.4				0.06	12.05		
			167.7				0.06	12.03		
			168.1				0.06	12.11		
			168.4				0.06	12.12		
2016	36 1.6	121 56.7	169.1				240.0	0.22	0.03	12.08
			169.4					0.63	12.08	
			169.8				0.42	0.70		
			170.1				0.43	0.70		
			170.4				0.43	0.70		
			170.7				0.43	0.70		
			171.0				0.43	0.70		
			171.3				0.43	0.70		
			171.6				0.43	0.70		
			172.0				0.43	0.70		
2030	36 2.8	121 56.6	172.4				352.0		0.70	11.93
			172.8					0.70	11.93	
			173.1				0.71	11.90		
			173.5				0.71	11.83		
			173.9				0.71	11.83		
			174.3				0.71	11.83		
			174.7				0.71	11.83		
			175.0				0.71	11.83		
			175.4				0.71	11.83		
			175.8				0.71	11.83		
2100	36 5.8	121 55.8	176.2				103.0	0.31	0.07	11.12
			176.6					0.07	11.12	
			177.0				0.14	11.13		
			177.3				0.07	11.14		
			177.7				0.07	11.14		
			178.1				0.07	11.14		
			178.5				0.07	11.14		
			178.9				0.07	11.14		
			179.3				0.07	11.14		
			179.7				0.07	11.14		

CHEMICAL MESUSCALE (CRUISE XII)

10 JUNE 1980

R/V ACANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NJJ U4	PO4 U4	NUJR-RATIO NUJ3/PO4	ATP NG/L 157.0	Δ ATP ATP 0.30	CHL A MG/M3	TEAP C
			179.4	11.11	1.69	6.6			1.00	10.92
			179.3	11.41	1.72	6.6			1.00	11.06
			180.2	11.71	1.74	6.7			1.00	11.00
			180.6	12.10	1.80	6.8			0.63	10.93
			181.0	12.35	1.84	6.8			0.63	10.70
			181.3	12.50	1.82	7.0		0.34	0.93	10.66
			181.5	12.65	1.82	7.0			0.93	10.64
			181.8	12.75	1.82	7.0			0.93	10.74
			182.0	12.90	1.78	6.8			1.15	10.64
			182.3	12.05	1.82	6.8			1.01	10.35
			182.7	12.35	1.82	6.8			1.01	10.90
			183.0	12.30	1.81	6.8			1.01	10.94
			183.4	12.25	1.81	6.8			1.01	10.97
			183.8	12.10	1.78	6.8			1.01	10.92
			184.1	11.95	1.78	6.7			1.01	11.00
			184.5	11.80	1.74	6.6		0.35	0.94	11.16
			184.9	11.75	1.75	6.7			0.94	11.00
			185.0	11.26	1.74	6.5			1.01	11.13
			185.2	10.91	1.72	6.3			1.02	11.24
			185.6	10.66	1.71	6.2			1.02	11.32
			186.3	10.52	1.71	6.2		0.27	1.09	11.37
			186.7	10.32	1.69	6.2			1.09	11.36
			187.0	10.37	1.66	6.2			1.09	11.34
			187.4	10.52	1.67	6.3			1.46	11.24
			187.8	10.52	1.68	6.3			2.19	11.17
			188.2	10.02	1.65	6.1		0.36	2.56	10.94
			188.6	8.73	1.60	5.6			2.78	10.90
			189.0	8.68	1.61	5.4			2.63	10.94
			189.4	8.19	1.55	5.3			2.63	10.93
			189.9	7.99	1.54	5.2		0.33	2.79	11.03
			190.3	7.79	1.50	5.2			2.72	11.05
			190.7	7.79	1.52	5.2			2.72	11.05
			191.1	7.89	1.52	5.2			2.43	11.03
			191.5	8.19	1.59	5.2		0.38	0.95	11.00
			191.9	8.29	1.57	5.6			0.95	12.00
			192.3	8.93	1.55	5.1			0.95	12.00
			192.7	8.93	1.52	5.1			0.95	12.00
			193.1	7.89	1.56	5.0			0.95	12.00
			193.5	7.84	1.52	5.0			0.95	12.00
			193.9	7.59	1.52	4.8			0.95	12.00
			194.3	7.30	1.52	4.8			0.95	12.00
			194.8	7.15	1.50	4.8			0.95	12.00
			195.3	7.15	1.50	4.8			0.95	12.00
			195.7	7.15	1.50	4.8			0.95	12.00
			196.2	7.10	1.50	4.8			0.95	12.00
			196.6	7.10	1.48	4.8		0.30	0.95	12.00
			197.1	7.20	1.47	4.8			0.95	12.00
			197.4	7.15	1.50	4.8			0.95	12.00
			197.7	7.15	1.48	4.8			0.95	12.00
			197.9	6.95	1.48	4.8			0.95	12.00
			198.0	6.95	1.48	4.8			0.95	12.00

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

10-11 JUNE 1980

N/V ALANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE NM	NO3 UM	PO4 UM	NUTR. RATIO NO3/PO4	ATP NG/L	Δ ATP ATP	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP C
			193.2	6.90	1.47	4.7	152.0	0.26	0.81	12.15
			194.5	6.90	1.48	4.7			0.82	12.12
			195.8	6.85	1.47	4.7			0.82	12.11
			199.1	6.90	1.48	4.6			0.82	12.05
			199.4	6.90	1.49	4.6			0.82	12.17
			199.6	7.00	1.47	4.8			0.82	12.18
			199.9	6.26	1.47	4.3	146.0	0.24	0.82	
			200.2	6.55	1.48	4.4			0.82	
			200.5	6.35	1.44	4.4			0.82	
			200.8	6.26	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			201.1	6.21	1.43	4.3	113.0	0.24	0.82	
2310	36	122 1.6	201.3	6.11	1.44	4.2			0.82	
			201.6	6.06	1.45	4.2			0.82	
			201.9	6.06	1.45	4.2			0.82	
			202.2	6.06	1.45	4.2	117.0	0.23	0.82	
			202.4	6.11	1.45	4.2			0.82	
			202.7	6.11	1.45	4.2			0.82	
			202.9	6.21	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			203.0	6.30	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			203.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			203.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
2330	36	122 2.5	203.8	6.35	1.45	4.3	182.0	0.16	0.82	
			204.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			204.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			204.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			205.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			205.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			205.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			205.9	6.35	1.45	4.3	215.0	0.31	0.82	
			206.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			206.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			206.8	6.35	1.45	4.3	295.0	0.11	0.82	
			207.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			207.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			207.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			208.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			208.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			208.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			208.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			209.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			209.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			209.8	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			210.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			210.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			210.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			211.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			211.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			211.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			211.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			212.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			212.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			212.8	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			213.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			213.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			213.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			214.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			214.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			214.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			214.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			215.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			215.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			215.8	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			216.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			216.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			216.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			217.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			217.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			217.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			217.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			218.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			218.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			218.8	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			219.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			219.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			219.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			220.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			220.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			220.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			220.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			221.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			221.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			221.8	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			222.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			222.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			222.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			223.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			223.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			223.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			223.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			224.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			224.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			224.8	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			225.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			225.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			225.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			226.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			226.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			226.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			226.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			227.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			227.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			227.8	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			228.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			228.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			228.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			229.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			229.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			229.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			229.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			230.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			230.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			230.8	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			231.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			231.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			231.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			232.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			232.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			232.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			232.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			233.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			233.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			233.8	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			234.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			234.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			234.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			235.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			235.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			235.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			235.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			236.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			236.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			236.8	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			237.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			237.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			237.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			238.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			238.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			238.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			238.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			239.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			239.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			239.8	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			240.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			240.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			240.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			241.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			241.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			241.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			241.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			242.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			242.5	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			242.8	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			243.1	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			243.4	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			243.7	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			244.0	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			244.3	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			244.6	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			244.9	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.82	
			245.2	6.35	1.45	4.3			0.8	

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

11 JUNE 1980

R/V ACANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NO3 M	PO4 M	NUTR. RATIO NO3/PO4	ATP NG/L	Δ ATP ATP	CHL A MG/V3	TEMP DEG C
0030	36 3.6	122 6.0	213.6	13.52	1.70	6.2	497.0	0.36	2.10	11.17
			213.9	10.57	1.69	6.3			1.86	11.16
			214.3	10.52	1.67	6.3			1.73	11.14
			215.7	10.32	1.68	6.1			1.93	11.12
			215.0	10.07	1.67	6.0			1.93	11.09
			215.4	9.92	1.67	5.9			2.07	11.05
			215.8	9.92	1.67	5.9			2.29	11.00
			216.1	9.82	1.65	6.0			2.66	10.95
			216.5	10.37	1.67	6.2			2.80	10.94
			216.9	10.17	1.69	6.0			2.87	10.92
0100	36 10.9	122 8.4	217.3	10.37	1.69	6.1			2.87	10.92
			217.6	10.52	1.71	6.2			2.87	10.75
			218.0	10.57	1.70	6.2			2.87	10.75
			218.4	10.37	1.69	6.2			4.00	10.74
			218.7	10.32	1.69	6.1			2.86	10.73
			219.1	10.32	1.67	6.1			2.93	10.72
			219.3	10.17	1.67	6.1			2.93	10.72
			219.4	10.22	1.68	6.1			2.99	10.75
			219.6	10.27	1.69	6.1			3.06	10.74
			219.8	10.22	1.70	6.2	183.0	0.45	3.16	10.72
0130	36 11.7	122 9.9	220.0	11.26	1.75	6.4			2.83	10.50
			220.1	12.10	1.75	6.8			2.83	10.45
			220.3	12.10	1.82	7.0			2.39	10.41
			220.5	13.74	1.89	7.3			2.17	10.39
			220.6	14.53	1.92	7.6	278.0	0.47	1.95	10.12
			221.0	13.80	1.80	7.5			1.94	10.13
			221.2	13.54	1.80	7.5			1.84	10.17
			221.3	13.39	1.80	7.5	431.0	0.37	2.01	10.26
			221.5	13.29	1.81	7.3			2.01	10.30
			221.6	13.64	1.83	7.5			1.97	10.25
0200	36 12.3	122 11.3	222.0	13.39	1.82	7.2			1.97	10.23
			222.1	12.75	1.77	7.2			1.57	10.43
			222.3	12.70	1.78	7.1	297.0	0.37	1.49	10.44
			222.4	12.20	1.74	7.0			1.56	10.50
			222.6	11.85	1.72	6.9			1.70	10.55
			222.7	12.05	1.73	7.0			1.70	10.50
			222.9	12.40	1.74	7.1			1.84	10.55
			223.0	12.30	1.73	6.9	267.0	0.39	1.55	10.80
			223.2	11.36	1.70	6.7			1.62	10.33
			223.3	11.09	1.68	6.5			1.48	10.98
0200	36 12.3	122 11.3	223.5	10.91	1.68	6.5			1.20	11.00
			223.6	11.01	1.68	6.6	152.0	0.22	1.12	10.93
			224.1	11.01	1.68	6.6			1.12	10.94
			224.3	11.01	1.68	6.6			1.11	10.97
			224.5						1.11	10.83
			224.7						1.17	10.84

