Division of Agricultural Sciences
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

CONSOLIDATION OF CITRUS PACKING HOUSES IN THE ONTARIO-CUCAMONGA AREA

J. M. Tinley and G. W. Parks

LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
DAVIS

CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION
GIANNINI FOUNDATION OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

Mimeographed Report No. 154

June 1953

Table of Contents

1.

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Introduction</u>	1
Reason for Study	1
Scope of Study	2
Sources of Information	2
<u>External Economic Factors Affecting Packing House Operations</u>	3
Acreage: California and Arizona and United States	3
Acreage in Citrus Fruit: California	5
Production and Utilization of Citrus Fruit	5
Acreage in Citrus Fruit: San Bernardino County	8
Size of Groves in Area	10
Yields Per Acre	10
Reasons for Decline in Acreage and Production	14
<u>Packing House Operations in the Ontario-Cucamonga Area</u>	20
Development of Packing Houses	20
Physical Features of Area	21
Number of Packing Houses	21
Characteristics of Houses	24
Volume of Fruit Handled	27
Utilization of Facilities	29
<u>Analysis of Packing Costs in Area</u>	32
Introduction	32
Small Number of Associations	34
Problems and Adjustments--Accounting Data	34
Packing House Revenue	35
Cost Categories and Nomenclature	36
Depreciation Reserves	37
Correction of Obvious Errors in Cost Data	38
Cost Allocations	38
Factors Influencing Unit Costs	39
Unadjusted Unit Costs of Packing Oranges and Lemons	41
Unit Costs of Packing Oranges and Lemons: 1st Adjustment	41
Unit Costs of Packing Oranges: 2nd Adjustment	44
Projecting Packing Costs: Upland Citrus	51
Unit Costs of Handling Lemons: 2nd Adjustment	55
Possible Economies with Increased Volume	57
Volume, Unit Costs and Prices Received	60
<u>Financial Considerations in Consolidation</u>	61
Balance Sheet	61
Liquidation Value of Assets	64
Distribution of Ownership of Capital	67
<u>Conclusions and Recommendations</u>	71
Trends in the Citrus Industry	71
Analysis of Packing Operations	72
Basic Considerations in a Plan for Consolidation	74
Consolidation: Orange-Packing Facilities	76
Consolidation: Lemon-Packing Facilities	77
Basis for Future Organization	80
<u>Subsequent Developments</u>	82

... ..

[Faint, illegible handwritten notes]

Tables

No.	Title	Page
1	Bearing Acreage of Citrus Fruit: United States	4
2	Bearing Acreage in Citrus Fruit: Western San Bernardino County	9
3	Bearing and Nonbearing Acreage of Citrus Fruit: San Bernardino County	11
4	Per cent of Groves in Different Size Ranges	13
5	Field Boxes of Fruit Per Acre: By Size Groups 1951	15
6	Picking, Packing and Hauling, and Cultural Costs Per Packed Box as a Per cent of F.O.B. Prices	17
7	Citrus Packing Houses in Area	23
8	Characteristics of Associations in Study	26
9	Volume of Fruit Handled by Associations	28
10	Per cent of Handling Capacity Utilized	31
11	Per cent of Lemon-Storage Capacity Utilized	33
12	Summary of Unit Packing Costs: Oranges: Basis of Annual Reports	42
13	Summary of Unit Packing Costs: Lemons: Basis of Annual Reports	43
14	Summary of Unit Packing Costs: Oranges: 1st Adjustment	45
15	Summary of Unit Packing Costs: Lemons: 1st Adjustment	46
16	Summary of Unit Packing Costs: Oranges: 2nd Adjustment	48
17	Costs per Packed Box Less Depreciation and Interest: Oranges	49
18	Actual and Projected Packing and Handling Costs, Varying Volumes--Upland Citrus Association	53
19	Summary of Unit Packing Costs: Lemons: 2nd Adjustment	56
20	Costs per Packed Box Less Depreciation and Interest: Lemons	58
21	F.O.B. Prices Received by Associations: Domestic Sales	62
22	F.O.B. Prices, Packing Costs, and Net Returns per Packed Box Payable to Growers: Domestic Sales	63
23	Condensed Balance Sheets of Associations: Fiscal Years Ending in 1951	65
24	Assumed Liquidation Value of Assets of Associations	68
25	Per cent of Members Holding Varying Amounts of Revolving Fund Capital	70

Page	Topic	Page
1	General account of the first voyage of the ship	1
2	General account of the second voyage of the ship	2
3	General account of the third voyage of the ship	3
4	General account of the fourth voyage of the ship	4
5	General account of the fifth voyage of the ship	5
6	General account of the sixth voyage of the ship	6
7	General account of the seventh voyage of the ship	7
8	General account of the eighth voyage of the ship	8
9	General account of the ninth voyage of the ship	9
10	General account of the tenth voyage of the ship	10
11	General account of the eleventh voyage of the ship	11
12	General account of the twelfth voyage of the ship	12
13	General account of the thirteenth voyage of the ship	13
14	General account of the fourteenth voyage of the ship	14
15	General account of the fifteenth voyage of the ship	15
16	General account of the sixteenth voyage of the ship	16
17	General account of the seventeenth voyage of the ship	17
18	General account of the eighteenth voyage of the ship	18
19	General account of the nineteenth voyage of the ship	19
20	General account of the twentieth voyage of the ship	20
21	General account of the twenty-first voyage of the ship	21
22	General account of the twenty-second voyage of the ship	22
23	General account of the twenty-third voyage of the ship	23
24	General account of the twenty-fourth voyage of the ship	24
25	General account of the twenty-fifth voyage of the ship	25
26	General account of the twenty-sixth voyage of the ship	26
27	General account of the twenty-seventh voyage of the ship	27
28	General account of the twenty-eighth voyage of the ship	28
29	General account of the twenty-ninth voyage of the ship	29
30	General account of the thirtieth voyage of the ship	30
31	General account of the thirty-first voyage of the ship	31
32	General account of the thirty-second voyage of the ship	32
33	General account of the thirty-third voyage of the ship	33
34	General account of the thirty-fourth voyage of the ship	34
35	General account of the thirty-fifth voyage of the ship	35
36	General account of the thirty-sixth voyage of the ship	36
37	General account of the thirty-seventh voyage of the ship	37
38	General account of the thirty-eighth voyage of the ship	38
39	General account of the thirty-ninth voyage of the ship	39
40	General account of the fortieth voyage of the ship	40
41	General account of the forty-first voyage of the ship	41
42	General account of the forty-second voyage of the ship	42
43	General account of the forty-third voyage of the ship	43
44	General account of the forty-fourth voyage of the ship	44
45	General account of the forty-fifth voyage of the ship	45
46	General account of the forty-sixth voyage of the ship	46
47	General account of the forty-seventh voyage of the ship	47
48	General account of the forty-eighth voyage of the ship	48
49	General account of the forty-ninth voyage of the ship	49
50	General account of the fiftieth voyage of the ship	50

Figures

No.	Title	Page
1	Acreage in Citrus Fruit: California 1924-25 to 1951-52	6
2	Production and Utilization of Citrus Fruit: California	7
3	Bearing Acreage of Citrus Fruit: San Bernardino County	12
4	Percentage Changes in Prices and Costs per Packed Box Equivalent of Citrus Fruits in California	19
5	Outline Map: Southwest San Bernardino County	22
6	Volume of Oranges Packed and Unit Packing Costs Less Depreciation and Interest	50
7	Total Costs of Packing and Handling Fruit at Varying Volumes: Upland Citrus Association	52
8	Costs per Packed Box and per Packed Box Equivalent of Packing and Handling Fruit: With Varying Volumes: Upland Citrus Association	54
9	Volume of Lemons Packed and Packing Costs Less Depreciation and Interest	59

Index

Page	Topic	Page
1	1. The History of the United States	1
2	2. The History of the United States	2
3	3. The History of the United States	3
4	4. The History of the United States	4
5	5. The History of the United States	5
6	6. The History of the United States	6
7	7. The History of the United States	7
8	8. The History of the United States	8
9	9. The History of the United States	9
10	10. The History of the United States	10
11	11. The History of the United States	11
12	12. The History of the United States	12
13	13. The History of the United States	13
14	14. The History of the United States	14
15	15. The History of the United States	15
16	16. The History of the United States	16
17	17. The History of the United States	17
18	18. The History of the United States	18
19	19. The History of the United States	19
20	20. The History of the United States	20
21	21. The History of the United States	21
22	22. The History of the United States	22
23	23. The History of the United States	23
24	24. The History of the United States	24
25	25. The History of the United States	25
26	26. The History of the United States	26
27	27. The History of the United States	27
28	28. The History of the United States	28
29	29. The History of the United States	29
30	30. The History of the United States	30

CONSOLIDATION OF CITRUS PACKING HOUSES
IN THE
ONTARIO-CUCAMONGA AREA

by
J. M. Tinley^{1/} and G. W. Parks^{2/}

INTRODUCTION

Reason for Study.--In February, 1952, the Board of Directors of the Ontario-Cucamonga Fruit Exchange^{3/} requested the College of Agriculture, University of California, to undertake a study of the operations of the eight cooperatively owned citrus packing houses affiliated with the Exchange. In submitting the request the Board indicated that acreage planted to citrus fruit in the area had declined within recent years and that costs of handling citrus fruit in the several houses had risen appreciably, thus adversely affecting returns to growers. It was pointed out that similar conditions existed in several other areas of the state. In some of these areas attempts had been made to consolidate the operations of packing houses. Such consolidations had taken place in a somewhat haphazard fashion and had not proved satisfactory to growers. It was the opinion of the Board of the O.K. Exchange that, although consolidation of packing house operations in their area might be desirable, such consolidation should be undertaken on a more rational basis and only after a careful analysis of the economic and financial factors involved.

Discussion with the Board of the O.K. Exchange indicated that specific information was desired regarding: (a) past and probable future trends in the production and utilization of the various types of citrus fruit in the area served; (b) the influence of market factors upon the prices received by local packing houses for fruit; (c) the relation of volume of fruit handled and packed by the eight affiliated associations to unit costs of packing and, especially, the reasons for and magnitude of variations in such costs as between houses and as between years in the same house; (d) the physical resources of each packing house and the extent to which

^{1/} J. M. Tinley, Professor of Agricultural Economics, Agricultural Economist in the Experiment Station, and Agricultural Economist on the Giannini Foundation.

^{2/} G. W. Parks, Field Assistant.

^{3/} Known colloquially as the O.K. Exchange. This colloquial name or the word "Exchange" will be used interchangeably with the longer title of this agency.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

such resources were utilized; and (e) the financial status of each association in regard to net book value of assets, the extent and nature of long-term indebtedness, and the equity of growers in their associations.

Finally, the Board was interested in specific recommendations, based upon the above-mentioned aspects of the situation for (a) the most efficient organization of packing house facilities in the area from the standpoint of grower-members of the individual associations, and (b) an equitable plan for financing the transition from the existing to the recommended basis of organization. The Board considered the situation confronting some of the houses as so serious that at least a preliminary report was desirable before July 1, 1952, in order to allow individual associations ample time to consider reorganization before October, the end of the fiscal year for most of them. All the eight affiliated associations agreed to participate in the study. Field work on this study began in February, 1952, and a preliminary report with recommendations was presented to the Board of the O.K. Exchange and to the Boards of the eight associations in June, 1952.

Scope of the Study.--In view of the circumstances cited above, it became apparent that the participating groups were primarily interested in an analysis of the relation between volume and unit operating costs in individual houses. Any consideration of other factors that might affect the internal operating efficiency of individual houses was incidental to the main objective.

For this reason it was thought desirable to restrict the scope of the investigation although it was realized that numerous other factors in addition to volume handled have an influence on unit costs of operation as between houses and for the same house from year to year. Another consideration in restricting the scope of the study was that a more extensive study would have required additional personnel and a much longer period for gathering and analyzing relevant data.

Sources of Information.--The data on which this study is based were drawn from publications of the University of California and of State and Federal agencies; from publications of Sunkist Growers, Inc.;^{h/} and from the books and records of the O.K. Fruit Exchange and of the eight local cooperative packing associations. In addition, information and advice

^{h/} This is the new name of the cooperative corporation previously known as the California Fruit Growers Exchange.

[illegible]

11. State of New York - On the 1st day of January, 1900, the following persons were present at the meeting of the Board of Directors of the New York State Board of Education:

[illegible]

were sought from the managers and directors of the packing houses, from the Agricultural Commissioner and the Director of Extension of San Bernardino County, from the Fruit Growers' Supply Company, from a firm of public accountants which audited the books of several of the participating associations, and from sundry other sources.

External Economic Factors Affecting Packing House Operations

It is probable that over 80 per cent of all citrus fruit grown in California is sold cooperatively. Grower owned and controlled local associations operate packing houses to receive, grade, store, pack, and load citrus fruit for sale in domestic and foreign markets. These local associations usually also perform other services, such as pest control and supply of fertilizer, for members. The majority of the local cooperative associations are affiliated with district exchanges (e.g., the Ontario-Cucamonga Fruit Exchange) which arrange and handle sales of fruit on behalf of their affiliated locals. The district exchanges in turn are affiliated with Sunkist Growers Incorporated which, among other activities, coordinates the selling operations of the district exchanges and maintains sales outlets in all important markets. All of these agencies and especially the local associations (also referred to as packing houses) are thus vitally concerned with (a) trends in the acreage, production, and utilization of citrus fruit, and (b) trends in prices and cost factors.

Acreage: California and Arizona in Relation to the United States.-- Although bearing acreage in oranges and tangerines in California and Arizona has expanded considerably since 1924-25, California has become relatively less important as a producer of these two types of fruit. In the five-year period, 1924-25 to 1928-29, about 57 per cent of the total bearing acreage of oranges was located in California and Arizona as compared with just under 43 per cent from 1944-45 to 1948-49 (Table 1). California and Arizona are relatively unimportant in the production of grapefruit, accounting for only 10 per cent of all U.S. bearing acreage from 1924-25 to 1928-29 and 13 per cent from 1944-45 to 1948-49. Practically all the bearing acreage in lemons is located in California.

Florida now surpasses California in regard to bearing acreage in oranges and tangerines. Both Florida and Texas have far greater acreages in grapefruit than does California.

and, indeed, it is the only one of its kind in the world. It is a unique and valuable asset to the community, and it is our duty to protect and preserve it for the benefit of future generations.

THE HISTORY OF THE
THE HISTORY OF THE

The history of the community is a long and varied one, and it is a testament to the resilience and strength of the people who have lived here. From the early days of settlement to the present, the community has faced many challenges, but it has always emerged stronger and more united than before.

THE HISTORY OF THE

The history of the community is a testament to the resilience and strength of the people who have lived here. From the early days of settlement to the present, the community has faced many challenges, but it has always emerged stronger and more united than before.

Table 1

Bearing Acreage of Citrus Fruit--United States

Kind of fruit and period	Calif. & Arizona	Florida	Texas	Other states	U.S. total	Calif-Ariz. as % U.S. total
1000 acres						
<u>Oranges & Tangerines</u>						
1924-25 to 1928-29	180.5	129.1	1.7	4.4	315.6	57.2
1929-30 to 1933-34	197.4	175.4	10.9	5.4	389.1	50.7
1934-35 to 1938-39	221.8	226.5	22.3	7.3	478.2	46.4
1939-40 to 1943-44	239.7	259.3	25.6	6.0	530.6	45.2
1944-45 to 1948-49	246.7	296.0	33.5	4.5	580.7	42.5
<u>Lemons</u>						
1924-25 to 1928-29	41.2				41.2	100.0
1929-30 to 1933-34	40.6				40.6	100.0
1934-35 to 1938-39	43.6				43.6	100.0
1939-40 to 1943-44	55.8				55.8	100.0
1944-45 to 1948-49	64.9				64.9	100.0
<u>Grapefruit</u>						
1924-25 to 1928-29	6.6	49.1	4.4		60.1	10.0
1929-30 to 1933-34	14.7	66.7	25.9		107.4	13.7
1934-35 to 1938-39	27.1	83.5	67.1		177.7	15.2
1939-40 to 1943-44	28.6	88.4	75.0		192.1	14.9
1944-45 to 1948-49	26.5	91.9	79.6		198.0	13.4

Source: Calif. Fruit Growers Exchange. Statistical Information on the Citrus Fruit Industry, 1951.

Table 1

Table 1. Summary of the results of the analysis of variance for the effect of the treatment on the response of the subjects.

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	D.F.	Mean Square	F-Value	Significance Level	Remarks
Treatment	1.234	2	0.617	1.234	0.30	
Block	0.567	1	0.567	1.134	0.29	
Error	0.123	18	0.007			
Total	1.924	21				
Corrected Total	1.701	20				
Corrected Error	0.101	18	0.006			
Corrected Treatment	1.600	2	0.800	1.600	0.23	
Corrected Block	0.466	1	0.466	0.932	0.34	
Corrected Error	0.095	18	0.005			
Corrected Total	1.501	20				
Corrected Error	0.085	18	0.005			
Corrected Treatment	1.416	2	0.708	1.416	0.25	
Corrected Block	0.416	1	0.416	0.832	0.37	
Corrected Error	0.085	18	0.005			
Corrected Total	1.307	20				
Corrected Error	0.075	18	0.004			
Corrected Treatment	1.232	2	0.616	1.232	0.31	
Corrected Block	0.312	1	0.312	0.624	0.43	
Corrected Error	0.075	18	0.004			
Corrected Total	1.109	20				

Table 1. Summary of the results of the analysis of variance for the effect of the treatment on the response of the subjects.

Acreage in Citrus Fruit: California.--The trends of bearing acreage of citrus fruit are shown in Figure 1. Bearing acreage in valencia oranges and lemons expanded appreciably between 1924-25 and 1946-47 and in grapefruit to about 1936-37. On the other hand bearing acreage in navel oranges has shown a distinct downward trend since 1924-25. In the case of all four types of fruit there has been a pronounced decline in bearing acreage since 1948-49. The apparently large decline in 1949-50 for all four types of citrus fruit was in large measure the result of a "tree-count" made in 1948-49 and 1949-50 although, from information available, part was also due to an actual reduction in bearing acreage. As a result of the "tree-count" some acreage was transferred from the bearing to the nonbearing category. It is probable that the actual bearing acreage prior to 1948-49 was somewhat lower than was shown in published reports and in Figure 1. If correction could have been made for overstatement of bearing acreage in previous years, the decline in 1949-50 would in all probability have been much more moderate. It is significant, however, that further declines in bearing acreage took place during the next two years shown.

The data in the lower section of Figure 1 indicate that fewer new orchards are being planted to replace old ones. In most parts of California the average age of citrus fruit trees has been increasing. For example, in 1950 about 56 per cent of all lemon trees were 17 years and older, as compared with 44 per cent in 1940.^{5/} A similar situation holds for oranges. It is reasonable to conclude, therefore, that bearing acreage in citrus fruit will continue to decline during the next few years.

Production and Utilization of Citrus Fruit.--There has been an irregular but definite decline in the total volume of citrus fruit produced in California since 1940-41 in the case of lemons and since 1944-45 in the case of the other types (Figure 2). This decline took place despite the fact that, for valencia oranges and lemons, bearing acreage continued to expand up to 1948-49. These data indicate that average yields per acre of citrus fruit in California have declined within recent years. Equally significant is the decline in fresh shipments, especially during the last few years. From 1944-45 to 1948-49, only 85 per cent of navel and miscellaneous oranges were shipped as fresh fruit, compared with about 90

^{5/} Sidney Hoos and R. E. Seltzer. Lemons and Lemon By-Products. California Agric. Exp. Sta. Bul. 729, 1952 (p. 11).

FIG. 1 - ACREAGE IN CITRUS FRUIT, CALIFORNIA 1921-25 TO 1951-52

SOURCE: APPENDIX TABLE 1

FIG. 2 - PRODUCTION & FRESH SHIPMENTS OF CITRUS FRUIT. CALIFORNIA & ARIZONA; FROM 1934 - 35 TO 1950 - 51

SOURCE: APPENDIX TABLE 2

per cent from 1934-35 to 1938-39. The corresponding figures for valencia oranges are 71 and 81 per cent, for lemons, 70 and 80 per cent, and for summer grapefruit, 77 and 87 per cent.

In effect, this decline both of production and of fresh shipments means that, in most areas of California, a much smaller percentage of aggregate packing house facilities is being utilized at present than was the case 8 to 10 years ago. With the prospect of a further decline in bearing acreage during the next few years, even less fruit will be available for packing.

Acreage in Citrus Fruit: San Bernardino County.--The data presented above indicate broad trends for the citrus industry of the state. The question arises as to whether similar conditions obtain in the area served by the O.K. Exchange. As will be shown later, seven of the eight packing houses participating in this study draw the bulk of their supplies of citrus fruit roughly from four districts in the western part of San Bernardino County. Unfortunately, detailed statistics on acreage and production are not generally available by districts. The authors were able to extract, from the working records of the Agricultural Commissioner of San Bernardino County, data on bearing acreage by types of citrus fruit in each of the four districts for the years 1946 to 1951 (Table 2). These data indicate that between 1946 and 1951 bearing acreage in navel and miscellaneous oranges and in grapefruit declined about 12 per cent, and in valencia oranges and lemons, about 8 per cent. Part of this decline was due to a recalculation of acreage for 1949-50 as a result of the tree-count referred to previously (p. 5). The four districts together had a total of 13,450 bearing acres in citrus fruit. Of these, 42 per cent was planted to navels, 34 per cent to lemons, 21 per cent to valencias, and 3 per cent to grapefruit.

Calculations made by the authors indicate that these four districts accounted for about 80 per cent of the county's bearing acreage in lemons, 25 per cent in both navel and valencia oranges, and 11 per cent in grapefruit.

Because of the comparative importance of these four districts in San Bernardino County, especially in regard to lemon acreage, it is reasonable to use county statistics for the purpose of establishing trends of acreage over a longer period of time. Total bearing acreage of all types of citrus fruit appears to have reached a peak in 1939

Table 2

Bearing Acreage in Citrus: Western San Bernardino County (Acres)

Kind of fruit and year	Alta Loma- Cucamonga district	Upland district	Ontario- Upland district	Ontario district	Total: four districts
<u>Navel & misc.</u>					
<u>Oranges</u>					
1946	1,746	1,325	1,940	1,341	6,352
47	1,746	1,305	1,939	1,341	6,331
48	1,732	1,277	1,919	1,232	6,160
49	1,609	1,325	1,994	1,104	6,032
1950	1,522	1,331	1,942	1,110	5,905
51	1,509	1,263	1,788	1,061	5,621
<u>Valencias</u>					
1946	902	521	892	767	3,082
47	902	512	893	768	3,075
48	905	504	897	751	3,057
49	903	507	845	666	2,921
1950	901	503	815	682	2,901
51	893	475	798	663	2,829
<u>Lemons</u>					
1946	2,109	1,267	1,611	70	5,057
47	2,109	1,272	1,616	70	5,067
48	2,094	1,264	1,606	70	5,034
49	1,986	1,269	1,469	62	4,786
1950	1,879	1,253	1,469	62	4,663
51	1,828	1,221	1,548	60	4,657
<u>Grapefruit</u>					
1946	207	57	79	45	388
47	207	57	79	45	388
48	211	57	79	45	392
49	209	54	68	38	369
1950	214	49	49	38	350
51	211	46	48	38	343

Source: Working records in the office of the Agricultural Commissioner,
San Bernardino County.

TABLE

(continued) - Annual expenditures and receipts, 1960-61, by type of expenditure

Category	Expenditures	Receipts	Net Expenditure	Net Receipt	Net Expenditure
Operating	1,000,000	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
Capital	2,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	1,000,000
Debt Service	1,000,000	0	1,000,000	0	1,000,000
Other	1,000,000	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
Total	5,000,000	2,000,000	3,000,000	0	3,000,000
Operating	1,000,000	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
Capital	2,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	1,000,000
Debt Service	1,000,000	0	1,000,000	0	1,000,000
Other	1,000,000	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
Total	5,000,000	2,000,000	3,000,000	0	3,000,000
Operating	1,000,000	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
Capital	2,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	1,000,000
Debt Service	1,000,000	0	1,000,000	0	1,000,000
Other	1,000,000	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
Total	5,000,000	2,000,000	3,000,000	0	3,000,000
Operating	1,000,000	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
Capital	2,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	1,000,000
Debt Service	1,000,000	0	1,000,000	0	1,000,000
Other	1,000,000	500,000	500,000	0	500,000
Total	5,000,000	2,000,000	3,000,000	0	3,000,000

(continued) - Annual expenditures and receipts, 1960-61, by type of expenditure

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

(Table 3 and Figure 3). That year was also the peak of bearing acreage in navel and miscellaneous oranges, while grapefruit reached a peak in 1944, valencia oranges in 1945, and lemons in 1947. Bearing acreage of navel oranges in 1951 was about 32 per cent lower than in 1939. The corresponding figure for valencia oranges was 14 per cent, for lemons about 9 per cent, and for grapefruit about 28 per cent.

The data on bearing and nonbearing acreages for San Bernardino County indicate that the over-all trends for each of the four types of fruit are in the same direction (although on a different scale) as state-wide trends.

Size of Groves in Area.--Information was obtained from a number of packing houses in the area served by the O.K. Exchange relative to the size of groves of members. Data from two combination houses, one orange house, and one lemon house are summarized, as percentages of total number of groves, in Table 4. There appear to be wide variations among houses relative to the numbers of groves in each size grouping. In order to obtain data more representative of the area as a whole, a weighted average was calculated separately for orange and lemon groves. Nearly 27 per cent of the orange groves and about 25 per cent of the lemon groves were of less than 2 acres. Nearly 67 per cent of the orange groves and 64 per cent of the lemon groves were less than 6 acres in size. For both oranges and lemons, only 15 per cent of all groves were 10 acres and larger; less than 1 per cent in excess of 30 acres.

With the prices and cultural costs of the last three or four years, it seems unlikely that large numbers of growers in this area could have subsisted entirely on the net income from their citrus enterprises. At best, the income from citrus production would serve to supplement income from other sources. It is problematical whether growers with small groves would continue in production if unfavorable economic conditions continue.

Yields per Acre.--Many persons familiar with the citrus industry of southern California consider that, under the price and cost conditions prevailing during the past few years, most growers would be unable to cover all cultural costs (including depreciation and interest on investment) unless yields of at least 300 field boxes of oranges and 350 field boxes of lemons per acre were obtained.

Data relative to the number of field boxes picked per member during the year 1950-51 were obtained from three houses handling oranges and two

Table 3

Bearing and Nonbearing Acreage of Citrus Fruit: San Bernardino County (Acres)

Year	Navels and miscellaneous	Valencias	Lemons	Grapefruit	All kinds
Bearing acreage					
1936	25,449	9,802	4,862	2,903	43,016
37	31,880	10,811	4,751	2,833	50,276
38	32,263	11,177	4,945	3,124	51,519
39	32,323	11,339	5,227	3,571	52,450
1940	28,819	11,529	5,295	3,291	48,934
41	27,580	12,344	5,585	3,802	49,311
42	27,781	12,502	5,757	3,972	50,013
43	27,801	12,866	6,067	3,966	50,700
44	26,925	12,859	6,213	4,323	50,300
45	26,811	12,906	6,310	4,319	50,346
46	26,787	12,608	6,333	4,254	49,982
47	26,758	12,624	6,345	4,256	49,983
48	26,447	12,538	6,245	4,269	49,499
49	23,314	11,665	5,732	3,591	44,302
1950	22,450	11,278	5,636	3,359	42,723
51	21,929	11,209	5,763	3,115	42,016
Nonbearing acreage					
1942	180	505	583	326	1,594
43	117	317	271	119	824
44	76	193	150	59	478
45	58	147	72	55	332
46	48	193	86	62	389
47	55	258	104	59	476
48	35	269	131	55	490
49	112	222	173	35	542
1950	93	159	240	20	512
51	89	110	271	15	485

Source: Records in the office of the Agricultural Commissioner,
San Bernardino County.

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF THE DATA FOR THE 1970-1971 FISHING SEASON IN THE HAWAIIAN ISLANDS

Island	Species	Number	Weight (lb)	Value (\$)	Notes
Main Hawaiian Islands					
Hawaii	Yellowtail	1,234	1,234	1,234	
Hawaii	Blue Marlin	567	567	567	
Hawaii	Black Marlin	345	345	345	
Hawaii	Striped Marlin	234	234	234	
Hawaii	Wahoo	1,567	1,567	1,567	
Hawaii	King Mackerel	2,345	2,345	2,345	
Hawaii	Spanish Mackerel	3,456	3,456	3,456	
Hawaii	Atlantic Mackerel	4,567	4,567	4,567	
Hawaii	Common Snook	5,678	5,678	5,678	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	6,789	6,789	6,789	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	7,890	7,890	7,890	
Hawaii	Common Snook	8,901	8,901	8,901	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	9,012	9,012	9,012	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	10,123	10,123	10,123	
Hawaii	Common Snook	11,234	11,234	11,234	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	12,345	12,345	12,345	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	13,456	13,456	13,456	
Hawaii	Common Snook	14,567	14,567	14,567	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	15,678	15,678	15,678	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	16,789	16,789	16,789	
Hawaii	Common Snook	17,890	17,890	17,890	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	18,901	18,901	18,901	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	19,012	19,012	19,012	
Hawaii	Common Snook	20,123	20,123	20,123	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	21,234	21,234	21,234	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	22,345	22,345	22,345	
Hawaii	Common Snook	23,456	23,456	23,456	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	24,567	24,567	24,567	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	25,678	25,678	25,678	
Hawaii	Common Snook	26,789	26,789	26,789	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	27,890	27,890	27,890	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	28,901	28,901	28,901	
Hawaii	Common Snook	29,012	29,012	29,012	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	30,123	30,123	30,123	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	31,234	31,234	31,234	
Hawaii	Common Snook	32,345	32,345	32,345	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	33,456	33,456	33,456	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	34,567	34,567	34,567	
Hawaii	Common Snook	35,678	35,678	35,678	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	36,789	36,789	36,789	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	37,890	37,890	37,890	
Hawaii	Common Snook	38,901	38,901	38,901	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	39,012	39,012	39,012	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	40,123	40,123	40,123	
Hawaii	Common Snook	41,234	41,234	41,234	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	42,345	42,345	42,345	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	43,456	43,456	43,456	
Hawaii	Common Snook	44,567	44,567	44,567	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	45,678	45,678	45,678	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	46,789	46,789	46,789	
Hawaii	Common Snook	47,890	47,890	47,890	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	48,901	48,901	48,901	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	49,012	49,012	49,012	
Hawaii	Common Snook	50,123	50,123	50,123	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	51,234	51,234	51,234	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	52,345	52,345	52,345	
Hawaii	Common Snook	53,456	53,456	53,456	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	54,567	54,567	54,567	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	55,678	55,678	55,678	
Hawaii	Common Snook	56,789	56,789	56,789	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	57,890	57,890	57,890	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	58,901	58,901	58,901	
Hawaii	Common Snook	59,012	59,012	59,012	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	60,123	60,123	60,123	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	61,234	61,234	61,234	
Hawaii	Common Snook	62,345	62,345	62,345	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	63,456	63,456	63,456	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	64,567	64,567	64,567	
Hawaii	Common Snook	65,678	65,678	65,678	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	66,789	66,789	66,789	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	67,890	67,890	67,890	
Hawaii	Common Snook	68,901	68,901	68,901	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	69,012	69,012	69,012	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	70,123	70,123	70,123	
Hawaii	Common Snook	71,234	71,234	71,234	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	72,345	72,345	72,345	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	73,456	73,456	73,456	
Hawaii	Common Snook	74,567	74,567	74,567	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	75,678	75,678	75,678	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	76,789	76,789	76,789	
Hawaii	Common Snook	77,890	77,890	77,890	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	78,901	78,901	78,901	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	79,012	79,012	79,012	
Hawaii	Common Snook	80,123	80,123	80,123	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	81,234	81,234	81,234	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	82,345	82,345	82,345	
Hawaii	Common Snook	83,456	83,456	83,456	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	84,567	84,567	84,567	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	85,678	85,678	85,678	
Hawaii	Common Snook	86,789	86,789	86,789	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	87,890	87,890	87,890	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	88,901	88,901	88,901	
Hawaii	Common Snook	89,012	89,012	89,012	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	90,123	90,123	90,123	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	91,234	91,234	91,234	
Hawaii	Common Snook	92,345	92,345	92,345	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	93,456	93,456	93,456	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	94,567	94,567	94,567	
Hawaii	Common Snook	95,678	95,678	95,678	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	96,789	96,789	96,789	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	97,890	97,890	97,890	
Hawaii	Common Snook	98,901	98,901	98,901	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	99,012	99,012	99,012	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	100,123	100,123	100,123	
Hawaii	Common Snook	101,234	101,234	101,234	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	102,345	102,345	102,345	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	103,456	103,456	103,456	
Hawaii	Common Snook	104,567	104,567	104,567	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	105,678	105,678	105,678	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	106,789	106,789	106,789	
Hawaii	Common Snook	107,890	107,890	107,890	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	108,901	108,901	108,901	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	109,012	109,012	109,012	
Hawaii	Common Snook	110,123	110,123	110,123	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	111,234	111,234	111,234	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	112,345	112,345	112,345	
Hawaii	Common Snook	113,456	113,456	113,456	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	114,567	114,567	114,567	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	115,678	115,678	115,678	
Hawaii	Common Snook	116,789	116,789	116,789	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	117,890	117,890	117,890	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	118,901	118,901	118,901	
Hawaii	Common Snook	119,012	119,012	119,012	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	120,123	120,123	120,123	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	121,234	121,234	121,234	
Hawaii	Common Snook	122,345	122,345	122,345	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	123,456	123,456	123,456	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	124,567	124,567	124,567	
Hawaii	Common Snook	125,678	125,678	125,678	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	126,789	126,789	126,789	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	127,890	127,890	127,890	
Hawaii	Common Snook	128,901	128,901	128,901	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	129,012	129,012	129,012	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	130,123	130,123	130,123	
Hawaii	Common Snook	131,234	131,234	131,234	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	132,345	132,345	132,345	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	133,456	133,456	133,456	
Hawaii	Common Snook	134,567	134,567	134,567	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	135,678	135,678	135,678	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	136,789	136,789	136,789	
Hawaii	Common Snook	137,890	137,890	137,890	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	138,901	138,901	138,901	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	139,012	139,012	139,012	
Hawaii	Common Snook	140,123	140,123	140,123	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	141,234	141,234	141,234	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	142,345	142,345	142,345	
Hawaii	Common Snook	143,456	143,456	143,456	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	144,567	144,567	144,567	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	145,678	145,678	145,678	
Hawaii	Common Snook	146,789	146,789	146,789	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	147,890	147,890	147,890	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	148,901	148,901	148,901	
Hawaii	Common Snook	149,012	149,012	149,012	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	150,123	150,123	150,123	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	151,234	151,234	151,234	
Hawaii	Common Snook	152,345	152,345	152,345	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	153,456	153,456	153,456	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	154,567	154,567	154,567	
Hawaii	Common Snook	155,678	155,678	155,678	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	156,789	156,789	156,789	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	157,890	157,890	157,890	
Hawaii	Common Snook	158,901	158,901	158,901	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	159,012	159,012	159,012	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	160,123	160,123	160,123	
Hawaii	Common Snook	161,234	161,234	161,234	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	162,345	162,345	162,345	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	163,456	163,456	163,456	
Hawaii	Common Snook	164,567	164,567	164,567	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	165,678	165,678	165,678	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	166,789	166,789	166,789	
Hawaii	Common Snook	167,890	167,890	167,890	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	168,901	168,901	168,901	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	169,012	169,012	169,012	
Hawaii	Common Snook	170,123	170,123	170,123	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	171,234	171,234	171,234	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	172,345	172,345	172,345	
Hawaii	Common Snook	173,456	173,456	173,456	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	174,567	174,567	174,567	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	175,678	175,678	175,678	
Hawaii	Common Snook	176,789	176,789	176,789	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	177,890	177,890	177,890	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	178,901	178,901	178,901	
Hawaii	Common Snook	179,012	179,012	179,012	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	180,123	180,123	180,123	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	181,234	181,234	181,234	
Hawaii	Common Snook	182,345	182,345	182,345	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	183,456	183,456	183,456	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	184,567	184,567	184,567	
Hawaii	Common Snook	185,678	185,678	185,678	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	186,789	186,789	186,789	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	187,890	187,890	187,890	
Hawaii	Common Snook	188,901	188,901	188,901	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	189,012	189,012	189,012	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	190,123	190,123	190,123	
Hawaii	Common Snook	191,234	191,234	191,234	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	192,345	192,345	192,345	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	193,456	193,456	193,456	
Hawaii	Common Snook	194,567	194,567	194,567	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	195,678	195,678	195,678	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	196,789	196,789	196,789	
Hawaii	Common Snook	197,890	197,890	197,890	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	198,901	198,901	198,901	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	199,012	199,012	199,012	
Hawaii	Common Snook	200,123	200,123	200,123	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	201,234	201,234	201,234	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	202,345	202,345	202,345	
Hawaii	Common Snook	203,456	203,456	203,456	
Hawaii	Florida Snook	204,567	204,567	204,567	
Hawaii	Atlantic Snook	205,678	205,678	205,678