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

11 JUNE 1980

R/V ACAVIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NU3 J4	PJ4 UA	NUTR. RATIO NU3/PJ4	ATP NG/L	Δ ATP ATP 0.01	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP DEG C
0230	36 12.2	122 9.2	224.9	11.36	1.80	6.3	57.0		1.21	10.97
			225.1	9.48	1.59	6.0			1.23	10.99
			225.3	10.71	1.73	6.2			1.19	11.04
			225.5	8.33	1.62	5.5			1.16	11.00
			225.7	11.36	1.70	6.5			1.15	10.67
			226.0	11.30	1.75	6.5	327.0	0.36	1.21	10.30
			226.2	13.09	1.84	7.1			1.48	10.33
			226.4	13.69	1.86	7.4			1.47	10.10
			226.6	14.43	1.95	7.4			1.40	10.08
			226.8	14.03	1.93	7.3	332.0	0.42	1.40	10.08
			227.1	14.38	1.94	7.4			1.49	10.15
			227.5	14.38	1.84	7.1			1.53	10.10
			227.9	13.94	1.95	7.5			1.44	10.14
			228.5	14.63	1.96	7.6	455.0	0.41	1.64	9.95
			228.9	14.18	1.95	7.1			1.70	10.05
			229.2	14.03	1.91	7.3			1.75	10.10
0300	36 11.9	122 5.7	229.6	13.79	1.93	7.1			1.75	10.31
			229.9	11.90	1.79	6.6			1.20	10.52
			230.3	11.16	1.73	6.5	675.0	0.33	2.56	10.23
			230.6	10.66	1.72	6.2			2.42	10.71
			230.9						2.35	10.72
			231.3	11.66	1.74	6.7			2.21	10.76
			231.6						2.21	10.79
			232.0						1.88	10.81
			232.3	11.66	1.75	6.7	360.0	0.41	2.06	10.52
			232.7	10.71	1.76	6.7			2.05	10.77
			233.1	11.80	1.77	6.7			1.58	10.73
			233.4	11.56	1.77	6.5			2.03	10.74
			233.8	12.80	1.77		654.0	0.28	2.03	10.76
			234.1	14.93	1.77	8.4			2.01	10.76
			234.5	13.89	1.76	7.9			2.04	10.73
			234.8	13.49	1.76	7.7			1.54	10.69
0330	36 11.9	122 2.1	235.2	15.42	1.78	8.7	584.0	0.25	1.80	10.55
			235.6	17.60	1.79	9.8			1.63	10.63
			235.9	18.20	1.79	10.2			1.77	10.57
			236.3						1.60	10.53
			236.6						1.53	10.53
			237.0						1.53	10.27
			237.4				261.0	0.28	1.53	10.47
			237.6						1.52	10.42
			237.9						1.51	10.44
			238.1						1.62	10.44
			238.4						1.62	10.44
			238.7				434.0	0.29	1.61	10.44
			238.9						1.61	10.36
			239.2						1.61	10.24
			239.5						1.14	9.33
			239.7						0.90	9.66
			240.0						0.90	9.66

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

11 JUNE 1980

R/V ACANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NJ3 J4	PO4 J4	NOIR-RATIO NO3/PO4	AIP NG/L	Δ ATP ATP	CHL A AG/V3	TEMP DEG C
0400	36 11.7	121 59.5	240.3	18.59	2.23	8.1	146.0	0.28	1.07	9.73
			240.5	18.79	2.27	8.4			1.06	9.77
			240.8	19.04	2.28	8.5			0.98	9.57
			241.1	19.29	2.28	8.5			0.98	9.59
			241.3	19.48	2.31	8.5			0.95	9.33
			241.5	19.68	2.30	8.6			0.92	9.33
			241.7	19.88	2.30	8.6			0.91	9.23
			241.9	19.68	2.30	8.6			0.96	9.23
			242.0	19.48	2.30	8.6			0.95	9.13
			242.2	19.29	2.29	8.5			0.95	9.13
			242.4	19.04	2.27	8.5			0.94	9.13
			242.5	18.79	2.27	8.5			0.93	9.13
			242.7	18.59	2.27	8.5			0.93	9.23
			242.9	18.25	2.25	8.3			0.92	9.23
0430	36 10.3	121 58.1	243.1	17.95	2.27	8.5	234.0	0.25	1.05	9.31
			243.4	18.25	2.27	8.5			1.05	9.33
			243.6	18.41	2.25	8.3			1.05	9.33
			243.8	18.59	2.22	8.3			1.05	9.33
			244.0	18.79	2.22	8.3			1.05	9.33
			244.2	18.95	2.22	8.3			1.05	9.33
			244.4	19.04	2.22	8.3			1.05	9.33
			244.6	19.29	2.22	8.3			1.05	9.33
			244.8	19.48	2.22	8.3			1.05	9.33
			245.0	19.68	2.22	8.3			1.05	9.33
			245.2	19.88	2.22	8.3			1.05	9.33
			245.4	20.04	2.22	8.3			1.05	9.33
			245.6	20.29	2.22	8.3			1.05	9.33
			245.8	20.48	2.22	8.3			1.05	9.33
0500	36 8.8	121 59.4	246.0	20.68	2.22	8.3	223.0	0.29	1.23	10.25
			246.2	20.88	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			246.4	21.04	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			246.6	21.29	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			246.8	21.48	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			247.0	21.68	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			247.2	21.88	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			247.4	22.04	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			247.6	22.29	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			247.8	22.48	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			248.0	22.68	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			248.2	22.88	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			248.4	23.04	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			248.6	23.29	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25
			248.8	23.48	2.22	8.3			1.23	10.25

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

11 JUNE 1980

R/V ACANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NO3 UM	PO4 UM	NUTR. RATIO NO3/PO4	ATP NG/L	Δ ATP ATP	CHL A MG/L	TEMP C
0530	36 7.1	122 0.9	250.3	2.03	1.56	5.8	408.0	0.35	1.22	11.16
			250.5	9.08	1.54	5.9			1.29	11.17
			250.8	9.08	1.55	5.9			0.74	11.19
			251.0	9.03	1.60	5.3			0.74	11.20
			251.2	8.93	1.57	5.8			0.73	11.11
			251.5	8.93	1.56	5.5			0.73	11.15
			251.7	7.72	1.60	5.9			0.73	11.10
			252.0	10.52	1.65	6.2			0.76	11.11
			252.2	11.11	1.71	6.5			0.72	11.31
			252.4	10.85	1.71	6.4			0.77	11.43
0600	36 5.6	122 2.4	252.7	10.70	1.65	5.9	212.0	0.20	0.67	11.31
			253.2	9.72	1.64	5.9			0.67	11.64
			253.4	9.03	1.59	5.7			0.67	11.66
			253.6	8.68	1.56	5.6			0.67	11.66
			253.9	8.44	1.57	5.6	217.0	0.23	0.66	11.57
			254.2	8.09	1.53	5.3			0.66	11.57
			254.5	8.14	1.56	5.2			0.58	11.59
			254.8	7.89	1.53	5.2			0.58	11.71
			255.1	7.49	1.51	5.0			0.65	11.45
			255.4	7.79	1.52	5.1			0.65	11.76
0630	36 3.7	122 4.2	255.7	7.74	1.51	4.9			0.61	12.12
			256.0	7.00	1.43	4.5			0.61	12.25
			256.3	6.40	1.41	4.3			0.53	12.37
			256.6	6.01	1.41	4.3			0.52	12.41
			257.1	5.50	1.36	4.1			0.52	12.41
			257.4	5.51		4.0			0.52	12.33
			257.7	5.46	1.35	4.0			0.52	12.42
			258.0	4.97	1.33	3.7			0.52	12.45
			258.2	4.62	1.29	3.5			0.48	12.43
			258.5	3.83	1.25	3.1			0.47	12.57
0700	36 2.6	122 5.1	258.8	3.50	1.23	2.9			0.47	12.55
			259.0	3.03	1.19	2.6	160.0	0.11	0.43	12.33
			259.1	2.69	1.17	2.3			0.39	12.64
			259.3	2.54	1.17	2.2			0.39	12.36
			259.4	2.49	1.16	2.1			0.39	12.33
			259.6	2.44	1.16	2.0	134.0	0.16	0.39	13.09
			259.8	2.04	1.13	1.8			0.39	13.23
			259.9	1.85	1.14	1.6			0.42	13.25
			260.1	1.75	1.11	1.6			0.42	13.23
			260.2	1.65	1.12	1.5			0.42	13.31
0700	36 2.6	122 5.1	260.4	1.70	1.10	1.5	87.0	0.09	0.41	13.32
			260.5	1.70	1.10	1.5			0.41	13.35
			260.7	1.65	1.09	1.3			0.41	13.33
			261.1	1.40	1.09	1.3			0.41	13.44

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

11 JUNE 1980

R/V ACANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NO3 UM	PO4 UM	DIJR-RATIO NO3/PO4	ATP NG/L	$\frac{\Delta ATP}{ATP}$ 0.01	CHL A MG/13	TEMP DEG C
0730	36	122 5.8	261.2	1.25	1.08	1.2	146.0	0.22	0.41	13.52
			261.3	1.15	1.08	1.1			0.41	13.54
			261.4	0.95	1.07	0.9			0.27	13.57
			261.6	0.90	1.05	0.9			0.40	13.53
			261.7	0.90	1.04	0.9			0.40	13.54
			261.8	0.90	1.04	0.9			0.40	13.50
			262.0	0.90	1.04	0.9			0.40	13.54
			262.1	0.90	1.03	0.9			0.43	13.56
			262.2	0.90	1.02	0.9			0.43	13.54
			262.4	0.90	1.00	0.9			0.43	13.54
			262.5	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.43	13.52
			262.6	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.42	13.51
			262.7	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.42	13.50
			262.8	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.42	13.50
0800	36	122 5.0	263.1	0.85	0.99	0.9	128.0	0.09	0.39	13.41
			263.2	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
			263.3	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
			263.4	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
			263.5	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
			263.6	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
			263.7	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
			263.8	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
			263.9	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
			264.0	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
			264.1	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
			264.2	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
			264.3	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
			264.4	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.39	13.41
0830	36	122 4.2	264.5	0.85	0.99	0.9	122.0	0.11	0.34	13.33
			264.6	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			264.7	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			264.8	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			264.9	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			265.0	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			265.1	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			265.2	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			265.3	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			265.4	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			265.5	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			265.6	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			265.7	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			265.8	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33
			265.9	0.85	0.99	0.9			0.34	13.33

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

11 JUNE 1980

R/V AGANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	WJ3 U4	PO4 U4	NO3:RATIO N:13/PO4	ATP NG/L	Δ ATP ATP	CHL A MG/M3	IFP DE5 C
0900	36 4.2	122 3.6	267.5	2.25	1.09	2.1	113.0	0.04	0.34	12.72
			267.6	2.35	1.09	2.2			0.34	12.63
			267.7	2.45	1.10	2.2			0.34	12.53
			267.9	2.55	1.12	2.6			0.34	12.53
			268.0	2.34	1.12	2.5			0.34	12.53
			268.2	2.84	1.13	2.5			0.34	12.53
			268.3	2.79	1.13	2.5			0.34	12.53
			268.4	2.79	1.11	2.5			0.39	12.53
			268.6	2.79	1.12	2.5			0.39	12.53
			268.7	2.79	1.12	2.5			0.40	12.53
			268.8	2.79	1.12	2.5			0.40	12.53
			268.9	2.79	1.11	2.5			0.41	12.53
0930	36 5.0	122 3.1	269.0	2.94	1.12	2.6	176.0	0.13	0.41	12.53
			269.1	2.99	1.11	2.6			0.41	12.53
			269.2	2.99	1.14	2.6			0.42	12.53
			269.3	2.94	1.14	2.6			0.42	12.53
			269.4	2.99	1.13	2.9			0.43	12.53
			269.5	3.29	1.13	3.0			0.43	12.53
			269.6	3.53	1.17	3.0			0.44	12.53
			269.7	3.53	1.16	3.0			0.44	12.53
			269.8	3.58	1.13	3.0			0.44	12.53
			269.9	3.73	1.17	3.2			0.45	12.53
			270.0	3.73	1.17	3.2			0.45	12.53
			270.1	3.73	1.19	3.2			0.45	12.53
1000	36 5.8	122 2.7	270.2	3.73	1.20	3.5	138.0	0.22	0.46	12.53
			270.3	4.17	1.20	3.5			0.46	12.53
			270.4	4.17	1.22	3.7			0.46	12.53
			270.5	4.47	1.22	3.7			0.47	12.53
			270.6	4.52	1.24	3.8			0.47	12.53
			270.7	4.67	1.25	3.9			0.47	12.53
			270.8	4.82	1.25	4.0			0.48	12.53
			270.9	5.06	1.26	4.1			0.49	12.53
			271.0	5.16	1.26	4.1			0.49	12.53
			271.1	5.36	1.28	4.2			0.53	12.53
			271.2	5.36	1.29	4.2			0.53	12.53
			271.3	5.46	1.29	4.3			0.52	12.53
1000	36 5.8	122 2.7	271.4	5.60	1.30	4.5	153.0	0.13	0.52	12.53
			271.5	5.70	1.28	4.5			0.52	12.53
			271.6	5.75	1.31	4.5			0.51	12.53
			271.7	5.75	1.31	4.5			0.51	12.53
			271.8	5.90	1.31	4.5			0.50	12.53
			271.9	5.95	1.31	4.5			0.50	12.53
			272.0	6.10	1.33	4.6			0.49	12.53
			272.1	6.15	1.31	4.7			0.49	12.53
			272.2	6.25	1.32	4.7			0.49	12.53
			272.3	6.39	1.32	4.8			0.48	12.53
			272.4	6.49	1.33	4.9			0.47	12.53
			272.5	6.69	1.36	4.9			0.46	12.53

11 JUNE 1980

11 JUNE 1980

11 JUNE 1980

11 JUNE 1980

11 JUNE 1980

TIME GMT	LATITUDE N	LONGITUDE W	DISTANCE KM	NO3	PO4	NOIR. RATIO NO3/P	ATP NG/L	$\frac{\Delta ATP}{ATP}$	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP C
1030	36	122	2.4	9.84 7.03 7.33 7.53 7.97 8.66 8.76 8.81 8.86 8.98 9.06 9.15 9.35 9.40	1.36 1.36 1.40 1.39 1.40 1.42 1.46 1.47 1.46 1.47 1.47 1.48 1.48 1.49	5.0 5.2 5.2 5.4 5.6 6.0 6.1 6.0 6.1 6.2 6.2 6.3 6.3 6.3	189.0	0.33	46.26 0.45 0.45 0.47 0.47 0.46 0.45 0.48 0.47 0.49 0.52 0.51 0.50 0.50 0.71 0.71 0.65 1.09 1.19 1.35 1.53 2.18 2.68 3.19 3.36 3.43 4.01 4.04 4.20 4.35 4.51 4.67 4.83 4.99 5.14 5.48 5.64 5.80 5.92 5.95 5.99 5.99 10.34 10.34 9.99 9.94 10.29	11.55 11.65 11.65 11.63 11.41 11.35 11.32 11.31 11.33 11.34 11.33 11.25 11.23 11.13 11.07 11.03 10.79 10.52 10.73 10.77 10.72 10.33 10.49 11.02 11.05 11.07 11.05 11.06 11.05 11.04 11.02 11.00 11.00 11.00 10.97 10.77 10.94 10.83 10.83 10.42 10.33 10.80 10.78
1102	36	122	1.9	9.75 9.80 9.93 10.34 11.13 11.67 11.22 10.84 9.99 9.75 9.55 9.55 9.55 9.55 9.55 9.60 9.65 9.60 9.30 9.04 10.04 10.04 10.04 9.99 9.94 10.29	1.53 1.52 1.54 1.55 1.57 1.59 1.60 1.56 1.52 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.49 1.49 1.51 1.52 1.49 1.48 1.50 1.49 1.50 1.49 1.52 1.53	6.4 6.4 6.5 6.8 7.0 7.1 7.0 6.8 6.6 6.5 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.3 6.3 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.5 6.5 6.8	164.0	0.25	0.65 1.09 1.19 1.35 1.53 2.18 2.68 3.19 3.36 3.43 4.01 4.04 4.20 4.35 4.51 4.67 4.83 4.99 5.14 5.48 5.64 5.80 5.92 5.95 5.99 5.99 10.34 10.34 9.99 9.94 10.29	11.34 10.79 10.52 10.73 10.77 10.72 10.33 10.49 11.02 11.05 11.07 11.05 11.06 11.05 11.04 11.02 11.00 11.00 11.00 10.97 10.77 10.94 10.83 10.83 10.42 10.33 10.80 10.78
1130	36	122	1.9	9.75 9.80 9.93 10.34 11.13 11.67 11.22 10.84 9.99 9.75 9.55 9.55 9.55 9.55 9.55 9.60 9.65 9.60 9.30 9.04 10.04 10.04 10.04 9.99 9.94 10.29	1.53 1.52 1.54 1.55 1.57 1.59 1.60 1.56 1.52 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.49 1.49 1.51 1.52 1.49 1.48 1.50 1.49 1.50 1.49 1.52 1.53	6.4 6.4 6.5 6.8 7.0 7.1 7.0 6.8 6.6 6.5 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.3 6.3 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.5 6.5 6.8	331.0	0.37	0.65 1.09 1.19 1.35 1.53 2.18 2.68 3.19 3.36 3.43 4.01 4.04 4.20 4.35 4.51 4.67 4.83 4.99 5.14 5.48 5.64 5.80 5.92 5.95 5.99 5.99 10.34 10.34 9.99 9.94 10.29	11.34 10.79 10.52 10.73 10.77 10.72 10.33 10.49 11.02 11.05 11.07 11.05 11.06 11.05 11.04 11.02 11.00 11.00 11.00 10.97 10.77 10.94 10.83 10.83 10.42 10.33 10.80 10.78
1200	36	122	2.1	9.75 9.80 9.93 10.34 11.13 11.67 11.22 10.84 9.99 9.75 9.55 9.55 9.55 9.55 9.55 9.60 9.65 9.60 9.30 9.04 10.04 10.04 10.04 9.99 9.94 10.29	1.53 1.52 1.54 1.55 1.57 1.59 1.60 1.56 1.52 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.49 1.49 1.51 1.52 1.49 1.48 1.50 1.49 1.50 1.49 1.52 1.53	6.4 6.4 6.5 6.8 7.0 7.1 7.0 6.8 6.6 6.5 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.3 6.3 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.7 6.5 6.5 6.8	277.0	0.34	0.65 1.09 1.19 1.35 1.53 2.18 2.68 3.19 3.36 3.43 4.01 4.04 4.20 4.35 4.51 4.67 4.83 4.99 5.14 5.48 5.64 5.80 5.92 5.95 5.99 5.99 10.34 10.34 9.99 9.94 10.29	11.34 10.79 10.52 10.73 10.77 10.72 10.33 10.49 11.02 11.05 11.07 11.05 11.06 11.05 11.04 11.02 11.00 11.00 11.00 10.97 10.77 10.94 10.83 10.83 10.42 10.33 10.80 10.78