FIG. 3-BEARING ACREAGE OF CITRUS FRUIT IN SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.
SOURCE: TABLE 2

Table 4

Per Cent of Groves in Different Size Ranges

Range in acres	Oranges				Lemons			
	House A	House B	House C	Weighted average ^{1/}	House A	House D	House C	Weighted average ^{1/}
Less than 2	48.0	18.4	38.8	26.6	45.3	18.7	29.2	24.5
2 - 3.9	20.0	17.7	17.9	17.9	22.1	18.7	18.0	18.8
4 - 5.9	4.0	27.0	16.4	22.3	17.4	23.0	18.0	21.0
6 - 7.9	0.0	8.5	6.0	7.2	0.0	14.5	4.5	10.1
8 - 9.9	4.0	12.1	9.0	10.6	2.4	14.5	4.5	10.3
10 - 14.9	16.0	9.2	1.5	7.2	11.6	6.3	13.5	9.0
15 - 19.9	0.0	5.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	4.3	4.5	4.0
20 - 29.9	4.0	1.4	8.9	3.9	1.2	0.0	6.7	2.2
Over 30	4.0	0.7	1.5	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	.1
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

^{1/} Weighted by volume of fruit received from growers in each house.

Source: Records of two combination houses, one orange house and one lemon house.

houses handling lemons, including the large orange and the large lemon houses. Some houses compiled these data for all members; others, from a representative sample (30-50 per cent of all members, chosen at random). Data for the various houses were combined, and appear in Table 5 on the basis of groves because some members operated several groves of different sizes and of widely varying yields. It is significant that for navel oranges about 37.1 per cent of the groves and 31.9 per cent of the acreage had yields of less than 300 field boxes per acre, although these accounted for only 20 per cent of the fruit received by the houses. About 57.3 per cent of the lemon groves, comprising 48.9 per cent of the acreage, had yields of less than 350 field boxes per acre. These groves accounted for about 31 per cent of all fruit received by houses.

Although a small proportion of the groves with low production per acre (Table 5) were young groves just coming into production, the bulk appears to have been well-established, older groves. In some instances, they represent groves which, because of high cultural costs and low yields, were receiving little cultural care.

The houses included in Table 5 probably handle from 40 to 50 per cent of all fruit produced in the area studied. The conditions described above can, therefore, be regarded as reasonably representative of the area as a whole. Even if these data overemphasize the seriousness of the situation somewhat, it is still safe to conclude that, if present conditions continue, a not insignificant proportion of acreage now in groves may be forced out of commercial production.

Reasons for Declines in Acreage and Production.--There appear to be four related reasons for the recent declines in acreage, production, and fresh shipments of citrus fruit: (a) expansion of urban areas; (b) increases in cultural and other costs; (c) cultural problems; and (d) competition from frozen and canned fruit juices.

In some parts of California, scattered acreages of citrus fruit (probably quite substantial in the aggregate) have been pulled out since the end of the war to provide for urban housing, industrial development, and for new and wider roads. This encroachment of urban upon agricultural areas appears to be still under way. Information available from managers of packing houses in the western part of San Bernardino County indicates that there has been considerable loss of citrus acreage to urban subdivision in this area. It is estimated, furthermore, that a new

Table 5

Field Boxes of Fruit per Acre--by Size Groups--1951

Field boxes per acre by size groups	Navel oranges (data from 3 houses)						Lemons (data from 2 houses)					
	number			percent of total			number			percent of total		
	groves	acres	field boxes	groves	acres	field boxes	groves	acres	field boxes	groves	acres	field boxes
Less than 100	13	59.90	3,544	5.0	4.1	0.6	12	64.00	3,483	9.1	7.8	1.2
100-149	13	52.25	6,772	5.0	3.6	1.2	7	36.25	4,025	5.4	4.4	1.4
150-199	16	44.25	8,206	6.1	3.0	1.5	9	21.45	3,684	6.9	2.6	1.3
200-249	22	90.75	19,940	8.4	6.2	3.5	13	65.25	14,615	9.9	8.0	5.1
250-299	33	219.50	58,115	12.6	15.0	10.3	19	117.00	31,749	14.5	14.2	11.0
300-349	27	134.00	43,327	10.3	9.2	7.7	15	97.30	31,548	11.5	11.9	10.9
350-399	34	223.27	83,257	13.0	15.3	14.8	18	160.35	59,763	13.7	19.5	20.7
400-499	56	325.30	162,583	21.3	22.3	28.9	20	141.64	63,515	15.3	17.3	22.0
500-599	32	203.00	107,895	12.2	13.9	19.1	10	85.55	46,334	7.6	10.4	16.0
over-600	16	107.75	69,894	6.1	7.4	12.4	8	32.00	30,129	6.1	3.9	10.4
Total	262	1459.95	563,533	100.0	100.0	100.0	131	820.88	288,845	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source of data: Records of packing houses included in study.

four-lane highway, to be constructed running east and west and passing just south of Upland, will eliminate well over 100 acres of citrus acreage. Informed opinion indicates that much of this expansion has been at the expense of relatively good citrus bearing acreage.

Trends in the profitability of an industry can often be shown by comparisons of relative changes in prices and of the more important production and marketing costs. For a number of years the California Citrus League has computed estimates of average f.o.b. packing-house prices per packed box, and packing, picking, hauling, and cultural costs per packed box. Although the accuracy and representativeness of these data for any one area and for any one year may be questioned, the data do afford a reasonably reliable picture of trends in prices and costs and in the relative importance of the different cost groups.

The relative importance of the different cost groups in relation to f.o.b. prices per packed box is summarized by five-year periods in Table 6. These data indicate that during the early war years all three cost groups accounted for a much lower proportion of average f.o.b. prices per packed box than during the prewar years--an indication of the increased profitability of the citrus production during the early war years. During the last five-year period (roughly the postwar period), these three cost groups absorbed (except in the case of navel oranges) a larger proportion of the f.o.b. prices per packed box than during the prewar period--indicating a marked decline in the profitability of the citrus industry.

The relative importance of the three cost groups is indicated by the fact that, during the postwar period, cultural costs for both navel and valencia oranges accounted for about 30 per cent of the f.o.b. prices, packing costs for 22 per cent, and picking and hauling costs for 9 per cent. The comparable figures for lemons were 25, 25, and 12 per cent, and for grapefruit 30, 30, and 10 per cent.

The relative changes (1934-35 to 1938-39=100) in cultural costs, picking and hauling costs, packing costs, and f.o.b. prices (all per packed-box equivalent) are shown in Figure 4. It will be noticed that during the war period f.o.b. prices for packed fruit increased more rapidly than did the various cost factors. During the postwar period, however, all three types of costs tended to rise more rapidly than did f.o.b. prices. In 1950-51 (except for grapefruit) the relative increases in the three types of costs since the base period equalled or exceeded the relative

the same, however, to be understood, namely that the same law applies to all cases of the same kind, and that the same law applies to all cases of the same kind, and that the same law applies to all cases of the same kind.

The law is not only a law of nature, but also a law of thought. It is a law of nature, because it is a law that governs the physical world, and it is a law of thought, because it is a law that governs the mental world. The law is not only a law of nature, but also a law of thought. It is a law of nature, because it is a law that governs the physical world, and it is a law of thought, because it is a law that governs the mental world. The law is not only a law of nature, but also a law of thought. It is a law of nature, because it is a law that governs the physical world, and it is a law of thought, because it is a law that governs the mental world.

The relative frequency of the different cases is not a law of nature, but a law of thought. It is a law of thought, because it is a law that governs the mental world, and it is a law of nature, because it is a law that governs the physical world. The law is not only a law of nature, but also a law of thought. It is a law of nature, because it is a law that governs the physical world, and it is a law of thought, because it is a law that governs the mental world. The law is not only a law of nature, but also a law of thought. It is a law of nature, because it is a law that governs the physical world, and it is a law of thought, because it is a law that governs the mental world.

The relative frequency of the different cases is not a law of nature, but a law of thought. It is a law of thought, because it is a law that governs the mental world, and it is a law of nature, because it is a law that governs the physical world. The law is not only a law of nature, but also a law of thought. It is a law of nature, because it is a law that governs the physical world, and it is a law of thought, because it is a law that governs the mental world. The law is not only a law of nature, but also a law of thought. It is a law of nature, because it is a law that governs the physical world, and it is a law of thought, because it is a law that governs the mental world.

The relative frequency of the different cases is not a law of nature, but a law of thought. It is a law of thought, because it is a law that governs the mental world, and it is a law of nature, because it is a law that governs the physical world. The law is not only a law of nature, but also a law of thought. It is a law of nature, because it is a law that governs the physical world, and it is a law of thought, because it is a law that governs the mental world. The law is not only a law of nature, but also a law of thought. It is a law of nature, because it is a law that governs the physical world, and it is a law of thought, because it is a law that governs the mental world.

Table 6

Picking, Packing and Hauling, and Cultural Costs per Packed Box
as a Per Cent of F.O.B. Prices ^{1/}

Kind of fruit & period	Packing costs	Picking & hauling costs	Cultural costs	All three cost groups
<u>Navel Oranges</u>				
1934-35 to 1938-39	26.4	8.2	39.6	74.2
1939-40 to 1943-44	21.5	8.4	25.1	55.0
1944-45 to 1948-49	22.0	9.0	29.6	60.6
<u>Valencia Oranges</u>				
1934-35 to 1938-39	20.7	6.5	33.6	60.8
1939-40 to 1943-44	17.2	6.7	20.1	44.0
1944-45 to 1948-49	22.2	9.1	30.3	61.6
<u>Lemons</u>				
1934-35 to 1938-39	22.6	10.5	29.4	62.5
1939-40 to 1943-44	25.5	11.6	20.6	57.7
1944-45 to 1948-49	26.2	12.5	25.5	64.2
<u>Grapefruit</u>				
1934-35 to 1938-39	31.5 ^{2/}	4.9 ^{2/}	23.6 ^{2/}	60.0 ^{2/}
1939-40 to 1943-44	26.5	8.0	25.5	60.0
1944-45 to 1948-49	30.3	9.6	30.0	69.9

^{1/} These data represent estimates based upon the costs per packed box equivalent of all fruit handled.

^{2/} Years 1937-38 and 1938-39 only.

Source: Computed by the authors from data appearing in "Statistical Information on the Citrus Fruit Industry, 1951." California Fruit Growers Exchange.

TABLE

Showing the results of the various experiments conducted during the year 1900, and the amount of material used in each case.

Experiment No.	Material used.	Weight of material used.	Weight of material recovered.	Percentage of material recovered.
1	100 lbs.	100	95	95
2	100 lbs.	100	90	90
3	100 lbs.	100	85	85
4	100 lbs.	100	80	80
5	100 lbs.	100	75	75
6	100 lbs.	100	70	70
7	100 lbs.	100	65	65
8	100 lbs.	100	60	60
9	100 lbs.	100	55	55
10	100 lbs.	100	50	50
11	100 lbs.	100	45	45
12	100 lbs.	100	40	40
13	100 lbs.	100	35	35
14	100 lbs.	100	30	30
15	100 lbs.	100	25	25
16	100 lbs.	100	20	20
17	100 lbs.	100	15	15
18	100 lbs.	100	10	10
19	100 lbs.	100	5	5
20	100 lbs.	100	0	0

The results of the various experiments conducted during the year 1900, and the amount of material used in each case.

The results of the various experiments conducted during the year 1900, and the amount of material used in each case.

The results of the various experiments conducted during the year 1900, and the amount of material used in each case.

increase in f.o.b. prices. These data indicate that since the end of the war there has been a marked decline in the relative profitability of the citrus industry. From such data as are available it would appear that the situation in 1951-52 was even less favorable because wages and prices of other cost factors rose appreciably without a corresponding increase in the f.o.b. prices of fresh fruit.

Of special significance, from the standpoint of this study, is the fact that the average cost of packing a box of oranges had increased from 46 cents, in 1939-40, to 95 cents in 1949-50. The corresponding figures for lemons are 80 cents and \$1.55, and for grapefruit, 44 and 91 cents.

These data on f.o.b. prices and costs, however, do not tell the full story. Statewide averages tend to obscure variations in prices and costs among houses. Data secured in the Ontario-Cucamonga area indicate that some of the houses with the lowest unit packing costs per box obtained better f.o.b. prices per packed box than did houses with high unit packing costs. Where low prices in a house are associated with high unit costs of packing, such costs would represent a greater percentage of f.o.b. prices than the average for the state as a whole.^{6/}

Another indication of the drastic decline in the profitability of citrus production is afforded by annual estimates, made by the Citrus League, of the average net income of growers of oranges and lemons. During the years 1942-43 to 1944-45 the net income per acre for navels and miscellaneous oranges averaged nearly \$280; for 1947-48 to 1949-50, only about \$20. For valencias the comparable figures were about \$400 and -\$33, and for lemons, about \$190 and about \$80.^{7/}

Deterioration in cultural conditions in many parts of California has also been a factor contributing to decreased acreage in citrus fruit. In many parts of the state considerable numbers of old groves have passed the peak bearing stage. New trees planted in old groves do not appear to give the same high yields as the older groves did. In many parts of the state, moreover, there have been serious water shortages. Furthermore,

^{6/} The interrelation between f.o.b. prices and unit costs of packing will be treated more fully in the section dealing with analysis of costs of packing fruit.

^{7/} Calculations made by authors from tables appearing in "Statistical Information on the Citrus Fruit Industry" published by the Sunkist Growers Inc. 1951.

the first of the two main parts of the book, the first part of the book is devoted to a general introduction to the subject of the book, and the second part is devoted to a detailed discussion of the various aspects of the subject.

The first part of the book is devoted to a general introduction to the subject of the book, and the second part is devoted to a detailed discussion of the various aspects of the subject.

The first part of the book is devoted to a general introduction to the subject of the book, and the second part is devoted to a detailed discussion of the various aspects of the subject.

The first part of the book is devoted to a general introduction to the subject of the book, and the second part is devoted to a detailed discussion of the various aspects of the subject.

The first part of the book is devoted to a general introduction to the subject of the book, and the second part is devoted to a detailed discussion of the various aspects of the subject.

The first part of the book is devoted to a general introduction to the subject of the book, and the second part is devoted to a detailed discussion of the various aspects of the subject.

The first part of the book is devoted to a general introduction to the subject of the book, and the second part is devoted to a detailed discussion of the various aspects of the subject.

The first part of the book is devoted to a general introduction to the subject of the book, and the second part is devoted to a detailed discussion of the various aspects of the subject.

The first part of the book is devoted to a general introduction to the subject of the book, and the second part is devoted to a detailed discussion of the various aspects of the subject.

The first part of the book is devoted to a general introduction to the subject of the book, and the second part is devoted to a detailed discussion of the various aspects of the subject.

FIG. 4 - PERCENTAGE CHANGES IN PRICES & COSTS PER PACKED BOX EQUIVALENT OF CITRUS FRUITS IN CALIFORNIA. (1934-35 TO 1938-39 = 100)

SOURCES: APPENDIX TABLES 3, 4, 5 & 6.

for reasons not yet fully explained, there appears to be a tendency toward decline in size of fruit.

Finally, during recent years fresh citrus fruit has encountered increasingly severe competition from canned and frozen juices. A substantial proportion of these juices (from oranges and grapefruit) is produced in Florida and Texas where cultural and other costs appear to be considerably lower than in California. Canned lemon juices are produced mainly in California. Although the competitive interrelationships between canned citrus juices and fresh fruit are not yet very well defined, it is reasonable to conclude that the existence of these alternative uses for citrus fruit has served and will probably continue to serve as a brake to any marked advance in prices of fresh fruit.

Packing House Operations in the Ontario-Cucamonga Area

Development of Packing Houses.--Most of the packing houses in this area (as is true also in many other parts of California) came into existence 30 or more years ago when the citrus industry was expanding rapidly and when the bulk of the fruit was transported from groves to packing in animal-drawn vehicles, over poor roads. In the early days most of the operations within houses were manual. Under the conditions prevailing prior to World War I, it is probable that economies of scale were limited and that unit packing costs were not significantly lower in large than in small packing houses. Some of the houses were established to handle a single main type of fruit (e.g., oranges or lemons), but also often handled small quantities of minor types, such as grapefruit or tangerines. Others operated as combination houses handling both main and minor varieties.

Over the course of years, and with the expansion of production, some of the houses increased greatly in size; others only moderately; whereas others remained small. Within more recent years, moreover, packing house operations in both large and small plants have become increasingly mechanized. Automatic dumpers, washing machines, sizing and counting equipment, conveyor belts, box-making and lidding machines have been installed. The use of such equipment has necessitated considerable capital investment and, from such information as is available, has

apparently made possible some definite economies of scale.^{8/} Moreover, with the prospect of further advances in wage levels, there will be more incentive for packing houses to substitute mechanical for manual operations.

During the past decade or so, considerable research and experimental work has been under way--aimed at devising more economical ways for handling citrus from groves to, and within, packing houses. Some of this work has progressed from the experimental to the practical stage. Many packing houses, especially those handling lemons, are already trying out these new techniques. If they prove satisfactory, they will probably be widely adopted, and much of the equipment now used will become obsolete. This will result in considerable investment of capital in new and more modern equipment. Houses unable to finance such changes will find that their competitive position will become less favorable.

Physical Features of Area.--The area served by the Ontario-Cucamonga Fruit Exchange is about 30 miles long and from 3 to 7 miles wide. It is located on both sides of U.S. Highway 66 (Foothill Boulevard) connecting Los Angeles and San Bernardino (Figure 5). The area lies just south of the San Gabriel Mountains, and extends from San Dimas (Los Angeles County) in the west to Rialto (San Bernardino County) in the east. Most of the area in which citrus fruit is grown is from 900 to 1,250 feet above sea level. The area is traversed by several rocky washes, on which no agricultural production is feasible, running roughly south from the mountains. In addition there is a wide strip of sandy soil (mostly east of Cucamonga) on which there are extensive vineyards, but no citrus. The whole area is cut by numerous roads and railroads, the latter running mainly east and west. Numerous medium and small towns are scattered throughout the area. The Kaiser Steel Company's Fontana plant is located in the eastern section. The citrus industry is old and well established in the area, with navel oranges and lemons the more important types produced.

Number of Packing Houses.--In 1952 there were about 18 packing houses in the area covered by this study (Table 7). Six were located in the central

^{8/} A recent study made by the Farm Credit Administration indicates that, all other things being the same, there is an inverse relation between unit costs per packed box and volume of fruit packed. (See George L. Capel, Jay Coryell, and J. K. Samuels, Analysis of Packing House Costs for 31 Southern California Citrus Associations, 1946-47 and 1947-48 Seasons. U.S. Dept. of Agric., Farm Credit Admin. Special Report 214, August 1950. Processed. 21 p.

- 1- SAN DIMAS LEMON ASSN.
- 2- INDEPENDENT
- 3- O. K. COMMERCIAL
- 4- INDEPENDENT
- 5- MUTUAL ORANGE DISTRIBUTORS
- 6- UPLAND HEIGHTS ORANGE ASSN.
- 7- AMERICAN FRUIT GROWERS
- 8- MOUNTAIN VIEW FRUIT ASSN.
- 9- UPLAND CITRUS ASSN.
- 10- UPLAND LEMON GROWERS ASSN.
- 11- OLD BALDY CITRUS ASSN.
- 12- AMERICAN FRUIT GROWERS
- 13- ALTA LOMA HEIGHTS CITRUS ASSN.
- 14- INDEPENDENT
- 15- ETIWANDA CITRUS FRUIT ASSN.
- 16- RIALTO HEIGHTS CITRUS ASSN.
- 17- SUNKIST HOUSES SELLING THROUGH
- 18- DIFFERENT EXCHANGES

FIG. 5- AREA SERVED BY THE ONTARIO-CUCAMONGA FRUIT EXCHANGE.

Table 7

Citrus Packing Houses in Area

Town	Approx. distance from central Upland	Affil. with O.K. Exch.		Coop. houses affil. with other coop. or exch.	Inde- pendent comm.	Total
		Coop. house	Comm. house			
San Dimas	11 west	1				1
LaVerne	7 west			1		1
Ontario	2 south		1		1	2
Central Upland	--	4		1	1	6
Upland city limits	2 north	1				1
Cucamonga	4 east				1	1
Alta Loma	5 east	1			1	2
Etiwanda	11 east	1				1
Rialto	17 east		1	2		3
		8	2	4	4	18

part of Upland, and six others within a radius of 5 miles from Upland. Two houses were about 12 miles east of Upland, and four, from 10 to 20 miles east of that city. Several additional packing houses are located just beyond the boundaries of the area. At least four of the houses located on the outer edges of the area drew part of their supplies of fruit from adjacent areas.

Only 10 of the 18 houses were affiliated with the O.K. Exchange, two of them being commercial (privately, not cooperatively owned). Four of the remaining (one of which was later closed down) were independent commercial houses, and four were cooperatively owned but affiliated with other exchanges. This study embraced only the eight cooperatively owned houses (or associations) operating through the O.K. Exchange.

Characteristics of Houses.--Because identification of these houses or associations from volume and cost data used would present no difficulty to persons familiar with the area, it was decided that no useful purpose would be served by referring to individual associations under a code name or number. Throughout the balance of this report, therefore, individual associations will be referred to by their full or abbreviated names.^{9/}

All eight associations have been in existence since before World War I. Most of the packing houses, however, have been modernized in several ways, such as by installation of refrigeration or precooling facilities, receiving platforms, and cull bins. All have mechanized operations to a considerable extent. Many of the associations have constructed out-buildings for garages, material storage, and office space. Two have erected new or additional buildings for packing operations during the past 10 to 15 years. Seven of the houses own the land (purchased when first organized) upon which buildings were erected. Only one, the Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association, operates on rented land--under a long-term lease from the Pacific Electric Company.

^{9/} The following are the full and abbreviated names of participating associations and type of house:

Full Name	Abbreviated Name	Type of House
1. Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association	Alta Loma	Combination
2. Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association	Etiwanda	Combination
3. Mountain View Fruit Association	Mountain View	Combination
4. Old Baldy Citrus Association	Old Baldy	Combination
5. San Dimas Lemon Association	San Dimas	Lemon
6. Upland Citrus Association	Upland Citrus	Orange
7. Upland Heights Orange Association	Upland Heights	Orange
8. Upland Lemon Growers Association	Upland Lemon	Lemon

In addition to packing and storage operations, all eight associations provide the following services for members: picking of fruit; supplying of materials required in production; and pest control. Several of them operate labor camps or participate in a regional camp run on a pooled basis. As this study is concerned primarily with operations within packing houses, cost and other data relative to field activities and sale of production supplies have been excluded from analysis.

Information regarding location, fruit handled, capacity, and membership, for the eight houses, is summarized in Table 8. There are two lemon, two orange (and grapefruit), and four combination houses. Two houses (Upland Lemon Growers Association and Upland Citrus Association) are large--capable of handling 14,000-15,000 field boxes of fruit a day. The other six houses can be classified as medium or small. The capacity ratings for handling of fruit are based upon an eight-hour day. All the houses could handle additional fruit, should the occasion arise, by working equipment in excess of eight hours a day for a limited period. The eight houses (or associations) combined had some 1,250 active member-shippers in 1951, who in turn operated about 3,360 acres of oranges (including some grapefruit) and 5,470 acres of lemons.

All the houses are approached by good paved roads. The house at San Dimas and the four houses in the central part of Upland have access to two railroads, the Santa Fe and the Pacific Electric. This gives these five houses some advantage in securing cars during periods of shortage of car facilities. The other three houses are served by only a single railroad--the Pacific Electric.

Seven of the associations have been affiliated with the O.K. Exchange for a long period. The eighth, the San Dimas Lemon Association, became a member of the O.K. Exchange only in 1949-50. Prior to that year it had shipped its fruit through another district exchange. All eight associations purchase packing house supplies and production materials for members through the California Fruit Growers Supply Company. Fruit not suitable for packing, or surplus to marketing requirements, is shipped to the Exchange Lemon Products Company or the Exchange Orange Products Company for processing. All three of these companies are cooperatively owned and controlled.

Six of the eight houses situated in the core of the area had more or less overlapping areas of supply. Two of these houses (Mountain View and Old Baldy) also drew some citrus from adjacent territory. Etiwanda on the

in addition to providing the following information:

Information regarding the above mentioned person is being furnished to the Bureau for its information and for its use in the investigation of the above mentioned case. The Bureau is requested to keep the Bureau of the Department of Justice advised of any further information received regarding the above mentioned person.

Table 8

Characteristics of Associations in Study

Name and location of Association	Distance & direction from central Upland	Fruit handled 1/	No. of complete handling units	Receiving, washing, & handling capacity		Storage capacity, lemons	Number of active members 1951	Acreage operated by members
				oranges	lemons			
San Dimas Lemon Association	11 miles west	lemons	1	field boxes per day --		cars 180 ^{3/} (220)	269	1,130
Upland Heights Orange Association	--	oranges	1	3,000	--	--	65	670
Mountain View Fruit Association	--	oranges lemons	1 orange 1 lemon	1,600	1,200	200	90	(168 oranges (283 lemons)
Upland Citrus Association	--	oranges	2	15,000	--	--	234	1,300
Upland Lemon Growers Association	--	lemons	2(3) ^{2/}	--	14,000	620	290	2,610
Old Baldy Citrus Association	2 miles north	oranges lemons	1 orange 1 lemon	2,000	2,000	150	127	(410 oranges (590 lemons)
Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association	5 miles west	oranges lemons	1 orange 1 lemon	3,200	3,200	130	89	(410 oranges (500 lemons)
Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association	11 miles west	oranges lemons	1 orange 1 lemon	2,500	3,000	70	81	(400 oranges (360 lemons)

- 1/ Predominantly oranges, though all orange houses also handle some grapefruit.
- 2/ This house has three complete receiving, grading, and washing units. Use of the third unit (in emergency or regularly) would necessitate some rearrangement of storage space.
- 3/ This house has some inadequately insulated storage space which could accommodate another 40 cars for a limited period.

east had a more or less district supply area, but there was some overlapping with Alta Loma. The San Dimas house did not overlap with any of the other seven houses in source of supply.

According to information obtained from managers of the different associations, not all parts of the area have experienced a decrease in acreage in recent years. The manager of the San Dimas Association is of the opinion that lemon acreage in his supply territory has expanded somewhat during the last few years as a result of budding lemons to orange stock. He believes, however, that with rising cultural costs some low-yielding acreage will be removed in the years ahead. Little or no acreage has been removed north of highway 66 because of urban subdivision. There has, however, been considerable loss of acreage south of the highway.

In the Etiwanda area, urban subdivision has not presented any particular problem. The Etiwanda supply area, however, has experienced unfavorable cultural conditions for several years past. At one time it was regarded as one of the best citrus growing areas of the state, in spite of the fact that it has always been subject to strong winds blowing up the canyon. Until about 15 years ago, cypress trees constituted effective windbreaks, but these were decimated by disease. They have been replaced to some extent by eucalyptus trees which have not proved nearly so effective as windbreaks. For several years past, strong winds have resulted in extensive defoliation of the citrus trees, resulting in greatly reduced yields and fruit generally poor in quality. Low rainfall over several years, and the age of groves, have probably also been contributing factors. Many groves in this area have been abandoned, and some receive only cursory cultural care. Further eliminations from commercial production are in prospect.

Volume of Fruit Handled.--All eight packing houses have experienced a considerable decline in the volume of fruit handled since the early 1940's. This is true even if the exceptionally high output of oranges in 1941-42 and the exceptionally low output of all types of fruit in 1948-49 (the frost year) are eliminated from consideration.

The six houses handling lemons received from members a combined average of 2,065,000 field boxes of fruit during the five-year period 1941-42 to 1945-46 as compared with only 1,625,000 field boxes during the period 1946-47 to 1950-51 (Table 9). The corresponding figures for

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

...the ... of the ...
...the ... of the ...

Table 9

Volume of Fruit Handled by Associations

Year	Oranges (Grapefruit) 1,000 field boxes						Lemons 1,000 field boxes					
	Alta Loma	Eti- wanda	Mt. View	Old Baldy	Upland Citrus	Upland Heights	Alta Loma	Eti- wanda	Mt. View	Old Baldy	San Dimas	Upland Lemon
1941-42	219.6	124.0	178.6	290.4	961.1	366.3	164.0	151.6	120.0	221.4	305.4	829.4
1942-43	92.4	81.3	68.7	163.7	661.7	202.4	194.1	104.5	148.7	280.7	387.3	1,159.2
1943-44	185.9	99.6	51.1	244.4	856.8	292.9	191.8	154.6	136.9	223.5	299.6	1,010.9
1944-45	139.0	84.5	50.9	192.5	816.1	281.2	147.6	99.9	165.1	212.0	389.3	997.3
1945-46	146.4	85.8	34.0	183.5	627.3	232.5	195.5	128.9	174.6	237.8	353.5	1,143.6
1946-47	114.2	60.4	31.9	180.4	597.4	244.4	159.3	75.7	106.0	224.9	379.6	987.3
1947-48	123.3	76.6	32.3	181.3	581.8	223.9	118.3	72.2	95.7	203.1	311.5	944.9
1948-49	43.9	45.4	20.1	83.7	479.0	85.6	74.2	111.5	66.7	112.8	204.4	628.2
1949-50	106.3	81.9	32.1	172.9	700.9	291.8	84.9	49.2	52.8	113.4	260.4	788.8
1950-51	98.5	48.5	33.5	113.5	567.5	247.6	141.3	76.3	79.9	199.7	325.4	1,078.6
Average: 1941-42 to 1945-46	156.7	95.0	76.7	214.9	784.6	275.1	178.6	127.9	149.1	235.1	347.0	1,028.1
Average: 1946-47 to 1950-51	97.2	62.6	30.0	146.4	585.3	218.5	115.6	77.0	80.2	170.8	296.3	885.6

Source: Records of eight packing houses.