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

11 JUNE 1980

R/V ACANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	N13 U4	P04 U4	NUK.RATIO NO.3/PC4	ATP NG/L 236.0	$\frac{\Delta ATP}{ATP}$ 0.36	CHL A 47.83	TS C 15.5
1228	36 11.4	122 2.4	231.8	10.44	1.34	6.6	123.0	0.38	5.59	10.53
			232.0	10.14	1.53	6.6			4.52	10.20
			232.1	10.39	1.53	6.3			4.68	10.23
			232.3	11.37	1.62	7.0			3.68	10.13
			232.5	11.77	1.64	7.2			3.13	10.05
			232.7	12.41	1.67	7.4			2.61	10.00
			232.9	13.25	1.74	7.6			2.40	9.99
			233.0	13.64	1.76	7.3			2.24	9.94
			233.2	13.64	1.40	7.7			2.14	9.94
			233.4	14.13	1.30	7.9			2.09	9.94
			233.6	14.14	1.79	7.9			1.87	9.90
			233.7	14.38	1.32	7.9			1.63	9.77
			233.9	14.73	1.81	8.1			1.78	9.76
			234.1	14.73	1.82	8.1			1.72	9.70
			234.3	14.88	1.84	8.1			1.55	9.64
			234.5	15.02	1.85	8.1			1.35	9.62
1300	36 12.9	122 2.6	234.6	15.36	1.89	8.1	130.0	0.17	1.34	9.55
			234.8	15.37	1.89	8.3			1.29	9.53
			235.0	15.36	1.90	8.3			1.24	9.50
			235.2	16.06	1.93	8.3			1.15	9.49
			235.4	16.21	1.94	8.4			1.14	9.47
			235.5	16.41	1.94	8.5			1.06	9.46
			235.7	16.50	1.97	8.4			1.04	9.43
			235.9	16.55	1.94	8.5			0.99	9.40
			236.1	16.70	1.95	8.5			0.95	9.38
			236.3	16.45	1.97	8.4			0.95	9.38
			236.5	16.57	1.97	8.4			0.93	9.30
			236.7	16.57	2.09	8.6			1.04	9.29
			236.9	17.03	2.07	8.6			1.04	9.29
			237.1	17.93	2.07	8.6			1.13	9.29
			237.3	17.93	2.07	8.6			1.13	9.29
			237.5	17.93	2.07	8.6			1.14	9.29
1330	36 14.5	122 2.6	237.7	17.93	2.07	8.6	145.0	0.15	1.14	9.21
			237.9	17.93	2.07	8.6			1.15	9.21
			238.1	17.84	2.07	8.6			1.23	9.20
			238.3	17.84	2.07	8.6			1.23	9.20
			238.5	17.79	2.07	8.6			1.16	9.18
			238.7	17.79	2.06	8.6			1.24	9.18
			238.9	17.93	2.06	8.6			1.25	9.18
			239.1	17.93	2.06	8.7			1.25	9.25
			239.3	17.93	2.06	8.7			1.27	9.25
			239.5	17.93	2.07	8.7			1.27	9.25
			239.7	17.49	2.06	8.5			1.28	9.22
			239.9	17.34	2.04	8.5			1.36	9.20
			290.1	17.49	2.04	8.6			1.37	9.25
			290.3	17.49	2.08	8.6			1.37	9.25
			290.5	17.59	2.04	8.6			1.36	9.25
			290.7	17.79	2.07	8.6			1.36	9.31
			291.1	17.69	2.07	8.5			1.31	9.35

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

11 JUNE 1980

R/V ALANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE NA	WIND U10	WIND DIR	WIND SPEED	WIND GUST	WIND RATIO	ATP KG/L	$\frac{\Delta ATP}{ATP}$	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP DEG C
1400	36 16.1	122 2.6	291.3	17.54	0.1	2.07	2.07	8.5	76.0		1.40	10.37
			291.5	17.50	0.1	2.00	2.00	8.4			1.40	10.37
			291.7	16.85	0.1	2.00	2.00	8.3			1.50	10.33
			291.9	16.50	0.1	1.98	1.98	8.3			1.59	10.44
			292.1	16.40	0.1	1.95	1.95	8.3			1.51	10.50
			292.3	15.82	0.1	1.83	1.83	7.9			1.59	10.50
			292.5	14.83	0.1	1.79	1.79	7.6			1.57	10.50
			292.7	13.04	0.1	1.72	1.72	7.5	190.0	0.25	1.74	10.04
			292.9	12.60	0.1	1.66	1.66	7.5			1.71	10.12
			293.1	11.16	0.1	1.57	1.57	7.2			1.71	10.25
1430	36 17.7	122 2.6	293.3	11.13	0.1	1.59	1.59	6.9			1.76	10.30
			293.5	10.73	0.1	1.53	1.53	6.7			1.76	10.39
			293.7	10.34	0.1	1.55	1.55	6.7			1.76	10.37
			293.9	10.19	0.1	1.52	1.52	6.6			1.76	10.37
			294.1	10.14	0.1	1.52	1.52	6.7	448.0	0.35	1.76	10.51
			294.3	10.14	0.1	1.53	1.53	6.7			1.76	10.50
			294.5	9.90	0.1	1.36	1.36	6.6			1.76	11.19
			294.7	7.48	0.1	1.33	1.33	6.5			1.76	11.24
			294.9	6.99	0.1	1.31	1.31	5.5			1.76	11.15
			295.1	6.79	0.1	1.21	1.21	5.2			1.76	11.15
1500	36 19.2	122 2.7	295.3	7.03	0.1	1.40	1.40	5.1			1.76	11.15
			295.5	7.13	0.1	1.36	1.36	5.1			1.76	11.15
			295.7	7.13	0.1	1.36	1.36	5.1			1.76	11.15
			295.9	7.03	0.1	1.32	1.32	5.1			1.76	11.15
			296.1	7.13	0.1	1.32	1.32	5.1			1.76	11.15
			296.3	7.08	0.1	1.34	1.34	5.1			1.76	11.15
			296.5	7.13	0.1	1.34	1.34	5.1			1.76	11.15
			296.7	6.83	0.1	1.31	1.31	5.1			1.76	11.15
			296.9	6.84	0.1	1.31	1.31	5.1			1.76	11.15
			297.1	6.84	0.1	1.31	1.31	5.1			1.76	11.15
1500	36 19.2	122 2.7	297.3	6.84	0.1	1.26	1.26	4.7			1.76	11.15
			297.5	6.84	0.1	1.26	1.26	4.7			1.76	11.15
			297.7	6.84	0.1	1.26	1.26	4.7			1.76	11.15
			297.9	6.84	0.1	1.26	1.26	4.7			1.76	11.15
			298.1	6.84	0.1	1.26	1.26	4.7			1.76	11.15
			298.3	6.84	0.1	1.26	1.26	4.7			1.76	11.15
			298.5	6.84	0.1	1.26	1.26	4.7			1.76	11.15
			298.7	6.84	0.1	1.26	1.26	4.7			1.76	11.15
			298.9	6.84	0.1	1.26	1.26	4.7			1.76	11.15
			299.1	6.84	0.1	1.26	1.26	4.7			1.76	11.15

11 JUNE 1980

CHEMICAL MESUSCALE (CRUISE XII)