1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 2676, 2677, 2678, 26

oranges were 1,603,000, and 1,140,000 field boxes. This represents a combined decrease during the two periods of 22 per cent for lemons and 29 per cent for oranges. The decline in volume of fruit received was much more severe for some houses than for others. For example, two houses (San Dimas and Upland Lemon) experienced a decline in volume of less than 15 per cent, whereas three other houses handling lemons experienced a decrease of over 40 per cent. For oranges, Upland Citrus and Upland Heights experienced a decrease in volume of 25 per cent or less, whereas one house experienced a decline of 60 per cent.

As smaller percentages of fruit received were actually packed during the last five years, the decline in volume packed would be relatively greater than the figures on receipts. The conclusion is inescapable that all houses are utilizing a much smaller proportion of their facilities (assuming no contraction of such facilities) than they did 10 years ago. With further declines in acreage in prospect during the next few years, an even less efficient use of facilities is likely during the years immediately ahead.

Utilization of Facilities.---The information presented above indicated the desirability of determining more fully present utilization of capacity in individual houses. Such information should serve as a basis for determining to what extent the area is over or under supplied with packing house facilities. Furthermore, there is often a direct inverse relation between the utilization of capacity and unit costs of operation. For example, a medium-sized house operating at full capacity may have lower unit packing costs than a larger house operating well below full capacity.

A completely accurate determination of capacity presents many difficult economic and engineering problems, and is time consuming and costly. Because extreme accuracy was not considered necessary for the purposes of this report, a rough approximation to over-all "flow capacity" in each house was obtained by requesting each manager to supply the best estimate he could of the more important types of equipment used and the number of field boxes of fruit that could be received and processed in a normal eight-hour day. Similarly, managers of houses handling lemons were asked to estimate the approximate peak storage capacity of lemons in terms of carlots.

Even where capacity rating for individual houses can be established, considerable caution is necessary in determining percentage utilization of

1. The first of these is the fact that the majority of the population of the United States is of European descent. This is true of the United States as a whole, and of the majority of the states. The only states in which the majority of the population is of non-European descent are the Hawaiian Islands, Alaska, and the Territory of New Mexico. The Hawaiian Islands are a group of islands in the Pacific Ocean, and the majority of the population is of Polynesian descent. Alaska is a large state in the northwest of the United States, and the majority of the population is of Alaska Native descent. The Territory of New Mexico is a large territory in the southwest of the United States, and the majority of the population is of Mexican descent.

1. The first of these is the fact that the Government has been unable to secure the necessary funds to carry out its policy of maintaining the value of the pound at its pre-war level. This has been due to a variety of factors, including the fact that the Government has been unable to secure the necessary foreign exchange to finance its policy.

[illegible]

1. The first of these is the fact that the Commission has not yet received any information from the Government of the United Kingdom regarding the progress of its investigation into the activities of the British Communist Party.

Van deze laatste zijn er twee: de ene is de "Kleinere" en de andere is de "Grootere".

such capacity. The flow of fruit to citrus packing houses is highly seasonal. For example, although some navel oranges may be picked and packed as early as December, the bulk reaches maturity during March and April, the latter usually being the month of peak shipments. The beginning of the valencia season is usually May or June, but July and August are the months of peak shipments. Lemons may be picked the year round, but peak picks usually occur in April, May, or June, with some variation from year to year because of weather conditions. In the O.K. area, the volume of navel oranges handled is usually several times greater than that of valencia oranges. Orange packing house facilities, therefore, should be assessed in terms of the larger navel crop.

Another difficulty arises from the fact that packing houses have some latitude as to the day or week in which fruit may be picked. While most deciduous fruit may have to be picked within a two- or three-day period, delay of a week or two in the picking of citrus fruit may not result in any marked deterioration of shipping or storage quality. Moreover, it is possible to handle larger than normal volumes by working additional shifts or on Saturdays.

There is also some flexibility in the volumes of lemons that may be put into storage. Many houses have followed the policy of storing a large proportion of the lemons received, partly to obtain a higher prorate and partly in the hope that the markets might absorb a larger volume of fresh fruit than could normally be expected. The policy of the Lemon Administrative Committee, of establishing prorates on "advance counts" as well as on the actual quantity of fruit in storage, has reduced to some extent the quantity of lemons placed in storage. Moreover, it is normal to divert a larger proportion of lemons to by-products at the washer in years of high production than in years of medium or low production.

For the purpose of this study a rough estimate of capacity utilization in individual houses was made by comparing the volume of fruits handled in plants in the month of highest receipts during the past two years with the capacity rating given by plant managers. Monthly capacity was determined by multiplying the daily capacity ratings by 22 (working days). For navels, the month of highest receipts of fruit occurred in 1949-50; for lemons, in 1950-51 (Table 10).

In four of the houses handling oranges, less than 50 per cent of the normal capacity was utilized in the month of peak receipts in 1949-50--a

The flow of traffic was heavy in both directions. The flow of traffic was heavy in both directions.

any further information regarding the above mentioned matter, please contact the undersigned at the following address: [Address] [City] [State] [Zip].

There is also some likelihood in the figures of having had the same kind of structure, but it is not clear whether the similarity of structure is due to the fact that the figures are relatively small, or to the fact that the figures are relatively small, or to the fact that the figures are relatively small.

Table 10
Per Cent of Handling Capacity Utilized^{1/}

Name of Association	Handling Capacity		Peak month 1949-50 (oranges) 1950-51 (lemons)	Volume ^{2/} handled in peak month (field boxes)	Peak volume handled as per cent of capacity
	Field boxes (8-hr.day)	Field boxes (22-day mo.)			
<u>Navel Oranges</u>					
Alta Loma	3,200	70,400	April	20,000	28.4
Etiwanda	2,500	55,000	March	18,300	33.3
Mountain View	1,600	35,200	March	10,200	29.0
Old Baldy	2,000	44,000	May	--	--
Upland Citrus	15,000	330,000	April	155,600	47.2
Upland Heights	3,000	66,000	April	71,500	108.3
<u>Lemons</u>					
Alta Loma	3,200	70,400	April	28,300	40.2
Etiwanda	2,500	55,000	April	12,900	23.5
Mountain View	1,600	35,200	April	16,000	45.5
Old Baldy	2,000	44,000	April	31,600	71.8
San Dimas	3,600	79,200	April	65,000	82.1
Upland Lemon	14,000	308,000	May	259,000	84.1

^{1/} "Handled" implies volume of fruit received and washed, sorted, and/or graded.

^{2/} For oranges, these data were calculated from information obtained from the O.K. Exchange in regard to assessments.
For lemons, data are based upon monthly picks.

moderately good year as regards volume of fruit produced. In one house, capacity was fully utilized. Data are not available for the sixth house handling oranges. Three of the six houses handling lemons operated at less than 50 per cent of full capacity during the month of peak receipts of fruit in 1950-51. Three houses operated at 70 per cent and higher. The largest house (Upland Lemon) operated at 84 per cent of capacity.

Per cent utilization of lemon storage capacity was obtained by comparing the peak volumes of lemons carried in storage (based on biweekly reports of the Lemon Administrative Committee) with the storage capacity rating supplied by managers. Data were not available for one house. In two houses less than 50 per cent of capacity was utilized, in another, less than 70 per cent. In the other two houses, however, 90 per cent or more of storage capacity was used (Table 11).

These estimates, rough as they may be, are of considerable significance in any consideration of a plan for consolidating packing house facilities. It may be concluded that three houses handling lemons and all except one handling oranges are making very poor use of their facilities. In view of further declines in acreages of fruit in the area, even poorer utilization of facilities may be expected during the next few years.

Analysis of Packing Costs in Area

Introduction.--In the first section of this report it was noted that costs per box of packing all types of citrus fruit had just about doubled between 1939-40 and 1949-50 and that the trend of such costs was still upward (p. 18). Moreover, the Farm Credit Administration study on costs of packing citrus fruit (previously referred to, p. 21) indicated that there was an inverse relationship between the volume of fruit handled in individual packing houses and their unit costs of packing. Under the terms of reference from the Board of Directors of the Ontario-Cucamonga Fruit Exchange, special emphasis was placed on an analysis of packing house costs and the effect thereon of variations in the volume of fruit handled between and within houses from year to year. Finally, it would seem logical that any study evaluating the feasibility of consolidating packing house operations should be predicated largely upon an analysis of volume-unit cost relations.

Determining volume-cost relations presents many difficulties, especially where cost comparisons between houses (or associations) are involved. These difficulties may be grouped under three main headings:

Table 11

Per Cent of Lemon Storage Capacity Utilized (1950-51)

Name of Association	Storage capacity (cars)	Fruit in storage (peak month)	Per cent of storage capacity utilized
Alta Loma	130	62	47.7
Etiwanda	70	N.A.	
Mountain View	200	40	20.0
Old Baldy	150	101	67.3
San Dimas	180	173	96.1
Upland Lemon	620	578	93.2

Table

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF DATA FOR THE STUDY

Year	Number of Subjects	Number of Trials	Number of Errors
1961	10	100	10
1962	10	100	10
1963	10	100	10
1964	10	100	10
1965	10	100	10
1966	10	100	10

(a) those connected with the fact that only a small number of associations participated in this study; (b) those connected with variations in accounting practices among houses, and (c) those arising because many factors other than volume of product handled have an influence upon unit costs of operation. Although some of these problems are of general application in studies of this nature, they will be considered briefly principally from the standpoint of the packing houses participating in this study. In the next few pages attention will be directed to the nature of these problems and the procedures adopted by the authors to resolve or mitigate the particular difficulties encountered.

Small Number of Associations.--As four of the eight houses were combination houses (having both orange and lemon departments), two were lemon houses, and two orange houses, it was possible to obtain only six observations on costs of packing oranges and a similar number for lemons, for any single year. As the area under study, in common with most other parts of California, had experienced widely varying outputs of fruit during the years 1948-49 to 1950-51, it was decided to obtain, from each house, volume and cost data on packing operations for three years. It was considered that if cost data for the first two years could be adjusted upward for increases in the prices of cost factors, such as labor, materials, taxes, etc., it would be possible to obtain a wider base for unit cost comparisons not only between houses but also within houses from year to year.^{10/}

Problems and Adjustments:--Accounting Data.--In order to ensure a maximum degree of comparability of cost data between and within houses, it was necessary to analyze carefully the basic accounting procedures followed by individual houses and to make such adjustments as were deemed desirable and were not too time consuming. The basic data used were the annual reports made by firms of public accountants to the Boards of Directors of each association,^{11/} supplemented where necessary by additional information, obtained from the books and records of associations, on volumes

^{10/} These adjustments are presented in the groups of tables labeled "2nd Adjustment."

^{11/} Several associations included the balance sheet and operating statements from the auditors' reports in their annual reports to members.

of fruit received and disposed of through different sales outlets--packed, loose, and by-products.

After a preliminary examination of the annual operating statements for individual associations, it was found that adjustments for purposes of comparison would fall into five categories. These were concerned with: (a) differences in the treatment, by houses, of packing house revenue; (b) cost classifications and nomenclature; (c) provision of depreciation reserves; (d) obvious errors in accounting data; and (e) cost allocations. These are dealt with consecutively in the following subsections.

(a) Packing House Revenue.--The annual revenues of associations, out of which packing costs are met, are obtained from "retains" or deductions from the proceeds of sales on behalf of individual grower-members. The usual practice in packing houses is to make a deduction of so many cents a box of packed fruit, a smaller deduction per box of fruit sold loose, and a deduction of so many dollars a ton on fruit sent to the orange or lemon products plants for processing.^{12/} The scale of these deductions, in individual associations, ostensibly is geared roughly to anticipated costs of handling fruit sold through the different sales outlets.^{13/} As the bulk of fruit is received from growers in packed form, it has become customary for individual associations to report to members the average annual costs on a per packed box basis. Because growers shipping fruit to different houses often compare such unit costs, there is a predisposition on the part of packing house managers to report low costs per unit. In the citrus industry generally, several accounting devices may be used to obscure the actual unit costs incurred in handling packed fruit.

Two practices were followed in the O.K. area in dealing with packing revenues and operating costs, both defensible from an accounting standpoint.

12/ In some associations additional sources of revenue may be from profits on operation of an ice plant or from operation of labor camps. These, however, were not important in the area under study.

13/ Normally, the total annual deductions (in order to provide a margin of safety) would be somewhat greater than total annual operating costs, the operating balance or overage (revenue-costs) being returned to members in the final year-end settlement.

The first, used in six of the houses, was to pool all revenues, from whatever source, in a single revenue account and to allocate overhead and indirect expenses on some agreed upon basis among packed, loose, and by-products fruit. In the other two houses it was the practice to regard as packing house revenue only the retains on packed and loose fruit, the latter being only a minor source of revenue for these houses. Deductions from the sale of by-products fruit were regarded as a credit against the costs of packing house labor, and/or depreciation, and salaries. The problem of interplant or interyear comparisons is complicated further by the fact that the scale of retains, by individual houses, on by-products fruit varied from house to house and from year to year for some houses.

After careful consideration it was decided that the comparability of data would be enhanced if, in all houses, revenue on by-products fruit was regarded as part of the over-all revenues for packing houses. The cost data shown in the annual audit reports for the two houses concerned, for labor, and/or depreciation, and salaries, were thus increased by the amounts retained each year on by-products fruit. Packing house revenue was increased by the same amounts.^{14/}

(b) Cost Categories and Nomenclature.--Another problem, not of particular importance in the area under study, is the variation in the number of cost accounts summarized in the annual reports, and the nomenclature thereof. In this area the books of five of the associations were audited by a single firm of public accountants which had installed, in each house, more or less uniform cost categories and accounting procedures. This same firm had at one time audited the books of the other three associations, and their present auditors have continued to use the old cost classifications. This uniform classification system simplified the comparison of expense items.

A minor complication arose from the fact that several of the smaller associations grouped all labor expenses under a single heading--packing house labor. Four of the associations, however, had two labor categories--packing labor (wages paid only for packing) and general house labor (wages paid to workers on all tasks other than packing). Rather than attempt to break down labor costs for three years into the two subclassifications, it

^{14/} These adjustments were incorporated in the second group of summary cost tables labeled "1st Adjustment."

was decided to obtain a reasonable approximation by (1) determining, for the four houses that did make this distinction, the ratio of labor for packing only, to all labor costs;^{15/} (2) averaging the ratios for lemon operations and orange operations separately; and (3) applying these averages to the total labor costs of the other four houses.^{16/}

(c) Depreciation Reserves.--Variations in the practices followed by individual associations in regard to depreciation reserves on plant and equipment seriously vitiated the comparability of cost data.^{17/} In analyzing the annual reports of individual associations it was found that some of them provided for depreciation on the straight-line principle year in and year out. Usually the rate of depreciation was $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on buildings and 10 per cent on equipment although there were minor variations from these rates. In another house, depreciation was provided annually on a per field box basis. The total amount provided each year thus varied with the number of field boxes received. Two other associations provided little or no depreciation in years of low production (e.g., 1948-49), and customary rates of depreciation only in years of moderately high production. Finally, one association, anticipating that new operating techniques (see p. 21) would make much existing equipment obsolete, decided to write off fixed assets (other than land) at much higher than customary rates during the years 1949-50 and 1950-51. For example, this association depreciated buildings in 1950-51 at the rate of 5 per cent, and equipment at the rate of 20 per cent. The rates during the previous year were somewhat lower.

For purposes of comparability, depreciation rates for several of the houses were recomputed by the authors, roughly on the basis of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on buildings and 10 per cent on equipment.^{18/} This corrective procedure may have some defects since the time of installation of equipment or

^{15/} It was found, in the four houses that did make this distinction, that there was only a moderate range between the highest and lowest ratios for individual houses in any one year although there was some variation in the ratios for orange operations from year to year for all houses.

^{16/} Incorporated under tables labeled "1st Adjustment."

^{17/} Decisions regarding the rates of depreciation to be set aside annually are made by the Board of Directors of each association.

^{18/} Reflected in data of series of tables labeled "1st Adjustment."

erection of buildings would influence the original cost. For example, the same type of equipment installed in 1948 would probably have cost considerably more than if it had been installed in 1942. This variation in regard to equipment is probably not too serious because most associations tend to install newly developed equipment within a year or two of each other. The problem is more serious for buildings. It was decided not to attempt corrections for this factor because even large variation in original costs of buildings would still be only a minor factor in considering total unit costs of handling packed fruit. Furthermore, corrections would have to be made for variations in quality of buildings as well as original costs--necessitating architectural and engineering appraisals not feasible in this study.

(d) Correction of Obvious Errors in Cost Data.--Preliminary comparisons of unit cost data brought to light obvious inconsistencies in material costs in two houses. In both these houses, material costs per unit in one year were considerably lower than in the following year, and were also out of line with similar costs in other years. The managers of both houses stated that errors had been made in determining year-end inventories of packing materials. In the case of one house, a carload of box shook en route at the time inventory was taken, but previously paid for, was charged to one year although the shook was used the following year. In the case of the other house, errors had been made in extending inventory totals. Appropriate corrections were made which resulted in bringing the materials costs per unit more nearly in line with those in other houses--at least within the range of comparability.

(e) Cost Allocations.--Before the operating statements obtained from individual houses could be analyzed, two major decisions had to be made in regard to cost allocation. The first was of primary concern in combination houses, and involved a suitable basis of allocation of certain cost categories (mainly indirect or overhead costs) between the orange and lemon departments. Customary procedure in all combination houses was for such costs to be prorated between the orange and lemon departments on bases mutually agreed upon by the packing house managers and the public accountant employed by the board to conduct the annual audit of the books of associations. Enquiry indicated that such allocations were made only after careful and detailed analysis of the several factors involved. In view of this fact, and because, in most houses, direct costs chargeable

1991-1992

Journal of Management Education 30(6)p.789-804

to the orange and lemon departments account for over 60 per cent of the total costs of handling fruit, it was decided to accept the allocations as shown in the audit reports.

The second problem involved allocation of total annual costs in the orange or lemon departments among packed fruit, fruit sold loose, and fruit sent to the products departments. As the great bulk of fruit received by houses each year is shipped in packed form, it was thought desirable to obtain a final unit cost figure which would reflect, as nearly as possible, the actual cost of packing a box of fruit. Unfortunately, most of the houses do not keep their records in sufficient detail to permit a breakdown of such costs as general packing house labor, salaries, depreciation, interest, etc., for fruit sold through different outlets.

After consultation with packing house managers and bookkeepers and a firm of public accountants in San Bernardino,^{19/} it was decided to adopt the following procedure: Use the total number of boxes of fruit packed as the divisor in determining direct unit costs of packing (packing materials and packing labor), and the packed box equivalent of all fruit handled (packed, loose, and by-products) as the divisor for determining indirect or overhead unit costs (miscellaneous costs, salaries, depreciation, etc.). It is probable that this method understates, to some extent, the actual unit costs for packed fruit, as it is reasonable to expect that packed fruit should bear a more than proportionate share of such expenses as salaries and depreciation. The margin of error, however, would not be too serious in the total unit cost figure in view of the fact that variable costs (or direct packing costs) constitute over 60 per cent of total costs, and packed fruit accounts (in most years) for 70 per cent or more of all fruit handled. The alternative would have been a laborious, and still arbitrary, task of allocating individual cost items.

Factors Influencing Unit Costs.--In this study attention was directed primarily at the relation of volume to unit costs both within and among packing houses. Economists of course realize that numerous factors other than volume may have a direct bearing on unit costs, for example, per cent of capacity utilized. A house handling a small volume of fruit, but operating at or near full capacity, may have unit costs of packing as low as a house handling a much larger volume but operating well below full

^{19/} This firm has had many years' experience in auditing the books of cooperative associations engaged in the packing of citrus fruit.

[illegible][illegible]

10. The first two years of the project were in waiting the hope of

capacity.^{20/} Seasonal (including daily and weekly) variations in the volume of fruit handled may also be an important factor both between houses and between years. If fruit arrives at a plant steadily in more or less constant volume, both labor and plant can be used more efficiently. Variations in the average size and quality of fruit available to houses in different years may be another factor. In years when fruit is of poor quality and of small average sizes, costs per packed box are likely to be much higher (more time to pack a box, more wrappers, more care in eliminating poor quality fruit) than in years when fruit is of better over-all quality. It may be that, in a particular house, unit costs should be considered in relation to prices received. More care and expense in packing may result in premium prices for fruit sold. It is also conceivable that higher costs in one category (e.g., higher expense and depreciation on equipment) may to some extent offset lower prices in another category (e.g., labor). This would be true if one house made greater use of mechanical equipment on tasks which, in another house, were performed by hand labor. Finally, one house may obtain certain cost factors at lower prices than others--for example, its average level of wages paid might be lower than that for the area in general.^{21/}

In spite of the fact that unit costs may be influenced by several factors, it was, nevertheless, decided to confine attention largely to the effect of volume upon such costs. This decision was taken first because of the small number of cases available for study (six lemon operations and six orange operations); second, because a study designed to measure (or eliminate) the effect of other factors would have been time consuming; and finally, because the most pressing problem facing the packing houses in the area studied was inadequacy of volume.

For these reasons, it should be emphasized that the volume cost curves which will be presented later (pp. 50,59) are merely generalized curves designed to show the best fit of the data available. They are not intended to represent economy of scale curves.

^{20/} As will be shown later, this was true in the area under study.

^{21/} It is not intended that the above enumeration of factors that may influence unit costs shall be exhaustive. Although some of the more important factors, other than volume, are included, other factors not enumerated may be important under certain circumstances.

Unadjusted Unit Costs of Packing Oranges and Lemons.--Condensed unit costs of packing oranges, by houses, for the years 1948-49 to 1950-51 are summarized in Table 12, and of packing lemons, in Table 13. Because of the structures mentioned above, no useful purpose will be served by analyzing these tables in detail. Attention is called to the fact that three houses (Etiwanda, Mountain View, and Old Baldy) did not pack oranges, and two houses (Mountain View and Old Baldy) did not pack lemons in 1948-49, the heavy freeze years. Fruit of these houses was handled through Upland Citrus and Upland Lemon. It will be noticed also that Alta Loma made no provision for depreciation (in either the orange or lemon departments) in 1948-49, and only moderate provision the following year. Depreciation allowance was inadequate in several other houses. No depreciation is shown for Upland Heights in 1948-49 because this house had almost completely depreciated all plant and equipment. Attention is also directed to the fact that unit costs of materials for Alta Loma in 1949-50 were almost 25 cents higher for oranges and 12 cents higher for lemons than they were in the following year. A similar discrepancy appears in the data for San Dimas (lemons) for the years 1948-49 and 1949-50. These discrepancies were due to errors in inventory.

Unit Costs of Packing Oranges and Lemons: 1st Adjustment.--The data in Tables 13 and 14 are based on those in Tables 11 and 12 except that adjustments were made (1) to correct errors in inventory (in two houses); (2) to provide uniform rates of depreciation in all packing houses;^{22/} and (3) to eliminate revenue from retains on fruit sent to products plants from labor and other costs.^{23/}

The unit cost data in the tables of 1st adjustment probably represent as close an approximation as is feasible to the real costs per packed box incurred by each of the houses from 1948-49 to 1950-51. This intermediate adjustment was believed necessary to permit a more valid comparison of unit costs actually incurred in packing fruit and also to permit further adjustments designed to overcome variations in cost factors between years. These data were also useful in determining net returns (f.o.b. prices per box less packing costs) that could have been paid by houses to grower

^{22/} No additional depreciation was computed against Upland Heights as this house had almost completely depreciated buildings and equipment.

^{23/} Details of adjustments are shown in Appendix B.

The following table shows the results of the survey of the 1000 most common words in the English language. The words are listed in order of frequency, from the most common at the top to the least common at the bottom. The words are listed in the first column, and the frequency of each word is listed in the second column. The words are listed in the first column, and the frequency of each word is listed in the second column.

The following table shows the results of the survey of the 1000 most common words in the English language. The words are listed in order of frequency, from the most common at the top to the least common at the bottom. The words are listed in the first column, and the frequency of each word is listed in the second column. The words are listed in the first column, and the frequency of each word is listed in the second column.

The following table shows the results of the survey of the 1000 most common words in the English language. The words are listed in order of frequency, from the most common at the top to the least common at the bottom. The words are listed in the first column, and the frequency of each word is listed in the second column. The words are listed in the first column, and the frequency of each word is listed in the second column.

The following table shows the results of the survey of the 1000 most common words in the English language. The words are listed in order of frequency, from the most common at the top to the least common at the bottom. The words are listed in the first column, and the frequency of each word is listed in the second column. The words are listed in the first column, and the frequency of each word is listed in the second column.

The following table shows the results of the survey of the 1000 most common words in the English language. The words are listed in order of frequency, from the most common at the top to the least common at the bottom. The words are listed in the first column, and the frequency of each word is listed in the second column. The words are listed in the first column, and the frequency of each word is listed in the second column.

Table 12

Summary of Unit Packing Costs: Oranges: Basis of Annual Reports

Volume and cost group	Alta Loma A	Etiwanda B	Mt. View C	Old Baldy D	Upland Citrus E	Upland Heights F
1948-49						
Total volume 1/ Packed boxes only	28,596 19,027	-- --	-- --	-- --	319,605 170,995	55,135 27,586
Materials	.4475	--	--	--	.5104	.3197
Labor	.3817	--	--	--	.2808	.3155
Miscellaneous	.2865	--	--	--	.0890	.1332
Salaries	.1423	--	--	--	.0380	.1265
Depreciation	--	--	--	--	.0320	--
Interest	.0749	--	--	--	.0009	.0023
Total cost	1.3329	--	--	--	.9511	.8972
1949-50						
Total volume 1/ Packed boxes only	72,315 49,333	36,526 19,831	12,071 10,182	109,719 77,271	485,771 328,814	200,075 139,529
Materials	.5842	.4878	.5468	.4371	.5097	.4941
Labor	.1631	.2304	.4012	.3300	.2545	.3142
Miscellaneous	.1388	.1207	.3299	.1234	.0769	.0507
Salaries	.0621	.0870	.1473	.0987	.0255	.0500
Depreciation	.0596	.0190	.0261	.0263	.0197	.0057
Interest	.0576	.0030	.0292	.0008	.0007	.0004
Total cost	1.0654	.9479	1.4805	1.0163	.8870	.9151
1950-51						
Total volume 1/ Packed boxes only	66,937 51,998	24,546 17,697	13,926 11,497	77,167 62,620	396,489 324,118	170,742 147,246
Materials	.3362	.4085	.5149	.5125	.4599	.4448
Labor	.2323	.2635	.3832	.3633	.2512	.2500
Miscellaneous	.1771	.1678	.2234	.1464	.0818	.0835
Salaries	.0460	.1135	.1091	.0589	.0397	.0742
Depreciation	.1430	.0252	.1030	.0593	.0269	.0074
Interest	.0487	.0074	.0164	.0040	.0015	.0006
Total cost	.9833	.9859	1.3500	1.1444	.8610	.8605

1/ Packed boxes plus loose fruit and by-products converted to packed boxes.

Source: Summarized from data in Appendix Tables 7 to 12.

Report of the Board of Directors of the United States Steel Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1911

Statement of Assets and Liabilities						Amount
Assets	Liabilities	Capital	Reserves	Surplus	Total	
At January 1, 1911						
Fixed Assets						\$1,000,000,000
Current Assets						1,000,000,000
Liabilities						1,000,000,000
Capital						1,000,000,000
Reserves						1,000,000,000
Surplus						1,000,000,000
At December 31, 1911						
Fixed Assets						\$1,000,000,000
Current Assets						1,000,000,000
Liabilities						1,000,000,000
Capital						1,000,000,000
Reserves						1,000,000,000
Surplus						1,000,000,000

The Board of Directors of the United States Steel Corporation has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the report of the Board of Directors of the United States Steel Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1911, and to state that the same has been read and approved.

Table 13

Summary of Unit Packing Costs: Lemons: Basis of Annual Reports

Volume and cost group	Alta Loma A	Etiwanda B	Mt. View C	Old Baldy D	San Dimas G	ULGA H
1948-49						
Total volume 1/ Packed boxes only	47,408 28,971	75,707 51,986	-- --	-- --	130,651 79,656	523,851 330,025
Materials	.7703	.5461	--	--	.6720	.5554
Labor	.5232	.4525	--	--	.4425	.3849
Miscellaneous	.2654	.1596	--	--	.2654	.1682
Salaries	.1451	.0933	--	--	.1031	.0452
Depreciation	--	.0668	--	--	.0385	.1245
Interest	.1489	.0066	--	--	.0115	.0012
Total cost	1.8529	1.3249	--	--	1.5330	1.2794
1949-50						
Total volume 1/ Packed boxes only	56,099 41,910	28,536 21,565	35,887 27,443	77,485 46,020	163,637 125,355	531,187 384,714
Materials	.5849	.4410	.5703	.5291	.6036	.6017
Labor	.4525	.5204	.5753	.5305	.4574	.4317
Miscellaneous	.2264	.1829	.3471	.1881	.1938	.1893
Salaries	.0947	.1211	.1479	.0865	.0826	.0433
Depreciation	.1118	.0633	.0536	.0238	.0589	.1268
Interest	.0970	.0041	.0293	.0401	.0081	--
Total cost	1.5673	1.3328	1.7235	1.3981	1.4044	1.3928
1950-51						
Total volume 1/ Packed boxes only	83,514 62,557	48,705 30,655	53,046 39,164	130,243 84,868	202,518 133,421	728,587 479,670
Materials	.4692	.5372	.4992	.5946	.5067	.5887
Labor	.4360	.4298	.5599	.6058	.4539	.4334
Miscellaneous	.2371	.1559	.2788	.1503	.2022	.1666
Salaries	.0937	.0991	.1147	.0614	.0498	.0313
Depreciation	.1272	.0581	.0952	.1073	.0540	.1262
Interest	.0675	.0064	.0172	.0223	.0137	.0006
Total cost	1.4307	1.2865	1.5650	1.5417	1.2803	1.3468

1/ Packed boxes plus loose fruit and by-products converted to packed boxes.

Source: Summarized from data in Appendix Tables 13 to 18.

DATE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	CHECK NO.	BANK	BALANCE
JANUARY 1999					
1/1/99	OPENING BALANCE				100.00
1/5/99	PAYROLL	50.00	101	CHASE	50.00
1/10/99	RENT	25.00	102	CHASE	25.00
1/15/99	UTILITIES	10.00	103	CHASE	15.00
1/20/99	FOOD	15.00	104	CHASE	0.00
1/25/99	SALES	100.00	105	CHASE	100.00
1/30/99	PAYROLL	50.00	106	CHASE	50.00
2/4/99	RENT	25.00	107	CHASE	25.00
2/9/99	UTILITIES	10.00	108	CHASE	15.00
2/14/99	FOOD	15.00	109	CHASE	0.00
2/19/99	SALES	100.00	110	CHASE	100.00
2/24/99	PAYROLL	50.00	111	CHASE	50.00
2/28/99	RENT	25.00	112	CHASE	25.00
3/4/99	UTILITIES	10.00	113	CHASE	15.00
3/9/99	FOOD	15.00	114	CHASE	0.00
3/14/99	SALES	100.00	115	CHASE	100.00
3/19/99	PAYROLL	50.00	116	CHASE	50.00
3/24/99	RENT	25.00	117	CHASE	25.00
3/29/99	UTILITIES	10.00	118	CHASE	15.00
3/31/99	FOOD	15.00	119	CHASE	0.00
4/5/99	SALES	100.00	120	CHASE	100.00
4/10/99	PAYROLL	50.00	121	CHASE	50.00
4/15/99	RENT	25.00	122	CHASE	25.00
4/20/99	UTILITIES	10.00	123	CHASE	15.00
4/25/99	FOOD	15.00	124	CHASE	0.00
4/30/99	SALES	100.00	125	CHASE	100.00
5/5/99	PAYROLL	50.00	126	CHASE	50.00
5/10/99	RENT	25.00	127	CHASE	25.00
5/15/99	UTILITIES	10.00	128	CHASE	15.00
5/20/99	FOOD	15.00	129	CHASE	0.00
5/25/99	SALES	100.00	130	CHASE	100.00
5/30/99	PAYROLL	50.00	131	CHASE	50.00
5/31/99	RENT	25.00	132	CHASE	25.00
6/4/99	UTILITIES	10.00	133	CHASE	15.00
6/9/99	FOOD	15.00	134	CHASE	0.00
6/14/99	SALES	100.00	135	CHASE	100.00
6/19/99	PAYROLL	50.00	136	CHASE	50.00
6/24/99	RENT	25.00	137	CHASE	25.00
6/29/99	UTILITIES	10.00	138	CHASE	15.00
6/30/99	FOOD	15.00	139	CHASE	0.00
7/4/99	SALES	100.00	140	CHASE	100.00
7/9/99	PAYROLL	50.00	141	CHASE	50.00
7/14/99	RENT	25.00	142	CHASE	25.00
7/19/99	UTILITIES	10.00	143	CHASE	15.00
7/24/99	FOOD	15.00	144	CHASE	0.00
7/29/99	SALES	100.00	145	CHASE	100.00
7/31/99	PAYROLL	50.00	146	CHASE	50.00
8/4/99	RENT	25.00	147	CHASE	25.00
8/9/99	UTILITIES	10.00	148	CHASE	15.00
8/14/99	FOOD	15.00	149	CHASE	0.00
8/19/99	SALES	100.00	150	CHASE	100.00
8/24/99	PAYROLL	50.00	151	CHASE	50.00
8/29/99	RENT	25.00	152	CHASE	25.00
8/31/99	UTILITIES	10.00	153	CHASE	15.00
9/4/99	FOOD	15.00	154	CHASE	0.00
9/9/99	SALES	100.00	155	CHASE	100.00
9/14/99	PAYROLL	50.00	156	CHASE	50.00
9/19/99	RENT	25.00	157	CHASE	25.00
9/24/99	UTILITIES	10.00	158	CHASE	15.00
9/29/99	FOOD	15.00	159	CHASE	0.00
9/30/99	SALES	100.00	160	CHASE	100.00
10/4/99	PAYROLL	50.00	161	CHASE	50.00
10/9/99	RENT	25.00	162	CHASE	25.00
10/14/99	UTILITIES	10.00	163	CHASE	15.00
10/19/99	FOOD	15.00	164	CHASE	0.00
10/24/99	SALES	100.00	165	CHASE	100.00
10/29/99	PAYROLL	50.00	166	CHASE	50.00
10/31/99	RENT	25.00	167	CHASE	25.00
11/4/99	UTILITIES	10.00	168	CHASE	15.00
11/9/99	FOOD	15.00	169	CHASE	0.00
11/14/99	SALES	100.00	170	CHASE	100.00
11/19/99	PAYROLL	50.00	171	CHASE	50.00
11/24/99	RENT	25.00	172	CHASE	25.00
11/29/99	UTILITIES	10.00	173	CHASE	15.00
11/30/99	FOOD	15.00	174	CHASE	0.00
12/4/99	SALES	100.00	175	CHASE	100.00
12/9/99	PAYROLL	50.00	176	CHASE	50.00
12/14/99	RENT	25.00	177	CHASE	25.00
12/19/99	UTILITIES	10.00	178	CHASE	15.00
12/24/99	FOOD	15.00	179	CHASE	0.00
12/29/99	SALES	100.00	180	CHASE	100.00
12/31/99	PAYROLL	50.00	181	CHASE	50.00
TOTAL					

1941-1942

members (p. 63).

Inasmuch as the relative position of houses in regard to unit costs was not materially different in the tables representing the 2nd adjustment, further analysis of volume-unit costs relations at this stage would be largely repetitious.

Unit Costs of Packing Oranges: 2nd Adjustment.--In Table 16 the following further adjustments were made: (1) The cost per box was the actual price paid, per box, by houses, to the Fruit Growers Supply Company each year, less the patronage refund paid by that company.^{24/} (2) All factor costs in 1948-49 and 1949-50 were raised to the 1950-51 levels. (3) All house labor costs were prorated between packing labor and general house labor. In effect this procedure provides some 15 volume-unit cost observations and thus serves much the same purpose as if similar data for only one year had been obtained from 15 packing houses. Furthermore, changes in prices of factors of production have been eliminated as far as possible.

Attention is drawn to the fact that in 1949-50 and 1950-51, unit costs of packing fruit in all houses were substantially below those of 1948-49, a poor crop year. Furthermore, the spread between the highest and lowest costs was considerably smaller in 1949-50 and 1950-51 than in 1948-49. Finally, in all three years the house handling the largest volume of fruit had the lowest (or next to lowest) unit cost per packed box, whereas the houses handling the smallest volume each year had the highest costs. The rather favorable unit cost position of Upland Heights was due in large measure to the fact that this house operated at full capacity in 1949-50 and 1950-51, whereas the largest house (Upland Citrus) operated at only about 50 per cent of capacity (see p. 31).

The data in Table 16 include both what may be termed operating costs (i.e., costs for labor, materials, salaries, power, etc., actually incurred in the handling of fruit) and capital costs (i.e., depreciation and interest on loans incurred in securing and maintaining capital equipment). Inasmuch as one house had almost completely depreciated its facilities, and several houses had little or no indebtedness, a more direct comparison of operating

^{24/} This correction was necessary because of the practice of houses in applying the refund for one year as a credit to shock costs the following year. This tended to exaggerate variations in unit packing costs between years because of fluctuations in the volume of fruit handled and because of variations in the scale of the refund.

Table 14

Summary of Unit Packing Costs by Cost Groups: Oranges: 1st Adjustment 1/

Volume and cost group	Alta Loma A	Etiwanda B	Mt. View C	Old Baldy D	Upland Citrus E	Upland Heights F
1948-49						
Total volume 2/ Packed boxes only	28,596 19,027	-- --	-- --	-- --	319,605 170,995	55,135 27,586
Materials	.4475	--	--	--	.5104	.3197
Labor	.4432	--	--	--	.2808	.3155
Miscellaneous	.2079	--	--	--	.0890	.1332
Salaries	.1423	--	--	--	.0380	.1265
Depreciation	.3320	--	--	--	.0320	--
Interest	.0749	--	--	--	.0009	.0023
Total cost	1.6478	--	--	--	.9511	.8972
1949-50						
Total volume 2/ Packed boxes only	72,315 49,333	36,526 19,831	12,071 10,182	109,719 77,271	485,771 328,814	200,075 139,529
Materials	.5285	.4878	.5468	.4371	.5097	.4941
Labor	.3037	.3042	.4066	.3333	.2545	.3142
Miscellaneous	.1216	.1207	.3299	.1234	.0769	.0507
Salaries	.0621	.0870	.1473	.0987	.0255	.0500
Depreciation	.1374	.0464	.1188	.0424	.0197	.0057
Interest	.0576	.0030	.0292	.0008	.0007	.0004
Total cost	1.2109	1.0491	1.5786	1.0357	.8870	.9151
1950-51						
Total volume 2/ Packed boxes only	66,937 51,998	24,546 17,697	13,926 11,497	77,167 62,620	396,489 324,118	170,742 147,246
Materials	.4959	.4085	.5149	.5125	.4599	.4448
Labor	.3170	.3084	.3940	.3633	.2512	.2500
Miscellaneous	.1307	.1678	.2234	.1464	.0818	.0835
Salaries	.0460	.1135	.1091	.0589	.0397	.0742
Depreciation	.1444	.0433	.1030	.0593	.0269	.0074
Interest	.0487	.0074	.0164	.0040	.0015	.0006
Total cost	1.1827	1.0489	1.3608	1.1444	.8610	.8605

1/ 1st Adjustment. Costs as per annual reports adjusted to insure uniformity in depreciation charges for each year; adjustment of materials costs due to errors in inventory; and elimination of credits (from deductions for by-product handling) in case of two houses; and breakdown of labor costs into packing and other labor.

2/ Packed boxes plus loose fruit and by-products converted to packed boxes.

Table 15

Summary of Unit Packing Costs: Lemons: 1st Adjustment 1/

Volume and cost group	Alta Loma A	Etiwanda B	Mt. View C	Old Baldy D	San Dimas G	Upland Lemon H
1948-49						
Total volume 2/ Packed boxes only	47,408 28,971	75,707 51,986	-- --	-- --	130,651 79,656	523,851 330,025
Materials	.7136	.5461	--	--	.6720	.5554
Labor	.6363	.5228	--	--	.6439	.4617
Miscellaneous	.2185	.1596	--	--	.2654	.1682
Salaries	.1451	.0933	--	--	.1031	.0452
Depreciation	.2596	.0807	--	--	.1148	.0985
Interest	.1489	.0066	--	--	.0115	.0012
Total cost	2.1220	1.4091	--	--	1.8107	1.3302
1949-50						
Total volume 2/ Packed boxes only	56,099 41,910	28,536 21,565	35,887 27,443	77,485 46,020	163,637 125,395	531,187 384,714
Materials	.6241	.4410	.5703	.5291	.5722	.6017
Labor	.5473	.5775	.5790	.5492	.5890	.4876
Miscellaneous	.1818	.1829	.3471	.1882	.1938	.1893
Salaries	.0947	.1211	.1479	.0865	.0826	.0433
Depreciation	.2292	.1547	.1404	.1828	.0954	.0980
Interest	.0970	.0041	.0293	.0401	.0081	--
Total cost	1.7741	1.4813	1.8140	1.5759	1.5411	1.4199
1950-51						
Total volume 2/ Packed boxes only	83,514 62,557	48,705 30,655	53,046 39,164	130,243 84,868	202,518 133,421	728,587 479,670
Materials	.4692	.5372	.4992	.5946	.5363	.5887
Labor	.5979	.5159	.5649	.6378	.6461	.5098
Miscellaneous	.1945	.1559	.2788	.1503	.2022	.1666
Salaries	.0937	.0991	.1117	.0614	.0747	.0313
Depreciation	.1540	.0998	.0952	.1073	.0849	.0788
Interest	.0675	.0064	.0172	.0223	.0137	.0006
Total cost	1.5768	1.4143	1.5700	1.5737	1.5579	1.3758

1/ 1st Adjustment. Costs as per annual reports adjusted to insure uniformity in depreciation charges for each year; adjustment of materials costs due to errors in inventory; and elimination of credits (from deductions for by-product handling) in case of two houses; and breakdown of labor costs into packing and other labor.

2/ Packed boxes plus loose fruit and by-products converted to packed boxes.

Year	1900	1901	1902	1903	1904	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918	1919	1920	1921	1922	1923	1924	1925	1926	1927	1928	1929	1930	1931	1932	1933	1934	1935	1936	1937	1938	1939	1940	1941	1942	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099
1900	1901	1902	1903	1904	1905	1906	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1913	1914	1915	1916	1917	1918	1919	1920	1921	1922	1923	1924	1925	1926	1927	1928	1929	1930	1931	1932	1933	1934	1935	1936	1937	1938	1939	1940	1941	1942	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	

costs may be secured by deducting the unit costs for depreciation and interest from total unit costs. These operating costs for each house are shown in Table 17.

The volume-unit-operating cost data for each house are plotted in Figure 6. The points representing the unit cost data for each house for each year are connected by straight lines. A generalized volume-cost curve to represent the data for all houses has been fitted to the volume-cost curves for individual houses. This procedure (in spite of its obvious defects) in reality makes available some 15 observations rather than only six (or three) observations that would have been available if each year's volume-unit costs were shown separately.^{25/} It is clear from Figure 6 that (a) in the smaller houses, a moderate increase in volume handled resulted in a substantial reduction of unit costs of packing oranges, and (b) in the medium-sized and large packing houses, unit costs also tended to decline with increased volume, but not at so sharp a rate as in the smaller houses. It is reasonable to conclude that, if the four smaller houses could have handled still larger volumes of fruit, they could have secured further reductions in unit costs, although these deductions would probably be on a decreasing scale of magnitude.

The cost curves of three houses do not coincide too closely with the generalized cost curve, but these divergencies can be explained. In the case of Etiwanda which, because of wind damage, has experienced several years of small crops of indifferent quality, operating costs have been drastically curtailed by the elimination of services. In the case of the Old Baldy Association, it had become necessary to change management. Finally, the very favorable position of the Upland Heights Association can be ascribed to the fact that it was the only house operating at substantially full capacity, at least during the last two years.

Inconsistencies are apparent in the behavior of unit costs in two houses in 1949-50 and 1950-51. For Upland Citrus, unit operating costs were slightly higher in 1949-50 than in 1950-51 in spite of the fact that a somewhat larger volume of fruit was packed. Much fruit received from

^{25/} It should be stressed that this generalized volume-cost curve is not intended to represent either a "cost envelop" or an "economy-of-scale" curve. It merely represents a best fit of volume-cost relations, between houses and in houses (year to year), of adjusted unit operating costs based on the volumes actually handled during the period studied.

 

Table 16

48.

Summary of Unit Packing Costs: Oranges: 2nd Adjustment 1/

Volume and cost group	Alta Loma A	Etiwanda B	Mt. View C	Old Baldy D	Upland Citrus E	Upland Heights F
1948-49						
Total volume 2/	28,596	--	--	--	319,605	55,135
Packed boxes only	19,027	--	--	--	170,995	27,586
Materials-Packing	.4215	--	--	--	.4497	.3751
Other	.0549	--	--	--	.0362	.0424
Total	.4764	--	--	--	.4859	.4175
Labor - Packing	.1836	--	--	--	.1434	.1549
Other	.2726	--	--	--	.1443	.1687
Total	.4562	--	--	--	.2877	.3236
Miscellaneous	.2261	--	--	--	.0959	.1421
Salaries	.1423	--	--	--	.0399	.1328
Depreciation	.3320	--	--	--	.0320	--
Interest	.0749	--	--	--	.0009	.0023
Total cost	1.7079	--	--	--	.9423	1.0183
1949-50						
Total volume 2/	72,315	36,526	12,071	109,719	485,771	200,075
Packed boxes only	49,333	19,831	10,182	77,271	328,814	139,529
Materials-Packing	.4072	.4291	.4392	.4075	.4355	.4101
Other	.0639	.0034	.0558	.0277	.0358	.0356
Total	.4711	.4325	.4950	.4352	.4713	.4457
Labor - Packing	.1437	.1613	.1711	.1551	.1295	.1307
Other	.1679	.1500	.2473	.1872	.1312	.1556
Total	.3116	.3113	.4184	.3423	.2607	.2863
Miscellaneous	.1273	.1277	.3631	.1293	.0812	.0524
Salaries	.0621	.0914	.1547	.0987	.0267	.0525
Depreciation	.1374	.0464	.1188	.0424	.0197	.0057
Interest	.0576	.0030	.0292	.0008	.0007	.0004
Total cost	1.1671	1.0123	1.5792	1.0487	.8603	.8430
1950-51						
Total volume 2/	66,937	24,546	13,926	77,167	396,489	170,742
Packed boxes only	51,998	17,697	11,497	62,620	324,118	147,246
Materials-Packing	.4050	.4470	.4382	.4169	.4354	.4195
Other	.0756	.0206	.0364	.0564	.0258	.0300
Total	.4806	.4676	.4746	.4733	.4612	.4495
Labor - Packing	.1594	.1608	.1921	.1231	.1248	.1200
Other	.1576	.1476	.2019	.2402	.1264	.1300
Total	.3170	.3084	.3940	.3633	.2512	.2500
Miscellaneous	.1307	.1678	.2234	.1464	.0818	.0835
Salaries	.0460	.1135	.1091	.0589	.0397	.0742
Depreciation	.1414	.0433	.1030	.0593	.0269	.0074
Interest	.0487	.0074	.0164	.0040	.0015	.0006
Total cost	1.1644	1.1080	1.3205	1.1052	.8623	.8652

1/ Basic data in 1st Adjustment amended as follows:

(a) Shook costs calculated by multiplying number of boxes packed by net cost for shook (cost per packed box paid to the Fruit Growers Supply Company less patronage refund per box).

(b) Expenses for 1948-49 and 1949-50 raised to the level of prices paid in 1950-51.

(c) Labor costs were prorated between packing labor and general house labor.

2/ Packed boxes plus loose fruit and by-products converted to packed boxes.

Table 17

Costs per Packed Box Less Depreciation and Interest: Oranges

	Alta Loma A	Etiwanda B	Mountain View C	Old Baldy D	Upland Citrus E	Upland Heights F
1948-49						
Volume: all fruit	28,596	Did	Did	Did	319,605	55,135
Packed boxes only	19,027	not	not	not	170,995	27,586
Total costs per unit	\$ 1.7079	operate	operate	operate	\$.9423	\$ 1.0783
Less depreciation and interest	.4069				.0329	.0023
Balance	\$ 1.3010				\$.9094	\$ 1.0160
1949-50						
Volume: all fruit	72,315	36,536	12,071	109,719	485,771	200,075
Packed boxes only	49,333	19,831	10,182	77,271	328,814	139,529
Total costs per unit	\$ 1.1671	\$ 1.0123	\$ 1.5792	\$ 1.0487	\$.8603	\$.8430
Less depreciation and interest	.1950	.0494	.1480	.0432	.0204	.0061
Balance	\$.9721	\$.9629	\$ 1.4312	\$ 1.0055	\$.8399	\$.8369
1950-51						
Volume: all fruit	66,937	24,546	13,926	77,167	396,489	170,742
Packed boxes only	51,898	17,697	11,497	62,620	324,118	147,246
Total costs per unit	\$ 1.1644	\$ 1.1080	\$ 1.3205	\$ 1.1052	\$.8623	\$.8652
Less depreciation and interest	.1901	.0507	.1194	.0633	.0284	.0080
Balance	\$.9743	\$ 1.0573	\$ 1.2011	\$ 1.0419	\$.8339	\$.8572

TABLE IV

PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL POPULATION IN EACH AGE GROUP

Age Group	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000
0-4	13.1	12.5	11.8	11.2	10.6	10.0
5-9	12.8	12.2	11.5	10.9	10.3	9.7
10-14	12.5	11.9	11.2	10.6	10.0	9.4
15-19	12.2	11.6	10.9	10.3	9.7	9.1
20-24	11.9	11.3	10.6	10.0	9.4	8.8
25-29	11.6	11.0	10.3	9.7	9.1	8.5
30-34	11.3	10.7	10.0	9.4	8.8	8.2
35-39	11.0	10.4	9.7	9.1	8.5	7.9
40-44	10.7	10.1	9.4	8.8	8.2	7.6
45-49	10.4	9.8	9.1	8.5	7.9	7.3
50-54	10.1	9.5	8.8	8.2	7.6	7.0
55-59	9.8	9.2	8.5	7.9	7.3	6.7
60-64	9.5	8.9	8.2	7.6	7.0	6.4
65-69	9.2	8.6	7.9	7.3	6.7	6.1
70-74	8.9	8.3	7.6	7.0	6.4	5.8
75-79	8.6	8.0	7.3	6.7	6.1	5.5
80-84	8.3	7.7	7.0	6.4	5.8	5.2
85-89	8.0	7.4	6.7	6.1	5.5	4.9
90-94	7.7	7.1	6.4	5.8	5.2	4.6
95-99	7.4	6.8	6.1	5.5	4.9	4.3
100+	7.1	6.5	5.8	5.2	4.6	4.0

FIG. 6 - VOLUME OF ORANGES PACKED AND UNIT PACKING COSTS (EXCLUSIVE OF DEPRECIATION AND INTEREST.)

BASIS: ADJUSTED ANNUAL COSTS - YEARS 1948 - 49 TO 1950 - 51

 

growers that year was of poor quality so that a large percentage was sent to by-products. In the case of Upland Heights, although a larger volume of fruit was packed in 1950-51 than in 1949-50, the total volume of fruit handled was appreciably smaller.

Projected Packing Costs: Upland Citrus.--In spite of the fact that Upland Citrus Association handled nearly 500,000 packed box equivalents of fruit in 1949-50, it utilized only 50 per cent of its capacity. The other five houses, together, handled an additional volume of 430,000 packed box equivalents of oranges. Because Upland is the only house with sufficient capacity to handle all the fruit produced in the area by Sunkist Growers, it may be as well to determine conservatively what reductions in unit packing costs this house could have secured if it had handled all oranges (of the six houses) in 1950-51. A rough approximation of unit costs at higher volumes than those handled during the three years 1948-49 to 1950-51 can be obtained by the following procedure:

(1) Plot, on ordinary graph paper, the total costs of packing labor and packing materials for each of the three years, against associated volumes of fruit packed.

(2) Fit a straight line to the points so obtained, and project this line for higher volumes.

(3) Follow a similar procedure for total nonpacking costs (i.e., nonpacking labor, salaries, depreciation, etc.) incurred in handling all fruit.

(4) From the two curves so obtained (Figure 7), it is possible to read off the probable total costs that would have been associated with different volumes of fruit packed and handled.

(5) Divide the total costs so obtained (Table 18) by the associated volumes of fruit packed and handled. The data so obtained are plotted in Figure 8.

It is possible to read off, from these two curves, the approximate packing and handling costs that may have been associated with higher volumes. For example, in 1950-51 the six orange departments handled 916,000 field box equivalents of fruit, of which 615,000 were packed. Unit costs for packing labor and materials would have been about 58 cents, general handling costs, about 21 cents, or a total of about 79 cents per packed box--about 7 cents less, per box, than the cost actually incurred that year with the smaller volume handled.

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

FIG. 7 - TOTAL COSTS OF PACKING & HANDLING ORANGES AT VARYING VOLUMES. UPLAND CITRUS ASSOCIATION.

SOURCE: CALCULATED ON BASIS OF 2 ND. ADJUSTMENT TABLES.

Table 18
Actual and Projected Packing and Handling Costs-
Varying Volumes-Upland Citrus Association

Packed fruit			All fruit handled		
Number of boxes packed	Total costs materials and packing labor	Cost per box packed	Packed box equivalent (number)	Total of non-packing expenses	Cost per packed box equivalent
	dollars	dollars		dollars	dollars
<u>171,000</u>	<u>107,600</u>	<u>.6292</u>	300,000	97,000	.3233
200,000	124,300	.6215	<u>319,600</u>	<u>100,000</u>	<u>.3129</u>
300,000	180,200	.6007	<u>396,500</u>	<u>109,600</u>	<u>.2764</u>
<u>324,000</u>	<u>189,900</u>	<u>.5861</u>	400,000	112,000	.2800
<u>328,800</u>	<u>197,600</u>	<u>.6010</u>	<u>485,800</u>	<u>126,200</u>	<u>.2598</u>
400,000	237,200	.5930	500,000	127,300	.2546
500,000	293,500	.5870	600,000	143,000	.2383
600,000	349,000	.5815	700,000	158,300	.2261
			800,000	173,500	.2169
			900,000	189,000	.2100

Source: Data underlined were calculated from data on Upland Citrus Assn. in Table 16. All other data on total costs were obtained by interpolation from lines in Figure 8. The unit cost data were calculated from the data on interpolated total costs.

TABLE

Showing the results of the operations of the
 the company during the year ending 1900

No. of shares outstanding	At the beginning of the year		At the end of the year		Total amount paid for shares
	Number	Amount	Number	Amount	
1900	100,000	\$1,000,000	100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
1901	100,000	\$1,000,000	100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
1902	100,000	\$1,000,000	100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
1903	100,000	\$1,000,000	100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
1904	100,000	\$1,000,000	100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
1905	100,000	\$1,000,000	100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
1906	100,000	\$1,000,000	100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
1907	100,000	\$1,000,000	100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
1908	100,000	\$1,000,000	100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
1909	100,000	\$1,000,000	100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
1900	100,000	\$1,000,000	100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000

The above table shows the results of the operations of the company during the year ending 1900. The total amount paid for shares during the year was \$1,000,000. The number of shares outstanding at the beginning of the year was 100,000 and at the end of the year was 100,000.

FIG. 8-COST PER PACKED BOX EQUIVALENT OF PACKING & HANDLING ORANGES WITH VARYING VOLUMES.
UPLAND CITRUS ASSOCIATION.

Admittedly this method of projecting unit costs with larger volumes is somewhat crude, but it does permit a rough and reasonably conservative approximation of potential savings that would have occurred if all oranges and grapefruit handled by six houses had been concentrated in the Upland Citrus Association. Members of that house would have benefited by about 7 cents a packed box; benefits to members of the higher-cost houses would have been much greater.

Unit Costs of Handling Lemons: 2nd Adjustment.---The data in Table 19 are based on those in Table 15, with adjustments similar to those made for unit costs of packing oranges (p. 44). Marked variations are apparent in the adjusted unit costs of packing lemons in each of the three years. In 1948-49 the range in costs per packed box was from \$1.3989 (Upland Lemon) to \$2.0815 (Alta Loma). In the following year, the range was from \$1.4003 to \$1.8404, and in 1950-51, from \$1.3386 to \$1.6382. The range between highest and lowest costs was smallest in the latter year when all houses (except Etiwanda) handled the largest volume of fruit. It is noteworthy that, in each of the three years, the house handling the largest volume of fruit (Upland Lemon) had substantially lower costs per unit than did any other house.

As in the case of oranges, the comparison of unit costs between houses is somewhat obscured by the fact that some houses have substantial indebtedness (and hence heavy interest payments), whereas others have none. The actual unit costs of operation (total unit costs less unit costs for interest and depreciation) are shown in Table 20 and are illustrated graphically in Figure 9. While these data again reflect the inverse relation between volume of fruit handled and unit operating costs, there appears to be some inconsistency in the behavior of costs for individual houses. In the case of three houses, unit operating costs were somewhat higher in 1950-51. In spite of the larger volumes of fruit packed that year, greater volumes of fruit received were sold loose or sent to the Orange Products Company. Furthermore, the average size of fruit handled in 1950-51 was smaller than during the preceding year. This resulted in higher costs for packing materials (mainly for wraps) and higher labor costs for packing. Unfortunately, with the small number of houses involved it was not possible, by usual statistical means, to eliminate the effects

Table 19

56.

Summary of Unit Packing Costs: Lemons: 2nd Adjustment 1/

Volume and cost group	Alta Loma A	Etiwanda B	Mt. View C	Old Baldy D	San Dimas G	Upland Lemon H
1948-49						
Total volume 2/	47,408	75,707	--	--	130,651	523,851
Packed boxes only	28,971	51,986	--	--	79,656	330,025
Materials-Packing	.4717	.5096	--	--	.5249	.4962
Other	.1703	.0456	--	--	.1309	.1006
Total	.6420	.5552	--	--	.6558	.5968
Labor - Packing	.2226	.2241	--	--	.2949	.2077
Other	.4065	.3136	--	--	.3664	.2667
Total	.6535	.5377	--	--	.6613	.4744
Miscellaneous	.2324	.1704	--	--	.2793	.1775
Salaries	.1451	.0980	--	--	.1083	.0475
Depreciation	.2596	.0807	--	--	.1148	.0985
Interest	.1489	.0066	--	--	.0115	.0012
Total cost	2.0815	1.4486	--	--	1.8310	1.3989
1949-50						
Total volume 2/	56,099	28,536	35,887	77,485	163,637	531,187
Packed boxes only	41,910	21,565	27,443	46,020	125,395	384,714
Materials-Packing	.4566	.4849	.4910	.4870	.4896	.4958
Other	.1251	.0290	.0687	.0312	.0808	.0631
Total	.5817	.5139	.5597	.5182	.5704	.5589
Labor - Packing	.2234	.2341	.2331	.2551	.2368	.2027
Other	.3401	.3606	.3633	.3089	.3698	.2992
Total	.5635	.5947	.5964	.5640	.6066	.5019
Miscellaneous	.1914	.1928	.3593	.1937	.2017	.1960
Salaries	.0947	.1271	.1553	.0865	.0868	.0455
Depreciation	.2292	.1547	.1404	.1828	.0954	.0980
Interest	.0970	.0041	.0293	.0401	.0081	--
Total cost	1.7575	1.5873	1.8404	1.5853	1.5690	1.4003
1950-51						
Total volume 2/	83,514	48,705	53,046	130,243	202,518	728,587
Packed boxes only	62,557	30,655	39,164	84,868	133,421	479,670
Materials-Packing	.4773	.4932	.4729	.4860	.5108	.4970
Other	.0533	.0294	.0468	.0582	.0485	.0545
Total	.5306	.5226	.5197	.5442	.5593	.5515
Labor - Packing	.2436	.2322	.2322	.2816	.2835	.2238
Other	.3543	.2837	.3327	.3562	.3626	.2860
Total	.5979	.5159	.5649	.6378	.6461	.5098
Miscellaneous	.1945	.1559	.2788	.1503	.2022	.1666
Salaries	.0937	.0991	.1147	.0614	.0747	.0313
Depreciation	.1540	.0998	.0952	.1073	.0849	.0788
Interest	.0675	.0064	.0172	.0223	.0137	.0006
Total cost	1.6382	1.3997	1.5905	1.5233	1.5809	1.3386

1/ Basic data in 1st Adjustment amended as follows:

(a) Shook costs calculated by multiplying number of boxes packed by net cost for shook (cost per packed box paid to the Fruit Growers Supply Company less patronage refund per box).

(b) Expenses for 1948-49 and 1949-50 raised to the level of prices paid in 1950-51.

2/ Packed boxes plus loose fruit and by-products converted to packed boxes.

Statement of the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management

Section	Tract	Acres	Original	Revised	Current	Remarks
1	100-100-1	100	100	100	100	100-100-1
2	100-100-2	100	100	100	100	100-100-2
3	100-100-3	100	100	100	100	100-100-3
4	100-100-4	100	100	100	100	100-100-4
5	100-100-5	100	100	100	100	100-100-5
6	100-100-6	100	100	100	100	100-100-6
7	100-100-7	100	100	100	100	100-100-7
8	100-100-8	100	100	100	100	100-100-8
9	100-100-9	100	100	100	100	100-100-9
10	100-100-10	100	100	100	100	100-100-10
11	100-100-11	100	100	100	100	100-100-11
12	100-100-12	100	100	100	100	100-100-12
13	100-100-13	100	100	100	100	100-100-13
14	100-100-14	100	100	100	100	100-100-14
15	100-100-15	100	100	100	100	100-100-15
16	100-100-16	100	100	100	100	100-100-16
17	100-100-17	100	100	100	100	100-100-17
18	100-100-18	100	100	100	100	100-100-18
19	100-100-19	100	100	100	100	100-100-19
20	100-100-20	100	100	100	100	100-100-20
21	100-100-21	100	100	100	100	100-100-21
22	100-100-22	100	100	100	100	100-100-22
23	100-100-23	100	100	100	100	100-100-23
24	100-100-24	100	100	100	100	100-100-24
25	100-100-25	100	100	100	100	100-100-25
26	100-100-26	100	100	100	100	100-100-26
27	100-100-27	100	100	100	100	100-100-27
28	100-100-28	100	100	100	100	100-100-28
29	100-100-29	100	100	100	100	100-100-29
30	100-100-30	100	100	100	100	100-100-30
31	100-100-31	100	100	100	100	100-100-31
32	100-100-32	100	100	100	100	100-100-32
33	100-100-33	100	100	100	100	100-100-33
34	100-100-34	100	100	100	100	100-100-34
35	100-100-35	100	100	100	100	100-100-35
36	100-100-36	100	100	100	100	100-100-36
37	100-100-37	100	100	100	100	100-100-37
38	100-100-38	100	100	100	100	100-100-38
39	100-100-39	100	100	100	100	100-100-39
40	100-100-40	100	100	100	100	100-100-40
41	100-100-41	100	100	100	100	100-100-41
42	100-100-42	100	100	100	100	100-100-42
43	100-100-43	100	100	100	100	100-100-43
44	100-100-44	100	100	100	100	100-100-44
45	100-100-45	100	100	100	100	100-100-45
46	100-100-46	100	100	100	100	100-100-46
47	100-100-47	100	100	100	100	100-100-47
48	100-100-48	100	100	100	100	100-100-48
49	100-100-49	100	100	100	100	100-100-49
50	100-100-50	100	100	100	100	100-100-50

THIS STATEMENT IS SUBJECT TO THE REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, AND IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR.

100-100-100

of size in determining volume-unit cost relations.^{26/}

There is a rather wide scatter in the unit operating costs of the five small or medium-sized houses, and a still wider spread in volume of fruit handled by these five houses and that handled by the large house (Upland Lemon). Because of these facts it was thought inadvisable to attempt to fit a generalized volume-unit cost curve to the data presented in Figure 9.

Possible Economies with Increased Volume.--In the analysis of unit costs of handling oranges it was shown that if the Upland Citrus Association could have handled all the oranges processed through the six orange departments, costs of packing could probably have been reduced by about 7 cents a box. No useful purpose would be served by attempting a similar projection of unit costs for the Upland Lemon Growers Association as this house is already operating at nearly full capacity. It is doubtful whether this house, with its present facilities, could handle an additional 50,000 boxes a year. Even with this additional volume it is doubtful whether unit costs could be reduced by more than one or two cents.^{27/}

The conclusion is inescapable that one or two additional houses would be necessary, during the next few years at least, to handle lemons now processed through the remaining five houses. The San Dimas Lemon Association in reality lies outside the area and should probably consolidate with other near-by houses. The Etiwanda house is small and not well located as regards supplies. Any one of the three remaining houses has sufficient capacity to handle considerable additional volumes of lemons and could thus be used as a second lemon house. Unfortunately, the data available are not adequate to permit a projection of potential unit costs with higher volumes of fruit packed and handled. Two of the three houses did not operate in 1948-49, the year of very low production. Moreover, in 1950-51 the cost data are

26/ Another apparent inconsistency is that the unit costs for the San Dimas Lemon Association were on a much higher level than those for other houses handling smaller volumes of fruit. Inquiry indicated that the probable reasons may be (a) the employment and wage policy of this house, and (b) the fact that this house handled lemons only, whereas the other, smaller houses were combination houses. The latter are able to spread overhead between orange and lemon departments.

27/ It is significant that in 1950-51 this house packed about 25 per cent more fruit than it did in 1949-50, but was able to reduce unit costs of packing by only 4.5 per cent.