R/V ACANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NO3 M	PO4 M	NUTR-RATIO N:P:PO4	ATP NG/L	Δ ATP ATP	CHL A MG/M3	TEMP DEG C
1530	36 22.7	122 4.5	304.8	5.16	1.19	4.3	449.0	0.33	2.06	11.70
			305.4	4.86	1.13	4.2			2.04	11.71
			305.9	4.47	1.13	4.0			2.49	11.73
			306.4	3.43	1.08	3.5			2.47	11.73
			306.9	3.73	1.08	3.5			2.39	11.73
			307.5	3.53	1.03	3.3			2.51	11.30
			308.0	3.24	1.07	3.3	482.0	0.47	2.62	11.59
			308.5	3.19	1.07	3.0			2.60	11.62
			309.0	3.09					2.71	11.63
			309.6	4.42	1.13	3.7			2.76	11.63
			310.1	5.65	1.23	4.6	332.0	0.37	2.68	11.53
			310.6	6.25	1.29	4.8			2.69	11.53
			311.1	6.49	1.33	4.9			1.35	11.51
			311.7	6.59	1.33	5.0			1.10	11.49
1600	36 25.8	122 4.4	312.2	9.74	1.33	5.1	180.0	0.29	0.91	11.42
			312.7	6.64	1.33	5.0			0.90	11.33
			313.1	6.47	1.33	4.9			0.78	11.53
			313.6	6.74	1.33	5.1			0.78	11.57
			314.1	6.59	1.32	5.0			0.74	11.64
			314.6	6.24	1.32	5.0			0.84	11.71
			315.0	6.00	1.32	4.7	227.0	0.32	0.84	11.75
			315.5	5.90	1.30	4.5			0.85	11.80
			316.0	5.95	1.30	4.5			0.85	11.80
			316.5	5.95	1.29	4.6			0.91	11.75
			316.9	5.95	1.28	4.6	135.0	0.48	0.91	11.60
			317.4	5.90	1.29	4.6			0.91	11.60
			317.9	5.95	1.31	4.3			0.85	11.57
			318.4	6.49	1.40	4.6			0.87	11.53
1630	36 30.7	122 4.8	319.8	6.59	1.30	5.1	82.0	0.29	0.80	11.50
			319.3	6.74	1.33	5.1			0.74	11.43
			320.3	6.74	1.37	5.1			0.74	11.43
			320.7	7.03	1.36	5.2			0.74	11.57
			321.2	7.23	1.38	5.2			0.74	11.71
			321.7	6.74	1.32	5.1			0.74	11.53
			322.2	6.69	1.32	5.1	177.0	0.38	0.75	11.62
			322.6	5.95	1.27	4.7			0.75	11.55
			323.1	5.80	1.26	4.6			0.75	11.55
			323.6	5.90	1.27	4.6			0.75	11.55
			324.1	5.90	1.23	4.6	435.0	0.32	0.81	11.54
			324.5	6.05	1.31	4.6			1.07	11.63
			325.0	5.60	1.31	4.4			1.32	11.63
			325.5	5.11	1.26	4.4			1.33	11.50
			326.0	4.03	1.21	4.2			1.33	11.35
1700	36 34.5	122 5.4	326.4	4.57					1.27	11.37
			326.9	5.41	1.27	4.3	555.0	0.46	1.46	11.27
			327.4	5.80	1.27	4.6			1.59	11.13
			327.9	6.44	1.31	4.6			1.72	11.32
			328.3	6.00	1.32	4.6			2.11	11.79
			328.8						1.62	11.45

CHEMICAL MESOSCALE (CRUISE XII)

11 JUNE 1980

4/V ACANIA

TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DISTANCE KM	NO3 UM	PO4 UM	NOIR.RATIO NO3/PO4	ATP NG/L	$\frac{\Delta ATP}{ATP}$ 0.46	CHL MG/73	TEMP C
			329.3		1.23		231.0		1.67	11.34
			329.8	3.53					1.48	11.77
			330.2						1.68	11.57
			330.7						1.49	12.00
			331.2	4.12	1.11		509.0	0.43	1.49	12.00
			331.7	4.27					1.62	12.07
			332.1	4.42					1.76	12.13
			332.6						1.70	12.23
			333.1	4.86			582.0	0.50	1.77	12.15
1730	36 37.8	122 0.9	334.2	2.39					1.84	12.12
			334.8	2.00	1.02	2.0			1.85	12.25
			335.4		0.99				1.72	12.35
			336.0				573.0	0.55	1.65	12.45
			336.6						1.52	12.45
			337.2						1.60	12.55
			337.8						1.47	12.65
			338.4						1.47	12.55
			339.0						1.47	12.50
			339.6				718.0	0.38	1.40	12.30
			340.2						1.40	12.70
			340.8						1.47	12.50
			341.4						1.54	12.50
1800	36 38.4	121 55.4	342.0				640.0	0.56	1.54	12.70
			342.6						1.55	12.90
			342.6							

APPENDIX B

Listing of Nansen Cast Data: Station, Time, Latitude
Longitude, Depth, Salinity, Temperature, Density,
Nitrate, ATP

STATION #	TIME GMT	LATITUDE NORTH	LONGITUDE WEST	DEPTH M	SALINITY PPT	TEMPERATURE DEG C	DENSITY SIGMA T	NO3 UM	ATP NG/L
1	2002	36 15.8	122 4.8	2.5	33.423	14.30	26.47	1.44	79.0
				25.0	33.423	12.31	26.35	9.80	41.0
				50.0	32.388	10.20	25.49	18.21	
				100.0	33.746	9.43	26.54	21.54	
				200.0	34.034	8.14	26.78	27.03	
2	2134	36 11.4	121 59.7	300.0	34.091	7.73	26.84	30.06	
				400.0	34.158	7.46	26.90	32.05	
				2.5	33.470	14.80	26.54	0.76	57.0
				25.0	33.470	13.17	26.43	7.14	156.0
				50.0	33.478	10.99	26.35	14.88	
3	2241	36 12.1	122 3.0	100.0	33.731	9.80	26.53	20.25	
				175.0	33.987	8.28	26.74	25.19	
				292.0	34.097	7.51	26.85	30.81	
				395.0	34.164	7.00	26.92	33.33	
				2.5	33.483	13.00	26.43	6.61	112.0
4	2343	36 12.0	122 8.0	25.0	33.493	12.09	26.41	8.14	165.0
				50.0	33.483	10.85	26.35	15.24	
				100.0	33.827	9.34	26.60	21.56	
				180.0	34.009	8.30	26.76	25.87	
				280.0	34.021	7.47	26.79	25.79	
5	0044	36 16.2	122 9.9	360.0	34.111	7.12	26.88	32.65	
				2.5	33.489	13.65	26.47	3.44	65.0
				25.0	33.489	12.73	25.42	8.34	86.0
				50.0	33.523	11.99	26.41	11.79	31.0
				100.0	33.614	9.62	26.44	19.77	
6	0136	36 19.1	122 12.9	200.0	33.969	7.26	26.76	24.91	
				300.0	34.076	6.86	26.86	30.60	
				400.0	34.272	6.54	27.03	34.78	
				2.5	33.547	12.90	26.48	5.33	99.0
				25.0	33.547	12.58	26.46	8.02	162.0
7	0300	36 22.0	122 3.8	50.0	33.587	10.64	26.42	16.21	14.0
				100.0	33.751	9.28	26.54	21.37	
				180.0	34.007	8.37	26.76	26.04	
				295.0	34.121	7.28	26.88	30.58	
				400.0	34.227	6.81	26.98	34.76	
				2.5	33.425	13.20	26.40	3.68	157.0
				25.0	33.425	13.22	26.40	5.68	25.0
				50.0	33.552	12.21	26.45	11.25	98.0
				100.0	33.676	9.74	26.48	20.04	
				172.0	34.202	7.85	26.92	28.47	
				296.0	34.050	7.42	26.82	33.33	
				400.0	34.296	6.93	27.03	35.85	
				2.5	33.509	12.70	26.44	7.05	85.0
				25.0	33.509	12.51	26.43	9.52	108.0
				50.0	33.500	11.63	26.38	13.91	35.0
				100.0	33.509	10.34	26.36	19.39	
				185.0	34.009	7.98	26.77	30.28	
				300.0	34.116	7.44	26.87	33.24	
				400.0	34.132	6.90	26.90	35.23	

APPENDIX C

Computer Programs

PAGE 0001

12/56/37

DATE = 00183

MAIN

FORTRAN 14 G LEVEL 21

```

C
C
C      TABULAR DATA (COMPILER)
0001 REAL MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,SUM,DISI,MKAPPO,
0002 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0003 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0004 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0005 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0006 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0007 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0008 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0009 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0010 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0011 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0012 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0013 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0014 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0015 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0016 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0017 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0018 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0019 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0020 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0021 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0022 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0023 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0024 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0025 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0026 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0027 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0028 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0029 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0030 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0031 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0032 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0033 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0034 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0035 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0036 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0037 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0038 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0039 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0040 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0041 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0042 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0043 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0044 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0045 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0046 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0047 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0048 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0049 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0050 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0051 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0052 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0053 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0054 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0055 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0056 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0057 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0058 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,
0059 MATA,MLNA,NC3,PC4,SST,ATP,CHLA,SPD,