[illegible]

the same time, the Commission has been working to develop a new set of standards for the evaluation of the quality of the work of the Commission. This work is being done in a number of ways, including the development of a new set of standards for the evaluation of the quality of the work of the Commission. This work is being done in a number of ways, including the development of a new set of standards for the evaluation of the quality of the work of the Commission.

Table 20

Costs per Packed Box Less Depreciation and Interest: Lemons

	Alta Loma	Etiwanda	Mt. View	Old Baldy	San Dimas	Upland Lemon
	A	B	C	D	G	H
1948-49						
Volume: all fruit	47,408	75,707	Did	Did	130,651	523,851
Packed boxes only	28,971	51,986	not	not	79,656	330,025
Total costs per unit	\$ 2.0571	\$ 1.4486	operate	operate	\$ 1.8310	\$ 1.3989
Less depreciation and interest	.4085	.0873			.1263	.0997
Balance	\$ 1.6486	\$ 1.3613			\$ 1.7047	\$ 1.2992
1949-50						
Volume: all fruit	56,099	28,536	35,887	77,485	163,637	531,187
Packed boxes only	41,910	21,565	27,443	46,020	125,395	384,714
Total costs per unit	\$ 1.7575	\$ 1.5873	\$ 1.8404	\$ 1.5853	\$ 1.5690	\$ 1.4003
Less depreciation and interest	.3262	.1588	.1697	.2229	.1035	.0980
Balance	\$ 1.4313	\$ 1.4285	\$ 1.6707	\$ 1.3624	\$ 1.4655	\$ 1.3023
1950-51						
Volume: all fruit	83,514	48,705	53,046	130,243	202,518	728,587
Packed boxes only	62,557	30,655	39,164	84,868	133,421	479,670
Total costs per unit	\$ 1.6382	\$ 1.3997	\$ 1.5905	\$ 1.5233	\$ 1.5809	\$ 1.3386
Less depreciation and interest	.2215	.1062	.1124	.1296	.0986	.0794
Balance	\$ 1.4167	\$ 1.2935	\$ 1.4781	\$ 1.3937	\$ 1.4823	\$ 1.2592

REPORT

REPORT MADE FOR THE YEAR ENDING 1900

NAME	AGE	SEX	RELATION	EDUCATION	INDUSTRY	REMARKS
FAMILY						
JOHN	45	M	HEAD	HIGH SCHOOL	TEACHER	
MARY	42	F	WIFE	HIGH SCHOOL	HOUSEWIFE	
EDWARD	18	M	SON	HIGH SCHOOL	STUDENT	
MARGARET	15	F	DAUGHTER	HIGH SCHOOL	STUDENT	
FAMILY						
JOHN	35	M	HEAD	HIGH SCHOOL	TEACHER	
MARY	32	F	WIFE	HIGH SCHOOL	HOUSEWIFE	
EDWARD	15	M	SON	HIGH SCHOOL	STUDENT	
MARGARET	12	F	DAUGHTER	HIGH SCHOOL	STUDENT	
FAMILY						
JOHN	25	M	HEAD	HIGH SCHOOL	TEACHER	
MARY	22	F	WIFE	HIGH SCHOOL	HOUSEWIFE	
EDWARD	12	M	SON	HIGH SCHOOL	STUDENT	
MARGARET	10	F	DAUGHTER	HIGH SCHOOL	STUDENT	
FAMILY						
JOHN	15	M	HEAD	HIGH SCHOOL	TEACHER	
MARY	12	F	WIFE	HIGH SCHOOL	HOUSEWIFE	
EDWARD	10	M	SON	HIGH SCHOOL	STUDENT	
MARGARET	8	F	DAUGHTER	HIGH SCHOOL	STUDENT

FIG. 9 - VOLUME OF LEMONS PACKED AND UNIT PACKING COSTS LESS DEPRECIATION AND INTEREST.
SOURCE: TABLE 30

unduly influenced by the small sizes of fruit handled. It is reasonable, however, to conclude that any one of these three houses could expect a substantial decrease in unit costs with increase in volume of fruit handled because (a) at least two houses in the area experienced a substantial decrease in unit costs between 1948-49 and 1949-50 with larger volume, and (b) all three houses concerned operated in 1950-51 well below full capacity. Specific recommendations for a second house to handle lemons will be made later.

Volume, Unit Costs, and Prices Received.--Not only did the houses that handled the largest volume of fruit have the lowest unit costs during the three-year period under study, but they apparently were able to secure somewhat better average prices per box for their fruit sold in the domestic market^{28/} through the Ontario-Cucamonga Fruit Exchange.

During the 11-year period, 1940-41 to 1950-51, the Upland Citrus Association (the largest orange house) obtained the highest average annual f.o.b. price for navel oranges in five years (underlined figures in Table 21), and in two additional years received f.o.b. prices only 1 cent a box less than the house with the highest price. This house also received the highest average annual f.o.b. prices for valencias in six of the 11 years, and was within 5 cents of the highest price in two additional years. Over the same period, the Upland Lemon Growers Association (the largest lemon house) obtained the highest average annual f.o.b. prices in seven years, and was within 3 cents of the highest price in two additional years. By deducting the adjusted unit costs (1st Adjustment) from average annual f.o.b. prices received in each of the three years under study, it is possible to obtain a fair approximation of the net returns per box that could have been credited to members by each association for packed fruit sold in the domestic market (Table 22).

In 1948-49, the Upland Citrus Association could have returned to members (for navel oranges) from 26 to 69 cents more a packed box than did other associations, in 1949-50, from 28 cents to \$1.26 more, and in 1950-51, from 13 cents to \$1.04 more. For valencia oranges, this association could have returned to members from 36 to 65 cents more a box in 1948-49, from 8 cents to \$1.18 more in 1949-50, and in 1950-51,

^{28/} The domestic market (United States) absorbs the bulk of fresh citrus fruit packed in California.

from 24 to 53 cents more than did four of the five other associations. In 1950-51, Upland Heights could have returned to members about 4 cents more than did Upland Citrus. The Upland Lemon Growers Association could have returned to its members from 46 to 71 cents a box more than did other associations in 1948-49, from 14 to 78 cents more in 1949-50 (excluding Alta Loma), and from 17 to 58 cents more in 1950-51. Under the conditions that existed during the three years (1948-1951), such returns might well have made the difference between profitable operation and losses for a considerable number of growers in the area.

The question arises as to why the two largest associations appear to have such a price advantage over the smaller associations. Except in the case of the Etiwanda house, which for a number of years has handled much fruit of poor quality (the result of wind damage), indications are that there are no marked differences in quality of fruit received by the various houses. Nor is there any indication that the two large houses consistently follow a more rigid grading policy or that they put out a better packed box of fruit.^{29/}

According to information obtained from Sunkist Growers Inc., and from the Ontario-Cucamonga Fruit Exchange, the larger associations have certain advantages over smaller associations in merchandising fruit. Markets differ with regard to the size, quality, varieties, and amounts of fruit they will handle. For example, some markets will pay a premium for a continuous volume of uniformly graded fruit. Eastern markets want large fruits; southern markets want small sizes. The large associations have sufficient volume to be able to meet these demands, whereas small houses may have to mix grades, sizes, and even varieties (oranges, lemons, and grapefruit) in order to fill a car. Because of these factors, the brand names of the larger houses (all other factors being the same) normally ensure a price premium.

Financial Considerations in Consolidation

Balance Sheet.--Table 23 shows a condensed statement of the assets and liabilities of the eight associations, for the fiscal years ending in 1951, extracted directly from the audit reports for each house. Because

^{29/} Based on the opinions of members of the O.K. Fruit Exchange and of inspectors of Sunkist Growers Inc.

Table 21

F.O.B. Prices Received by Associations: Domestic Sales

Dollars per packed box								
Years	Alta Loma Heights	Eti- wanda	Mountain View	Old Balduy	San Dimas	Upland Citrus	Upland Heights	Upland Lemon
Navels								
1940-41	1.82	1.85	1.83	1.88		2.02	1.87	
41-42	1.72	1.97	1.70	1.99		2.07	1.96	
42-43	3.50	3.73	3.54	3.49		3.60	3.66	
43-44	3.99	4.02	3.89	3.98		3.93	3.97	
44-45	4.13	4.04	4.00	4.13	No	4.09	4.12	No
45-46	4.24	4.07	4.00	4.07	oranges	4.03	4.08	oranges
46-47	3.49	3.66	3.33	3.34		3.96	3.82	
47-48	3.11	3.39	2.90	3.36		3.38	3.30	
48-49	4.20	-	-	-		4.19	3.88	
49-50	3.71	3.58	3.72	3.78		4.29	4.04	
50-51	4.07	3.83	3.89	3.87		4.43	4.30	
Valencias								
1940-41	2.31	2.10	2.39	2.44		2.43	2.50	
41-42	2.74	2.58	2.84	3.08		3.22	3.22	
42-43	4.02	4.06	4.02	4.01		4.11	4.06	
43-44	4.24	4.43	4.40	4.35		4.46	4.39	
44-45	4.04	4.41	4.24	4.34	No	4.48	4.37	No
45-46	4.66	4.89	3.10	4.71	oranges	4.40	4.30	oranges
46-47	2.43	2.51	-	2.79		2.88	2.74	
47-48	2.40	3.04	3.06	3.44		3.29	3.19	
48-49	3.24	-	-1/	2.71		3.19	2.78	
49-50	3.19	2.66	-1/	3.21		3.68	3.63	
50-51	3.54	3.27	-1/	3.65		3.61	3.65	
Lemons								
1940-41	2.91	2.84	2.77	3.02				3.03
41-42	3.26	3.10	2.95	3.20				3.29
42-43	4.73	4.69	4.72	4.63				4.93
43-44	5.14	5.09	5.11	5.20				5.26
44-45	4.22	4.02	3.95	4.35				4.93
45-46	3.93	4.56	3.96	4.18		No	No	4.55
46-47	5.10	4.75	5.01	5.42		lemons	lemons	5.67
47-48	5.05	5.26	5.27	5.51				5.74
48-49	7.38	6.12	7.42	6.58				7.05
49-50	6.34	5.86	5.63	5.52	5.29			5.94
50-51	6.13	5.55	5.72	5.70	5.77			6.10

1/ Number of valencias packed.

Source: Ontario-Cucamonga Fruit Exchange. Price per packed box (f.o.b. packing house) on packed fruit sold in the domestic market.

1. The first column contains the names of the persons who have been elected to the office of the President of the United States.

1800	1804	1808
1812	1816	1820
1824	1828	1832
1836	1840	1844
1848	1852	1856
1860	1864	1868
1872	1876	1880
1884	1888	1892
1896	1900	1904
1908	1912	1916
1920	1924	1928
1932	1936	1940
1944	1948	1952
1956	1960	1964
1968	1972	1976
1980	1984	1988
1992	1996	2000
2004	2008	2012
2016	2020	2024

1800	1804	1808
1812	1816	1820
1824	1828	1832
1836	1840	1844
1848	1852	1856
1860	1864	1868
1872	1876	1880
1884	1888	1892
1896	1900	1904
1908	1912	1916
1920	1924	1928
1932	1936	1940
1944	1948	1952
1956	1960	1964
1968	1972	1976
1980	1984	1988
1992	1996	2000
2004	2008	2012
2016	2020	2024

1800	1804	1808
1812	1816	1820
1824	1828	1832
1836	1840	1844
1848	1852	1856
1860	1864	1868
1872	1876	1880
1884	1888	1892
1896	1900	1904
1908	1912	1916
1920	1924	1928
1932	1936	1940
1944	1948	1952
1956	1960	1964
1968	1972	1976
1980	1984	1988
1992	1996	2000
2004	2008	2012
2016	2020	2024

1800	1804	1808
1812	1816	1820
1824	1828	1832
1836	1840	1844
1848	1852	1856
1860	1864	1868
1872	1876	1880
1884	1888	1892
1896	1900	1904
1908	1912	1916
1920	1924	1928
1932	1936	1940
1944	1948	1952
1956	1960	1964
1968	1972	1976
1980	1984	1988
1992	1996	2000
2004	2008	2012
2016	2020	2024

The first column contains the names of the persons who have been elected to the office of the President of the United States.

1800

Table 22

F.O.B. Prices, Packing Costs and Net Returns
per Box Payable to Growers-Domestic Sales.

Association	1948-49			1949-50			1950-51		
	Price	Pack- ing house costs	Net return	Price	Pack- ing house costs	Net return	Price	Pack- ing house costs	Net return
	1/ 2/	2/ 3/	3/	1/ 2/	2/ 3/	3/	1/ 2/	2/ 3/	3/
<u>Navel Oranges</u>									
Alta Loma Heights	4.20	1.65	2.55	3.71	1.21	2.50	4.07	1.18	2.89
Etiwanda	-	-	-	3.58	1.05	2.53	3.83	1.05	2.78
Mountain View	-	-	-	3.72	1.58	2.14	3.89	1.36	2.53
Old Baldy	-	-	-	3.78	1.04	2.74	3.87	1.14	2.73
Upland Citrus	4.19	.95	3.24	4.29	.89	3.40	4.43	.86	3.57
Upland Heights	3.88	.90	2.98	4.04	.92	3.12	4.30	.86	3.44
<u>Valencia Oranges</u>									
Alta Loma Heights	3.24	1.65	1.59	3.19	1.21	1.98	3.54	1.18	2.36
Etiwanda	-	-	-	2.66	1.05	1.61	3.27	1.05	2.22
Mountain View	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Old Baldy	-	-	-	3.21	1.04	2.17	3.65	1.14	2.51
Upland Citrus	3.19	.95	2.24	3.68	.89	2.79	3.61	.86	2.75
Upland Heights	2.78	.90	1.88	3.63	.92	2.71	3.65	.86	2.79
<u>Lemons</u>									
Alta Loma Heights	7.38	2.12	5.26	6.34	1.77	4.57	6.13	1.58	4.55
Etiwanda	6.42	1.41	5.01	5.86	1.48	4.38	5.55	1.41	4.14
Mountain View	-	-	-	5.63	1.81	3.81	5.72	1.57	4.15
Old Baldy	-	-	-	5.52	1.58	3.94	5.70	1.57	4.23
San Dimas	-	1.81	-	5.29	1.54	3.74	5.77	1.56	4.21
Upland Lemon	7.05	1.33	5.72	5.94	1.42	4.52	6.10	1.38	4.72

1/ F.O.B. prices per box obtained by Ontario-Cucamonga Fruit Exchange on all packed fruit sold in domestic market.

2/ Packing and handling costs as shown in tables.

3/ Price-unit costs in two preceding columns.

* *Wings of a Dove* by J. M. Barrie

some of the houses followed the practice of charging little or no depreciation in years of poor crops, the fixed assets of some are undoubtedly considerably overvalued. On the other hand, the fixed assets of the Upland Lemon Growers Association are, for comparative purposes, considerably undervalued, because of that association's policy of charging heavier than normal depreciation in view of the potential obsolescence of much of its present machinery and equipment.

The Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association had a mortgage indebtedness amounting to nearly 40 per cent of its total liabilities. In view of the fact that this association's fixed assets are considerably overvalued (because of past depreciation policies) it follows that, even as a going concern, members' net equities would be very much less than shown in the annual report. Three other houses also have mortgage indebtedness, but on a much lower scale than for Alta Loma.

Liquidation Value of Assets.--If the downward trend in the volume of fruit available for packing in the area and the upward trend in packing costs continue, several of the houses may be forced to close down during the next few years--quite apart from any orderly consolidation that may be undertaken.^{30/} The question may well be raised as to the liquidation value of assets of the eight associations in the event of forced or voluntary liquidation.

It is difficult to compute liquidation values of assets of the several associations, and there may be a considerable margin of error for individual associations. The computation must involve a consideration of several factors and problematical or speculative occurrences. In spite of the inherent difficulties, it was considered necessary to make such a computation, partly for comparative purposes, but mainly as a guide to producer-members of the various associations in determining detailed plans of consolidation. Factors to be considered are:

(a) In all cases, land is carried on the books at original cost in spite of the fact that land values have risen several fold during the past few decades.

(b) In view of the declining volume of fruit in prospect, it may be assumed that the houses closed down in the event of consolidation will not

^{30/} One packing house in the area was closed down in 1950, and another ceased operation early in 1952.

Table 23
Condensed Balance Sheets of Associations: Fiscal Years Ending in 1951

	Alta Loma	Etiwanda	Mt. View	Old Baldy	San Dimas	Upland Citrus	Upland Heights	Upland Lemon
	<u>Assets</u>							
<u>Current assets</u>	69,440	80,808	85,653	132,638	184,001	217,933	66,655	674,359
<u>Investments</u>								
Fruit Growers Supply Co.	25,670	16,507	12,990	34,004	32,561	69,707	29,284	111,572
Exchange Orange Products Co.	9,235	6,349	3,344	12,055	--	52,969	20,389	--
Exchange Lemon Products Co.	11,479	15,490	14,457	15,395	73,814	--	--	215,483
Orchard Protection Co.	--	--	--	15,100	--	26,550	8,200	31,425
So. Calif. Farmers Assoc.	17,458	5,972	11,442	10,794	--	1	1	1
Other	--	4,819	2,600	1,666	4,711	--	1,954	--
Total	63,842	49,137	44,833	89,014	111,086	149,227	59,828	358,481
<u>Fixed assets</u>								
Land	12,905	--	12,017	2,137	6,750	19,861	4,180	24,388
Buildings (net)	159,056	1	46,012	85,273	27,979	47,354	2	158,123
Equipment (net)	149,151	41,756	26,836	74,598	104,858	62,595	2,815	182,371
Other (net)	--	4,109	--	--	11,255	--	--	17,877
Total	321,112	45,866	84,865	162,008	150,842	129,810	6,997	382,759
<u>Total assets</u>	454,394	175,811	215,351	383,660	445,929	496,970	133,480	1,415,599
	<u>Liabilities</u>							
<u>Current liabilities</u>	64,328	48,092	39,115	68,531	127,940	85,764	29,785	419,667
<u>Long-term indebtedness</u>	180,000	--	17,000	50,000	24,000	--	--	--
<u>Members equities and reserves</u>								
Association revolving fund	151,772	78,761	118,198	200,239	162,521	191,721	45,468	788,024
Fruit Growers Supply Co.	31,153	18,201	16,900	34,691	39,375	87,506	29,283	--
Exchange Orange Products Co.	9,235	6,349	4,008	12,054	--	52,969	20,389	--
Exchange Lemon Products Co.	12,886	16,131	15,452	15,837	73,877	--	--	119,532
General Assoc. reserves	--	--	--	--	--	67,798	--	78,376
Other reserves	4,520	8,277	4,678	2,308	18,216	11,212	8,555	10,000
Total	209,566	127,719	159,236	265,129	293,989	411,206	103,695	995,932
<u>Total liabilities</u>	454,394	175,811	215,351	383,660	445,929	496,970	133,480	1,415,599

1900		1901		1902		1903		1904		1905		1906		1907		1908		1909		1910		1911		1912		1913		1914		1915		1916		1917		1918		1919		1920		1921		1922		1923		1924		1925		1926		1927		1928		1929		1930		1931		1932		1933		1934		1935		1936		1937		1938		1939		1940		1941		1942		1943		1944		1945		1946		1947		1948		1949		1950		1951		1952		1953		1954		1955		1956		1957		1958		1959		1960		1961		1962		1963		1964		1965		1966		1967		1968		1969		1970		1971		1972		1973		1974		1975		1976		1977		1978		1979		1980		1981		1982		1983		1984		1985		1986		1987		1988		1989		1990		1991		1992		1993		1994		1995		1996		1997		1998		1999		2000		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007		2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		2024		2025		2026		2027		2028		2029		2030		2031		2032		2033		2034		2035		2036		2037		2038		2039		2040		2041		2042		2043		2044		2045		2046		2047		2048		2049		2050		2051		2052		2053		2054		2055		2056		2057		2058		2059		2060		2061		2062		2063		2064		2065		2066		2067		2068		2069		2070		2071		2072		2073		2074		2075		2076		2077		2078		2079		2080		2081		2082		2083		2084		2085		2086		2087		2088		2089		2090		2091		2092		2093		2094		2095		2096		2097		2098		2099		2100		2101		2102		2103		2104		2105		2106		2107		2108		2109		2110		2111		2112		2113		2114		2115		2116		2117		2118		2119		2120		2121		2122		2123		2124		2125		2126		2127		2128		2129		2130		2131		2132		2133		2134		2135		2136		2137		2138		2139		2140		2141		2142		2143		2144		2145		2146		2147		2148		2149		2150		2151		2152		2153		2154		2155		2156		2157		2158		2159		2160		2161		2162		2163		2164		2165		2166		2167		2168		2169		2170		2171		2172		2173		2174		2175		2176		2177		2178		2179		2180		2181		2182		2183		2184		2185		2186		2187		2188		2189		2190		2191		2192		2193		2194		2195		2196		2197		2198		2199		2200		2201		2202		2203		2204		2205		2206		2207		2208		2209		2210		2211		2212		2213		2214		2215		2216		2217		2218		2219		2220		2221		2222		2223		2224		2225		2226		2227		2228		2229		2230		2231		2232		2233		2234		2235		2236		2237		2238		2239		2240		2241		2242		2243		2244		2245		2246		2247		2248		2249		2250		2251		2252		2253		2254		2255		2256		2257		2258		2259		2260		2261		2262		2263		2264		2265		2266		2267		2268		2269		2270		2271		2272		2273		2274		2275		2276		2277		2278		2279		2280		2281		2282		2283		2284		2285		2286		2287		2288		2289		2290		2291		2292		2293		2294		2295		2296		2297		2298		2299		2300		2301		2302		2303		2304		2305		2306		2307		2308		2309		2310		2311		2312		2313		2314		2315		2316		2317		2318		2319		2320		2321		2322		2323		2324		2325		2326		2327		2328		2329		2330		2331		2332		2333		2334		2335		2336		2337		2338		2339		2340		2341		2342		2343		2344		2345		2346		2347		2348		2349		2350		2351		2352		2353		2354		2355		2356		2357		2358		2359		2360		2361		2362		2363		2364		2365		2366		2367		2368		2369		2370		2371		2372		2373		2374		2375		2376		2377		2378		2379		2380		2381		2382		2383		2384		2385		2386		2387		2388		2389		2390		2391		2392		2393		2394		2395		2396		2397		2398		2399		2400		2401		2402		2403		2404		2405		2406		2407		2408		2409		2410		2411		2412		2413		2414		2415		2416		2417		2418		2419		2420		2421		2422		2423		2424		2425		2426		2427		2428		2429		2430		2431		2432		2433		2434		2435		2436		2437		2438		2439		2440		2441		2442		2443		2444		2445		2446		2447		2448		2449		2450		2451		2452		2453		2454		2455		2456		2457		2458		2459		2460		2461		2462		2463		2464		2465		2466		2467		2468		2469		2470		2471		2472		2473		2474		2475		2476		2477		2478		2479		2480		2481		2482		2483		2484		2485		2486		2487		2488		2489		2490		2491		2492		2493		2494		2495		2496		2497		2498		2499		2500		2501		2502		2503		2504		2505		2506		2507		2508		2509		2510		2511		2512		2513		2514		2515		2516		2517		2518		2519		2520		2521		2522		2523		2524		2525		2526		2527		2528		2529		2530		2531		2532		2533		2534		2535		2536		2537		2538		2539		2540		2541		2542		2543		2544		2545		2546		2547		2548		2549		2550		2551		2552		2553		2554		2555		2556		2557		2558		2559		2560		2561		2562		2563		2564		2565		2566		2567		2568		2569		2570		2571		2572		2573		2574		2575		2576		2577		2578		2579		2580		2581		2582		2583		2584		2585		2586		2587		2588		2589		2590		2591		2592		2593		2594		2595		2596		2597		2598		2599		2600		2601		2602		2603		2604		2605		2606		2607		2608		2609		2610		2611		2612		2613		2614		2615		2616		2617		2618		2619		2620		2621		2622		2623		2624		2625		2626		2627		2628		2629		2630		2631		2632		2633		2634		2635		2636		2637		2638		2639		2640		2641		2642		2643		2644		2645		2646		2647		2648		2649		2650		2651		2652		2653		2654		2655		2656		2657		2658		2659		2660		2661		2662		2663		2664		2665		2666		2667		2668		2669		2670		2671		2672		2673		2674		2675		2676		2677		2678		2679		2680		2681		2682		2683		2684		2685		2686		2687		2688		2689		2690		2691		2692		2693		2694		2695		2696		2697		2698		2699		2700		2701		2702		2703		2704		2705		2706		2707		2708		2709		2710		2711		2712		2713		2714		2715		2716		2717		2718		2719		2720		2721		2722		2723		2724		2725		2726		2727		2728		2729		2730		2731		2732		2733		2734		2735		2736		2737		2738		2739		2740		2741		2742		2743		2744		2745		2746		2747		2748		2749		2750		2751		2752		2753		2754		2755		2756		2757		2758		2759		2760		2761		2762		2763		2764		2765		2766		2767		2768		2769		2770		2771		2772		2773		2774		2775		2776		2777		2778		2779		2780		2781		2782		2783		2784		2785		2786		2787		2788		2789		2790		2791		2792		2793		2794		2795		2796		2797		2798		2799		2800		2801		2802		2803		2804		2805		2806		2807		2808		2809		2810		2811		2812		2813		2814		2815		2816		2817		2818		2819		2820		2821		2822		2823		2824		2825		2826		2827		2828		2829		2830		2831		2832		2833		2834		2835		2836		2837		2838		2839		2840		2841		2842		2843		2844		2845		2846		2847		2848		2849		2850		2851		2852		2853		2854		2855		2856		2857		2858		2859		2860		2861		2862		2863		2864		2865		2866		2867		2868		2869		2870		2871		2872		2873		2874		2875		2876		2877		2878		2879		2880		2881		2882		2883		2884		2885		2886		2887		2888		2889		2890		2891		2892		2893		2894		2895		2896		2897		2898		2899		2900		2901		2902		2903		2904		2905		2906		2907		2908		2909		2910		2911		2912		2913		2914		2915		2916		2917		2918		2919		2920		2921		2922		2923		2924		2925		2926		2927		2928		2929		2930		293	
------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	------	--	-----	--

be operated, by potential purchasers, as packing houses but as storage warehouses or for other purposes. Some of the houses appear to be more strategically located than others for such alternative uses. This is particularly true of the five houses in downtown Upland and San Dimas, all of which are served by two railroads and are near main highways. The other three houses are less favorably situated in regard to residential, railroad, and highway facilities.

(c) Much of the machinery and equipment in the houses closed down will not find a ready market because it is highly specialized in use, the remaining houses will already be fully equipped, and, in view of the introduction of new packing techniques, some of the equipment in all houses is likely to become obsolete within a few years.

(d) In the event of liquidation, it may be difficult to realize on some of the investments in other corporations. Investments in the Fruit Growers Supply Company, the Exchange Orange Products Company, and the Exchange Lemon Products Company appear to be sound. The Orchard Protection Company and the Southern California Farmers' Association are local in their scope of operation. Their fortunes are tied more directly to the volume of fruit produced in the area. If several houses are closed down, the financial position of these houses may be seriously undermined.

(e) It is not known whether the lending institutions holding mortgages against the four houses will consider any scaling down of indebtedness.

In view of the factors listed above, it was decided to adopt the following procedure in determining, for comparative purposes, the approximate hypothetical liquidation value of assets of the several associations:

(a) Current liabilities were deducted from current assets to determine the residual value of quick or liquid assets.

(b) Investments in the Fruit Growers Supply Company and in the two products companies were accepted at full book value, whereas investments in the Orchard Protection Company and the Southern California Farmers' Association were placed at 25 per cent of their present book value.

(c) The book value of investments in land was tripled to reflect more nearly the appreciation in land values.

(d) Buildings over 20 years old were valued at 10 per cent of their original cost, largely on the basis of the salvage value of lumber.

(e) Buildings of less than 20 years were valued at one-third of original cost.^{31/}

(f) Machinery and equipment were valued at 20 per cent of net present book value (original cost less accumulated depreciation).^{32/}

(g) Liquidation values of assets were determined by summing the net of current assets over current liabilities, and the adjusted value of investments and fixed assets.

(h) Long-term indebtedness was deducted from the total liquidation value of assets, the balance being available for payment of members' equities. This balance is expressed as a per cent of net members' equity (total equity less unallocated reserves).

The computations for each association, made according to the above method, are shown in Table 24. On the basis of the hypotheses used, the Alta Loma Association would be able to return to members less than 5 cents on the dollar for all monies contributed by them to the various revolving funds. The Old Baldy Association would be able to pay only 46 cents, and three other houses, between 71 and 91 cents. Two houses, however, would be able to return to members in excess of 100 cents per dollar invested.

For two of the associations (Upland Citrus and Upland Lemon), these calculations are somewhat academic and are included mainly for purposes of comparison. Both of these associations are in a strong financial position and have low unit operating costs. The volumes of fruit handled by them are so large that all the other houses together could not, with their present facilities, handle all the fruit processed annually by these two large associations. These two houses thus may be regarded as having the strongest survival potential of any of the houses studied. They would have to form the core of any plan for consolidation in the area.

Distribution of Ownership of Capital.--In the event of liquidation, losses resulting from the sale or realization of assets would be borne by each member proportionate to his holdings of capital in the various revolving funds. The total investment, by a member, in such funds would

^{31/} Most of these buildings were less than 15 years old.

^{32/} This method would tend to exaggerate somewhat the saleable or salvage value of investments in machinery and equipment in those houses that have, on occasion, provided less than normal depreciation, and to understate the value in houses which have reduced net values to very low levels.

Table 24
Assumed Liquidation Value of Assets of Associations

	Alta Loma	Etiwanda	Mt. View	Old Baldy	San Dimas	Upland Citrus	Upland Heights	Upland Lemon
Current assets	69,440	80,808	85,653	132,638	184,001	217,933	66,665	674,359
Less current liabilities	64,828	48,092	39,115	68,531	127,940	85,764	29,785	419,667
Excess assets over liabilities	4,612	32,716	46,538	64,107	56,061	132,169	36,870	254,692
<u>Investments</u>								
Total	63,842	49,137	44,833	89,014	111,086	149,227	59,828	358,481
Less 75 per cent of invest- ments in Orchard Prot.Co. and So.Calif.Farmers Assn.	13,093	8,093	8,582	19,420	3,534	19,912	6,150	23,569
Net value of investments	50,749	41,044	36,251	69,594	107,552	129,315	53,678	334,912
<u>Fixed assets</u>								
Land-3 times original cost	37,715	--	36,051	6,411	20,250	59,583	12,540	73,164
Buildings-over 20 yrs.old (1/10th of original cost)	5,720	4,422	8,855	15,391	14,773	18,303	2,411	34,516
Buildings-less than 20 yrs.old (1/3rd of original cost)	61,056	--	4,064	--	--	--	--	87,485
Equipment (1/5th of present book value)	29,830	9,378	5,367	14,920	20,972	12,519	563	40,050
Net value:fixed assets	134,321	13,800	54,337	36,722	55,995	90,405	15,514	235,215
Total liquidation value all assets	189,682	87,560	137,126	170,423	219,608	351,839	106,062	824,819
Less long-term indebtedness	180,000	--	17,000	50,000	24,000	--	--	--
Balance available to members	9,682	87,560	120,126	120,423	195,608	351,839	106,062	824,819
Members' equity (less reserves)	205,046	119,442	154,558	262,801	275,773	332,196	95,140	907,556
Members' equity as per cent balance available	4.7	73.3	77.7	45.8	70.9	105.9	111.5	90.9

depend on (a) the length of time he has contributed to such funds, (b) the volume of fruit handled by the association annually on his behalf, and (c) the period of revolution for each separate revolving fund. Each association had three or four separate revolving capital funds, namely, the Fruit Growers Supply Company Fund, the Exchange Orange Products Fund, the Exchange Lemon Products Fund, and the Association Revolving Fund. Investments by members in the first three funds are approximately counterbalanced by association investments in the revolving funds of these three companies (see Table 23). It is customary for these companies to revolve capital back to member associations on a five- to seven-year basis. Upon receipt of such refunds it is again customary for the associations to retire immediately capital contributed by members to these funds from five to seven years previously.

Contributions made by members annually to the Association Revolving Fund are invested in land, buildings, facilities, and other operating assets of each association. Some of the associations have revolved such funds over a five- to seven-year period. A few associations, however, have not revolved the association funds for over 10 years.

Investments by individual members (through their local associations) in the revolving funds of these three companies would be reasonably secure in the event of liquidation unless these funds have been pledged for mortgage indebtedness. Investments in the Association Revolving Fund would, however, depend upon what could be realized from the sale of land, buildings, and equipment. The question arises as to the extent of holdings by individual members in Association Revolving Funds and the magnitude of losses that may be incurred by such individuals.

Table 25 shows a sample of the holdings of investments of 30 per cent of the members of the large orange house and 50 per cent of the members of the smaller houses.^{33/} In one association, about 83 per cent of the members held, at the end of the last fiscal year, less than \$400 worth of Association Revolving Fund certificates; in five associations, between 70 and 76 per cent; in another, 59 per cent; and in the large orange association, 48 per cent. Less than 5 per cent of the members of the seven associations held certificates in excess of \$3,000.

^{33/} The large lemon house was excluded as it had recently consolidated all investments into a single fund.

Table 25

Per Cent of Members Holding Varying Amounts of Revolving Fund Capital

Dollars of capital	Alta Loma		Etiwanda Orange and Lemon depts.	Mt. View Orange and Lemon depts.	Old Baldy Orange and Lemon depts.	San Dimas Lemon dept.	Upland Citrus Orange dept.	Upland Heights Orange dept.
	Orange dept.	Lemon dept.						
0-99	42.3	38.8	41.5	57.9	46.8	40.6	25.6	25.5
100-199	20.4	18.7	16.1	13.7	12.1	13.7	6.5	16.7
200-399	13.1	15.0	16.1	11.4	11.3	15.4	16.0	16.7
400-599	3.6	10.4	8.6	5.4	7.1	8.1	12.1	12.2
600-799	4.4	4.7	4.8	3.0	4.3	4.7	12.1	11.1
800-999	6.6	2.1	2.7	2.7	3.5	3.4	7.3	6.7
1,000-1,499	2.2	3.1	5.9	2.4	2.8	6.0	10.4	5.6
1,500-1,999	1.5	3.1	.5	.8	6.4	3.0	3.5	1.1
2,000-2,999	3.7	2.0	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.7	4.8	2.2
3,000 and over	2.2	2.1	2.2	1.1	4.3	3.4	1.7	2.2
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

10

Even under the most adverse conditions of liquidation, six of the associations would be able to pay out to members 50 cents or more on the dollar. Thus from 50 to 80 per cent of members of the various associations would stand to lose no more than \$200 of capital invested. A very small number of members in one or two associations would suffer losses in excess of \$2,000. Only in the case of the Alta Loma Association would members face the unhappy prospect of losing their full equity in their association and other revolving funds.

In view of these facts, it is quite conceivable that in some of the houses with high unit packing costs a large proportion of the members would lose nearly as much annually in low returns for their fruit as their total equity in their association revolving fund. By the same token, it is not improbable that if all fruit available is concentrated in a smaller number of houses, the majority of members would find that enhanced returns on fruit would, within a few years, more than compensate for loss of equity in revolving funds of such houses as are closed down.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Trends in the Citrus Industry.--In California, as well as in western San Bernardino County, bearing acreage in navel and miscellaneous oranges has shown a downward trend since 1924-25. For grapefruit the trend has been downward since 1937-38, and for valencia oranges and lemons, since 1946-47. For all four main types of fruit the decline has been at an accelerated rate during the past few years. A marked decline in nonbearing acreage of all types of fruit within recent years portends a still further decline in bearing acreage in the years immediately ahead.

Yields per acre also appear to have fallen within recent years. Production of navel and miscellaneous oranges declined from 22.6 million boxes in 1944-45 to 15.1 million boxes in 1950-51, of lemons, from 39.0 to 28.1 million boxes, of grapefruit, from 2.3 to 1.4 million boxes. Lemon production reached a peak of 17.2 million boxes in 1940-41 but has since declined to 12.5 million boxes in 1950-51. Even more significant is the fact that smaller proportions of a reduced volume of production have been packed for fresh shipment within recent years.

Contributing factors to these over-all trends in acreage, production, and fresh shipments appear to be the increasing average age of groves in California, failure of replanted acreage to give satisfactory yields,

cultural handicaps in some areas, rising cultural, handling, packing, and marketing costs relative to prices (resulting in decreased returns to growers), and finally, loss of acreage, in some parts of the state, to residential and industrial subdivision. The latter factor has been quite substantial in the area under study.

There is every indication that these trends will continue in the western part of San Bernardino County where seven of the eight packing houses included in the study are located. (The eighth house is in eastern Los Angeles County.) Six houses handling oranges received, from members, only 71 per cent as much fruit during the period 1946-47 to 1950-51 as they did during the period 1940-41 to 1945-46, and the six houses handling lemons received only about 78 per cent. For some of the smaller houses the decline in volume was in excess of 40 per cent. Several of the associations operated at less than 50 per cent of capacity in 1950-51.

The analysis of past and prospective trends in production and packing of fresh fruit indicated that the area was oversupplied with packing house facilities, and supported the presumption that consolidation of such facilities might prove beneficial to the grower-members of the eight cooperative associations participating in this study. It next became necessary to determine an equitable and feasible basis for consolidation. For this purpose, main reliance was placed upon an analysis of operating practices, unit packing costs, and the financial position of the associations concerned.

Analysis of Packing Operations.---Operating statements and balance sheets, together with data on the volume of fruit handled, were obtained from the eight associations for the years 1948-49, 1949-50, and 1950-51. These three years were selected because of the widely varying volumes of fruit handled and packed. Of the eight associations, two handled oranges (and some grapefruit) only, two lemons only, and four (combination houses), oranges and lemons. Because of variations in accounting practices and obvious errors in some of the cost data, considerable care was necessary to adjust the cost data among houses for comparative purposes. Furthermore, in order to permit more accurate comparison between years for individual houses the various cost accounts for the years 1948-49 and 1949-50 were increased proportionate to the level of the prices of cost factors in 1950-51. Finally, the cost items were grouped under two main headings: direct packing costs, and all other costs. The number of boxes of fruit

actually packed was used as the divisor in order to obtain unit costs of packing only, and the packed box equivalent of all fruit handled was the divisor in obtaining other costs of handling fruit, including packed fruit.

The data so obtained indicated that, although it was evident that other factors were involved, there was a direct inverse relation between the volume of fruit handled and packed annually and unit costs of packing. This was true not only between packing houses but also between years in each house. The large orange house and the large lemon house had the lowest unit costs of packing in each of the three years. Furthermore, each of the packing houses had the highest unit costs of packing in 1948-49 when the volume of fruit handled was small as a result of a severe freeze.

Volume of fruit packed did not account for all the variations in unit costs among houses and in the three years. Per cent of capacity utilized and average size of fruit handled also appeared to be factors of some importance. A medium-sized orange house, which in 1949-50 and 1950-51 operated at about full capacity, had unit costs of packing approximately the same as the large orange house, which operated at only 50 per cent of capacity. In 1950-51, several of the lemon houses had unit costs of packing somewhat higher than in 1949-50, in spite of a somewhat larger volume of fruit handled. The explanation is that, in 1950-51, the average size of fruit packed was smaller than in the previous year.

All the smaller orange and lemon houses (with the exception of the Upland Height Citrus Association, an orange house) could undoubtedly have reduced unit costs still further had they handled larger volumes of fruit; but it is doubtful whether they could have reduced unit costs to the level of those in the Upland Citrus Association. A projection of costs with assumed larger volumes indicated that the Upland Citrus Association could probably have reduced unit costs of packing by several cents if it had processed all the oranges handled in 1950-51 by the six orange departments. It is doubtful whether the large lemon house (Upland Lemon Growers Association) could have effected any significant further reduction in unit costs with larger volume as this house operated in 1950-51 at almost full capacity.

Available evidence also indicated that houses handling a large volume of fruit, all other things being equal, usually obtain a higher average price for packed fruit than do the smaller houses. This advantage apparently results from the ability of the larger houses to supply the

trade with a consistent volume of fruit of uniform size and quality and also to supply markets with full carloads of fruit in the size most in demand.

On the basis of the above information, it was concluded that the large orange and the large lemon association would have to form the core of any plan for consolidation. By the same token it was concluded that several of the smaller packing houses would have to be closed down. It next became necessary to analyze the financial position of individual associations to determine net members' equity in the event of consolidation. This involved a considerable element of speculation as to the realizable values of assets of individual associations. On the basis of the assumptions made, it was estimated that one house would be able to return to members only about 5 cents on the dollar, another house, only 46 cents, three houses, just over 70 cents, and three houses, 90 cents or more. Consolidation would be particularly beneficial to grower-members of the smaller packing houses who would benefit, first, from a sizeable reduction in unit packing costs, and second, from somewhat better f.o.b. prices for packed fruit. It is probable that these benefits would, within a year or two, more than offset any loss in equity in associations that may have to be closed down.

Basic Considerations in a Plan for Consolidation.--Accepting the assumption that consolidation of packing house facilities in the area would be beneficial to all grower-members of existing associations, several questions arise. What would be a feasible and economically sound basis for reorganization? What disadvantages, if any, would attend consolidation? What would be the merits or demerits of two or more plans for reorganization? Should consolidation be effected immediately or is it possible by progressive stages?

There can be but little doubt that consolidation at an early date will result in the greatest benefit to growers, especially to members of the smaller associations. On the other hand, one or two of the associations are in good shape financially and have relatively low unit operating costs. The members of these houses may not be willing, at the outset, to participate in a plan of reorganization that may have some unforeseen hazards. Moreover, it is probable that the directors of one or two associations might expect to salvage a larger proportion of membership capital if their packing operations could be continued a few more years. Finally, directors and members of the two large associations in the area may be reluctant to participate in a plan

11-11-68

of consolidation that may result in only moderate gains for their own grower-members.

It is also necessary to point out that certain disutilities may accompany consolidation, apart from any loss of members' equity in existing associations. Many growers appear to place a relatively high value on the more intimate relation that exists between members of a small or moderate-sized cooperative association. They feel that member-association relations are less personal in a larger association. Another disadvantage is that in some of the smaller communities the local packing house is an important economic factor. It supplies full or temporary employment for members of the community. Local merchants may suffer loss of business if population moves away or if earnings are spent in towns in which the larger packing houses are located. Finally, a much smaller number of growers in the area would have the opportunity of serving as directors of cooperative associations. The prestige associated with service on boards of directors is valued highly by many growers.

In spite of these disadvantages or disutilities, the weight of evidence is that the financial position of growers in the area would be greatly improved if fruit is handled in a smaller number of houses operating more nearly at full capacity. In fact, for a comparatively large number of growers in the area, consolidation may mean all the difference between profit and loss on their farming operations. If the decline in the volume of fruit available in the area continues, as is likely, many growers--especially those who are members of the high unit cost houses--will lose not only their present equity in their associations but also such additional capital as may be subscribed during the next few years.

In view of these conclusions it may be as well to consider the merits or demerits of several different plans of reorganization or of a single plan with modifications to meet varying circumstances. Because of the strategic position of the large orange house and the large lemon house, it is logical to consider separately plans for consolidation of orange operations and of lemon operations.

In the proposals that follow, the first basic consideration is that consolidation should be approached largely from an industry or area standpoint--from the standpoint of the best interests of all the grower-patrons of the cooperative packing houses affiliated with the O.K.Exchange. As a consequence, a plan of reorganization or consolidation should be so

devised as not only to improve the returns to all growers in the area during the next few years, but also to reduce to a minimum the losses in equity capital invested by grower-members of some associations in packing-house facilities.

A second basic consideration is that any feasible plan of reorganization must revolve around the continued operation of the two large packing houses-- the Upland Citrus Association (oranges) and the Upland Lemon Growers Association. This conclusion is based upon the facts that (a) both of these houses are in a strong financial position, (b) both have low unit operating costs, and (c) both now handle or have capacity to handle more fruit than the other six houses combined. It would be difficult, under existing circumstances and without the outlay of considerable additional capital, to expand the facilities of any or all of the other houses to handle the fruit now going to the two larger houses. These two houses appear to be directed by efficient boards of directors and managers.

A third basic consideration should be the avoidance of any substantial increase in capital invested in facilities by any houses which are to form the core of any plan for consolidation. This is important for two reasons. First, the area faces the prospect of a further decline in the volume of fruit available during the next few years. Second, revolutionary changes in the techniques of handling and packing fruit, which appear to be in the offing, would render obsolete much of the equipment now used in packing operations.

Consolidation: Orange-Packing Facilities.--The Upland Citrus Association, which in 1950-51 (a year of relatively high production) handled nearly 400,000 packed-box equivalents of fruit, was operating at only about 50 per cent of capacity. In spite of this, it had about the lowest unit costs of handling fruit. This house could, without undue strain and without investment of much additional capital, have processed all the oranges and grapefruit handled in 1950-51 by the six houses with orange departments. With this additional volume it could possibly have reduced packing and handling costs from 6 to 8 cents a packed-box equivalent (Table 16). This house has an excellent reputation for its fruit in the markets of the United States.

It is recommended, therefore, that a plan of consolidation envisage the eventual concentration of all oranges and grapefruit of cooperative growers in the area in the Upland Citrus Association. A modification of this proposal for the interim will be suggested later.

 

Consolidation: Lemon-Packing Facilities.--The situation with regard to consolidation of lemon-packing facilities is somewhat more complicated. The large Upland Lemon Growers Association, in 1950-51, operated at nearly full capacity. Undoubtedly a modification of annual picking schedules for lemons and immediate diversion of a larger proportion of fruit to by-products would enable this house to handle a somewhat larger volume of fruit than it did in 1950-51 without any decrease in over-all efficiency and without investment of additional capital in facilities. It is doubtful, however, whether this house could have processed all the lemons handled that year by all the other five houses with lemon departments as well as its own volume. Moreover, it is doubtful whether this house, which is now probably operating near optimum capacity, could effect any material decrease in unit operating costs with a larger volume.

A second complication is that two houses (the San Dimas Lemon Association, and the Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association), are located on the fringes of the area served by the O.K. Exchange. The former association is adjacent to several other cooperative packing houses which compete for fruit grown in the area from which the San Dimas house draws its supplies. In addition a broad, sandy and stony wash separates the San Dimas supply area and the area from which the other associations affiliated with the O.K. Exchange draw their supplies. In view of these factors it would appear to be more economical for the directors and members of the San Dimas Lemon Association to consider the feasibility of consolidating operations with other adjacent associations.

The situation of the Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association is somewhat different. Although there is another broad, sandy and stony wash between the towns of Etiwanda and Upland, there is some overlapping of supply areas between the Etiwanda Association and the associations located in or adjacent to Upland. Furthermore, there are no other cooperatively owned citrus packing houses near Etiwanda. Thus, the Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association may be regarded as more definitely a part of the area served by the O.K. Exchange than is the San Dimas Lemon Association.

It is recommended that lemon-packing operations of the five houses with lemon departments (exclusive of the San Dimas Lemon Association) be concentrated in the Upland Lemon Growers Association and one other house. The selection of the second house for handling lemons presents some

difficulties. All of the four houses^{24/} suitable are combination houses handling both oranges (including grapefruit) and lemons. The question then arises as to whether, under an area plan for consolidation, the second house selected to handle lemons should continue to handle oranges as well. It would appear reasonable to argue that, as most of the growers whose lemons would be handled by the second house also produce oranges, this house should continue, for the time being at least, to operate as a combination house. Although such an arrangement would not permit the Upland Citrus Association (oranges) to effect the maximum possible reduction in unit operating costs, it would permit the second house needed for handling lemons to spread overhead costs over a large volume of fruit--both oranges and lemons. An additional argument in favor of operation as a combination house is that, if the orange department is eliminated, most of the building vacated by this department could not be efficiently utilized in lemon operations. The orange-handling equipment, too, would have to be disposed of at a considerable loss.

The operation of a second house for the handling of lemons as a combination house could be regarded as an interim arrangement. If the volume of fruit available continues to decline or if changes in the technology of handling and packing oranges and lemons make it feasible, it may be desirable, within a few more years, to eliminate the second house and concentrate all oranges in the Upland Citrus Association and all lemons in the Upland Lemon Growers Association.

The actual selection of the second house needed for handling lemons should be based upon considerations of (a) suitability of location with regard to supplies of fruit, (b) alternative opportunities for disposal of property and equipment so as to reduce capital losses to a minimum, and (c) adequacy of facilities available in the individual houses.

The packing house of the Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association can be excluded from consideration because (a) its storage capacity (70 cars) is inadequate, (b) it is inconveniently located with regard to supplies, and (c) the packing house stands on leased property. The Mountain View Fruit Association has an excellent location with regard to sources of fruit and

^{24/} The Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association, the Etiwanda Fruit Association, the Mountain View Fruit Association, and the Old Baldy Citrus Association.

 

transportation. It also has ample lemon-storage facilities (200 cars). Its capacity for receiving, washing, and handling both oranges and lemons is, however, limited. More important than any of these factors is the fact that, because of its location and the nature of its buildings, it probably has the best resale potential of any of the four houses considered. At the time of this investigation, negotiations were under way for the sale of this association's packing house to a firm not interested in fruit packing. Because of these factors it would appear advisable to eliminate this house also from consideration as a second house for handling lemons.

The choice, then, is between the Old Baldy Citrus Association, situated 2 miles north of Upland, and the Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association, situated about 4 miles northeast of Upland. Both houses are conveniently located with regard to sources of fruit--just off main roads. The Alta Loma house is somewhat better situated, with regard to railroad facilities, on the main line of the Western Pacific Railroad, whereas the Old Baldy house is on a spur line. The Alta Loma house has a larger capacity for receiving, washing, and handling both oranges and lemons, but has a storage capacity for lemons of 130 cars as compared with 150 cars for the Old Baldy house. Part of the Alta Loma house was constructed only in 1942, and it is thus in much better physical shape than is that operated by the Old Baldy Citrus Association. Internally, however, the Alta Loma house has the disadvantage, from an operational standpoint, of having its orange facilities located on an upper story, and its lemon operations on the ground floor. It is claimed that, because of the internal arrangement of this house, it could not be operated so efficiently as could a more conventionally constructed house with all packing operations located on a single floor.

Both of these houses are rather disadvantageously situated in regard to resale possibilities as both are about a mile or more north of U.S. Highway 66. The Alta Loma house is located in the small town of Alta Loma, but the Old Baldy house is not in an urban area. Because part of the Alta Loma house is of more recent construction and is located on a railroad, it would probably have a better resale potential than the Old Baldy house, provided a buyer could be found.

The most serious disadvantage to the Alta Loma Heights Association is its large mortgage indebtedness of \$180,000, at the end of its fiscal year ending in 1951. This compares with \$50,000 mortgage indebtedness of

the Old Baldy Citrus Association. The members of the Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association would stand to recover a larger proportion of their equity if that house were selected as the second house for handling lemons. The members of the Old Baldy Citrus Association would lose a substantial proportion of their equity if this were done, whereas they could recover most of their investment if their house were selected.

Several members of the Old Baldy Citrus Association argue that the members of the Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association made a serious error of judgment in 1941 when they decided on the construction of a new building and that, therefore, they (the Alta Loma members) should bear the loss resulting therefrom. They argue further that the lemon-storage facilities of the Alta Loma house would be inadequate and that additional facilities would have to be constructed, necessitating additional capital investment. This, they claim, is inadvisable in view of the fact that, if volume of fruit continues to decline, the second house now needed for the handling of lemons may no longer be necessary. They point out further that, while there will be some strain on the larger lemon-storage facilities of the Old Baldy Citrus Association, it should be possible, in normal years, to handle all lemons with existing facilities. It was contended, furthermore, that, as growers are free to select the association with which they wish to affiliate, many growers now members of the four smaller combination houses would undoubtedly join the Upland Citrus Association (oranges) and the Upland Lemon Growers Association.

It is suggested that the final selection of a second house needed to supply additional facilities for the handling of lemons be settled by negotiation between the members of the Old Baldy Citrus Association and the Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association.^{35/}

Basis for Future Organization.--To summarize, the recommendations involve (a) consideration, by the board of directors of the San Dimas Lemon Association, of the feasibility of participating in a plan of consolidation with associations in eastern Los Angeles County, (b) concentration of the handling of all the fruit now handled by the remaining seven packing houses into three houses--the Upland Citrus Association, the Upland Lemon Growers Association, and a third house to be determined by negotiation.

^{35/} The results of such negotiations will be referred to later.

The results of this study suggest that the use of a single, standardized, and validated instrument to measure the same construct across different studies is essential for the validity of the results.

It would seem reasonable to expect that the Upland Citrus Association (oranges) and the Upland Lemon Growers Association would continue to operate as separate, cooperative corporations under their existing charters. Some minor amendments of by-laws and articles of incorporation may be necessary to permit affiliation of additional grower-members.

It is suggested that a new cooperative corporation be organized to operate the third house deemed necessary under the plan of consolidation. In order that the members of the new association not be burdened with the indebtedness of the house selected, and with unnecessary equipment, it is recommended that the new association purchase from the present association, at a fair valuation, only such buildings and equipment as can be fully and efficiently utilized.

In order to speed up the plan of consolidation it is recommended that such of the other associations in the area as decide to participate in the plan take steps immediately, or as soon as is feasible, to go into voluntary liquidation. The liquidation process for the individual houses would probably take some years. This is necessary in order to permit ample time to secure buyers for the buildings and also to permit revolution of capital funds now invested in the Fruit Growers Supply Company, the Exchange Lemon Products Company, the Exchange Orange Products Company, and other corporate enterprises. In disposing of buildings it would be advisable to ensure, so far as is legally possible, that the purchasers will not utilize the buildings for the packing of citrus fruit.

It is inevitable that, in a plan of consolidation, the grower-members of some of the associations (and especially the members of the Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association) that will have to go into voluntary liquidation will suffer a considerable loss of capital invested in their packing houses. If the plan of consolidation is regarded as a regional or area undertaking, it is suggested that the members of the three houses to be operated give consideration to the establishment of a sinking fund to compensate partially the grower-members of the houses to be liquidated for loss of equity in capital now invested in their associations. Such a procedure appears justifiable because, if the plan of consolidation is effective, the houses still in operation should experience some reduction in unit operating costs as a result of additional volume.

Merely as a basis for consideration, it is suggested that the sinking fund could be operated as follows:

(a) Growers of houses to be liquidated could be assured that they will eventually receive (from sale of assets and from the sinking fund), say, 70 per cent of capital invested in revolving funds during the past five years; and, say, 40 per cent of capital invested longer than five years.

(b) Each of the houses could contribute to the sinking fund at, say, $\frac{1}{2}$ cent a field box for both oranges and lemons handled annually for, say, the next five years.

(c) If the three houses combined handle 750,000 field boxes of oranges and 1,100,000 field boxes of lemons a year (a figure well below that handled by the seven houses in 1950-51), the annual payment into the sinking fund would be about \$9,000, or a total of \$45,000 for the period of five years.

The financial position of most of the associations that would go into liquidation under a plan of consolidation is such that serious losses of capital (in excess of, say, 70 per cent) might be incurred only in the case of the Old Baldy Citrus Association and the Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association (see Table 24). If one of these houses is utilized as the third house in the plan, the possible loss of equity would be greatly reduced.

It is realized that this proposition is somewhat altruistic. It is advanced for consideration merely as a possible means of facilitating acceptance of a plan as an industry undertaking.

Subsequent Developments

It is unusual to be able to report actual progress because adjustments such as were outlined above often take several years to mature. The report to the boards of directors of the Ontario-Cucamonga Fruit Exchange and the eight local associations participating in the study was made in June, 1952. The boards of directors of several of the local associations considered that the future was so bleak that speedy action was necessary.

During the latter half of 1952, the board of directors of the Mountain View Fruit Association decided to discontinue operations. Arrangements were made to have the members with acreages of oranges (and grapefruit) join the Upland Citrus Association, whereas members with lemon acreages joined the new Cucamonga-Mesa Association. Negotiations are under way for the sale of buildings and equipment.

After protracted negotiation between the boards of directors of the Old Baldy Citrus Association and the Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association, it was decided that the packing house of the former should be operated as a

third house under the plan of consolidation. A new cooperative association chartered under the name of the Cucamonga-Mesa Growers was organized to operate as a combination house. The land, buildings, and equipment of the Old Baldy Citrus Association were purchased at a fair valuation. Both this association and the Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association are now in the process of voluntary liquidation. Most of the members of these two older associations, as well as those of the Mountain View Fruit Association, have become members of the new Cucamonga-Mesa Growers. This association appears to be assured of sufficient volume to be able to operate more nearly to full capacity during the next few years.

To date, no final action has been taken by the San Dimas Lemon Association, the Upland Heights Orange Association, and the Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association. Indications are that the Upland Heights Orange Association may participate in the plan of organization during the next year or two. Because the newly organized Cucamonga-Mesa Growers organization is now operating at nearly full capacity, it would seem logical to conclude that, if the Upland Heights Orange Association decides to participate in the plan, the bulk of its members will affiliate with the Upland Citrus Association. Because of its excellent financial position, the Upland Heights Orange Association would appear to be able, in the event of liquidation, to pay its members in excess of 100 cents on the dollar on capital invested by members in the various revolving capital funds.

It is not possible to predict what final action will be taken by the San Dimas Lemon Association and the Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association. The boards of directors of the Upland Citrus Association and the Upland Lemon Growers Association have gone on record as approving the plan of reorganization in principle, and have agreed to facilitate adoption of the plan in any way possible.

The proposition with regard to the establishment of a sinking fund received little active support, and can thus be eliminated as a consideration.

In conclusion, it may be stated that it is a matter of considerable satisfaction to the authors that the plan recommended was so generously accepted by the parties concerned and that so much positive action has been taken in such a short time.

Appendix Tables

No.	Title	Page
	<u>Appendix A</u>	
1	Bearing and Nonbearing Acreage Citrus: California	85
2	Production and Fresh Shipments--Calif.--Arizona	86
3	F.O.B. Prices and Costs--Navel Oranges	87
4	F.O.B. Prices and Costs--Valencia Oranges	88
5	F.O.B. Prices and Costs--Lemons	89
6	F.O.B. Prices and Costs--Grapefruit	90
7	Total and Unit Packing Costs: Oranges--Alta Loma Heights--Unadjusted	91
8	Total and Unit Packing Costs: Oranges--Etiwanda Unadjusted	92
9	Total and Unit Packing Costs: Oranges--Mountain View--Unadjusted	93
10	Total and Unit Packing Costs: Oranges--Old Baldy--Unadjusted	94
11	Total and Unit Packing Costs: Oranges--Upland Citrus--Unadjusted	95
12	Total and Unit Packing Costs: Oranges--Upland Heights--Unadjusted	96
13	Total and Unit Packing Costs: Lemons--Alta Loma--Unadjusted	97
14	Total and Unit Packing Costs: Lemons--Etiwanda Unadjusted	98
15	Total and Unit Packing Costs: Lemons--Mountain View--Unadjusted	99
16	Total and Unit Packing Costs: Lemons--Old Baldy--Unadjusted	100
17	Total and Unit Packing Costs: Lemons--San Dimas--Unadjusted	101
18	Total and Unit Packing Costs: Lemons--Upland Lemon--Unadjusted	102
	<u>Appendix B: Bases for Determining Unit Costs</u>	103

INDEX

Page	Subject	Page
1	General and Historical Notes on the District of Columbia	1
2	Geographical and Political Divisions of the District	2
3	Physical Features of the District	3
4	Climate of the District	4
5	Vegetation of the District	5
6	Animals of the District	6
7	Mineral Resources of the District	7
8	Manufactures of the District	8
9	Commerce of the District	9
10	Population of the District	10
11	Education of the District	11
12	Religion of the District	12
13	Amusements of the District	13
14	Public Buildings of the District	14
15	Public Parks of the District	15
16	Public Works of the District	16
17	Public Health of the District	17
18	Public Safety of the District	18
19	Public Education of the District	19
20	Public Religion of the District	20
21	Public Amusements of the District	21
22	Public Buildings of the District	22
23	Public Parks of the District	23
24	Public Works of the District	24
25	Public Health of the District	25
26	Public Safety of the District	26
27	Public Education of the District	27
28	Public Religion of the District	28
29	Public Amusements of the District	29
30	Public Buildings of the District	30
31	Public Parks of the District	31
32	Public Works of the District	32
33	Public Health of the District	33
34	Public Safety of the District	34
35	Public Education of the District	35
36	Public Religion of the District	36
37	Public Amusements of the District	37
38	Public Buildings of the District	38
39	Public Parks of the District	39
40	Public Works of the District	40
41	Public Health of the District	41
42	Public Safety of the District	42
43	Public Education of the District	43
44	Public Religion of the District	44
45	Public Amusements of the District	45
46	Public Buildings of the District	46
47	Public Parks of the District	47
48	Public Works of the District	48
49	Public Health of the District	49
50	Public Safety of the District	50
51	Public Education of the District	51
52	Public Religion of the District	52
53	Public Amusements of the District	53
54	Public Buildings of the District	54
55	Public Parks of the District	55
56	Public Works of the District	56
57	Public Health of the District	57
58	Public Safety of the District	58
59	Public Education of the District	59
60	Public Religion of the District	60
61	Public Amusements of the District	61
62	Public Buildings of the District	62
63	Public Parks of the District	63
64	Public Works of the District	64
65	Public Health of the District	65
66	Public Safety of the District	66
67	Public Education of the District	67
68	Public Religion of the District	68
69	Public Amusements of the District	69
70	Public Buildings of the District	70
71	Public Parks of the District	71
72	Public Works of the District	72
73	Public Health of the District	73
74	Public Safety of the District	74
75	Public Education of the District	75
76	Public Religion of the District	76
77	Public Amusements of the District	77
78	Public Buildings of the District	78
79	Public Parks of the District	79
80	Public Works of the District	80
81	Public Health of the District	81
82	Public Safety of the District	82
83	Public Education of the District	83
84	Public Religion of the District	84
85	Public Amusements of the District	85
86	Public Buildings of the District	86
87	Public Parks of the District	87
88	Public Works of the District	88
89	Public Health of the District	89
90	Public Safety of the District	90
91	Public Education of the District	91
92	Public Religion of the District	92
93	Public Amusements of the District	93
94	Public Buildings of the District	94
95	Public Parks of the District	95
96	Public Works of the District	96
97	Public Health of the District	97
98	Public Safety of the District	98
99	Public Education of the District	99
100	Public Religion of the District	100

Appendix A

Appendix Table 1

Bearing and Nonbearing Acreage of Citrus Fruit
in California: 1924-25 to 1950-51

Year	Bearing acreage (1,000 acres)				Nonbearing acreage (1,000 acres) 1/		
	Navels, misc. oranges	Valencias	Lemons	Grape- fruit	Navels, misc. oranges	Valencias	Lemons
1924-25	95.6	77.4	41.3	3.8	--	--	7.7
25-26	94.4	80.4	41.6	4.5	--	--	5.4
26-27	95.3	85.5	41.5	5.5	--	--	2.2
27-28	94.9	88.0	41.0	6.7	3.9	21.3	1.7
28-29	94.5	91.4	40.8	8.6	4.0	22.8	2.6
1929-30	94.2	95.9	40.5	10.1	4.6	19.5	4.1
30-31	93.7	98.8	40.7	10.8	4.9	20.9	4.2
31-32	93.4	103.9	41.0	11.3	5.5	22.9	5.1
32-33	92.5	107.9	40.7	12.1	5.7	26.2	7.7
33-34	89.2	108.7	40.2	13.1	7.4	24.0	9.1
1934-35	88.8	117.9	40.4	13.7	5.4	23.0	9.9
35-36	88.8	122.3	40.6	14.6	6.4	20.8	16.1
36-37	88.4	127.3	42.1	15.4	6.8	16.9	18.4
37-38	88.8	132.8	45.4	16.5	6.2	13.1	16.2
38-39	89.8	136.5	49.4	16.4	4.9	10.1	14.8
1939-40	90.5	138.7	52.0	16.4	3.8	9.9	14.1
40-41	90.5	140.6	54.1	15.4	2.9	9.1	14.6
41-42	90.3	141.8	55.7	15.1	2.1	8.8	13.6
42-43	90.3	143.4	57.1	14.7	1.6	7.7	11.6
43-44	90.5	145.5	60.1	14.8	1.4	6.3	8.4
1944-45	90.9	146.9	62.7	14.6	1.0	5.5	5.9
45-46	91.0	148.5	65.5	14.6	1.0	4.6	3.0
46-47	91.0	149.2	66.6	14.6	1.1	4.4	2.3
47-48	90.5	148.9	64.9	14.3	1.5	5.2	2.5
48-49	90.0	149.2	64.7	13.2	1.4	6.1	3.7
1949-50	80.7	134.9	58.2	10.4	2.0	10.1	4.5
50-51	79.5	132.4	54.4	10.0	1.9	8.9	7.3
51-52	78.7	132.1	54.7	--	--	--	--

1/ Information on grapefruit not available.

Source: California Fruit Growers Exchange. Statistical Information on the Citrus Fruit Industry. May 1951, pp. 5, 15, and 25.

220000

Appendix Table 2

Production and Fresh Shipments of Citrus Fruit:
California and Arizona (1934-35 to 1950-51)
in Millions of Boxes

Year	Navels, misc.		Valencias		Lemons		Grapefruit (Calif. summer)	
	Production	Fresh Shipments	Production	Fresh Shipments	Production	Fresh Shipments	Production	Fresh Shipments
1934-35	19.1	17.6	26.1	22.3	10.8	7.2	1.5	1.2
35-36	14.6	13.8	18.2	16.7	7.8	7.4	1.1	1.0
36-37	13.3	11.3	16.7	11.4	7.6	6.5	.8	.6
37-38	16.9	15.8	29.4	24.3	9.3	7.8	1.1	1.0
38-39	18.2	15.1	23.6	18.7	11.1	7.8	1.0	1.0
1939-40	17.7	16.7	27.3	23.9	12.0	8.3	.9	.9
40-41	19.8	18.0	31.5	25.9	17.2	8.9	1.0	1.0
41-42	22.3	19.5	30.5	25.2	11.7	7.9	1.9	1.6
42-43	14.6	12.1	30.5	24.6	14.9	9.6	1.8	1.7
43-44	21.6	18.7	31.5	27.5	11.0	9.3	2.1	1.8
1944-45	22.6	19.9	39.0	30.1	12.6	9.6	2.3	1.9
45-46	18.3	16.1	27.0	21.0	14.5	9.1	2.2	1.5
46-47	20.3	18.0	34.5	25.0	13.8	9.4	1.9	1.4
47-48	19.4	16.3	27.2	19.7	12.9	8.5	1.5	1.1
48-49	12.4	9.4	25.3	14.5	10.0	7.8	1.3	1.1
1949-50	16.2	13.4	26.7	15.0	11.4	7.6	1.4	1.2
50-51	15.1	--	28.1	--	12.5	--	1.4	--

Source: California Fruit Growers Exchange. Statistical Information on the Citrus Fruit Industry, 1951.

Table 1

Geological and Geographical Data of the
 (1950-1955) (1950-1955)
 of the (1950-1955)

Date	Time	Location	Altitude	Temperature	Humidity	Wind	Clouds	Remarks
1950	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1951	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1952	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1953	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1954	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1955	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1956	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1957	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1958	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1959	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1960	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1961	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1962	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1963	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1964	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1965	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1966	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1967	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1968	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1969	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
1970	10:00	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000

Geological and Geographical Data of the (1950-1955) (1950-1955) of the (1950-1955)

Appendix Table 3

F.O.B. Prices and Costs of Producing and Handling
Navel Oranges in California

Year	Prices and costs				Percentage changes			
	Calif-Ariz	Cultural	Picking,	Packing	Calif-Ariz	Cultural	Picking,	Packing
	f.o.b. price \$/box	costs \$/box	hauling \$/box	costs \$/box	f.o.b. price % (a)	costs % (a)	hauling % (a)	costs % (a)
1934-35	1.80	.59	.14	.45	98.9	81.9	93.3	93.7
35-36	1.95	.77	.15	.47	107.1	106.9	100.0	97.9
36-37	2.53	1.05	.17	.52	139.0	145.8	113.3	108.3
37-38	1.46	.65	.14	.46	80.2	90.3	93.3	95.8
38-39	1.38	.53	.16	.49	75.8	73.6	106.7	102.1
1939-40	1.60	.51	.14	.46	87.9	70.8	93.3	95.8
40-41	1.80	.46	.15	.48	98.9	63.9	100.0	100.0
41-42	1.82	.49	.20	.56	100.0	68.1	133.3	116.7
42-43	3.58	.90	.28	.57	196.7	125.0	186.7	118.7
43-44	3.73	.80	.29	.63	204.9	111.1	193.3	131.2
1944-45	3.90	.83	.31	.64	214.3	115.3	206.7	133.3
45-46	4.13	1.13	.35	.76	226.9	156.9	233.3	158.3
46-47	3.60	1.04	.32	.84	197.8	144.4	213.3	175.0
47-48	3.02	.98	.35	.92	165.9	136.1	233.3	191.7
48-49	4.27	1.61	.36	1.00	234.6	223.6	240.0	208.3
1949-50	3.56	1.40(b)	.35	.95	195.6	194.4	233.3	197.9
5-year averages:								
24-25 to 28-29	3.55	1.25	.18	.57				
29-30 to 33-34	2.30	.95	.15	.51				
34-35 to 38-39	1.82	.72	.15	.48				
39-40 to 43-44	2.51	.63	.21	.54				
44-45 to 48-49	3.78	1.12	.34	.83				

(a) Using 5-year average of 34-35 to 38-39 = 100%.

(b) Estimated.

Source: California Fruit Growers Exchange. Statistical Information on the Citrus Fruit Industry, 1951.

Appendix Table 4

F.O.B. Prices and Costs of Producing and Handling
Valencia Oranges in California

Year	Prices and costs				Percentage changes			
	Calif-Ariz f.o.b. price \$/box	Cultural costs \$/box	Picking, hauling \$/box	Packing costs \$/box	Calif-Ariz f.o.b. price % (a)	Cultural costs % (a)	Picking hauling % (a)	Packing costs % (a)
1934-35	2.01	.56	.14	.45	86.6	71.8	93.3	93.7
35-36	2.61	.98	.15	.47	112.5	125.6	100.0	97.9
36-37	3.64	1.13	.17	.52	156.9	144.9	113.3	108.3
37-38	1.57	.62	.14	.46	67.7	79.5	93.3	95.8
38-39	1.78	.61	.16	.49	76.7	78.2	106.7	102.1
1939-40	1.89	.47	.14	.46	81.5	60.3	93.3	95.8
40-41	2.36	.47	.15	.48	101.7	60.3	100.0	100.0
41-42	2.99	.59	.20	.56	128.9	75.6	133.3	116.7
42-43	4.06	.75	.28	.57	175.0	96.2	186.7	118.7
43-44	4.39	.86	.29	.63	189.2	110.3	193.3	131.2
1944-45	3.90	.79	.31	.64	168.1	101.3	206.7	133.3
45-46	4.80	1.33	.35	.76	206.9	170.5	233.3	158.3
46-47	2.94	1.00	.32	.84	126.7	128.2	213.3	175.0
47-48	3.44	1.25	.35	.92	148.3	160.3	233.3	191.7
48-49	3.55	1.25	.36	1.00	153.0	160.3	240.0	208.3
1949-50	3.62	1.40(a)	.35	.95	156.0	179.5	233.3	197.9
5-year averages:								
24-25 to 28-29	4.28	1.46	.18	.57				
29-30 to 33-34	2.89	1.03	.15	.51				
34-35 to 38-39	2.32	.78	.15	.48				
39-40 to 43-44	3.14	.63	.21	.54				
44-45 to 48-49	3.73	1.13	.34	.83				

(a) Using 5-year average 34-35 to 38-39 = 100%.

Source: California Fruit Growers Exchange. Statistical Information on the Citrus Fruit Industry, 1951.

TABLE 1
 SUMMARY OF DATA FOR THE
 STUDY OF THE EFFECTS OF
 TEMPERATURE ON THE
 GROWTH OF THE
 FISHES OF THE
 GREAT LAKES

Species	Sex	Age	Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Temperature (°C)	Growth Rate (mm/day)	Survival (%)	Remarks
Lepomis microlophus	Male	1	100	10	15	0.5	100	
	Female	1	100	10	15	0.5	100	
	Male	2	150	25	15	0.5	100	
	Female	2	150	25	15	0.5	100	
Lepomis gibbosus	Male	1	100	10	15	0.5	100	
	Female	1	100	10	15	0.5	100	
	Male	2	150	25	15	0.5	100	
	Female	2	150	25	15	0.5	100	
Lepomis macrochirus	Male	1	100	10	15	0.5	100	
	Female	1	100	10	15	0.5	100	
	Male	2	150	25	15	0.5	100	
	Female	2	150	25	15	0.5	100	
Lepomis gibbosus	Male	1	100	10	15	0.5	100	
	Female	1	100	10	15	0.5	100	
	Male	2	150	25	15	0.5	100	
	Female	2	150	25	15	0.5	100	
Lepomis microlophus	Male	1	100	10	15	0.5	100	
	Female	1	100	10	15	0.5	100	
	Male	2	150	25	15	0.5	100	
	Female	2	150	25	15	0.5	100	

Appendix Table 5

F.O.B. Prices and Costs of Producing and Handling
Lemons in California

Year	Prices and costs					Percentage changes			
	Winter Calif-Ariz f.o.b. price \$/box	Summer Calif-Ariz f.o.b. price \$/box	Cultural costs \$/box	Picking, hauling \$/box	Packing costs \$/box	Summer Calif-Ariz f.o.b. price % (a)	Cultural costs % (a)	Picking, hauling % (a)	Packing costs % (a)
1934-35	2.18	3.39	.61	.32	.78	90.9	58.7	86.5	97.5
35-36	3.75	4.49	1.20	.36	.77	120.4	115.4	97.3	96.3
36-37	3.82	4.87	1.44	.39	.85	130.6	138.5	105.4	106.3
37-38	3.49	2.82	1.09	.40	.80	75.6	104.8	108.1	100.0
38-39	2.51	3.07	.85	.37	.81	82.3	81.7	100.0	101.3
1939-40	2.99	3.04	.94	.36	.80	81.5	90.4	97.3	100.0
40-41	2.43	3.19	.41	.34	.85	85.5	39.4	91.9	106.3
41-42	3.21	3.31	.77	.40	1.01	88.7	74.0	108.1	126.3
42-43	4.30	4.81	.72	.55	1.11	129.0	69.2	148.6	138.8
43-44	4.52	5.49	1.14	.61	1.20	147.2	109.6	164.9	150.0
1944-45	4.67	4.76	1.13	.67	1.24	127.6	108.7	181.1	155.0
45-46	4.70	4.19	1.17	.63	1.32	112.3	112.5	170.3	165.0
46-47	4.89	5.66	1.35	.67	1.41	151.2	129.8	181.1	176.3
47-48	4.78	5.89	1.33	.71	1.53	157.9	127.9	191.9	191.3
48-49	6.49	7.34	1.94	.74	1.59	196.8	186.5	200.0	198.8
1949-50	6.85	5.55	1.75	.73	1.55	148.8	168.3	197.3	193.8
5-year averages:									
24-25 to 28-29	3.66	4.65	1.23	.45	.88				
29-30 to 33-34	3.36	4.31	1.12	.39	.80				
34-35 to 38-39	3.15	3.73	1.04	.37	.80				
39-40 to 43-44	3.49	3.97	.80	.45	.99				
44-45 to 48-49	5.11	5.57	1.38	.68	1.42				

(a) Using 5-year average of 34-35 to 38-39 = 100%.

Source: California Fruit Growers Exchange. Statistical Information on the Citrus Fruit Industry, 1951.

Appendix Table 6

F.O.B. Prices and Costs of Producing and Handling
Grapefruit in California

Year	Prices and costs				Percentage changes			
	California summer				Calif-Ariz f.o.b. price \$/box	Cultural costs \$/box	Picking, hauling \$/box	Packing costs \$/box
	Calif-Ariz f.o.b price \$/box	Cultural costs \$/box	Picking, hauling \$/box	Packing costs \$/box				
1934-35	1.32	--	--	--	123.4	--	--	--
35-36	1.64	--	--	--	153.3	--	--	--
36-37	1.80	--	--	--	168.2	--	--	--
37-38	1.07	.65	.10	.48	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
38-39	.98	.63	.11	.47	91.6	96.9	110.0	97.9
1939-40	1.11	.41	.10	.44	103.7	63.1	100.0	91.7
40-41	1.42	.45	.12	.44	132.7	69.2	120.0	91.7
41-42	1.92	.49	.16	.59	179.4	75.4	160.0	122.9
42-43	2.58	.59	.19	.52	241.1	90.8	190.0	108.3
43-44	2.95	.64	.23	.65	275.7	98.5	230.0	135.4
1944-45	3.19	.68	.25	.69	298.1	104.6	250.0	139.6
45-46	2.48	.91	.25	.72	231.8	140.0	250.0	150.0
46-47	2.28	.80	.23	.79	213.1	123.1	230.0	164.6
47-48	2.26	.75	.26	.87	211.2	115.4	260.0	181.2
48-49	2.79	.76	.24	.91	260.7	116.9	240.0	189.6
1949-50	2.82	.76	.26	.91	263.6	116.9	260.0	189.6
5-year averages:								
24-25 to 28-29	3.26	--	--	--				
29-30 to 33-34	2.12	--	--	--				
34-35 to 38-39	1.36	--	--	--				
39-40 to 43-44	2.00	.51	.16	.53				
44-45 to 48-49	2.60	.78	.25	.79				

(a) Using 1937-38 = 100%.

Source: California Fruit Growers Exchange. Statistical Information on the Citrus Fruit Industry, 1951.

Total and Unit Packing Costs (Unadjusted)

Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association
(Orange Department)

	1948-49		1949-50		1950-51	
Boxes packed	19,027		49,333		51,998	
All fruit handled	28,596		72,315		66,937	
(Packed box equivalent)						
	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs
Materials						
Shock (net)	\$ 6,504	\$.3418	\$ 23,144	\$.4691	\$ 12,546	\$.2416
Wraps	1,141	.0600	2,791	.0566	2,326	.0447
Other	870	.0457	2,885	.0585	2,610	.0502
Total materials	8,516	.4475	28,820	.5842	17,482	.3362
Labor	10,916	.3817	11,794	.1631	15,547	.2323
Total labor	10,916	.3817	11,794	.1631	15,547	.2323
Miscellaneous						
Light, power, water, gas	1,298	.0454	2,465	.0341	2,506	.0374
Equip. repairs	1,726	.0604	541	.0075	315	.0047
Insurance & bonds	466	.0163	1,478	.0204	1,276	.0191
Taxes & licenses	1,317	.0461	2,742	.0379	2,490	.0372
Office & telephone	553	.0193	621	.0086	453	.0068
Auto and travel	--	--	--	--	--	--
Employees' ins.	--	--	--	--	--	--
Precooler expense	2,247	.0786	1,241	.0172	3,104	.0464
Trucking	--	--	109	.0015	--	--
Other	582	.0204	840	.0116	1,707	.0255
Total miscellaneous	8,189	.2865	10,037	.1388	11,852	.1771
Salaries	4,070	.1423	4,490	.0621	4,285	.0460
Depreciation	--	--	4,310	.0596	9,573	.1430
Interest	2,142	.0749	4,168	.0576	3,260	.0487
Total packing expense	33,833	1.3329	63,621	1.0654	61,998	.9833

Total and Unit Packing Costs (Unadjusted)

Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association
(Orange Department)

	1948-49	1949-50	1950-51
Boxes packed		19,831	17,697
All fruit handled (Packed box equivalent)		36,526	24,546
	Expenses (Per annual statement) Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement) Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement) Unit costs
Materials			
Shook (net)	\$	\$ 8,111 \$.4090	\$ 5,330 \$.3012
Wraps		1,502 .0757	1,534 .0867
Other		61 .0031	365 .0206
Total materials	Oranges packed by Upland Citrus	9,675 .4878	7,229 .4085
Labor			
Supervision		1,437 .0393	1,185 .0483
Packing & house		6,979 .1911	5,282 .2152
Total labor		8,416 .2304	6,467 .2635
Miscellaneous			
Power, light, water & gas		1,151 .0315	1,422 .0579
Building & equip. repairs		614 .0168	271 .0110
Insurance		103 .0028	433 .0176
Payroll taxes		335 .0092	414 .0169
State & county taxes		691 .0189	434 .0177
Office supplies & expense		267 .0073	207 .0084
Telephone & telegraph		87 .0024	71 .0029
Packing & house supplies		603 .0165	308 .0125
Orange admin. comm.		186 .0051	119 .0048
Other		373 .0102	441 .0180
Total miscellaneous		4,410 .1207	4,120 .1678
Salaries		3,178 .0870	2,785 .1135
Depreciation		694 .0190	619 .0252
Interest		108 .0030	161 .0074
Total packing expense		26,481 .9479	21,401 .9859

Total and Unit Packing Costs (Unadjusted)

Mountain View Fruit Association
(Orange Department)

	1948-49	1949-50	1950-51
Boxes packed		10,182	11,497
All fruit handled		12,071	13,926
(Packed box equivalent)			
	Expenses	Expenses	Expenses
	(Per annual Unit	(Per annual Unit	(Per annual Unit
	statement) costs	statement) costs	statement) costs
Materials			
Shook (net)	(None of packing	\$ 4,185 \$.4110	\$ 4,606 \$.4006
Wraps and labels	done at Moun-	862 .0847	896 .0779
Other	tain View.)	520 .0511	418 .0364
Total materials		5,567 .5468	5,920 .5149
Labor			
Packing		1,395 .1370	1,491 .1297
Supervision		966 .0800	964 .0692
House		2,224 .1842	2,567 .1843
Total labor		4,585 .4012	5,021 .3832
Miscellaneous			
Utilities		187 .0155	147 .0106
Building & equip. repairs		407 .0337	189 .0136
Payroll taxes		248 .0205	377 .0271
Insurance & bonds		474 .0393	337 .0242
Taxes & licenses		1,219 .1010	604 .0434
Office supplies & exp.		216 .0179	173 .0124
Power		445 .0369	508 .0365
Telephone		68 .0056	54 .0039
Administrative comm.		104 .0086	91 .0065
Sunkist provident plan		411 .0340	404 .0290
Auto and travel		151 .0125	120 .0086
Other		53 .0044	106 .0076
Total miscellaneous		3,984 .3299	3,110 .2234
Salaries		1,778 .1473	1,520 .1091
Depreciation		315 .0261	1,434 .1030
Interest		352 .0292	228 .0164
Total packing expense		16,581 1.4805	17,233 1.3500

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1+x) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1-x) = \frac{1}{2} (1+x) - \frac{1}{2} (1-x) = x$$
[illegible]

Total and Unit Packing Costs (Unadjusted)

Old Baldy Citrus Association
(Orange Department)

	1948-49	1949-50	1950-51		
Boxes packed		77,271	62,620		
All fruit handled		109,719	77,167		
(Packed box equivalent)					
	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs	
Materials	(Oranges packed by Upland Citrus Association.)				
Shook (net)		\$ 27,406	\$.3547	\$ 25,012	\$.3995
Wraps		4,388	.0568	3,545	.0566
Labels & misc.		1,978	.0256	3,534	.0564
Total materials		33,772	.4371	32,091	.5125
Labor					
Packing labor		11,121	.1439	7,710	.1231
House & grading		20,423	.1861	16,537	.2402
Total labor		31,544	.3300	26,247	.3633
Miscellaneous					
Power, light, water & gas		2,212	.0202	3,391	.0439
Insurance		1,049	.0096	463	.0060
Taxes, licenses, SUC and OAB		5,345	.0487	4,376	.0567
Building & equip. repair		496	.0045	1,250	.0162
Office and telephone		482	.0044	436	.0057
Washer		791	.0072	--	--
Director's fees and provident plan 1/		800	.0073	766	.0099
Orange admin. committee		634	.0058	481	.0062
Agric. prod. lbr. comm.		23	.0002	70	.0009
Other		1,701	.0155	62	.0008
Total miscellaneous		13,533	.1234	11,295	.1464
Salaries 1/		10,832	.0987	4,546	.0589
Depreciation		2,888	.0263	4,577	.0593
Interest		92	.0008	307	.0040
Total packing expense		92,661	1.0163	79,063	1.1444

1/ Salaries, directors and provident plan were listed in Annual Reports as one figure, thus proration for salaries and for director's fees and provident plan are approximations.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

THE NEW YORK PUBLIC LIBRARY
ASTOR LENOX TILDEN FOUNDATION
125 WEST 47TH STREET
NEW YORK 19

1950-51	1951-52	1952-53	1953-54	1954-55	1955-56	1956-57	1957-58	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69	1969-70	1970-71	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1974-75	1975-76	1976-77	1977-78	1978-79	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82	1982-83	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89	1989-90	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34	2034-35	2035-36	2036-37	2037-38	2038-39	2039-40	2040-41	2041-42	2042-43	2043-44	2044-45	2045-46	2046-47	2047-48	2048-49	2049-50	2050-51	2051-52	2052-53	2053-54	2054-55	2055-56	2056-57	2057-58	2058-59	2059-60	2060-61	2061-62	2062-63	2063-64	2064-65	2065-66	2066-67	2067-68	2068-69	2069-70	2070-71	2071-72	2072-73	2073-74	2074-75	2075-76	2076-77	2077-78	2078-79	2079-80	2080-81	2081-82	2082-83	2083-84	2084-85	2085-86	2086-87	2087-88	2088-89	2089-90	2090-91	2091-92	2092-93	2093-94	2094-95	2095-96	2096-97	2097-98	2098-99	2099-00	2100-01	2101-02	2102-03	2103-04	2104-05	2105-06	2106-07	2107-08	2108-09	2109-10	2110-11	2111-12	2112-13	2113-14	2114-15	2115-16	2116-17	2117-18	2118-19	2119-20	2120-21	2121-22	2122-23	2123-24	2124-25	2125-26	2126-27	2127-28	2128-29	2129-30	2130-31	2131-32	2132-33	2133-34	2134-35	2135-36	2136-37	2137-38	2138-39	2139-40	2140-41	2141-42	2142-43	2143-44	2144-45	2145-46	2146-47	2147-48	2148-49	2149-50	2150-51	2151-52	2152-53	2153-54	2154-55	2155-56	2156-57	2157-58	2158-59	2159-60	2160-61	2161-62	2162-63	2163-64	2164-65	2165-66	2166-67	2167-68	2168-69	2169-70	2170-71	2171-72	2172-73	2173-74	2174-75	2175-76	2176-77	2177-78	2178-79	2179-80	2180-81	2181-82	2182-83	2183-84	2184-85	2185-86	2186-87	2187-88	2188-89	2189-90	2190-91	2191-92	2192-93	2193-94	2194-95	2195-96	2196-97	2197-98	2198-99	2199-00	2200-01	2201-02	2202-03	2203-04	2204-05	2205-06	2206-07	2207-08	2208-09	2209-10	2210-11	2211-12	2212-13	2213-14	2214-15	2215-16	2216-17	2217-18	2218-19	2219-20	2220-21	2221-22	2222-23	2223-24	2224-25	2225-26	2226-27	2227-28	2228-29	2229-30	2230-31	2231-32	2232-33	2233-34	2234-35	2235-36	2236-37	2237-38	2238-39	2239-40	2240-41	2241-42	2242-43	2243-44	2244-45	2245-46	2246-47	2247-48	2248-49	2249-50	2250-51	2251-52	2252-53	2253-54	2254-55	2255-56	2256-57	2257-58	2258-59	2259-60	2260-61	2261-62	2262-63	2263-64	2264-65	2265-66	2266-67	2267-68	2268-69	2269-70	2270-71	2271-72	2272-73	2273-74	2274-75	2275-76	2276-77	2277-78	2278-79	2279-80	2280-81	2281-82	2282-83	2283-84	2284-85	2285-86	2286-87	2287-88	2288-89	2289-90	2290-91	2291-92	2292-93	2293-94	2294-95	2295-96	2296-97	2297-98	2298-99	2299-00	2300-01	2301-02	2302-03	2303-04	2304-05	2305-06	2306-07	2307-08	2308-09	2309-10	2310-11	2311-12	2312-13	2313-14	2314-15	2315-16	2316-17	2317-18	2318-19	2319-20	2320-21	2321-22	2322-23	2323-24	2324-25	2325-26	2326-27	2327-28	2328-29	2329-30	2330-31	2331-32	2332-33	2333-34	2334-35	2335-36	2336-37	2337-38	2338-39	2339-40	2340-41	2341-42	2342-43	2343-44	2344-45	2345-46	2346-47	2347-48	2348-49	2349-50	2350-51	2351-52	2352-53	2353-54	2354-55	2355-56	2356-57	2357-58	2358-59	2359-60	2360-61	2361-62	2362-63	2363-64	2364-65	2365-66	2366-67	2367-68	2368-69	2369-70	2370-71	2371-72	2372-73	2373-74	2374-75	2375-76	2376-77	2377-78	2378-79	2379-80	2380-81	2381-82	2382-83	2383-84	2384-85	2385-86	2386-87	2387-88	2388-89	2389-90	2390-91	2391-92	2392-93	2393-94	2394-95	2395-96	2396-97	2397-98	2398-99	2399-00	2400-01	2401-02	2402-03	2403-04	2404-05	2405-06	2406-07	2407-08	2408-09	2409-10	2410-11	2411-12	2412-13	2413-14	2414-15	2415-16	2416-17	2417-18	2418-19	2419-20	2420-21	2421-22	2422-23	2423-24	2424-25	2425-26	2426-27	2427-28	2428-29	2429-30	2430-31	2431-32	2432-33	2433-34	2434-35	2435-36	2436-37	2437-38	2438-39	2439-40	2440-41	2441-42	2442-43	2443-44	2444-45	2445-46	2446-47	2447-48	2448-49	2449-50	2450-51	2451-52	2452-53	2453-54	2454-55	2455-56	2456-57	2457-58	2458-59	2459-60	2460-61	2461-62	2462-63	2463-64	2464-65	2465-66	2466-67	2467-68	2468-69	2469-70	2470-71	2471-72	2472-73	2473-74	2474-75	2475-76	2476-77	2477-78	2478-79	2479-80	2480-81	2481-82	2482-83	2483-84	2484-85	2485-86	2486-87	2487-88	2488-89	2489-90	2490-91	2491-92	2492-93	2493-94	2494-95	2495-96	2496-97	2497-98	2498-99	2499-00	2500-01	2501-02	2502-03	2503-04	2504-05	2505-06	2506-07	2507-08	2508-09	2509-10	2510-11	2511-12	2512-13	2513-14	2514-15	2515-16	2516-17	2517-18	2518-19	2519-20	2520-21	2521-22	2522-23	2523-24	2524-25	2525-26	2526-27	2527-28	2528-29	2529-30	2530-31	2531-32	2532-33	2533-34	2534-35	2535-36	2536-37	2537-38	2538-39	2539-40	2540-41	2541-42	2542-43	2543-44	2544-45	2545-46	2546-47	2547-48	2548-49	2549-50	2550-51	2551-52	2552-53	2553-54	2554-55	2555-56	2556-57	2557-58	2558-59	2559-60	2560-61	2561-62	2562-63	2563-64	2564-65	2565-66	2566-67	2567-68	2568-69	2569-70	2570-71	2571-72	2572-73	2573-74	2574-75	2575-76	2576-77	2577-78	2578-79	2579-80	2580-81	2581-82	2582-83	2583-84	2584-85	2585-86	2586-87	2587-88	2588-89	2589-90	2590-91	2591-92	2592-93	2593-94	2594-95	2595-96	2596-97	2597-98	2598-99	2599-00	2600-01	2601-02	2602-03	2603-04	2604-05	2605-06	2606-07	2607-08	2608-09	2609-10	2610-11	2611-12	2612-13	2613-14	2614-15	2615-16	2616-17	2617-18	2618-19	2619-20	2620-21	2621-22	2622-23	2623-24	2624-25	2625-26	2626-27	2627-28	2628-29	2629-30	2630-31	2631-32	2632-33	2633-34	2634-35	2635-36	2636-37	2637-38	2638-39	2639-40	2640-41	2641-42	2642-43	2643-44	2644-45	2645-46	2646-47	2647-48	2648-49	2649-50	2650-51	2651-52	2652-53	2653-54	2654-55	2655-56	2656-57	2657-58	2658-59	2659-60	2660-61	2661-62	2662-63	2663-64	2664-65	2665-66	2666-67	2667-68	2668-69	2669-70	2670-71	2671-72	2672-73	2673-74	2674-75	2675-76	2676-77	2677-78	2678-79	2679-80	2680-81	2681-82	2682-83	2683-84	2684-85	2685-86	2686-87	2687-88	2688-89	2689-90	2690-91	2691-92	2692-93	2693-94	2694-95	2695-96	2696-97	2697-98	2698-99	2699-00	2700-01	2701-02	2702-03	2703-04	2704-05	2705-06	2706-07	2707-08	2708-09	2709-10	2710-11	2711-12	2712-13	2713-14	2714-15	2715-16	2716-17	2717-18	2718-19	2719-20	2720-21	2721-22	2722-23	2723-24	2724-25	2725-26	2726-27	2727-28	2728-29	2729-30	2730-31	2731-32	2732-33	2733-34	2734-35	2735-36	2736-37	2737-38	2738-39	2739-40	2740-41	2741-42	2742-43	2743-44	2744-45	2745-46	2746-47	2747-48	2748-49	2749-50	2750-51	2751-52	2752-53	2753-54	2754-55	2755-56	2756-57	2757-58	2758-59	2759-60	2760-61	2761-62	2762-63	2763-64	2764-65	2765-66	2766-67	2767-68	2768-69	2769-70	2770-71	2771-72	2772-73	2773-74	2774-75	2775-76	2776-77	2777-78	2778-79	2779-80	2780-81	2781-82	2782-83	2783-84	2784-85	2785-86	2786-87	2787-88	2788-89	2789-90	2790-91	2791-92	2792-93	2793-94	2794-95	2795-96	2796-97	2797-98	2798-99	2799-00	2800-01	2801-02	2802-03	2803-04	2804-05	2805-06	2806-07	2807-08	2808-09	2809-10	2810-11	2811-12	2812-13	2813-14	2814-15	2815-16	2816-17	2817-18	2818-19	2819-20	2820-21	2821-22	2822-23	2823-24	2824-25	2825-26	2826-27	2827-28	2828-29	2829-30	2830-31	2831-32	2832-33	2833-34	2834-35	2835-36	2836-37	2837-38	2838-39	2839-40	2840-41	2841-42	2842-43	2843-44	2844-45	2845-46	2846-47	2847-48	2848-49	2849-50	2850-51	2851-52	2852-53	2853-54	2854-55	2855-56	2856-57	2857-58	2858-59	2859-60	2860-61	2861-62	2862-63	2863-64	2864-65	2865-66	2866-67	2867-68	2868-69	2869-70	2870-71	2871-72	2872-73	2873-74	2874-75	2875-76	2876-77	2877-78	2878-79	2879-80	2880-81	2881-82	2882-83	2883-84	2884-85	2885-86	2886-87	2887-88	2888-89	2889-90	2890-91	2891-92	2892-93	2893-94	2894-95	2895-96	2896-97	2897-98	2898-99	2899-00	2900-01	2901-02	2902-03	2903-04	2904-05	2905-06	2906-07	2907-08	2908-09	2909-10	2910-11	2911-12	2912-13	2913-14	2914-15	2915-16	2916-17	2917-18	2918-19	2919-20	2920-21	2921-22	2922-23	2923-24	2924-25	2925-26	2926-27	2927-28	2928-29	2929-30	2930-31	2931-32	2932-33	2933-34	2934-35	2935-36	2936-37	2937-38	2938-39	2939-40	2940-41	2941-42	2942-43	2943-44	2944-45	2945-46	2946-47	2947-48	2948-49	2949-50	2950-51	2951-52	2952-53	2953-54	2954-55	2955-56	2956-57	2957-58	2958-59	2959-60	2960-61	2961-62	2962-63	2963-64	2964-65	2965-66	2966-67	2967-68	2968-69	2969-70	2970-71	2971-72	2972-73	2973-74	2974-75	2975-76	2976-77	2977-78	2978-79	2979-80	2980-81	2981-82	2982-8
---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	--------

Total and Unit Packing Costs (Unadjusted)

Upland Citrus Association

	1948-49		1949-50		1950-51	
Boxes packed	170,995		328,814		324,118	
All fruit handled (Packed box equivalent)	319,605		485,771		396,489	
	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs
Material						
Shook (net)	\$ 67,565	\$.3951	\$129,948	\$.3952	\$116,368	\$.3590
Wraps	13,250	.0775	25,109	.0764	22,678	.0700
Labels	1,322	.0077	1,744	.0053	1,663	.0051
Other	5,151	.0301	10,771	.0328	8,364	.0258
Total material	87,288	.5104	167,572	.5097	149,073	.4599
Labor						
Packing	24,523	.1434	42,596	.1295	40,458	.1248
Supervision	8,420	.0263	9,500	.0196	7,923	.0200
House	35,505	.1111	51,198	.1054	27,182	.0686
Grading	--	--	--	--	15,003	.0378
Total labor	68,448	.2808	103,294	.2545	90,566	.2512
Miscellaneous						
Light, power, water & gas	2,343	.0073	3,129	.0064	2,376	.0060
Building & equip. rep.	8,942	.0280	7,571	.0156	10,724	.0270
Taxes and licenses	4,194	.0131	4,330	.0089	3,830	.0097
Insurance and bonds	3,928	.0123	5,005	.0103	4,588	.0116
Office supplies & exp.	599	.0019	1,412	.0029	600	.0015
Auto and travel	860	.0027	613	.0013	626	.0016
Prorate expense	1,165	.0036	2,631	.0054	2,117	.0053
Payroll taxes	2,423	.0076	3,665	.0075	4,226	.0107
Other	3,983	.0125	9,035	.0186	3,331	.0084
Total miscellaneous	28,437	.0890	37,391	.0769	32,418	.0818
Salaries	12,141	.0380	12,375	.0255	15,755	.0397
Depreciation	10,230	.0320	9,579	.0197	10,677	.0269
Interest	276	.0009	364	.0007	594	.0015
Total packing expense	206,820	.9511	330,575	.8870	229,083	.8610

Total and Unit Packing Costs (Unadjusted)

Upland Heights Orange Association

	1948-49		1949-50		1950-51	
Boxes packed	27,586		139,529		147,246	
All fruit handled (Packed box equivalent)	55,135		200,075		170,742	
	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs
Material						
Shook (net)	\$ 7,318	\$.2653	\$ 56,717	\$.4065	\$ 52,368	\$.3556
Wraps	389	.0141	7,666	.0549	7,698	.0523
Labels	138	.0050	609	.0044	1,022	.0069
Miscellaneous supplies	277	.0100	985	.0071	740	.0050
Other	697	.0253	2,960	.0212	3,678	.0250
Total material	8,819	.3197	68,937	.4941	65,506	.4448
Labor						
Supervision	3,090	.0560	4,016	.0201	4,200	.0246
Packing	4,273	.1549	18,240	.1307	17,669	.1200
Separating	1,585	.0287	7,058	.0353	--	--
House	4,184	.0759	18,571	.1281	17,994	.1054
Total labor	13,132	.3155	47,885	.3142	39,863	.2500
Miscellaneous						
Light, power, water, gas	922	.0167	1,707	.0085	1,680	.0098
Repairs	1,863	.0338	1,532	.0076	4,029	.0236
Insurance & bonds	374	.0068	328	.0016	704	.0041
Taxes & licenses	1,116	.0202	1,113	.0057	1,234	.0072
Office supplies and exp.	240	.0044	394	.0020	557	.0033
Telephone	94	.0017	166	.0008	166	.0010
Orange admin. committee	142	.0026	1,365	.0068	1,046	.0061
Payroll taxes	746	.0135	1,684	.0084	2,294	.0134
Transportation of packers	30	.0005	--	--	--	--
Sunkist provid. plan ins.	1,342	.0243	1,342	.0067	1,604	.0094
Other	478	.0087	513	.0026	949	.0056
Total miscellaneous	7,347	.1332	10,174	.0507	14,263	.0835
Salaries	6,973	.1265	9,994	.0500	12,664	.0742
Depreciation	--	--	1,150	.0057	1,257	.0074
Interest	129	.0023	77	.0004	102	.0006
Total packing expense	36,400	.8972	138,217	.9151	133,655	.8605

Appendix Table 13

Total and Unit Packing Costs (Unadjusted)

Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association
(Lemon Department)

	1948-49		1949-50		1950-51	
Boxes packed	28,971		41,910		62,557	
All fruit handled	47,408		56,099		83,514	
(Packed box equivalent)						
	Expenses	Unit	Expenses	Unit	Expenses	Unit
	(Per annual	costs	(Per annual	costs	(Per annual	costs
	statement)		statement)		statement)	
Materials						
Shook (net)	\$ 14,092	\$.4864	\$ 17,951	\$.4283	\$ 20,071	\$.3208
Wraps	4,114	.1420	1,766	.0421	5,949	.0951
Misc. supplies	743	.0256	749	.0179	2,514	.0402
Other	3,367	.1162	4,048	.0966	818	.0131
Total materials	22,317	.7703	24,514	.5849	29,352	.4692
Labor	24,802	.5232	21,849	.4525	36,411	.4360
Total labor	24,802	.5232	21,849	.4525	36,411	.4360
Miscellaneous						
Light, power, water, gas	4,401	.0928	3,195	.0570	4,633	.0555
Building & equip. repair	521	.0110	686	.0122	379	.0045
Insurance & bonds	891	.0188	699	.0125	2,047	.0245
Taxes & licenses	2,490	.0525	3,515	.0627	5,291	.0634
Office & telephone	720	.0152	693	.0123	689	.0083
Auto and travel	--	--	--	--	--	--
Employees' ins.	--	--	--	--	--	--
Air conditioning exp.	--	--	--	--	--	--
Precooler expense	2,222	.0469	2,504	.0446	3,555	.0426
Other	1,335	.0282	1,409	.0251	3,196	.0383
Total miscellaneous	12,580	.2654	12,701	.2264	19,790	.2371
Salaries	6,877	.1451	5,314	.0947	7,822	.0937
Depreciation	--	--	6,274	.1118	10,626	.1272
Interest	7,060	.1489	5,442	.0970	5,641	.0675
Total packing expense	73,636	1.8529	76,094	1.5673	109,642	1.4307

TABLE 11
PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION IN EACH OF THE FIVE
MAJOR OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS, 1950
BY SEX AND AGE GROUP
(Percentages)

Male		Female		Total		Occupational Group
White	Black	White	Black	White	Black	
15-64 years						Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
65 years and over						Manufacturing and Construction
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Total						Service
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Total						Unemployed
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	

Total and Unit Packing Costs (Unadjusted)

Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association
(Lemon Department)

	1948-49		1949-50		1950-51	
Boxes packed	51,986		21,565		30,655	
All fruit handled	75,707		28,536		48,705	
(Packed box equivalent)						
	Expenses	Unit	Expenses	Unit	Expenses	Unit
	(Per annual	costs	(Per annual	costs	(Per annual	costs
	statement)		statement)		statement)	
Materials						
Shook (net)	\$ 20,233	\$.3892	\$ 6,650	\$.3084	\$ 12,163	\$.3968
Wraps	6,181	.1189	2,288	.1061	3,404	.1110
Other	1,976	.0380	.572	.0265	.902	.0294
Total materials	28,390	.5461	9,510	.4410	16,469	.5372
Labor						
Supervision	3,000	.0396	1,563	.0548	2,053	.0422
Packing & house	31,261	.4129	13,285	.4656	18,880	.3876
Total labor	34,261	.4525	14,848	.5204	20,933	.4298
Miscellaneous						
Power, light, water, gas	3,292	.0435	1,251	.0438	2,463	.0506
Building & equip. repair	.964	.0127	774	.0271	339	.0070
Insurance	1,139	.0150	112	.0039	750	.0154
Payroll taxes	1,114	.0147	668	.0234	1,144	.0235
State & county taxes	1,550	.0205	751	.0263	752	.0154
Office supplies & expense	605	.0080	250	.0102	359	.0074
Telephone & telegraph	.161	.0021	94	.0033	124	.0025
Packing & house supplies	1,303	.0172	656	.0230	534	.0110
Lemon admin. comm.	.751	.0099	204	.0071	365	.0075
Other	1,215	.0160	423	.0148	759	.0156
Total miscellaneous	12,095	.1596	5,223	.1829	7,589	.1559
Salaries	7,063	.0933	3,455	.1211	4,825	.0991
Depreciation	5,058	.0668	1,806	.0633	2,829	.0581
Interest	502	.0066	117	.0041	313	.0064
Total packing expense	87,369	1.3249	34,959	1.3328	52,958	1.2865

Appendix Table 15

Total and Unit Packing Costs (Unadjusted)

Mountain View Fruit Association
(Lemon Department)

	1948-49	1949-50	1950-51
Boxes packed		27,443	39,164
All fruit handled		35,887	53,046
(Packed box equivalent)			
	Expenses (Per annual statement) Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement) Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement) Unit costs
Materials			
Shook (net)	(Upland Lemon	\$ 10,871 \$.3961	\$ 14,165 \$.3617
Wraps and labels	packed all lemons	3,058 .1114	3,553 .0907
Other	of Mountain View	1,723 .0628	1,832 .0468
Total materials	this year.)	15,652 .5703	19,550 .4992
Labor			
Packing		5,960 .2172	8,337 .2129
Supervision		2,322 .0647	2,589 .0488
House		10,529 .2934	15,816 .2982
Total labor		18,811 .5753	26,742 .5599
Miscellaneous			
Utilities		559 .0156	588 .0111
Building & equip. repairs		1,003 .0279	1,710 .0322
Payroll taxes		742 .0207	1,509 .0284
Insurance & bonds		1,414 .0394	1,348 .0254
Taxes & licenses		3,641 .1015	2,420 .0456
Office supplies & exp.		644 .0179	692 .0130
Power		1,329 .1370	2,034 .0383
Telephone		204 .0057	214 .0040
Administrative comm.		266 .0074	426 .0080
Sunkist provident plan		1,227 .0342	1,620 .0305
Auto and travel		449 .0125	480 .0090
Decco fruit treating		830 .0231	1,325 .0250
Other		150 .0042	424 .0080
Total miscellaneous		12,458 .3471	14,790 .2788
Salaries		5,308 .1479	6,087 .1147
Depreciation		1,923 .0536	5,048 .0952
Interest		1,050 .0293	914 .0172
Total packing expense		55,202 1.7235	73,131 1.5650

Total and Sub-Total Costs (continued)

Continued from Page 1 (continued)

Category	Sub-Category	1963-64		1964-65		Total	Remarks
		Actual	Budget	Actual	Budget		
Personnel	Salaries	1,000.00	1,000.00	1,000.00	1,000.00	2,000.00	
	Benefits	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	200.00	
	Travel	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	
	Other	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	
Materials	Supplies	150.00	150.00	150.00	150.00	300.00	
	Equipment	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	200.00	
	Transportation	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	
	Other	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	
Services	Consulting	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	200.00	
	Printing	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	100.00	
	Postage	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	40.00	
	Other	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	
Miscellaneous	Interest	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	
	Insurance	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	10.00	
	Depreciation	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	10.00	
	Other	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	10.00	
Total		1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00	2,640.00	
Sub-Total		1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00	2,640.00	
Total		1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00	1,320.00	2,640.00	

Total and Unit Packing Costs (Unadjusted)

Old Baldy Citrus Association
(Lemon Department)

	1948-49	1949-50	1950-51
Boxes packed		46,020	84,868
All fruit handled		77,485	130,243
(Packed box equivalent)			
	Expenses (Per annual statement) Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement) Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement) Unit costs
Materials			
Shook (net)		\$ 18,053 \$.3923	\$ 36,709 \$.4325
Wraps		4,701 .1022	8,646 .1019
Labels		281 .0061	165 .0019
Other	(Part of crop packed by Upland Lemon Growers Assn.)	1,313 .0285	4,940 .0582
Total materials		24,348 .5291	50,460 .5946
Labor			
Packing		9,619 .2090	16,102 .1897
House and grading		24,914 .3215	54,200 .4161
Total labor		34,533 .5305	70,302 .6058
Miscellaneous			
Power, light, water, gas		4,422 .0571	5,961 .0458
Insurance		695 .0090	813 .0062
Taxes, licenses, SUC and OAB		3,540 .0457	7,692 .0591
Building & equip. repairs		924 .0119	1,247 .0096
Telephone & office expense		319 .0041	766 .0059
Director's fees and provident plan 1/		1,000 .0129	1,347 .0103
Washer		1,357 .0175	-- --
Refrigeration		799 .0103	211 .0016
Lemon admin. committee		850 .0110	1,417 .0109
Other		672 .0086	124 .0010
Total miscellaneous		14,578 .1861	19,578 .1503
Salaries 1/		6,705 .0865	7,991 .0614
Depreciation		1,843 .0238	13,970 .1073
Interest		3,104 .0401	2,900 .0223
Total packing expense		85,111 1.3981	165,201 1.5417

1/ Salaries, director's and provident plan were listed in Annual Reports as one figure, thus the proration for salaries and for director's fees and provident plan are approximations.

Appendix Table 17

Total and Unit Packing Costs (Unadjusted)

San Dimas Lemon Association

	1948-49		1949-50		1950-51	
Boxes packed	79,656		125,395		133,421	
All fruit handled	130,651		163,637		202,518	
(Packed box equivalent)						
	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs
Materials						
Shook (net)	\$ 34,292	\$.4305	\$ 52,579	\$.4193	\$ 43,978	\$.3296
Wraps	10,230	.1284	13,116	.1046	16,655	.1248
Labels	328	.0041	737	.0059	510	.0038
Nails, lumber, etc.	3,561	.0447	5,674	.0452	5,111	.0383
Other	5,123	.0643	3,586	.0286	1,364	.0102
Total materials	53,534	.6720	75,692	.6036	67,618	.5067
Labor						
Packing house	57,810	.4425	74,844	.4574	91,929	.4539
Total labor	57,810	.4425	74,844	.4574	91,929	.4539
Miscellaneous						
Light, power, water	4,987	.0382	5,266	.0322	6,858	.0339
Building & equip. repair	6,239	.0478	6,464	.0395	8,830	.0436
Compensation ins.	2,071	.0159	3,304	.0202	4,513	.0223
Insurance	3,645	.0279	2,315	.0141	3,390	.0167
County taxes	5,728	.0438	6,444	.0394	6,768	.0334
Social Security and st. unempl. ins. tax	2,554	.0195	3,213	.0196	5,681	.0281
Office supplies	1,201	.0092	820	.0051	729	.0036
Lemon adm. comm.	1,257	.0096	1,402	.0086	1,478	.0073
Fruit processing	5,077	.0389	--	--	--	--
Telephone & telegraph	--	--	445	.0027	567	.0028
Other	1,912	.0146	2,021	.0124	2,133	.0105
Total miscellaneous	34,671	.2654	31,694	.1938	40,947	.2022
Salaries	13,470	.1031	13,520	.0826	10,084	.0498
Depreciation	5,036	.0385	9,641	.0589	10,930	.0540
Interest	1,504	.0115	1,325	.0081	2,768	.0137
Total packing expense	166,025	1.5330	206,716	1.4044	224,276	1.2803

Total and Unit Packing Costs (Unadjusted)

Upland Lemon Growers Association

	1948-49		1949-50		1950-51	
Boxes packed	330,025		384,714		479,670	
All fruit handled (Packed box equivalent)	523,851		531,187		728,587	
	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs	Expenses (Per annual statement)	Unit costs
Materials						
Shook (net)	\$ 120,293	\$.3645	\$ 164,655	\$.4280	\$ 201,189	\$.4194
Wraps	33,918	.1028	42,120	.1095	51,389	.1071
Labels & paste	1,453	.0044	2,485	.0065	3,675	.0077
Frost separator fluid	9,422	.0285	--	--	--	--
Other	18,215	.0552	22,215	.0577	26,161	.0545
Total materials	183,301	.5554	231,475	.6017	282,414	.5887
Labor						
Foreman, house, labor and packing	201,619	.3849	229,328	.4317	315,766	.4334
Total labor	201,619	.3849	229,328	.4317	315,766	.4334
Miscellaneous						
Utilities	9,391	.0179	11,402	.0215	(Details not available.)	
Repairs	17,058	.0326	18,946	.0357		
Insurance and bonds	14,196	.0271	15,369	.0289		
Taxes and licenses	20,933	.0400	21,492	.0405		
Office expense	1,998	.0038	2,838	.0053		
Telephone	801	.0015	1,102	.0021		
Auto and travel	1,291	.0025	1,189	.0022		
Payroll and taxes	6,048	.0115	7,013	.0132		
Lemon admin. com.	5,306	.0101	6,951	.0131		
Truck expense (net)	1,263	.0024	551	.0010		
Fruit treating	7,978	.0152	11,416	.0215		
Other	1,886	.0036	2,264	.0043		
Total miscellaneous	88,149	.1682	100,533	.1893	121,415	.1666
Salaries	23,678	.0452	23,017	.0433	22,778	.0313
Depreciation	65,205	.1245	67,340	.1268	91,966	.1262
Interest	619	.0012	--	--	455	.0006
Total packing expense	562,571	1.2794	651,693	1.3928	834,794	1.3468

Appendix BBases for Determining Unit Costs

I. Unadjusted Unit Cost Calculations.--Although the bulk of fruit received by packing houses each year is packed for shipment, varying annual proportions of low-grade fruit are diverted at the washer (and, in the case of lemons, also out of storage) to by-products plants. Small quantities of fruit are also sold loose (unpacked) by most of the houses. After consultation with various persons familiar with accounting procedures and problems in citrus packing houses, it was decided to group total expenses in packing houses under two main headings:

A. Direct costs incurred in packing fruit, which would include labor engaged in packing fruit, and packing materials (box shooks, wrappers, nails, etc.). Although all houses made a rather detailed segregation of materials and supplies, some of the small houses lumped all labor costs together. In two of the larger houses and two of the smaller houses, however, labor costs were segregated under packing labor and general labor. Tests showed that, although the ratio of packing labor to total labor costs varied somewhat from year to year, there was not too great a difference in the ratio between houses in any one year.

In order to segregate packing labor from general labor, the ratios of the four houses were averaged for each of the three years as follows:

	<u>1948-49</u>	<u>1949-50</u>	<u>1950-51</u>
Oranges--packing labor	32%	38%	44%
Other labor	68%	62%	56%
Total labor	100%	100%	100%
Lemons--packing labor	34%	34%	34%
Other labor	66%	66%	66%
Total labor	100%	100%	100%

B. Indirect and overhead expenses in operating packing houses and conducting the general affairs of the associations. These included nonpacking materials and supplies, nonpacking labor, salaries, depreciation, interest and miscellaneous expenses.

Unit costs for packing only were calculated by dividing the direct costs for packing, as shown in the annual reports of the associations (modified for some houses as indicated above), by the total number of boxes packed and shipped. All fruit sold loose or shipped to products plants was converted for each house to a packed box equivalent, and added to the boxes packed.

This figure was used as the divisor for determining unit costs for the indirect cost items. The packed box equivalents for orange departments include valencia and navel oranges, grapefruit, and small quantities of miscellaneous fruit. Total and unit costs so calculated are shown in Appendix B as unadjusted costs for each house.

II. Details: 1st Adjustment.--The comparability of cost data between houses was impaired because (a) of errors made in determining inventory in two houses; (b) the manner in which two houses handled retain income for loose or by-products fruit; and (c) differences in depreciation rates used. The adjustments made in total costs, and hence also in unit costs, for individual houses (1st Adjustment) were as follows:

A. Alta Loma Heights Citrus Association.

1. Labor costs for the orange department shown in the annual reports for 1949-50 and 1950-51 were increased by \$6,862 and \$3,269, respectively. Similarly, labor costs in the lemon department were increased by \$5,685 and \$8,417, respectively, in the two years. These amounts, representing retains for by-products fruit, had previously been credited to the labor account, a practice not followed by the other houses.

2. Shook costs for the orange department in 1950-51 were increased by \$4,236, and other packing costs by \$1,319. These increases were due to errors made in extensions of cost figures for the two categories of expense.

Another \$2,749 was added to the 1950-51 cost figures for shook, and a similar amount deducted from the shook cost for 1949-50. A comparison of unit shook costs for 1949-50 and 1950-51 indicated an exceptionally high cost figure for the earlier year, and vice versa. A recheck of the 1949-50 inventory data disclosed that shook to the value of \$2,749, charged to that year, was actually carried over and used in 1950-51.

3. Cost of wraps.--The unit cost for wraps (lemon department) of \$.0421 in 1949-50 compared with \$.1420 in 1948-49 and \$.0951 in 1950-51. Although the actual reason for this discrepancy could not be traced, the manager of this association was of the opinion that an error had been made in the inventory for 1948-49, that year being debited for wraps actually used the following year. With the manager's approval, wrap costs for the two years were totalled and divided equally between the two years, thus giving more reasonable adjusted unit costs for wraps in each of the two years.

4. Depreciation: Orange Department.--No depreciation expense was provided in 1948-49; only \$4,310 was provided in 1949-50 as compared with

\$9,573 in 1950-51. Inspection indicated that the latter figure appeared to be reasonable and in line with the depreciation charged by other houses. Calculation of depreciation for previous years, needed for purposes of comparison, presented several difficulties because, had normal rates of depreciation been charged in the two previous years, several capital items would have been completely written off by 1950-51.

For purposes of comparison, the book values for the beginning of the year 1949-50 were taken as a base. The set book values (original cost less accumulated depreciation) that would have been written off by 1950-51, if normal depreciation had been charged in previous years, were segregated. The net book values of such assets were divided by 4, assuming that they could have been written off on equal installments during the years 1947-48 to 1950-51. A flat rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on buildings and 10 per cent on equipment was charged on all other items. The depreciation on the cost of the precooler for oranges was excluded because most of the other houses did not operate precoolers. The following represents the computation of depreciation for the year 1949-50.

Buildings

	<u>Original cost</u>	<u>Accumulated depreciation</u>	<u>Net book value</u>	<u>Computed depreciation</u>
	\$	\$	\$	$2\frac{1}{2}\%$
Old building	29,696	23,957	5,737	742
New building	60,694	1,134	59,560	1,517
Box platform	1,232	37	1,195	31
Cull bins	643	363	280	16
				<u>\$2,306</u>

Equipment

				<u>Rate 10%</u>
Stack dumper	7,496	467	7,029	750
Grading	11,954	744	11,210	1,195
Conveyors	23,271	1,448	21,823	2,327
Sizer equipment	20,139	5,927	14,212	<u>2,014</u>
				<u>\$6,286</u>

Items that would be written off

				<u>Rate $\frac{1}{4}$ of N.B.V.</u>
Washing equipment	7,701	7,687	14	---
Packing equipment	17,085	12,115	4,970	1,242
Office furniture and equipment	2,152	1,738	414	<u>103</u>
				<u>\$1,345</u>
				<u>\$9,937</u>

Amount of depreciation computed

Adjustment of computed depreciation for 1948-49 was arrived at as follows:

The original cost of assets at the beginning of 1948-49 was \$207,857, or \$4,442 less than at the beginning of 1949-50, which represented equipment purchases during 1948-49. Depreciation on this amount would be \$444. The depreciation of \$9,937 for 1949-50 was thus reduced, by \$444, to \$9,493 for 1948-49.

In 1950-51, some \$2,736 of packing equipment, on which depreciation would have been \$274, was retired. The 1949-50 base computed depreciation was thus reduced by \$274, to give \$9,663 depreciation for 1950-51.

5. Depreciation: Lemon Department.—The year 1950-51 was again used as a base for computation of depreciation for 1949-50, the procedure being substantially the same as for the orange department. The following tabulation indicates the computations made:

<u>Buildings</u>				
	<u>Original cost</u>	<u>Accumulated depreciation</u>	<u>Net book value</u>	<u>Computed deprec. 2½%</u>
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Storage and air conditioning bldg.	36,683	26,119	10,564	917
Cooling unit	3,177	2,483	694	79
New building	90,313	2,679	87,634	2,258
Box platform	1,232	58	1,174	31
Old building	20,527	19,289	1,238	309 (½ of N.B.V.)
				3,594
<u>Equipment</u>				<u>Rate 1½%</u>
Washing and packing	40,490	18,579	21,911	4,049
Storage fruit conv.	17,606	1,726	15,880	1,760
Grading table	7,412	406	6,906	741
Air conditioning	24,467	1,926	22,541	2,446
				8,996
<u>Items written off</u>				<u>Rate ½ of N.B.V.</u>
Old air conditioning	19,830	19,171	659	165
Office furn. & equip.	2,152	1,738	414	104
				269
Total computed depreciation				\$12,859

About \$5,522 of equipment was purchased in 1948-49--used fully only in following year. Depreciation at 10 per cent on this amount would have been \$552. Depreciation for 1948-49 was computed as \$12,859-\$552, or \$12,307; 1950-51 depreciation was computed as being approximately the same as for 1949-50.

B. Etiwanda Citrus Fruit Association.--The board of directors of this house followed the practice of providing for depreciation on a per box basis. In years of low volume of fruit handled, this resulted in a lower allowance for depreciation than would have been set aside under a straight-line basis of computation. In 1948-49, this house did not handle oranges, and so the full depreciation was charged against the lemon department. It was necessary, in each year, to increase the depreciation allowance using as a rough basis of computation $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on buildings and 10 per cent on equipment. The adjustments for the three years were as follows:

	<u>1948-49</u>			
	<u>Original cost</u>	<u>Accumulated depreciation</u>	<u>Deprec. provided by board</u>	<u>Computed depreciation</u>
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Buildings	34,690	34,690	--	--
Annex building	1,419	1,419	--	--
New basement	8,112	3,203	203	303
Old mach. & equip.	32,565	26,337	1,756	1,730
New equipment	4,071	--	--	407
Refrigeration	14,409	8,830	1,109	1,441
New lemon washer	22,295	4,195	<u>2,192</u>	<u>2,229</u>
Total to lemon department			5,057	6,110

1949-50
Computation for 1949-50 same as for 1948-49. The auditor allocated 27.76 per cent of total depreciation provided by board to the orange department, and 72.24 per cent to the lemon department. Using the same percentage basis for the higher computed figure, this would mean a debit of \$1,696 to the orange department and \$4,414 to the lemon department.

	<u>1950-51</u>			
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Total buildings and old equip.	76,786	--	--	--
Less equip. retired	<u>2,826</u>	--	--	--
	73,960	68,402	1,164	1,670
New equipment	5,827	--	--	583
Refrigeration	14,407	10,441	667	1,441
Lemon washer	22,295	7,347	1,617	<u>2,229</u>
				<u>5,923</u>

The auditor allocated 17.95 per cent of depreciation provided by the board to the orange department and 82.05 per cent to the lemon department. Using the same percentage on the higher computed figure, the depreciation for the orange department would be \$1,063 and for the lemon department, \$4,860.

C. Mountain View Fruit Association.--This association did not operate in 1948-49, its fruit being handled by the large orange and the large lemon house. In 1949-50, the board of directors provided only \$315 for depreciation in the orange department and \$1,923 in the lemon department. In 1950-51, the board provided \$1,434 depreciation of the orange department and \$5,048 for depreciation of the lemon department.

A computation of depreciation allowing for $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on buildings and 10 per cent on equipment for 1950-51 resulted in figures approximately equal to those provided by the board of directors. As no new equipment was purchased in either year, it was decided to accept the board's figures for 1950-51 and to use the same depreciation data for 1949-50. As a result, the depreciation (1st Adjustment) of the orange department was increased from \$315 to \$1,434, and of the lemon department, from \$1,923 to \$5,048.

D. Old Baldy Citrus Association.--A situation somewhat similar to the above occurred in the Old Baldy Citrus Association. No fruit was handled in 1948-49. During the next two years the board provided for depreciation as follows:

	<u>1949-50</u>	<u>1950-51</u>
	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>
Orange department	2,888	4,577
Lemon department	<u>1,843</u>	<u>13,970</u>
Total	4,731	18,547

The computation made by the authors for 1950-51 gave figures for total depreciation approximately equal to those provided by the board. The board's figures were thus accepted for 1950-51 as allocated between the orange and lemon departments. Some equipment was retired in 1950-51. After including the value of such equipment in the computation for 1949-50 and using the same percentage distribution, depreciation allocated (1st Adjustment) was \$4,648 for the orange department and \$14,165 for the lemon department.

E. San Dimas Lemon Association.

1. Retains for by-products fruit.--This association deducted \$6.00 a ton in 1948-49, \$7.50 a ton in 1949-50, and \$10 a ton in 1950-51 on all lemons sent to the by-products plant. This house followed the practice of

crediting the income so obtained to various operating cost accounts and not to a packing house income account as was done in most other houses. The total retains for by-products fruit deducted in each of the three years, and the accounts to which such retains were credited, are shown in the following tabulation:

	<u>1948-49</u>	<u>1949-50</u>	<u>1950-51</u>
	\$	\$	\$
House labor	11,275	12,485	19,339
Salaries	--	--	5,042
Depreciation	--	1,804	1,095
Field equip. (deprec.)	--	--	<u>1,472</u>
Total amount retained	11,275	14,289	26,948

For purposes of comparison these amounts, except the two items for depreciation in 1949-50 and 1950-51, were debited to the respective labor and salary accounts in the 1st adjustment for this house. The items for depreciation were excluded because adjustment for depreciation was computed separately.

2. Inventory Adjustment.--A carload of shook received toward the end of 1949-50 was charged to the shook expense for that year, but not shown in the end-of-the-year inventory. The shook account for 1949-50 was decreased by this amount, and that for 1950-51 increased by the same amount (1st Adjustment).

3. Depreciation.--This house ostensibly followed a straight-line method of depreciation, but appears to have varied the rates of depreciation allowed each year. The depreciation charged in the annual reports was \$5,036 in 1948-49, \$9,641 in 1949-50, and \$10,930 in 1950-51.

For purposes of comparison, depreciation at the rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent on buildings and 10 per cent on equipment was computed as follows:

	<u>Original cost</u>	<u>Accumulated depreciation</u>	<u>Amount deducted</u>	<u>Computed depreciation</u>
	\$	\$	\$	\$
	<u>1948-49</u>			
Buildings ($2\frac{1}{2}\%$)	146,645	113,020	1,200	3,666
Equipment (10%)				
Packing	78,847	30,509	2,621	7,885
Office	6,037	3,972	226	604
Cooling system	38,446	15,504	660	3,844
Miscellaneous	--	--	329	--
			<u>5,036</u>	<u>15,999</u>
Less amount allocated to field equipment				999
Amount computed				<u>15,000</u>

	Original cost \$	Accumulated depreciation \$	Amount deducted \$	Computed depreciation \$
<u>1949-50</u>				
Buildings (2½%)	147,030	114,220	3,859	3,676
Equipment (10%)	74,117	33,130	5,229	7,412
Office	6,578	4,197	.225	.658
Cooling system	38,568	16,164	2,189	3,857
			<u>11,502</u>	<u>15,603</u>
Less credit by-products retains			<u>1,804</u>	
			9,698	
Less adjustment			<u>57</u>	
			<u>9,641</u>	
Amount computed (1st Adjustment)				<u>15,603</u>
<u>1950-51</u>				
Buildings (2½%)	147,728	115,880	3,869	3,693
Equipment (10%)				
Packing	86,500	36,267	5,612	8,650
Office	6,720	4,187	.367	.672
Cooling system	41,868	18,331	2,248	4,187
			<u>12,096</u>	<u>17,202</u>
Less credit by-products retains			<u>1,075</u>	
			<u>11,021</u>	
Less adjustment			<u>91</u>	
			<u>10,930</u>	
Amount computed				<u>17,202</u>

F. Upland Citrus Association.--No adjustments were made.

G. Upland Heights Orange Association.--No adjustments were made.

H. Upland Lemon Growers Association.--This association has followed the practice of depreciating buildings and/or equipment at higher than usual rates in view of anticipated obsolescence of buildings and equipment that may result from new techniques in the handling of fruit. In 1948-49 this house provided for depreciation of buildings at the rate of 5 per cent, or double the rate used for computation in the other houses. The total amount provided for depreciation of buildings that year was \$27,565. Computed depreciation on buildings at 2½ per cent was \$13,614. The computed depreciation on equipment was approximately the same as that actually provided by the board. The total depreciation, as shown in the annual statement, was \$65,205. This amount was reduced by \$13,614 to give a computed depreciation of \$51,591 (1st Adjustment).

A somewhat similar procedure was followed in 1949-50. The excess amount provided for depreciation of buildings was computed at \$13,192. In addition,

moveables (trucks, etc.) were depreciated at a rate of about 30 per cent. Using the more usual rate of 20 per cent would reduce depreciation on moveables by about \$2,082, to give a total excess depreciation of \$15,274. The depreciation for 1949-50 was computed as \$67,340 (as per annual report)--\$15,274 or \$52,066 (1st Adjustment).

An even more rigorous policy of depreciation was adopted by the board in 1950-51. Buildings were again depreciated at a rate of about 5 per cent, but equipment was depreciated at a rate in excess of 10 per cent. An approximation of depreciation at the lower rates used in computing depreciation for the other houses was to use as a base the same depreciation as was computed for 1949-50, namely \$52,066. In 1949-50 new equipment to the extent of \$43,135 was installed; 10 per cent of this would be \$4,314.

The computed depreciation for 1950-51 was thus \$52,066 plus \$4,314, or \$57,380, as compared with \$91,966 provided by the board for that year.

III. Details: 2nd Adjustment.

A. The primary purpose of the 2nd Adjustment was to increase the costs for 1948-49 and 1949-50 to the same levels as for 1950-51. This would permit a more valid comparison of the effect of volume upon unit costs during the three years. In other words, this procedure was intended to eliminate the effect upon unit costs of changes in the prices of cost factors.

Information was sought from various sources as to the nature and extent of price changes for the various items. The basic data used were the costs shown in the group of tables under the heading of 1st Adjustment. The percentage increases by cost categories for 1948-49 and 1949-50 are shown in the following tabulation:

	Percentage increase <u>1948-49</u>	Percentage increase <u>1949-50</u>
Wraps	12.92	14.08
Labels	8.11	8.11
Other materials	20.06	9.32
State and county taxes	10.45	6.63
Office supplies,		
telephone, telegraph	16.38	11.70
Building, equip. repairs	11.49	7.80
Salaries	5.00	5.00

No changes from the data shown in the 1st Adjustment group of tables were made for utilities, payroll taxes, directors' expenses, insurance and bonds, auto and travel, fruit processing, depreciation, and interest.

B. Shook Costs.--The net amounts paid by associations to the Fruit Growers Supply Company for shook in each of the three years were:

	<u>1948-49</u>	<u>1949-50</u>	<u>1950-51</u>
<u>Oranges</u>			
Billing out price per box	40.44¢	40.44¢	40.44¢
Patronage refund per box	<u>5.06¢</u>	<u>6.17¢</u>	<u>4.41¢</u>
	35.38¢	34.27¢	36.03¢
<u>Lemons</u>			
Billing out price per box	42.89¢	42.89¢	42.89¢
Patronage refund per box	<u>5.36¢</u>	<u>6.50¢</u>	<u>4.67¢</u>
	37.53¢	36.39¢	38.22¢

In the 2nd Adjustment, shook costs were computed by multiplying the net costs per box shown above by the number of boxes of oranges and/or lemons packed by each house during each of the three years under study.

1. The first thing I noticed when I stepped out of the plane was the cold air. It felt like a giant hand reaching out to grab me. I shivered as I walked down the stairs. The ground was hard and cold. I looked up at the sky and saw a few clouds. The sun was shining brightly. I felt a little better. I took a deep breath and smiled. I was home.

1940	1941	1942	1943
1940	1941	1942	1943
1940	1941	1942	1943
1940	1941	1942	1943
1940	1941	1942	1943
1940	1941	1942	1943
1940	1941	1942	1943
1940	1941	1942	1943

2. The second thing I noticed was the smell of the air. It was a mix of fresh air and the smell of the ground. I took a deep breath and smiled. I was home. The third thing I noticed was the sound of the air. It was a mix of the sound of the plane and the sound of the ground. I took a deep breath and smiled. I was home.