```



```
0050 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0051 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0052 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0053 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0054 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0055 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0056 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0057 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0058 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0059 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0060 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0061 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0062 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0063 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0064 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0065 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0066 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0067 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0068 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0069 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0070 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0071 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0072 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0073 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0074 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0075 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0076 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0077 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0078 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0079 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0080 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0081 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0082 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0083 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0084 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0085 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0086 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0087 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0088 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0089 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0090 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
0091 IF (C(1,1).GT.100) GO TO 100
```



```

FORTRAN IV G LEVEL 21          CCHREL          DATE = PC183          11/23/14          PAGE 0001

0001 SUBROUTINE CCHREL (M,XCBS,YCBS)
0002 REAL*8 XCBS(1000),YCBS(1000),XSUM,YSUM,X2SUM,Y2SUM,A,P,C
0003 INTEGER*4 P
0004 XSUM=C.CCC
0005 YSUM=0.CCC
0006 X2SUM=0.CCC
0007 Y2SUM=0.CCC
0008 DO 10 I=1,M
0009   XSUM=XCBS(I)+XSUM
0010   YSUM=YCBS(I)+YSUM
0011   X2SUM=XCBS(I)**2+X2SUM
0012   Y2SUM=YCBS(I)**2+Y2SUM
0013   CCNT=CCNT+1
0014   A=(DEFLLAT(M)*X2SUM)-(XSUM**2)
0015   B=(DEFLLAT(M)*Y2SUM)-(YSUM**2)
0016   C=(DEFLLAT(M)*XSUM)-(XSUM*YSUM)
0017   R=C/(D-SQRT(A*B))
0018   WRITE (6,20) R
0019   RETURN
0020 20 FORMAT (17.5)
0021 1=1,P(24,14)
0022 RETURN
0023 END

```

20 FORMAT (17.5) ESTIMATE OF THE POPULATION CORRELATION COEFFICIENT

CCOMPLATION FILE SOURCE CHECK

```

0001      REAL DIST,NC2,FC4,KNOPOG,SST
0002      DIMENSION C1(11000),NC3(1000),PO4(1000),MNCFO(1000),SST(1000),
0003      X(1000),Y(1000)
0004      DATA DIST,NC2,FC4,MNCFO,SST/1000*0.,1000*0.,1000*0.,1000*0.,
0005      1000*0./
0006      DATA X,Y/1000*0.,1000*0./
0007      J=0
0008      DO 10 K=1,1000
0009      READ (2,100) C1ST(K),NC3(K),PO4(K),MNCFO(K),SST(K)
0010      IF (C1ST(K) .EQ. 999.9) GO TO 11
0011      J=J+1
0012      10 CONTINUE
0013      IF JAX=3
0014      11 YAX=30.
0015      L=1
0016      DO 20 K=1,J
0017      IF (RACFC(K) .EQ. 0.) GO TO 20
0018      X(1)=RAC3(K)
0019      L=L+1
0020      20 CONTINUE
0021      L=L-1
0022      CALL PLOTG (X,Y,L,1,0,1,'PFCSPHATE (UM)',14,'NITRATE (UM)',12,C.,
0023      1XAX,C.,YAX,18,18,1)
0024      XAX=10.
0025      YAX=10.
0026      L=1
0027      DO 30 K=1,J
0028      IF (RAC3(K) .EQ. 0.) GO TO 30
0029      X(1)=RAC3(K)
0030      L=L+1
0031      30 CONTINUE
0032      L=L-1
0033      CALL PLOTG (X,Y,L,1,0,1,
0034      1TEMPERATURE (C)C1,15,'NITRATE (UM)',12,
0035      2XAX,C.,YAX,18,18,1)
0036      YAX=3.
0037      L=1
0038      DO 40 K=1,J
0039      IF (PO4(K) .EQ. 0.) GO TO 40
0040      X(1)=PO4(K)
0041      L=L+1
0042      40 CONTINUE
0043      L=L-1
0044      CALL PLOTG (X,Y,L,1,0,1,
0045      1TEMPERATURE (C)C1,15,'PFCSPHATE (UM)',14,
0046      2XAX,C.,YAX,18,18,1)
0047      YAX=15.
0048      L=1
0049      DO 50 K=1,J
0050      IF (MNCFO(K) .EQ. 0.) GO TO 50
0051      X(1)=MNCFO(K)
0052      L=L+1
0053      50 CONTINUE
0054      L=L-1
0055      CALL PLOTG (X,Y,L,1,0,1,
0056      1TEMPERATURE (C)C1,15,'NUTRIENT RATIO (NC3/PC4)',24,
0057      2XAX,C.,YAX,18,18,1)
0058      YAX=18.
0059      CALL PLOTG (C1,C1,0,0,0,0,
0060      1FORMAT (31X,F5.1,2(X,F5.2),2X,F4.1,17X,F5.2)
0061      STOP
0062      END

```


CONVERTS GEOMETRIC POSITIONS TO SPHERICAL MATHEMATICAL SURFACE
MAXIMUM NUMBER OF POINTS ALLOWED TO BE PROCESSED = 1000

1 READ (UNIT,*) POINTS, N
2 IF (POINTS) GO TO 10
3 READ (UNIT,*) X(1), Y(1), Z(1), X(2), Y(2), Z(2), X(3), Y(3), Z(3), X(4), Y(4), Z(4), X(5), Y(5), Z(5), X(6), Y(6), Z(6), X(7), Y(7), Z(7), X(8), Y(8), Z(8), X(9), Y(9), Z(9), X(10), Y(10), Z(10)
4 IF (X(1)**2 + Y(1)**2 + Z(1)**2 .GT. 1.0) GO TO 10
5 IF (X(2)**2 + Y(2)**2 + Z(2)**2 .GT. 1.0) GO TO 10
6 IF (X(3)**2 + Y(3)**2 + Z(3)**2 .GT. 1.0) GO TO 10
7 IF (X(4)**2 + Y(4)**2 + Z(4)**2 .GT. 1.0) GO TO 10
8 IF (X(5)**2 + Y(5)**2 + Z(5)**2 .GT. 1.0) GO TO 10
9 IF (X(6)**2 + Y(6)**2 + Z(6)**2 .GT. 1.0) GO TO 10
10 IF (X(7)**2 + Y(7)**2 + Z(7)**2 .GT. 1.0) GO TO 10
11 IF (X(8)**2 + Y(8)**2 + Z(8)**2 .GT. 1.0) GO TO 10
12 IF (X(9)**2 + Y(9)**2 + Z(9)**2 .GT. 1.0) GO TO 10
13 IF (X(10)**2 + Y(10)**2 + Z(10)**2 .GT. 1.0) GO TO 10
14 DATA A(1), A(2), A(3), A(4), A(5), A(6), A(7), A(8), A(9), A(10), A(11), A(12), A(13), A(14), A(15), A(16), A(17), A(18), A(19), A(20), A(21), A(22), A(23), A(24), A(25), A(26), A(27), A(28), A(29), A(30), A(31), A(32), A(33), A(34), A(35), A(36), A(37), A(38), A(39), A(40), A(41), A(42), A(43), A(44), A(45), A(46), A(47), A(48), A(49), A(50), A(51), A(52), A(53), A(54), A(55), A(56), A(57), A(58), A(59), A(60), A(61), A(62), A(63), A(64), A(65), A(66), A(67), A(68), A(69), A(70), A(71), A(72), A(73), A(74), A(75), A(76), A(77), A(78), A(79), A(80), A(81), A(82), A(83), A(84), A(85), A(86), A(87), A(88), A(89), A(90), A(91), A(92), A(93), A(94), A(95), A(96), A(97), A(98), A(99), A(100)
15 WRITE (UNIT,*) A(1), A(2), A(3), A(4), A(5), A(6), A(7), A(8), A(9), A(10), A(11), A(12), A(13), A(14), A(15), A(16), A(17), A(18), A(19), A(20), A(21), A(22), A(23), A(24), A(25), A(26), A(27), A(28), A(29), A(30), A(31), A(32), A(33), A(34), A(35), A(36), A(37), A(38), A(39), A(40), A(41), A(42), A(43), A(44), A(45), A(46), A(47), A(48), A(49), A(50), A(51), A(52), A(53), A(54), A(55), A(56), A(57), A(58), A(59), A(60), A(61), A(62), A(63), A(64), A(65), A(66), A(67), A(68), A(69), A(70), A(71), A(72), A(73), A(74), A(75), A(76), A(77), A(78), A(79), A(80), A(81), A(82), A(83), A(84), A(85), A(86), A(87), A(88), A(89), A(90), A(91), A(92), A(93), A(94), A(95), A(96), A(97), A(98), A(99), A(100)
16 GO TO 10

END GEOMETRIC DATA

10 J=0
11 DO 100 I=1, POINTS
12 READ (UNIT,*) TIME(I), CLAT(I), PLAT(I), CLON(I), MLON(I), TIME(I), SPEC(I)
13 IF (TIME(I) .EQ. 5000) GO TO 7

COMPUTE DISTANCE IN KILOMETERS FROM ORIGIN SPECIFIED

1 D=CLAT-50.
2 PLAT=CLAT-50.
3 X(1)=CLON-120.
4 Y(1)=CLON-120.
5 X(2)=CLON-120.
6 Y(2)=CLON-120.
7 X(3)=CLON-120.
8 Y(3)=CLON-120.
9 X(4)=CLON-120.
10 Y(4)=CLON-120.
11 X(5)=CLON-120.
12 Y(5)=CLON-120.
13 X(6)=CLON-120.
14 Y(6)=CLON-120.
15 X(7)=CLON-120.
16 Y(7)=CLON-120.
17 X(8)=CLON-120.
18 Y(8)=CLON-120.
19 X(9)=CLON-120.
20 Y(9)=CLON-120.
21 X(10)=CLON-120.
22 Y(10)=CLON-120.
23 X(11)=CLON-120.
24 Y(11)=CLON-120.
25 X(12)=CLON-120.
26 Y(12)=CLON-120.
27 X(13)=CLON-120.
28 Y(13)=CLON-120.
29 X(14)=CLON-120.
30 Y(14)=CLON-120.
31 X(15)=CLON-120.
32 Y(15)=CLON-120.
33 X(16)=CLON-120.
34 Y(16)=CLON-120.
35 X(17)=CLON-120.
36 Y(17)=CLON-120.
37 X(18)=CLON-120.
38 Y(18)=CLON-120.
39 X(19)=CLON-120.
40 Y(19)=CLON-120.
41 X(20)=CLON-120.
42 Y(20)=CLON-120.
43 X(21)=CLON-120.
44 Y(21)=CLON-120.
45 X(22)=CLON-120.
46 Y(22)=CLON-120.
47 X(23)=CLON-120.
48 Y(23)=CLON-120.
49 X(24)=CLON-120.
50 Y(24)=CLON-120.
51 X(25)=CLON-120.
52 Y(25)=CLON-120.
53 X(26)=CLON-120.
54 Y(26)=CLON-120.
55 X(27)=CLON-120.
56 Y(27)=CLON-120.
57 X(28)=CLON-120.
58 Y(28)=CLON-120.
59 X(29)=CLON-120.
60 Y(29)=CLON-120.
61 X(30)=CLON-120.
62 Y(30)=CLON-120.
63 X(31)=CLON-120.
64 Y(31)=CLON-120.
65 X(32)=CLON-120.
66 Y(32)=CLON-120.
67 X(33)=CLON-120.
68 Y(33)=CLON-120.
69 X(34)=CLON-120.
70 Y(34)=CLON-120.
71 X(35)=CLON-120.
72 Y(35)=CLON-120.
73 X(36)=CLON-120.
74 Y(36)=CLON-120.
75 X(37)=CLON-120.
76 Y(37)=CLON-120.
77 X(38)=CLON-120.
78 Y(38)=CLON-120.
79 X(39)=CLON-120.
80 Y(39)=CLON-120.
81 X(40)=CLON-120.
82 Y(40)=CLON-120.
83 X(41)=CLON-120.
84 Y(41)=CLON-120.
85 X(42)=CLON-120.
86 Y(42)=CLON-120.
87 X(43)=CLON-120.
88 Y(43)=CLON-120.
89 X(44)=CLON-120.
90 Y(44)=CLON-120.
91 X(45)=CLON-120.
92 Y(45)=CLON-120.
93 X(46)=CLON-120.
94 Y(46)=CLON-120.
95 X(47)=CLON-120.
96 Y(47)=CLON-120.
97 X(48)=CLON-120.
98 Y(48)=CLON-120.
99 X(49)=CLON-120.
100 Y(49)=CLON-120.

OUTPUT GEOMETRIC AND XYZ COORDINATES

WRITE (UNIT,*) TIME(I), CLAT(I), PLAT(I), CLON(I), MLON(I), TIME(I), SPEC(I)

CONTINUE

COMPUTE DISTANCE BETWEEN POINTS BY:

1. Haversine Algorithm

2. Vincenty's Formula
3. UTM
4. Lambert Conformal Conic
5. Mercator
6. Cassini
7. Transverse Mercator
8. Universal Transverse Mercator
9. Universal Transverse Mercator
10. Universal Transverse Mercator
11. Universal Transverse Mercator
12. Universal Transverse Mercator
13. Universal Transverse Mercator
14. Universal Transverse Mercator
15. Universal Transverse Mercator
16. Universal Transverse Mercator
17. Universal Transverse Mercator
18. Universal Transverse Mercator
19. Universal Transverse Mercator
20. Universal Transverse Mercator
21. Universal Transverse Mercator
22. Universal Transverse Mercator
23. Universal Transverse Mercator
24. Universal Transverse Mercator
25. Universal Transverse Mercator
26. Universal Transverse Mercator
27. Universal Transverse Mercator
28. Universal Transverse Mercator
29. Universal Transverse Mercator
30. Universal Transverse Mercator
31. Universal Transverse Mercator
32. Universal Transverse Mercator
33. Universal Transverse Mercator
34. Universal Transverse Mercator
35. Universal Transverse Mercator
36. Universal Transverse Mercator
37. Universal Transverse Mercator
38. Universal Transverse Mercator
39. Universal Transverse Mercator
40. Universal Transverse Mercator
41. Universal Transverse Mercator
42. Universal Transverse Mercator
43. Universal Transverse Mercator
44. Universal Transverse Mercator
45. Universal Transverse Mercator
46. Universal Transverse Mercator
47. Universal Transverse Mercator
48. Universal Transverse Mercator
49. Universal Transverse Mercator
50. Universal Transverse Mercator
51. Universal Transverse Mercator
52. Universal Transverse Mercator
53. Universal Transverse Mercator
54. Universal Transverse Mercator
55. Universal Transverse Mercator
56. Universal Transverse Mercator
57. Universal Transverse Mercator
58. Universal Transverse Mercator
59. Universal Transverse Mercator
60. Universal Transverse Mercator
61. Universal Transverse Mercator
62. Universal Transverse Mercator
63. Universal Transverse Mercator
64. Universal Transverse Mercator
65. Universal Transverse Mercator
66. Universal Transverse Mercator
67. Universal Transverse Mercator
68. Universal Transverse Mercator
69. Universal Transverse Mercator
70. Universal Transverse Mercator
71. Universal Transverse Mercator
72. Universal Transverse Mercator
73. Universal Transverse Mercator
74. Universal Transverse Mercator
75. Universal Transverse Mercator
76. Universal Transverse Mercator
77. Universal Transverse Mercator
78. Universal Transverse Mercator
79. Universal Transverse Mercator
80. Universal Transverse Mercator
81. Universal Transverse Mercator
82. Universal Transverse Mercator
83. Universal Transverse Mercator
84. Universal Transverse Mercator
85. Universal Transverse Mercator
86. Universal Transverse Mercator
87. Universal Transverse Mercator
88. Universal Transverse Mercator
89. Universal Transverse Mercator
90. Universal Transverse Mercator
91. Universal Transverse Mercator
92. Universal Transverse Mercator
93. Universal Transverse Mercator
94. Universal Transverse Mercator
95. Universal Transverse Mercator
96. Universal Transverse Mercator
97. Universal Transverse Mercator
98. Universal Transverse Mercator
99. Universal Transverse Mercator
100. Universal Transverse Mercator

OUTPUT FACTOR TO EQUATE ECF DISTANCE SOLUTIONS

IF (C .NE. 0) PLAT(I)=C/C

COMPUTE FACTOR ANGLE FROM TRUE SCIP TO NEXT POINT

2. AF=90.
3. IF (C .NE. 0) AF=AF/2
4. CONTINUE
5. K=0
6. L=0

READ THE DATA CARDS
STORE NUMBER OF DISCRETE SAMPLES READ UNDER BLOCK 'K'
WHEN GEOMETRIC DATA IS READ, APPLY ZONE AND DISTANCE
FACTOR TO DATA ALGORITHM TILL ANOTHER GEOMETRIC DATA IS READ

10. IF (K .EQ. 0) GO TO 10
11. IF (K .EQ. 1) GO TO 11
12. IF (K .EQ. 2) GO TO 12
13. IF (K .EQ. 3) GO TO 13
14. IF (K .EQ. 4) GO TO 14
15. IF (K .EQ. 5) GO TO 15
16. IF (K .EQ. 6) GO TO 16
17. IF (K .EQ. 7) GO TO 17
18. IF (K .EQ. 8) GO TO 18
19. IF (K .EQ. 9) GO TO 19
20. IF (K .EQ. 10) GO TO 20
21. IF (K .EQ. 11) GO TO 21
22. IF (K .EQ. 12) GO TO 22
23. IF (K .EQ. 13) GO TO 23
24. IF (K .EQ. 14) GO TO 24
25. IF (K .EQ. 15) GO TO 25
26. IF (K .EQ. 16) GO TO 26
27. IF (K .EQ. 17) GO TO 27
28. IF (K .EQ. 18) GO TO 28
29. IF (K .EQ. 19) GO TO 29
30. IF (K .EQ. 20) GO TO 30
31. IF (K .EQ. 21) GO TO 31
32. IF (K .EQ. 22) GO TO 32
33. IF (K .EQ. 23) GO TO 33
34. IF (K .EQ. 24) GO TO 34
35. IF (K .EQ. 25) GO TO 35
36. IF (K .EQ. 26) GO TO 36
37. IF (K .EQ. 27) GO TO 37
38. IF (K .EQ. 28) GO TO 38
39. IF (K .EQ. 29) GO TO 39
40. IF (K .EQ. 30) GO TO 40
41. IF (K .EQ. 31) GO TO 41
42. IF (K .EQ. 32) GO TO 42
43. IF (K .EQ. 33) GO TO 43
44. IF (K .EQ. 34) GO TO 44
45. IF (K .EQ. 35) GO TO 45
46. IF (K .EQ. 36) GO TO 46
47. IF (K .EQ. 37) GO TO 47
48. IF (K .EQ. 38) GO TO 48
49. IF (K .EQ. 39) GO TO 49
50. IF (K .EQ. 40) GO TO 50
51. IF (K .EQ. 41) GO TO 51
52. IF (K .EQ. 42) GO TO 52
53. IF (K .EQ. 43) GO TO 53
54. IF (K .EQ. 44) GO TO 54
55. IF (K .EQ. 45) GO TO 55
56. IF (K .EQ. 46) GO TO 56
57. IF (K .EQ. 47) GO TO 57
58. IF (K .EQ. 48) GO TO 58
59. IF (K .EQ. 49) GO TO 59
60. IF (K .EQ. 50) GO TO 60
61. IF (K .EQ. 51) GO TO 61
62. IF (K .EQ. 52) GO TO 62
63. IF (K .EQ. 53) GO TO 63
64. IF (K .EQ. 54) GO TO 64
65. IF (K .EQ. 55) GO TO 65
66. IF (K .EQ. 56) GO TO 66
67. IF (K .EQ. 57) GO TO 67
68. IF (K .EQ. 58) GO TO 68
69. IF (K .EQ. 59) GO TO 69
70. IF (K .EQ. 60) GO TO 70
71. IF (K .EQ. 61) GO TO 71
72. IF (K .EQ. 62) GO TO 72
73. IF (K .EQ. 63) GO TO 73
74. IF (K .EQ. 64) GO TO 74
75. IF (K .EQ. 65) GO TO 75
76. IF (K .EQ. 66) GO TO 76
77. IF (K .EQ. 67) GO TO 77
78. IF (K .EQ. 68) GO TO 78
79. IF (K .EQ. 69) GO TO 79
80. IF (K .EQ. 70) GO TO 80
81. IF (K .EQ. 71) GO TO 81
82. IF (K .EQ. 72) GO TO 82
83. IF (K .EQ. 73) GO TO 83
84. IF (K .EQ. 74) GO TO 84
85. IF (K .EQ. 75) GO TO 85
86. IF (K .EQ. 76) GO TO 86
87. IF (K .EQ. 77) GO TO 87
88. IF (K .EQ. 78) GO TO 88
89. IF (K .EQ. 79) GO TO 89
90. IF (K .EQ. 80) GO TO 90
91. IF (K .EQ. 81) GO TO 91
92. IF (K .EQ. 82) GO TO 92
93. IF (K .EQ. 83) GO TO 93
94. IF (K .EQ. 84) GO TO 94
95. IF (K .EQ. 85) GO TO 95
96. IF (K .EQ. 86) GO TO 96
97. IF (K .EQ. 87) GO TO 97
98. IF (K .EQ. 88) GO TO 98
99. IF (K .EQ. 89) GO TO 99
100. IF (K .EQ. 90) GO TO 100


```

FORTRAN IV G LEVEL 2)          *DATA          DATE = 8C214          15/10/70          PAGE 0002

0041      K=1
0042      IF (LAL) .EQ. 0.1 GO TO 12
0043      L=1
0044      XN=0
0045      YN=0
0046      X(1)=X(1)
0047      Y(1)=Y(1)
0048      GO TO 14

C
C      FOR A FEWAL (P4) IS NOT DEFINED BY GEO-POSITION:
C      COMPUTE DISTANCE FROM KNOWN POINT IN THE X-Y-DIRECTION
0055      A=GEOST-ON(4)IN(4)
0056      A=GEOSTAN(4)
0057      F=GEOSTAN(4)

C
C      ACC. DIFFERENTIAL DISTANCES TO LAST KNOWN POSITION TO ARRIVE AT
C      X,Y COORDINATE OF SAMPLE
0062      X(1)=X(1)-A
0063      Y(1)=Y(1)-A
0064      IF (Y(1)) .LT. X(1)+X(1)+A
0065      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0066      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0067      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0068      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0069      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0070      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0071      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0072      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0073      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0074      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0075      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0076      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0077      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0078      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0079      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0080      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0081      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0082      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0083      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0084      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0085      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0086      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0087      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0088      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0089      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0090      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0091      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0092      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0093      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0094      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0095      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0096      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0097      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0098      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0099      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A
0100      IF (Y(1)) .LT. Y(1)+Y(1)+A

```


[illegible]

[illegible]

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anonymous, Technicon Industrial Systems Technical Publication No. TA 1-0170-20, Operational Manual for the Technicon Auto Analyzer II System, 1972.
- Anonymous, Technicon Industrial Systems Industrial Method No. 177-72-WM, Ortho Phosphate in Water and Seawater, January 1973.
- Anonymous, Technicon Industrial Systems Industrial Method No. 100-70-W/B, Nitrate and Nitrite in Water and Waste Water, January 1978.
- Barber, R.T., A Comparison of the Biological Productivity in Several Coastal Upwelling Regions, paper presented at IDOE International Symposium on Coastal Upwelling, Los Angeles, California, 4 February 1980.
- Blasco, D., Phytoplankton Strategies in the Upwelling Regions, paper presented at IDOE International Symposium on Coastal Upwelling, Los Angeles, California, 5 February 1980.
- Boyd, C.M., and Smith, S.L., Interaction of Plankton Populations in the Surface Waters of the Peruvian Upwelling Region: A Study in Mapping, paper presented at IDOE Symposium on Coastal Upwelling, Los Angeles, California, 5 February 1980.
- Bronsink, S.H., Microplanktonic ATP - Biomass and GTP - Productivity Associated with Upwelling off Point Sur, California, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, 1980.
- Conrad, J.W., Relationships Between Sea Surface Temperature and Nutrients in Satellite Detected Oceanic Fronts, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, 1980.
- James, R.W., Ocean Thermal Structure Forecasting, SP-105 Antisubmarine Warfare Environmental Prediction Services, V. 5, p. 74-133, 1966.
- Johnson, J.E., Subsurface Dynamical Properties of Variable Features Seen in Satellite IR Imagery off Point Sur and Their Acoustic Significance, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, 1980.

Maul, G.A., and Sidran, M., "Atmospheric Effects on Ocean Surface Temperature Sensing from the NOAA Satellite Scanning Radiometer," Journal of Geophysical Research, V. 78(12), p. 1909, 1973.

Paulson, G.P., A Study of Nutrient Variations in the Surface and Mixed Layer of Monterey Bay Using Automatic Analysis Techniques, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, 1972.

Traganza, E.D., Nestor, D.A., and McDonald, A.K., "Satellite Observations of a Nutrient Upwelling off the Coast of California," Journal of Geophysical Research, V. 85(C7), p. 4101-4106, 1980.

Traganza, E.D., Conrad, J.W., and Breaker, L.C., "Satellite Observations of a 'Cyclonic Upwelling System' and 'Giant Plume' in the California Current," (in press Journal of Geophysical Research), 1980.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	No. Copies
1. Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	2
2. Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940	2
3. Chairman, Code 68 Department of Oceanography Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940	1
4. Chairman, Code 65 Department of Meteorology Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940	1
5. Director Naval Oceanography Division Navy Observatory 34th & Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20390	1
6. Commander Naval Oceanography Command NSTL Station Bay St. Louis, MS 39529	1
7. Commanding Officer Naval Oceanographic Office NSTL Station Bay St. Louis, MS 39529	1
8. Commanding Officer Fleet Numerical Oceanography Center Monterey, CA 93940	1
9. Commanding Officer Naval Ocean Research and Development Activity NSTL Station Bay St. Louis, MS 39529	1

10. Chairman, Oceanography Department 1
U.S. Naval Academy
Annapolis, MD 21402
11. Office of Naval Research (Code 482) 1
Naval Ocean Research and Development
Activity
NSTL Station
Bay St. Louis, MS 39529
12. Scientific Liaison Office 1
Office of Naval Research
Scripps Institution of Oceanography
La Jolla, CA 92037
13. Library 1
Department of Oceanography
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98105
14. Library 1
CICESE
P.O. Box 4803
San Ysidro, CA 92073
15. Library 1
School of Oceanography
Oregon State University
Corvallis, OR 97331
16. Commander 1
Oceanographic Systems Pacific
Box 1390
Pearl Harbor, HI 96860
17. Dr. E.D. Traganza, Code 68 Tg 5
Department of Oceanography
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940
18. Prof. J.B. Wickham, Code 68 Wk 1
Department of Oceanography
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940
19. Dr. R.H. Bourke, Code 68 Bf 1
Department of Oceanography
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940

20. Mr. L.C. Breaker 1
National Environmental Satellite Service
660 Price Avenue
Redwood City, CA 94063
21. Mr. Ben Cagle 1
Office of Naval Research Branch Office
1030 East Green Street
Pasadena, CA 91106
22. Mr. Ronald Nagle 1
Naval Environment Prediction Research
Facility
Monterey, CA 93940
23. CDR M.L. Sneiderman, Code 54 Zz 1
Department of Administrative Sciences
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940
24. Mr. Jerry Norton, Code 68 g 1
Department of Oceanography
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940
25. Mr. Dana Austin, Code 68 g 1
Department of Oceanography
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940
26. Ms. Bonita Hunter, Code 68 g 1
Department of Oceanography
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940
27. Ms. Andrea McDonald, Code 61 g 1
Department of Chemistry
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940
28. Captain W.W. Reynolds, Code 35 1
Department of Oceanography
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940
29. Commander 1
Area ASW Forces Sixth Fleet
LCDR John W. Conrad
U.S. Naval Support Activity, Naples
FPO New York 09521

30.	LT Carol Jori, Code 35
Department of Oceanography
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940	1
31.	LT Sherman H. Bronsink
HELSUPPRON Three
Naval Air Station North Island
San Diego, CA 92135	1
32.	Commandant G-PTE-1
United States Coast Guard
Washington, D.C. 20590	2
33.	Commanding Officer
USCG Oceanographic Unit
Bldg. 159-E, Navy Yard Annex
Washington, D.C. 20593	1
34.	LT Walter E. Hanson
USCG Oceanographic Unit
Bldg. 159-E, Navy Yard Annex
Washington, D.C. 20593	3

Thesis
H20465
c.1

Hanson

191431

Nutrient study of
mesoscale thermal
features off Point Sur,
California.

Thesis
H20465
c.1

Hanson

191431

Nutrient study of
mesoscale thermal
features off Point Sur,
California.

thesH20465

Nutrient study of mesoscale thermal feat

3 2768 002 07658 0

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY