Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current
scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

SO11
A42
(copy 2)

SL

United States
Department of
Agriculture

Forest Service

Rocky Mountain
Forest and Range
Experiment Station

A Summary of Research at The Manitou Experimental Forest in Colorado, 1937 - 1983

Howard L. Gary

Fort Collins,
Colorado 80526

General Technical
Report RM-116

Acknowledgments

This report draws freely from publications and unpublished data of the Manitou Experimental Forest, and especially from research completed by W. M. Johnson and Pat O. Currie. Early research by Dwight R. Smith, L. D. Love, E. J. Dortignac, E. G. Dunford and H. W. Berndt also is acknowledged. Readers are urged to consult the publication list for other research completed and to obtain greater detailed information on reported studies.

A Summary of Research at The Manitou Experimental Forest in Colorado, 1937 – 1983

Howard L. Gary, Principal Hydrologist

Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station¹

Abstract

Results of selected studies for range, watershed, timber and wildlife management research are summarized to provide land managers, land-use planners, and land-use researchers with information to help evaluate consequences of land management practices in the Colorado Front Range ponderosa pine zone.

¹*Headquarters is in Fort Collins, in cooperation with Colorado State University.*

Contents

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
PONDEROSA PINE LANDS	1
LANDFORM AND GEOLOGY	1
SOILS	2
VEGETATION	2
CLIMATE	2
EARLY RESEARCH	2
NATURAL REVEGETATION OF ABANDONED FIELDS	2
GRAZING MANAGEMENT	3
Native Pastures	3
Herbage Production	3
Use of Native Plants	3
Grazing and Plant Responses	4
Weight Gains on Native Pasture	4
Seeded Pastures	5
Species Adaptability	5
Forage Yield of Seeded Species	5
Grazing Intensity on Seeded Pastures	5
Weight Gains on Seeded Pastures	5
Management and Grazed Plant Relations	6
Supplemental Feeding on Seeded and Native Pastures	6
Nutritive Quality of Cattle Diets	6
Grazing Trials on Crested Wheatgrass	6
WATERSHED MANAGEMENT RESEARCH	7
Gully Control	7
Source of Stream Sediments	7
Bunchgrass Runoff Plots	8
Ponderosa Pine Watersheds	8
Infiltration on Native Pastures	9
Infiltration, Plant Cover and Soil Properties	9
Infiltration After Protection from Grazing	10
Runoff from Missouri Gulch Watershed	10
Watershed Description	10
Precipitation and Streamflow	10
CURRENT RESEARCH	10
REGENERATION OF PONDEROSA PINE	10
INITIAL TREE SPACING AND GROWTH OF PONDEROSA PINE	12
PROVENANCE TESTING OF PONDEROSA PINE	12
GROWTH AND YIELD OF MANAGED PLANTATIONS	12
GROWTH AND YIELD IN UNEVEN-AGED PONDEROSA PINE	12
DWARF MISTLETOE CONTROL IN PONDEROSA PINE	13
PONDEROSA PINE AND UNDERSTORY PLANT GROWTH	13
HABITAT USE AND REQUIREMENTS OF FLAMMULATED OWLS ..	13
CATTLE GRAZING AND WATER QUALITY	13
POLLUTION INDICATOR BACTERIA IN LAKE AND	
STREAM WATER	14
Manitou Lake	14
Domestic Water Supply	14
AQUATIC BIOTA IN TROUT CREEK	14
Macroinvertebrates	14
Algae	15
Fish	15

Contents	Page
WATER QUALITY IN THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT	15
ADMINISTRATION	15
BIBLIOGRAPHY	16
NATIVE PASTURES	16
SEEDED PASTURES	16
PLANT, SOIL AND WATER RELATIONS	17
FORESTS	18
WILDLIFE	18
METHODS AND TECHNIQUES	18
MISCELLANEOUS	19
THESES AND DISSERTATIONS	19
APPENDIX	20

A Summary of Research at The Manitou Experimental Forest in Colorado, 1937 – 1983

Howard L. Gary

INTRODUCTION

The Manitou Experimental Forest was established in 1936 to study problems of land use as they relate to management of natural resources of the Colorado Front Range ponderosa pine zone. The Experimental Forest, administered by the Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, is 28 miles northwest of Colorado Springs in Manitou Park, Colorado. The Forest covers about 26 square miles in the South Platte River watershed. This large watershed supplies a major share of water consumed by Denver and other cities along the foothills of the Front Range. This watershed and similar adjacent drainages also contribute water used for irrigation in some of the most productive and intensively cultivated lands in the western United States. The Experimental Forest location in the "tension zone" between the Rocky Mountains and the Plains is characteristic of much of the land area along the Front Range in Colorado and is thus suited to study forest influences; mountain home and associated recreation development and wildlife; proper management of ponderosa pine,² Douglas-fir, and mountain bunchgrass types; revegetation of abandoned and submarginal farm land; erosion control; and stream improvement. This report presents selected summaries of completed and current research.

PONDEROSA PINE LANDS

The ponderosa pine zone in the Front Range of the Rocky Mountains extends from southern Wyoming to northern New Mexico and encompasses approximately 4 million acres (fig. 1). These timbered lands include grassland parks, willow fields along streams, sagebrush and oak brush areas, and scattered cultivated fields. The land, vegetation, and climate of the Forest are typical of the eastern slope of the central Rocky Mountains.

LANDFORM AND GEOLOGY

The Experimental Forest is in a fault outlier about 30 miles long and 4 miles wide, flanked by the West Creek Range on the west and the Rampart Range on the east. The Forest is bisected by Trout Creek, a small perennial stream and tributary of the South Platte River. The eastern part (western exposure) of the Forest includes rugged mountains with narrow, steep-walled canyons. The

²All plant names mentioned are listed in the appendix.

Figure 1.—Distribution of ponderosa pine in Colorado (Love 1958).

western part includes mainly broad, gently rolling valleys and plateaus. Elevation ranges from about 7,500 to 9,300 feet.

Geologic erosion has exposed three sedimentary formations. The lowermost bed is the Sawatch quartzite, the next is Madison limestone, and the topmost is Fountain arkose. The Sawatch and Madison formations outcrop on the east side of the valley within a relatively narrow band. The Fountain arkose occurs chiefly on the east side of the valley; but, erosion pedestals up to 30 feet tall are scattered throughout the western part of the forest (fig. 2). Redeposition of alluvium at lower elevations has resulted in extensive crossbedding. The basement rock is coarse-textured Pikes Peak granite.

SOILS

Soils from biotite granite are by far the most extensive and occur in the rugged eastern half of the forest.³ The surface soils are light brown, shallow, gravelly, low in organic matter, infertile, slightly acidic, and less than 10 inches thick. The bedrock is reddish brown, disintegrating granite. Surface soils on the western side of the forest are brown to dark brown sandy or gravelly loams, ranging from 8 to 18 inches thick. Subsoils, when present, are usually reddish brown, have sandy or gravelly clay loam texture, and may extend to depths of 20 inches or more. Most soils on the forest are susceptible to severe erosion whenever vegetative cover is removed.

VEGETATION

Vegetation on the western part of the forest is mainly ponderosa pine in the overstory and bunchgrasses in the understory, with numerous scattered grassy openings or parks. Predominant bunchgrasses are mountain muhly and Arizona fescue. Abandoned fields have extensive stands of sleepygrass. Stringer meadows and willow thickets border Trout Creek. Similar vegetation grows on the eastern side of Trout Creek. Above about 8,000 feet elevation, the vegetation is dominated by stands of overmature ponderosa pine, Douglas-fir and aspen. Lodgepole pine grows at higher elevations along the eastern boundary of the forest. Some limber pine also is present. Engelmann spruce and blue spruce grow along the streambottoms and margins of wet meadows. Shrubs are scattered throughout the timber types.

CLIMATE

The "dry subhumid," distinctly continental climate is characteristic of most eastern slope areas in Colorado. Winters are usually dry and often cold for long periods. The highest monthly precipitation falls during the cool

³Retzer, John L. 1940. *Soils and physical conditions of the Manitou Experimental Forest*, 35 p. Unpublished manuscript, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.

Figure 2.—Pedestals of Fountain arkose along the western side of the forest.

Figure 3.—Means and extremes of monthly precipitation, 1937-1982.

summers. Annual precipitation at the forest headquarters (7,740 feet elevation) for the period 1937 through 1982 averaged 15.6 inches, and ranged from 7.6 inches in 1939 to 24.1 inches in 1969 (fig. 3). Seventy percent of the precipitation falls in the primary growing season, April through August. Snow may fall from late September to late May, and accounts for about 30% of the annual precipitation. High-intensity storms, which may produce runoff and erosion, occur most frequently during July and August. Storms producing surface runoff have been recorded each month from May through September.

Yearly mean temperature for the period 1942-1958 averaged 40.6° F. Mean monthly temperatures varied from 23.0° F in January to 60.8° F in July (fig. 4). Temperatures during the summer seldom exceed 90° F and extreme winter temperatures have been -40° F. On the average, there are 113 days in the growing season, and the frost-free period extends from about May 28 to September 18.

EARLY RESEARCH

Research first sought to develop better methods for management to perpetuate and restore the natural resources for long-term productivity. Range management and seeded pasture studies specifically sought to obtain maximum livestock production on native ponderosa pine-bunchgrass range and seeded pastures consistent with wise management of other resources. The watershed studies sought to determine how to revegetate depleted ponderosa pine watersheds to minimize flood and sedimentation damages from high intensity storms and to develop methods to improve water yields.

NATURAL REVEGETATION OF ABANDONED FIELDS

Warm summers and rainfall during the growing season favor relatively rapid herbaceous plant succes-

sion on the Forest. Natural revegetation of some 600 acres of abandoned croplands began with an initial invasion of an annual forb type, progressed through perennial forbs, mixed grasses and forbs to a subclimax grass community (fig. 5). The annual forb stage lasts only a few years and is characterized by a few species occurring in great abundance. The perennial forb stage, lasting from 7 to 10 years, is characterized by an increased number of perennial grasses and forbs, such as western wheatgrass and thistles. The mixed grass and forb stage is initially characterized by an abundance of sleepygrass and slender wheatgrass and may last 10 to 25 years. In the subclimax bunchgrass stage, mountain muhly and Arizona fescue are the dominant species. Ponderosa pine probably is the potential climax vegetation for most of the abandoned fields.

GRAZING MANAGEMENT

Ponderosa pine-bunchgrass ranges have been an important vegetation type for range cattle in Colorado for more than 100 years. The optimum level of grazing in relation to range utilization, herbage production, weight gain, other plant responses and soil condition was not known in the early 1950s. The first studies were designed to determine proper grazing intensity. Later studies determined the best grazing intensity and livestock management on seeded pastures and animal-plant interactions.

Native Pastures

Six experimental ponderosa pine-bunchgrass pastures, varying in size from 254 to 309 acres, were established in 1940. Two 2.5-acre enclosures were located within each pasture. Three grazing intensities—light, moderate and heavy cattle use—were applied to each of two pastures from 1941 to 1958. Utilization goals were based mainly on mountain muhly and Arizona fescue for the three grazing intensities—less than 20% removal of herbage produced for light, 30% to 40% for moderate, and over 50% for heavy grazing. The pastures were grazed five months each year, from June 1 through October 31, using yearling heifers (Hereford or Aberdeen Angus).

Herbage Production

Production of palatable grasses and sedges over the six pastures averaged 250 pounds of air-dry forage per

Figure 4.—Monthly mean temperatures, 1942-1958 (Berndt 1960).

Figure 5.—Natural plant succession on abandoned fields. A, *Stipa-Agropyron* community in a field abandoned 15 years; and B, Subclimax grass community on a field abandoned 62 years (Johnson 1945).

acre in 1938 and increased to 344 pounds per acre in 1942, the first year after beginning the grazing trials. About 43% of the herbage was mountain muhly. Near the end of the grazing study, in 1957, average herbage yields on light- and moderate-use pastures were slightly higher in 1957 than in 1942 and 1947 (fig. 6). On heavily grazed pastures, production dropped from 351 pounds per acre in 1942 to 195 pounds in 1947, and 148 pounds in 1957. Differential grazing also created marked differences in range condition (fig. 7).

Use of Native Plants

Utilization of the six most commonly used species on the native pastures was estimated in 1950 and 1952, 6 and 8 years after starting the grazing intensity trials. Arizona fescue and mountain muhly furnished 94% of the forage on lightly and moderately grazed pastures. Little bluestem and sun sedge produced 5% of the forage. Blue grama was grazed sparingly under light and moderate grazing. Fringed sagebrush, not used under light grazing, received only 3% use under moderate grazing. Utilization of all six species on heavily grazed pastures was greater than on more lightly cropped pastures. Arizona fescue and mountain muhly

received the most use; however, these species furnished only 68% of the forage. Mountain muhly was the most productive species, while Arizona fescue was considerably reduced by prolonged close grazing.

Grazing and Plant Responses

In the grassland vegetation type, perennial grass and sedge cover nearly doubled when grasslands were grazed lightly, increased by one-third under moderate use, and decreased nearly one-half under heavy grazing. Increases in perennial grasses and sedges indicated plant succession was largely responsible for changes in cover. Understory perennial grasses and forbs within both open and dense stands of timber showed a decreasing trend under all intensities of grazing, apparently in response to closure of the pine canopy.

Weight Gains on Native Pastures

Seasonal cattle gains from 1943 through 1949, under about average annual precipitation, were 231, 221, and 176 pounds, under light, moderate and heavy use, respectively (fig. 8). The years 1950 through 1955 were characterized by drought, and cattle were removed from the pastures before October. Therefore, seasonal gains for this period were less. Cattle gained most during the first 2 or 3 months of the grazing season, when nutritional value of vegetation was highest. The proportion of gain made early in the season was directly related to grazing intensity.

Figure 6.—Grass and sedge yield (pounds) as affected by grazing intensity (Smith 1967).

Figure 7.—Range condition on the native pastures. A, lightly grazed—one heifer per 25.5 acres; B, moderately grazed—one heifer per 14.5 acres; and C, heavily grazed—one heifer per 12.5 acres (adapted from Smith 1967).

Seeded Pastures

In the Front Range pine type, natural recovery of abandoned farmlands and depleted pastures through plant succession can take decades even with no grazing. Seeding with introduced grasses and legumes in many cases can convert abandoned farmlands and depleted ranges to productive range within a few years. Much early research dealt with planting techniques, species adaptability and grazing intensity trials.

Species Adaptability

Seedings during the fall or early spring, when moisture was available for seed germination, showed about equal success for obtaining good stands of seeded grass on abandoned farmlands and/or depleted open grassland parks. Species most promising in adaptability to climate and soil conditions 3 to 8 years after seeding were crested wheatgrass, Sherman big bluegrass, smooth brome, intermediate wheatgrass, Russian wildrye, and yellow sweetclover.

Forage Yield of Seeded Species

Maximum forage production on abandoned fields seeded to crested wheatgrass, smooth brome, intermediate wheatgrass, Russian wildrye, and a mixture of crested wheatgrass, smooth brome and yellow sweetclover was generally greatest the third to fifth year after seeding (fig. 9). Precipitation during the growing season accounted for most of the difference in forage production and may have been the main limiting vari-

Figure 8.—Average monthly and seasonal gains under different grazing intensities (Smith 1967).

Figure 9.—A 4-year-old seeded stand of intermediate wheatgrass produced over 1,000 pounds of air-dry herbage per acre.

able. Average forage production from 1948 through 1959 ranged from 1,358 pounds for the mixture to 663 pounds for smooth brome. Forage production of Sherman big bluegrass seeded in 1957 ranged from 2,394 pounds per acre under 16.3 inches of moisture in 1961, to 533 pounds under 15 inches of moisture in 1965.

Grazing Intensity on Seeded Pastures

Intensity of grazing studies were conducted from 1948 through 1959 on 10-acre seeded pastures. The pastures included those seeded to crested wheatgrass, smooth brome, intermediate wheatgrass, Russian wildrye, and a mixture of crested wheatgrass, smooth brome and yellow sweetclover. Grazing use was based on average stubble heights of 1 and 2 inches, two levels of heavy use (65–85% utilization), and 4 and 6 inches, one level of intermediate (45% utilization) and light use (33% utilization), respectively.

More than twice as many heifer days of grazing per acre were obtained during a favorable growing season such as 1957 compared with a dry season such as 1954 (fig. 10). The most pronounced increase in use was on the more heavily grazed units. Crested wheatgrass, for example, was grazed from 45 to 48 days longer in 1957 than in 1954 on units grazed to 1- and 2-inch stubble heights. The mixture pasture was primarily crested wheatgrass in the final years of research. Animal days of grazing on smooth brome and intermediate wheatgrass were noticeably lower after heavy grazing in 1959 than in 1957; these species were not recommended for seeding in pure stands on depleted dry upland sites. Grazing to 3-inch stubble height was recommended for Russian wildrye, to avoid development of ungrazed wolf plants and eventual overgrazed local areas.

Weight Gains on Seeded Pastures

The mixture and crested wheatgrass pastures grazed to selected stubble heights provided the best sustained daily weight gain for yearling heifers (fig. 11). Smooth brome and intermediate wheatgrass stands provided

good daily gains and per acre gains early in the study; but overall, these species gradually deteriorated under all levels of use and were not suited to seeding in pure stands. Weight gains on Russian wildrye were relatively low compared to the mixture, crested wheatgrass and big bluegrass; but, this grass provided a source of forage during early spring. Beef production on Sherman big bluegrass pastures was superior to the other seeded species for gains per acre. Gains averaged about 75 pounds more than on a nearby moderately grazed native pasture. The high potential productive capacities of seeded pastures should be considered for incorporation into Front Range livestock management programs.

Management and Grazed Plant Relations

Other studies determined cattle responses to supplemental feeding, nutritive quality of seeded and native pastures and grazing trials and forage yield.

Supplemental Feeding on Seeded and Native Pastures

The responses of weaner calves were evaluated on seeded stands of Sherman big bluegrass, with and without protein supplement; and on native ponderosa

Figure 11.—Average weight gains of yearling heifers on seeded pastures, 1948-1959 (adapted in part from Currie and Smith, 1970).

pine-bunchgrass pastures plus protein supplement during late fall, winter and early spring grazing seasons from 1967 to 1971. Calves made small weight gains on all pastures during the fall, but usually lost weight during the winter and spring. On a per acre basis, gains from big bluegrass without supplement nearly equaled those of native grass plus 0.50 pounds of protein supplement per calf per day. With either 0.25 or 0.50 pounds of protein supplement per day, gains per acre on seeded big bluegrass pastures were double those obtained on native pasture.

Nutritive Quality of Cattle Diets

The nutritive quality of forage available to two 12-cow herds on native pasture year-long and on native plus seeded pastures was determined from 1965 to 1966. One fistulated steer was incorporated into each herd. Crude protein in the diets of the two cow herds, assumed to be similar to steer diets, followed seasonal trends largely indicative of the effects of forage maturity (fig. 12). Levels were high in early spring, when forage plants were young and growing rapidly, then declined throughout the summer and autumn as the forage matured. The herd grazing the seeded pasture in the spring had an advantage of high protein levels approximately 30 days earlier than did the herd grazing native pastures. When the two herds were separated in late autumn, dietary protein levels of the herd grazing seeded Sherman big bluegrass rose sharply, whereas protein in the diet of the herd grazing native pasture continued to decline. Botanical composition of diets on the native pasture reflected heterogeneity of the pasture rather than preference for certain plant species.

Grazing Trials on Crested Wheatgrass

Forage yield differences on pastures seeded to crested wheatgrass mainly resulted from amount of

Figure 10.—Days of grazing on seeded pastures based on utilization stubble height at end of season (Currie and Smith 1970).

Figure 12.—Annual trends of dietary crude protein in forage samples ingested by fistulated steers (Malechek 1966).

Figure 13.—Heifer response on crested wheatgrass pastures grazed seasonally (Currie 1970).

moisture received during the growing season, and not from seasonal grazing. Yields on spring-grazed pastures varied in response to April precipitation. Production on fall-grazed pastures varied in response to May-June moisture. Seasonal gains were not an intensity factor, but were related to season of grazing (fig. 13). The heifers consistently made good gains only during spring grazing.

WATERSHED MANAGEMENT RESEARCH

Because of sporadic precipitation, early watershed management studies dealt primarily with ground cover, infiltration, surface runoff and erosion from soils developed from granitic and other geologic materials.

Gully Control

Before establishment of the Experimental Forest, labor intensive erosion control measures were undertaken by the U.S. Civilian Conservation Corps. The effectiveness of their early gully control structures and deep-

1936

1981

Figure 14.—Log check dams eventually fail and trapped sediments are washed downstream (1936, 1981).

ening of gullies are of practical interest. Temporary control structures made of logs accomplished their intended objectives for many years, but eventually failed (fig. 14). Many of the check dams constructed of loose rock and mesh wire and keyed into gully banks and into the channel are still intact and have controlled gully erosion (fig. 15). Most gully systems in the forest can be traced to old wagon road ruts and cow trails in valley bottoms. Many such gullies are still active and will continue to slowly deepen and advance upslope indefinitely unless controlled (fig. 16).

Source of Stream Sediments

Upstream channel and gully erosion contributes to the sediment load carried by Trout Creek and to sedimentation of Manitou Lake. After completing the dam for Manitou Lake in 1937, the water storage capacity of the lake was about 93 acre-feet. In 1948, the capacity had been reduced by two-thirds, and approximately 60 acre-feet of sediment had been deposited in the lake. Total

sediments above and below the spillway level was about 200 acre-feet, with channel deposits as much as 1 mile upstream. The drainage area above the lake is about 69 square miles. An estimate of total sediment contribution from the drainage area is 18.2 acre-feet per year, or 0.26 acre-feet per square mile annually. An example of sedimentation and streambank cutting is illustrated in figure 17.

Bunchgrass Runoff Plots

Watershed management studies started in 1937 utilized runoff plots (fig. 18). The main purpose was to determine the influence of gravelly alluvium (outwash of Pikes Peak granite) and grazing use on surface runoff and erosion. In the period 1937 through 1952, yearly precipitation ranged from 7.6 to 24.0 inches, and averaged 15.6 inches. Annual forage production averaged about 900 pounds per acre. After a 4-year period of non-use, runoff and erosion amounts were assessed. A heavy and moderate cattle grazing treatment was then applied. For 12 summers of grazing average runoff ranged from 0.34 inches on the heavily grazed plots to 0.11 inches on the ungrazed plots (fig. 19). Average annual soil losses varied from 134 pounds on ungrazed plots to 316 pounds per acre on heavily grazed plots. The model cloudburst storm causing erosion on the runoff plots was 0.96 inches of precipitation. Such storms occur once or twice during the summer, and produce effects shown in figure 20.

Ponderosa Pine Watersheds

Six contiguous watersheds, ranging from 1 to 2 acres in size, on granitic alluvium and draining to the west were instrumented in 1938 to determine the influence of ponderosa pine (75–100 years old) and its removal on surface runoff and sediment yields. The net result was conversion to grass cover with scattered colonies of aspen, and brush (fig. 21). Average surface runoff dur-

Figure 15.—A rock and wire check dam installed in 1936 was effective in 1983.

Figure 16.—Erosion problems on the Forest. A, an abandoned road; and B, active headcutting in an old cow trail. This gully has advanced 55 feet upslope in 33 years.

Figure 17.—Sedimentation over the Trout Creek floodplain. The stream channel has shifted many times during floods. In 1980, remains of cross-ties for a railroad abandoned in 1887 were 3 feet below the soil surface.

Figure 18.—Native bunchgrass surface runoff plots. The horizontal area of each plot is 0.01 acre.

Figure 19.—Average runoff and erosion from summer storms on bunchgrass runoff plots (Dunford 1954).

Grazing Results In — RUNOFF SOIL LOSS

Figure 20.—Average soil loss and surface runoff from the model summer storm of 0.96 inches (Love and Johnson, 1952).

ing the first 6 years after type conversion increased from 2 to 5 times pretreatment runoff. Thereafter, the rapid increase and spread of native bunchgrasses provided almost complete protection against surface runoff and erosion. The erosion caused by rainstorms was erratic before and after type conversion and was of little practical significance. Only a small amount of sediment has been caught in runoff tanks at the mouth of the drainages in the past 30 years.

Infiltration on Native Pastures

Infiltration of precipitation was determined by rainfall-simulators (2.5 ft² of surface area) on six native range pastures from 1941 through 1954. The main purposes were to evaluate the relation of plant cover to infiltration and how these were affected by soil properties and cattle grazing.

Infiltration, Plant Cover and Soil Properties

Litter was the only vegetation factor consistently associated with infiltration. The value of litter in increasing infiltration and reducing surface runoff is well established. Based on 108 infiltration runs in all cover types, during 1941, 1946 and 1952, the quantity of dead organic material was significantly correlated with higher infiltration rates. Infiltration averaged 2.50, 1.78 and 1.15 inches per hour under pine-litter, pine-grass and grassland, respectively. Sand content, soil fraction passing a 2-mm screen, was the best indicator of the influence of texture on infiltration. Noncapillary pore volume was the most important soil factor related to infiltration during all years of study.

Infiltration After Protection from Grazing

Infiltration rates determined in 1941, at 24 random locations stratified by cover types, in the native pasture exclosures, and at 108 sites in the six native range pastures, were not statistically different. Differences inside and outside the exclosures in subsequent years were assumed to be the result of protection from grazing (fig. 22). Regardless of grazing intensity, infiltration rate did

A

B

C

Figure 21.—Ponderosa pine watershed F. A, north aspect before treatment in 1948; B, two years after logging and slash burning, 1950; and C, in 1983.

not materially improve under any intensity of grazing. Only complete protection from cattle grazing appeared to improve infiltration rate.

Runoff from Missouri Gulch Watershed

Measurements of precipitation and streamflow were obtained from the Missouri Gulch watershed from 1940 through 1958, to gain information on water yield and its relation to precipitation. No other perennial streams have been gaged in the Experimental Forest (fig. 23).

Watershed Description

The watershed covers about 4,600 acres in the northeastern part of the forest, and has 6.1 miles of live channel. Elevation ranges from about 7,300 to 9,300 feet. Slopes range from 10% to 60%, and residual soils are mainly gravelly sandy loams and stony loams. Plant cover is mainly ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir, with lodgepole pine at the higher elevations, and Engelmann spruce and aspen along the streams. Scattered brush, grass, erosion pavement, and bare rock also are present.

Precipitation and Streamflow

Annual precipitation on the watershed ranged from 12.2 inches in 1950 to 27.1 inches in 1957, with a mean of 18.2 inches for 19 years of record. Seasonal precipitation was greatest during April through August (fig. 24). Streamflow was lowest during the winter and early spring, rose sharply to late spring peaks, and decreased gradually thereafter. Maximum peak flows resulting from snowmelt occurred as early as April 21 and as late as May 14. Generally, more than 60% of the seasonal runoff left the watershed in April and May, but it varied greatly from year to year (fig. 25). Proportion of water year precipitation becoming streamflow ranged from 3.4% to 13.3%, with an average of 7.9% for the period 1952 through 1958.

CURRENT RESEARCH

REGENERATION OF PONDEROSA PINE

A long-term study was started in 1980 to compare and quantify shelterwood and seed-tree cutting methods for regeneration of new even-aged patches and stands of ponderosa pine (fig. 26).⁴ The information is expected to help provide management guidelines to regenerate over-mature even-aged patches and stands. Scarified and unscarified site preparation treatments were used to study natural and artificial regeneration. Survival of natural seedlings after two growing seasons is presented in figure 27. Survival of planted 2-0 stock, after two grow-

⁴Personal communication with S. E. McElderry, Research Forester, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.

Figure 22.—Comparison of infiltration trends in exclosures with those under grazed pastures (adapted from Dortignac and Love 1961).

Figure 23.—Combination San Dimas flume and broadcrested weir gaging station near the mouth of Missouri Gulch.

Figure 24.—Monthly mean precipitation and streamflow for Missouri Gulch watershed, 1940–1958 (Berndt 1960).

Figure 25.—Seasonal streamflow extremes for the Missouri Gulch watershed (Love 1958).

Figure 26.—Shelterwood and seed-tree plots in ponderosa pine (shelterwood, seed tree).

ing seasons, was greater on scarified plots than on unscarified plots. Seedfall and germination, determined from seed trap contents, varied greatly the first 2 years. Seedfall was two times greater the first year, and germination percentage was 38 times greater.

INITIAL TREE SPACING AND GROWTH OF PONDEROSA PINE

A long-term study was begun in 1983 to determine the effects of initial tree spacing on growth of young ponderosa pine (fig. 28).⁵ Height and diameter growth as well as crown width and length will be measured for at least 30 years. Results of this study are expected to provide guidelines for optimum planting densities for artificial regeneration and for management of young, even-aged, naturally regenerated stands of ponderosa pine.

PROVENANCE TESTING OF PONDEROSA PINE

A 20-year plantation study was started in 1981 in cooperation with Colorado State University. The main objectives are to determine the relative performance and evaluate patterns of survival, growth, phenology and morphology of ponderosa pine trees derived from 75 seed collection zones delineated for Colorado. Another

⁵Personal communication with C. B. Edminster, Mensurationist, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.

Figure 28.—Shaded ponderosa pine seedlings, initial spacing and growth study.

objective is to determine the degree to which seed can be used in other seed collection zones. Measurements during and after the growing season include height, diameter, growth cessation, and growth resumption. Other measurements include insect, disease, animal and weather damage.

GROWTH AND YIELD OF MANAGED PLANTATIONS

Another long-term study was started in 1981 to compare growth responses of even-aged, pole-sized ponderosa pine over a wide range of growing stock levels (GSL's).⁵ Diameters, basal areas, heights, volumes, numbers of live trees, growing stock levels, and dwarf mistletoe ratings will be remeasured at 5-year intervals and will be compared with changes predicted by existing growth and yield models (fig. 29). These data will provide a basis for formulating silvicultural prescriptions, management guidelines, and verification of existing growth and yield models for even-aged stands of ponderosa pine.

GROWTH AND YIELD IN UNEVEN-AGED PONDEROSA PINE

In many areas where scenic and recreation use predominate, a major management goal is to maintain uneven-aged stands of ponderosa pine.⁵ The uneven-aged stands are characterized by trees of many sizes, intermingled singly or in groups. Growth predictions for these uneven-aged stands are needed to control management activities and to evaluate interactions among resources. The objective for one ongoing study is to develop a generalized technique suitable for use in simulations. The new techniques will be applicable to stands managed as uneven-aged stands, and uneven-aged stands that will eventually be converted to even-aged structure. The measurement of individual tree variables has been completed, and growth prediction functions are being developed.

DWARF MISTLETOE CONTROL IN PONDEROSA PINE

Dwarf mistletoe-infected ponderosa pine trees are removed in all timber sales on the Experimental Forest.⁵ Since 1974, more than 60,000 ponderosa pine and 10,500 Douglas-fir seedlings have been planted in areas clearcut to control mistletoe. Studies are underway in past timber sale areas to determine optimum tree thinning levels to slow the spread of dwarf mistletoes, and to minimize damage from other tree diseases. A computer program, RMYLD, for ponderosa pine, provides a tool to aid foresters in managing mistletoe-infected and healthy forests.

Figure 29.—Ponderosa pine in a 1936 plantation on site index 50 land and thinned in 1981. Photographs taken 1983 (GSL-40, GSL-80, GSL-120).

PONDEROSA PINE AND UNDERSTORY PLANT GROWTH

Studies are underway to determine the long-term influence of thinning pine canopies to various basal areas on growth and production of understory vegetation.⁵ Fourteen permanent plots were established in the open-timber, native range pastures on the basis of basal area of ponderosa pine and understory vegetation. Basal areas range from about 25 to 90 square feet per acre. Production of understory vegetation is estimated with a heterodyne vegetation meter and by weighing forage clipped from subplots. Production estimates and phenology are also determined from time to time for each of the major forage plants—mountain muhly, Arizona fescue, blue grama, fringed sagebrush, and sun sedge.

HABITAT USE AND REQUIREMENTS OF FLAMMULATED OWLS

Little is known about the flammulated owl's (fig. 30) habitat requirements, other than they seem to be associated with mature conifer forests, and are perhaps eliminated in logged areas where cavity trees and other elements are removed. A study has been conducted to determine breeding densities and habitat affinities in an old-growth ponderosa pine—Douglas-fir forest.⁶ The owls migrate to the Experimental Forest about the first week in May, and select nest sites in cavities in old mature trees and snags. They migrate again in mid-October. They are insectivorous, and their diets include moths, beetles, grasshoppers, crickets, large flies and spiders.

Two years of telemetry study on seven nesting pairs indicated home ranges varied in size from 8 to 25 ha. Principal determinant of home range size appears to be patchiness of habitats or the intermixing of young dense stands of Douglas-fir, aspen, blue spruce along with mature ponderosa pine—Douglas-fir stands. The highest density of nesting pairs occurs in continuous stands of mature ponderosa pine—Douglas-fir. The owls tend to intensively forage in one to four open patches, about 0.1 to 1.4 ha each, within old-growth stands in their home range. The distance from forage areas to nests has ranged from 20 to 400 m. These and other data will provide a base with which to compare the ecology and population density of the owls in managed forests.

CATTLE GRAZING AND WATER QUALITY

The effect of seasonal cattle grazing on water quality is under study in pastures bisected by Trout Creek. Selected water quality parameters are measured in the stream above and below the cattle. The distribution and frequency of waste discharges and weight estimates of manure deposited near the stream have provided general evidence as to how streams may be enriched and/or

⁶Personal communication with R. T. Reynolds, Wildlife Biologist, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.

polluted by cattle. Results to date have shown minor local decreases in water quality, mainly bacteriological properties, when cattle are present. Based on moderate rates of grazing and generally adequate streamflow for manure and urine dilution, permanent removal of cattle does not appear to be necessary for good water quality maintenance.

POLLUTION INDICATOR BACTERIA IN LAKE AND STREAM WATER

Studies are underway to quantify the impact of lake-based recreation and mountain home development on surface water quality. Densities of indicator bacteria, such as total coliforms, fecal coliforms, and fecal streptococci in water, provide one measure of water quality. These bacteria are eliminated in large numbers in fecal waste, and their presence usually indicates that intestinal waste products have reached a water source.

Manitou Lake

The effect of lake-based recreation on water quality has been examined at Manitou Lake, a 12-acre reservoir, at the south end of the Experimental Forest. Daily visitor use starting in May ranges from none during stormy weather to several hundred during peak use. Recreational use at the lake (fig. 31) has not degraded water quality, based on densities of indicator bacteria. From July 1 to July 7, 1976, which included a period of major recreational use, fecal coliform densities in water entering Manitou Lake averaged 99 colonies per 100 ml of water. Fecal coliform densities leaving the lake averaged 2 colonies per 100 ml of water. Water quality actually improved from the lake inlet to the outlet, perhaps because of detention time and/or ultraviolet radiation.

Domestic Water Supply

Indicator bacteria in the domestic water supply at the forest headquarters have occasionally exceeded the state primary standard (less than 1 fecal coliform per

Figure 31.—Visitors at noon for selected Thursdays and Sundays at Manitou Lake, summer 1976 (Ponce and Gary 1979).

100 ml of water) for safe drinking water. Groundwater and streamflow from nearby Hotel Gulch is intercepted by a perforated steel pipe about 6 feet below the stream-bottom. The water collects in an underground concrete storage tank, and is conveyed to the headquarters through a pipeline. In mid-August counts of fecal streptococci indicator bacteria in the water supply, apparently from wildlife and insects, often averaged 150 colonies per 100 ml of water. The presence of these bacteria in the domestic water supply indicates incomplete filtering of biological pollutants through the coarse textured granitic alluvium near the water intake pipe. Incomplete filtering of biological pollutants near shallow domestic wells and springs likely occurs over a wide area of the Front Range.

AQUATIC BIOTA IN TROUT CREEK

Surveys of aquatic biota, mainly macroinvertebrates, algae, and fish, have been conducted periodically since 1976 in Trout Creek.

Macroinvertebrates

Aquatic macroinvertebrates (underwater stages of aquatic insects) are sensitive to subtle chemical and physical changes in stream water. Because of low motility, they provide a natural monitor and quantitative means of assessing effects of erosion from road and home construction, sewage and other waste discharges, streamside shade removal, and other land uses. Most families and species of aquatic insects in the Ephemeroptera (mayflies), Plecoptera (stoneflies), and Trichoptera (caddisflies) orders are indicators of clean water conditions. Their composition in macroinvertebrate communities varies according to site (fig. 32). The absence of stoneflies below the Manitou Lake dam probably is related to the influence of the lake, because they increase with distance both above and downstream from the lake. The Diptera (true flies) order contains many families and species which tolerate a wide range

Figure 30.—Flammulated owl parent and fledglings. Adult height is about 6 inches, and wingspan 14 inches (photograph courtesy of Art Wolfe).

of environmental conditions. A total of 78 taxa of aquatic macroinvertebrates have been collected and identified in Trout Creek.

Algae

Pollution discharges into streams may enrich water and stimulate the surface growth or "blooms" of algae and affect water use. Composition of algae in Trout Creek varies according to site and season. A total of 57 genera from Bacillariophyta, Chlorophyta, Chrysophyta, Cyanophyta, Euglenophyta and Rhodophyta divisions have been collected. Chlorophyta (green algae) is the most abundant division collected at a site 2.5 miles above Manitou Lake. The genus *Cladophora*, typical filamentous green alga, is dominant, and its presence usually indicates high nutrient concentration in streams. Rhodophyta (red algae) is the most prevalent division at the lake inlet in late summer. Bacillariophyta (diatoms) is the most abundant division collected below the lake; but, green algae is more prevalent in the fall—possibly because of cooler temperatures and reduction of diatom populations. The Chlorophyta division, mainly *Cladophora*, is also most common 1.9 miles below Manitou Lake.

Fish

The abundance and composition of fish fauna in Trout Creek has been determined by DC electro-shocking and seining at selected study sites. Brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) is the dominant species 2.5 miles above Manitou Lake; but, its relative abundance is greatly reduced near the lake inlet and below the dam. Relative populations also increase 1.9 miles below the dam. Speckled dace (*Rhinichthys osculus*) and sand shiner (*Notropis stramineus*) are most common near the inlet of Manitou Lake. The greatest diversity of fish is found below Manitou Lake dam and where many western long-nose suckers (*Catostomus catostomus* and white suckers (*C. commersoni*) are found.

WATER QUALITY IN THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

Water quality in "near pristine" streams, such as upper Hotel Gulch and Missouri Gulch on the Forest, may provide benchmarks with which to gage human impact on more highly developed land areas, such as the upstream areas along Trout Creek. Seasonal samples of stream water from the pristine streams (elevation about 8,700 feet) and from the main stream of Trout Creek (elevation about 7,700 feet), below diversely developed areas, indicate higher chemical pollution levels in Trout Creek. Low seasonal dissolved solids are associated with spring rains and/or snowmelt runoff, and high total dissolved solids with low baseflows in the fall. Fecal coliform densities in grazed sections along Trout Creek average about 14 times greater than fecal coliform densities found in the streams originating in the Hotel Gulch and Missouri Gulch natural areas.

ADMINISTRATION

The facilities of the Manitou Experimental Forest are used from time to time for training schools, graduate field work, and field meetings of forestry and conservation societies. Research at the Experimental Forest is coordinated with research elsewhere to provide knowledge about many interrelated uses of forest lands. Because research deals with the effect of use on renewable resources, it requires a long time to accomplish. Opportunities are extensive for graduate students to undertake fundamental research in the conservation and use of natural resources. Arrangements may be made through colleges, universities, foundations, or other interested groups and the USDA Forest Service on a cooperative basis. Visitors are always welcome. To obtain more detailed published information about the experimental work send requests to Director, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, 240 West Prospect Street, Fort Collins, Colorado 80526.

Figure 32.—Percentage composition of macroinvertebrates by density for Trout Creek above and below Manitou Park Lake (adapted from Short et al. 1978).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

NATIVE PASTURES

- Costello, David F. 1944. Efficient cattle production on Colorado ranges. p. 11-16. Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 383-A, 16 p.
- Currie, Pat O. 1975. Grazing management of ponderosa pine-bunchgrass ranges of the central Rocky Mountains: The status of our knowledge. USDA Forest Service Research Paper RM-159, 24 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Currie, Pat O. 1976. Recovery of ponderosa pine-bunchgrass ranges through grazing and herbicide or fertilizer treatments. *Journal of Range Management* 29:444-448.
- Currie, Pat O. 1978. Cattle weight gain comparisons under season long and rotation grazing systems. p. 579-580. In *Proceedings of the first international rangeland congress*. Donald N. Hyder, editor. [Denver, Colo., August 14-18, 1978] 742 p. Society of Range Management, Denver, Colo.
- Johnson, W. M. 1943. The proper time to sell cattle off the range. *American Cattle Producer* 25:7-9.
- Johnson, W. M. 1943. Do steers gain faster than heifers? *Western Farm Life* 45:3.
- Johnson, W. M. 1944. Studies show cattle shrink 47 pounds in corral overnight. *Western Farm Life* 46:6.
- Johnson, W. M. 1944. It pays to stock your ranges conservatively. *The Westerner* 7:7, 40-41.
- Johnson, W. M. 1945. Natural revegetation of abandoned crop land in the ponderosa pine zone of the Pike's Peak region in Colorado. *Ecology* 26:363-374.
- Johnson, W. M. 1947. Grass - the West's greatest commodity. *The Westerner* 11:48-49.
- Johnson, W. M. 1953. Effect of grazing intensity upon vegetation and cattle gains on ponderosa pine-bunchgrass ranges of the Front Range of Colorado. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Circular 929, 36 p. Washington, D.C.
- Johnson, W. M. 1956. The effect of grazing intensity on plant composition, vigor, and growth of pine-bunchgrass ranges in central Colorado. *Ecology* 37:790-798.
- Johnson, W. M., and Elbert H. Reid. 1958. Herbage utilization on pine-bunchgrass ranges of Colorado. *Journal of Forestry* 56:647-651.
- Johnson, W. M., and Elbert H. Reid. 1964. Range condition classification of bunchgrass range at the Manitou Experimental Forest in Colorado. *Journal of Range Management* 17:137-141.
- Klippel, G. E. 1946. Natural recovery from cropland. *Green Thumb* 3:10-11.
- Malechek, John C. 1966. Cattle diets on native and seeded ranges in the ponderosa pine zone of Colorado. USDA Forest Service Research Note RM-77, 12 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.

Smith, Dwight R. 1967. Effects of cattle grazing on a ponderosa pine-bunchgrass range in Colorado. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Technical Bulletin 1371, 60 p. Washington, D.C.

SEEDED PASTURES

- Currie, Pat O. 1962. Rangeland research at Manitou. p. 73. *Proceedings Society of American Foresters* [Atlanta, Ga., October 21-24, 1962] 200 p. Washington, D.C.
- Currie, Pat O., and Geraldine Peterson. 1966. Using growing-season precipitation to predict crested wheatgrass yields. *Journal of Range Management* 19:284-288.
- Currie, Pat O. 1966. Seeded range improves calf weaning weights and profits. *Colorado Rancher and Farmer* 20(6):5.
- Currie, Pat O. 1967. Seeding Sherman big bluegrass. *Journal of Range Management* 20:133-136.
- Currie, Pat O. 1969. Plant response and cattle gains on Sherman big bluegrass. *Journal of Range Management* 22:258-261.
- Currie, Pat O. 1969. Use seeded ranges in your management. *Journal of Range Management* 22:432-434.
- Currie, Pat O. 1970. Influence of spring, fall, and spring-fall grazing on crested wheatgrass range. *Journal of Range Management* 23:103-108.
- Currie, Pat O., and Dwight R. Smith. 1970. Response of seeded ranges to different grazing intensities in the ponderosa pine zone of Colorado. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Production Research Report 112, 41 p. Washington, D.C.
- Currie, Pat O. 1975. Plant response and livestock weight changes on big bluegrass range grazed during late fall, winter, and early spring. *Journal of Range Management* 28:340-343.
- Haferkamp, M. R., and P. O. Currie. 1973. Effects of fertilizer on root strength of Sherman big bluegrass (*Poa ampla* Merr.). *Agronomy Journal* 65:511-512.
- Hervey, D. F. and W. M. Johnson. 1954. Don't overlook Russian wildrye. *Colorado Farm and Home Research* 5:3,4,11.
- Hull, A. C. Jr., and Johnson, W. M. 1955. Range seeding in the ponderosa pine zone in Colorado. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Circular 953, 40 p. Washington, D.C.
- Johnson, W. M. 1947. Return of abandoned fields to forage production can be hastened by reseeding. *Colorado A & M News* 1:6, Fort Collins.
- Johnson, W. M. 1948. More grass from an acre. *Colorado Rancher and Farmer* 2:25.
- Johnson, W. M. and A. C. Hull, Jr. 1949. Range forage species for seeding in ponderosa pine areas. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Research Note 5, 3 p. Fort Collins, Colo.
- Johnson, W. M. and A. C. Hull, Jr. 1950. How to reseed parks and openings in the ponderosa pine zone in Colorado. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Station Paper 3, 14 p. Fort Collins, Colo.

- Johnson, W. M. 1951. Which grass is best? *American Cattle Producer* 32:11-13,26.
- Johnson, Wallace M. 1954. Supplements on fall range. *Colorado Rancher and Farmer* 8(14):10.
- Johnson, W. M. 1955. The place of research in range management. *Ames Forester* 42:14-16.
- Johnson, W. M. 1959. Grazing intensity trials on seeded ranges in the ponderosa pine zone of Colorado. *Journal of Range Management* 12:1-7.
- Smith, Dwight R. 1959. How you graze makes the difference. *American Hereford Journal* 49:112-113, 116.
- Smith, Dwight R., and L. D. Love. 1960. Moderate grazing maintains forage yields—grows fatter cattle. *Colorado Rancher and Farmer* 14(2):5.

PLANT, SOIL AND WATER RELATIONS

- Berndt, Herbert W., and Robert D. Gibbons. 1958. Root distribution of some native trees and understory plants growing on three sites within ponderosa pine watersheds in Colorado. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Station Paper 37, 14 p. Fort Collins, Colo.
- Berndt, Herbert W. 1960. Precipitation and streamflow of a Colorado Front Range watershed. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Station Paper 47, 14 p. Fort Collins, Colo.
- Berndt, Herbert W. 1961. Some influences of timber cutting on snow accumulation in the Colorado Front Range. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Research Note 58, 3 p. Fort Collins, Colo.
- Currie, Pat O., and Howard L. Gary. 1978. Grazing and logging effects on soil surface changes in central Colorado's ponderosa pine type. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 33:176-178.
- Currie, Pat O., and Frederick L. Hammer. 1979. Detecting depth and lateral spread of roots of native range plants using radioactive phosphorous. *Journal of Range Management* 32:101-103.
- Dortignac, E. J., and L. D. Love. 1960. Relation of plant cover to infiltration and erosion in ponderosa pine forests of Colorado. *Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers* 3:58-61.
- Dortignac, E. J. and L. D. Love. 1961. Infiltration studies on ponderosa pine ranges of Colorado. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Station Paper No. 59, 34 p. Fort Collins, Colo.
- Dunford, E. G. 1949. Relation of grazing to runoff and erosion on bunchgrass ranges. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Research Note No. 7, 2 p. Fort Collins, Colo.
- Dunford, E. G. 1954. Surface runoff and erosion from pine grasslands of the Colorado Front Range. *Journal of Forestry* 52:923-927.
- Erickson, David C., Howard L. Gary, S. M. Morrison, and Glen Sanford. 1982. Pollution indicator bacteria in stream and potable water supply of the Manitou Experimental Forest, Colorado. USDA Forest Service Research Note RM-415, 7 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Gary, Howard L. 1975. Watershed management problems and opportunities for the Colorado Front Range ponderosa pine zone: The status of our knowledge. USDA Forest Service Research Paper RM-139, 32 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Gary, Howard L. and Pat O. Currie. 1977. The Front Range pine type: A 40-year photographic record of plant recovery on an abused watershed. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-46, 17 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Gary, Howard L. 1982. Stream water quality in a small commercial campground in Colorado. *Journal of Environmental Health* 45:5-12.
- Gary, Howard L., Steven R. Johnson, and Stanley L. Ponce. 1983. Impact of cattle grazing on the surface water quality of a Colorado Front Range stream. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 38:124-128.
- Heede, Burchard H. 1960. A study of early gully-control structures in the Colorado Front Range. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Station Paper 55, 42 p. Fort Collins, Colo.
- Heede, Burchard H. 1967. The fusion of discontinuous gullies—a case study. *International Association Scientific Hydrology Bulletin* 12:42-50.
- Johnson, Steven R., Howard L. Gary, and Stanley L. Ponce. 1978. Range cattle impacts on stream water quality in the Colorado Front Range. USDA Forest Service Research Note RM-359, 8 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Johnson, W. M. and C. H. Niederhof. 1941. Some relationships of plant cover to runoff, erosion, and infiltration on granitic soils. *Journal of Forestry* 39:854-858.
- Johnson, W. M. 1942. The interception of rain and snow by a forest of young ponderosa pine. *Transactions, American Geophysical Union* 23:566-570.
- Love, L. D. 1953. Watershed management experiments in the Colorado Front Range. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 8:213-218.
- Love, L. D. 1959. Rangeland watershed management. p. 198-200. *Proceedings Society of American Foresters*. [Salt Lake City, Utah, September 28-October 2, 1958] Washington, D.C.
- Ponce, Stanley L., and Howard L. Gary. 1979. The effect of lake-based recreation and second home use on surface water quality in the Manitou Experimental Forest. USDA Forest Service Research Paper RM-211, 10 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Schuster, Joseph L. 1964. Root development of native plants under three grazing intensities. *Ecology* 45:63-70.

- Short, Robert A., James V. Ward, Howard L. Gary, and Pat O. Currie. 1978. Aquatic biota of Trout Creek, Manitou Experimental Forest, Colorado. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-54, 13 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Wilm, H. G. 1941. Methods for the measurement of infiltration. Transactions, American Geophysical Union, Part III, P. 678-686.
- Wilm, H. G. 1941. Watershed management research in the Rocky Mountain Region. III. Grass, water, and erosion. The Colorado Society of Engineers 25:4.

FORESTS

- Currie, Pat O. 1974. The effect of timber harvest on the range resource. p. 82-83, In Forest industry's role in land use. Proceedings, Rocky Mountain Forest Industries Conference [Cheyenne, Wyo., March 1974] 171 p.
- Currie, Pat O., Carleton B. Edminster, and F. William Knott. 1978. Effects of cattle grazing on ponderosa pine regeneration in central Colorado. USDA Forest Service Research Paper RM-201, 7 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Hawksworth, Frank G. 1968. Ponderosa pine dwarf mistletoe in relation to topography and soils on the Manitou Experimental Forest, Colorado. USDA Forest Service Research Note RM-107, 4 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Hudler, George W., Nagiyoshi Oshima, and F. G. Hawksworth. 1979. Bird dissemination of dwarf mistletoe on ponderosa pine in Colorado. American Midland Naturalist 102(2):273-280.
- Mark, Walter R., and Frank G. Hawksworth. 1974. How important are bole infections in spread of ponderosa pine dwarf mistletoe? Journal of Forestry 72:146-147.
- McCambridge, W. F. 1981. Duration of effectiveness of carbaryl in protecting ponderosa pine from attack by mountain pine beetles. USDA Forest Service Research Note RM-408, 3 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Myers, Clifford A., and Pat O. Currie. 1970. Simulation techniques in forest-range management. In Modeling and systems analysis in range science. Colorado State University, Range Science Department Series 5:17-22. Fort Collins, Colo.
- Myers, Clifford A. 1974. Multipurpose silviculture in ponderosa pine stands of the Montane Zone of central Colorado. USDA Forest Service Research Paper RM-132, 15 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Currie, P. O., D. W. Reichert, J. C. Malechek, and O. C. Wallmo. 1977. Forage selection comparison for mule deer and cattle under managed ponderosa pine. Journal of Range Management 30:352-356.
- Gary, Howard L. and Meredith J. Morris. 1980. Constructing wooden boxes for cavity-nesting birds. USDA Forest Service Research Note RM-381, 7 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Morris, Meredith, J., Vincent H. Reid, Richard E. Pillmore, and Mary C. Hammer. 1977. Birds and mammals of Manitou Experimental Forest, Colorado. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-38, 17 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Rusterholz, Kurt A. 1981. Niche overlap among foliage-gleaning birds: support for Pianka's niche overlap hypothesis. American Naturalist 117:395-399.
- Welch, Jack F. and Charles L. Terrell. 1948. Rodent control activities in connection with grass adaptability studies. Journal of Forestry 46:379-380.

METHODS AND TECHNIQUES

- Currie, Pat O., and F. L. Hammer. 1965. An improved gate fastener for range fences. Journal of Range Management 18:98.
- Currie, Pat O. 1966. Marking cows with human hair dye. Journal of Range Management 19:306-307.
- Currie, Pat O., M. J. Morris, and D. L. Neal. 1973. Uses and capabilities of electronic capacitance instruments for estimating standing herbage. Part 2. Sown ranges. Journal of the British Grasslands Society 28:155-160.
- Dortignac, E. J. 1951. Design and operation of Rocky Mountain infiltrometer. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Station Paper 5, 68 p. Fort Collins, Colo.
- Driscoll, Richard S. 1970. Aerial color and color infrared photography—Some applications and problems for grazing resource inventories. p. 140-149. In Aerial color photography in the plant sciences. Aerial color photogrammetric workshop [University of Fla., Gainesville, March 5-7, 1969] Proceedings.
- Driscoll, Richard S. 1970. Identification and measurement of shrub type vegetation on large-scale aerial photographs. Section 32, p. 32-1 to 32-15. In Vol. II, Agriculture Forestry Sensor Studies, Third Annual Earth Resources Program Review, NASA, MSC-03742, Houston, Tex.
- Driscoll, Richard S., Jack N. Reppert, Robert C. Heller, and David M. Carneggie. 1970. Identification and measurement of herbland and shrubland vegetation from large scale aerial colour photographs. XI International Grassland Congress [Austria, 1970] Proceedings 11:95-98.
- Driscoll, Richard S. 1971. Color aerial photography—A new view for range management. USDA Forest Service Research Paper RM-67, 11 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.

WILDLIFE

- Bergman, Charles A. and Art Wolfe. 1983. Flaming owl of the ponderosa. Audubon 85:66-71.

- Driscoll, R. S., P. O. Currie, and M. J. Morris. 1972. Estimates of herbaceous standing crop by microdensitometry. American Society Photogrammetry 38th Annual Meeting, [Washington, D.C., March 12-17, 1972] Proceedings 38:358-364.
- Driscoll, R. S., and M. M. Spencer. 1972. Multispectral scanner imagery for plant community classification. International Symposium on Remote Sensing Environment [Ann Arbor, Mich., October 1972] Proceedings 8:1259-1278.
- Driscoll, Richard S., Richard E. Francis, James A. Smith, and Roy A. Mead. 1974. ERTS-I data for classifying native plant communities—Central Colorado. International Symposium on Remote Sensing Environment [Ann Arbor, Mich., April 1974] Proceedings 9:1195-1211.
- Driscoll, Richard S., Jack N. Reppert, and Robert C. Heller. 1974. Microdensitometry to identify plant communities and components on color infrared aerial photos. Journal of Range Management 27:66-70.
- Driscoll, Richard S., and Richard E. Francis. 1975. Range inventory classification of plant communities. p. 26-43. In Evaluation of ERTS-1 data for forest and rangeland surveys. USDA Forest Service Research Paper PSW-112, 67 p. Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Berkeley, Calif.
- Driscoll, R. S., D. R. Betters, and H. D. Parker. 1978. Land classification through remote sensing—Techniques and tools. Journal of Forestry 76:656-661.
- Francis, Richard E., and Richard S. Driscoll. 1976. Range inventory classification and mapping of plant communities. p. 35-54. In Evaluation of Skylab (EREP) data for forest and rangeland surveys. USDA Forest Service Research Paper PSW-113, 74 p. Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Berkeley, Calif.
- Mead, Roy A., Richard S. Driscoll, and James A. Smith. 1979. Effects of tree distribution and canopy cover on classification of ponderosa pine forest from LANDSAT-1 data. USDA Forest Service Research Note RM-375, 3 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Schuster, J. L. and C. H. Wasser. 1964. Nail-board method of root sampling. Journal of Range Management 17:85-87.
- Wilm, H. G. 1941. A portable electric water stage recorder. Civil Engineering 11:305.
- Wilm, H. G. 1942. New gaging station for mountain streams. Civil Engineering 12:548-549.

MISCELLANEOUS

- Love, L. D. and W. M. Johnson. 1952. The Manitou Experimental Forest—its work and aims. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Station Paper 7, 23 p. Fort Collins, Colo.
- Love, L. D. 1958. The Manitou Experimental Forest—its work and aims. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Station Paper 7, 21 p. (Revised). Fort Collins, Colo.

- Marcus, Steven R. 1973. Geology of the montane zone of central Colorado—with emphasis on Manitou Park. USDA Forest Service Research Paper RM-113, 20 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.
- Smith, Dwight R., and Louis N. Bass. 1973. Germinability of true mountain mahogany achenes as influenced by soil and other environmental factors. Proceedings of Association of Official Seed Analysts 63:126-134.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 1949. The Manitou Experimental Forest—its work and aims. 20 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, Colo.

THESES AND DISSERTATIONS

- Coltharp, George B. 1955. Estimating runoff from small watersheds. M.S. thesis, 77 p. Colorado Agricultural and Mechanical College (now Colorado State University) Fort Collins.
- Gowen, Peter J. 1981. Composite land use impacts on water quality on a diversely developed watershed. M.S. thesis, 112 p. Colorado State University, Fort Collins.
- Haferkamp, Marshall R. 1969. Effect of fertilizer on big bluegrass. M.S. thesis, 63 p. Colorado State University, Fort Collins.
- Hawkins, Richard H. 1961. A study to predict storm runoff from storm characteristics and antecedent basin conditions. M.S. thesis, 76 p. Colorado State University, Fort Collins.
- Hudler, George W. 1976. Bird dissemination of *Arceuthobium vaginatum* subsp. *cryptopodum*. Ph.D. dissertation, 82 p. Colorado State University, Fort Collins.
- Johnson, Steven R. 1979. Impact of cattle grazing on the surface water quality of a Colorado Front Range stream. M.S. thesis, 115 p. Colorado State University, Fort Collins.
- Lacy, John Robert. 1971. Estimating forage production under ponderosa pine canopy with the heterodyne vegetation meter. M.S. thesis, 98 p. University of Arizona, Tucson.
- Malechek, John C. 1966. Cattle diets on pine-bunchgrass range. M.S. thesis, 69 p. Colorado State University, Fort Collins.
- Mead, Roy A. 1975. Factors effecting computer recognition of ponderosa pine forests from ERTS-1 imagery. M.S. thesis, 85 p. Colorado State University, Fort Collins.
- Metz, Harley E. 1974. Relationship between ponderosa pine and understory herbage production. M.S. thesis, 90 p. Colorado State University, Fort Collins.
- Radloff, David L. 1983. Wildland classification with multivariate analysis and remote sensing techniques. Ph.D. dissertation, 106 p. Colorado State University, Fort Collins.
- Schuster, Joseph L. 1959. The relation of root development of native plants to grazing intensity on ponderosa pine ranges. M.S. thesis, 142 p. Colorado State University, Fort Collins.

APPENDIX

Species List of Principal Plants¹

Common Name	Scientific Name
Grasses and Grasslike	
Crested wheatgrass	<i>Agropyron cristatum</i> (L.) Gaertn.
Intermediate wheatgrass	<i>Agropyron intermedium</i> (Host) Beauv.
Quack grass	<i>Agropyron repens</i> (L.) Beauv.
Western wheatgrass	<i>Agropyron smithii</i> Rydb.
Bearded wheatgrass	<i>Agropyron subsecundum</i> (Link) Hitchc.
Slender wheatgrass	<i>Agropyron trachycaulum</i> (Link) Malte
Redtop	<i>Agrostis alba</i> L.
Little bluestem	<i>Andropogon scoparius</i> Michx.
Pine dropseed	<i>Blepharoneuron tricholepis</i> (Torr.) Nash
Sideoats grama	<i>Bouteloua curtipendula</i> (Michx.) Beauv.
Blue gramas	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i> (H. B. K.) Steud.
Nodding brome	<i>Bromus anomalus</i> Fourn.
Fringed brome	<i>Bromus ciliatus</i> L.
Weeping brome	<i>Bromus frondosus</i> (Shear) Woot. & Standl.
Smooth brome	<i>Bromus inermis</i> Leyss.
Water sedge	<i>Carex aquatilis</i> Wahl.
	<i>Carex brevipes</i> W. Boott
	<i>Carex disperma</i> Dewey
	<i>Carex eleocharis</i> Bailey
	<i>Carex foena</i> Willd.
	<i>Carex heliophila</i> Mack.
	<i>Carex microptera</i> Mack.
	<i>Carex nebraskensis</i> Dewey
	<i>Carex praegracilis</i> W. Boott
	<i>Carex rossii</i> Boott
	<i>Carex rostrata</i> Stokes
	<i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i> (Michx.) Beauv.
	<i>Calamagrostis inexpansa</i> Gray
	<i>Calamagrostis purpurascens</i> R. Br.
	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i> L.
	<i>Danthonia intermedia</i> Vasey
	<i>Danthonia parryi</i> Scribn.
	<i>Eleocharis macrostachya</i> Britt.
	<i>Elymus junceus</i> Fisch.
	<i>Festuca arizonica</i> Vasey
	<i>Festuca ovina</i> L.
	<i>Festuca thurberi</i> Vasey
	<i>Glyceria elata</i> (Nash) M. E. Jones
	<i>Hordeum jubatum</i> L.
	<i>Juncus balticus</i> Willd.
	<i>Juncus saximontanus</i> Nels.
	<i>Juncus tenuis</i> Willd.
	<i>Koeleria cristata</i> (L.) Pers.
	<i>Lolium perenne</i> L.
	<i>Muhlenbergia montana</i> (Nutt.) Hitchc.
	<i>Munroa squanosa</i> (Nutt.) Torr.
	<i>Oryzopsis asperifolia</i> Michx.
	<i>Oryzopsis hymenoides</i> (R. & S.) Ricker
	<i>Oryzopsis pungens</i> (Torr.) Hitchc.
	<i>Phleum pratense</i> L.
	<i>Poa ampla</i> Merr.
	<i>Poa bulbosa</i> L.
	<i>Poa canbyi</i> (Scribn.) Piper
	<i>Poa fendleriana</i> (Steud.) Vasey
Needleleaf sedge	
Silvertop sedge	
Sun sedge	
Smallwing sedge	
Nebraska sedge	
Silver sedge	
Ross sedge	
Beaked sedge	
Bluejoint reedgrass	
Northern reedgrass	
Purple pinegrass	
Orchardgrass	
Timber danthonia	
Parry danthonia	
Common spikesedge	
Russian wildrye	
Arizona fescue	
Sheep fescue	
Thurber fescue	
Tall mannagrass	
Foxtail barley	
Baltic rush	
Regels rush	
Poverty rush	
Prairie junegrass	
Perennial ryegrass	
Mountain muhly	
False buffalograss	
Roughleaf ricegrass	
Indian ricegrass	
Timothy	
Sherman big bluegrass	
Bulbous bluegrass	
Canby bluegrass	
Mutton bluegrass	

Inland bluegrass
 Fowl bluegrass
 Kentucky bluegrass
 Tumblegrass
 Falsamelic
 Panicked bulrush
 Bristlegrass
 Bottlebrush squirreltail
 Subalpine needlegrass
 Needle-and-thread
 Sleepygrass
 Scribner needlegrass
 Green needlegrass

Western yarrow
 Columbia monkshood
 Orange agoseris
 Pale agoseris
 Tapertip onion
 Nodding onion
 Geyer onion
 Redroot amaranth
 Giant ragweed
 Rockjasmine
 Anemone
 Pussytoes
 Field pussytoes
 Rocky Mountain pussytoes

Fendler sandwort
 Leafy arnica
 Heartleaf arnica
 Sagewort wormwood
 Louisiana sagebrush
 Siskiyou aster
 Porters aster
 Milkvetch
 Purple milkvetch
 Alpine milkvetch
 Milkvetch
 Milkvetch
 Plantainleaf kittentails

Gunnison mariposa
 Marshmarigold
 Bluebell
 Wholeleaf paintedcup
 Sulfur paintedcup
 Starry cerastium

Douglas chaenactis

Lambsquarters goosefoot
 Blite goosefoot
 Slimleaf goosefoot
 Common pipsissewa
 Goldaster
 Hairy goldaster

European glorybind
 Spotted coralroot

Poa interior Rydb.
Poa palustris L.
Poa pratensis L.
Schedonnardus paniculatis (Nutt.) Trel.
Schizacne purpurascens (Torr.) Swallen
Scripus microcarpus Presl.
Setaria viridis (L.) Beauv.
Sitanion hystrix (Nutt.) J. & Sm.
Stipa columbiana Macoun.
Stipa comata Trin. & Rupr.
Stipa robusta (Vasey) Scribn.
Stipa scribneri Vasey
Stipa viridula Trin.

Forbs

Achillea lanulosa Nutt.
Aconitum columbianum Nutt.
Agoseris aurantiaca (Hook.) Greene
Agoseris glauca (Pursh) Raf.
Allium acuminatum Hook.
Allium cernuum Roth
Allium geyeri Wats.
Amaranthus retroflexus L.
Ambrosia trifida L.
Androsace septentrionalis L.
Anemone multifida Poir.
Antennaria anaphaloides Rydb.
Antennaria neglecta Greene
Antennaria parvifolia Nutt.
Aralia nudicaulis L.
Arenaria fendleri Gray
Arnica chamissonis Less.
Arnica cordifolia Hook.
Artemisia campestris L.
Artemisia ludoviciana Nutt.
Aster hesperius Gray
Aster porteri Gray
Astragalus adsurgens Pall.
Astragalus agrestis G. Don
Astragalus alpinus L.
Astragalus miser Hook.
Astragalus parryi Gray
Besseya plantaginea (Benth.) Rydb.
Brickellia grandiflora (Hook.) Nutt.
Calochortus gunnisonii Wats.
Caltha leptosepala DC.
Campanula rotundifolia L.
Castilleja integra Gray
Castilleja septentrionalis Lindl.
Cerastium arvense L.
Cerastium vulgatum L.
Chaenactis douglasii H. & A.
Chamaerhodos nuttallii (T. & G.) Pickering
Chenopodium album L.
Chenopodium capitatum (L.) Asch.
Chenopodium leptophyllum Nutt.
Chimaphila umbellata (L.) Bart.
Chrysopsis fulcrata Greene
Chrysopsis villosa var. *foliosa* (Nutt.)
 D.C. Eat.
Convolvulus arvensis L.
Corallorhiza maculata Raf.

Early coralroot
 Golden corydalis
 Dandelion hawkbeard
 Cryptantha
 Cryptantha
 Branched larkspur
 Darkthroat shootingstar
 Field horsetail
 Scouring rush
 Smooth horsetail
 Fernleaf fleabane
 Trailing fleabane
 Oregon fleabane
 Threenerve fleabane
 Fleabane
 Fireweed
 Wing eriogonum
 Robust euphorbia
 Thymeleaf euphorbia
 European strawberry
 Wild strawberry
 Bursage
 Northern bedstraw
 Sweetscented bedstraw
 Annual gentian
 Fremont geranium
 Parry geranium
 Richardson geranium
 Aleppo avens
 Avens
 Skyrocket gilia
 Sticky gilia
 Western rattlesnake plantain
 Creeping rattlesnake plantain
 Northern green habeneria
 Parry goldenweed
 Parry helianthella
 Common sunflower
 Prairie sunflower

 Common cowparsnip
 Littleleaf alumroot
 Hawkweed
 Wax currant
 Chicory lettuce
 Stickseed
 Prairie pepperweed
 Low bladderpod
 Porter ligusticum
 Butter-and-eggs toadflax
 Twinflower
 Lewis flax
 Manyflower gromwell
 Silvery lupine
 Lodgepole lupine
 Drummond campion
 Rush skeletonplant

 Yellow sweetclover
 Desert mentzelia
 Lanceleaf bluebells
 Common monkeyflower

Corallorhiza trifida Chat.
Corydalis aurea Willd.
Crepis runcinata (James) T. & G.
Cryptantha thyrsiflora (Greene) Pays.
Cryptantha virgata (Porter) Pays.
Delphinium ramosum Rydb.
Dodecatheon pulchellum (Raf.) Merr.
Equisetum arvense L.
Equisetum hyemale L.
Equisetum laevigatum A. Br.
Erigeron compositus Pursh
Erigeron flagellaris A. Gray
Erigeron speciosus (Lindl.) DC.
Erigeron subtrinervis Rydb.
Erigeron vetensis Rydb.
Epilobium angustifolium L.
Eriogonum alatum Torr.
Euphorbia robusta (Engelm.) Small
Euphorbia serpyllifolia Pers.
Fragaria vesca americana (Porter) Britt.
Fragaria ovalis (Lehm.) Rydb.
Frasera speciosa Griseb.
Galium boreale L.
Galium triflorum Michx.
Gentiana amarella L.
Geranium fremontii Torr.
Geranium parryi (Engelm.) Heller
Geranium richardsonii Fisch. & Trautv.
Geum aleppicum Jacq.
Geum triflorum Pursh
Gilia aggregata (Pursh) Spreng.
Gilia calcarea Jones
Goodyera oblongifolia Raf.
Goodyera repens (L.) R. Br.
Habenaria hyperborea (L.) R. Br.
Haplopappus parryi Gray
Helianthella parryi Gray
Helianthus annuus L.
Helianthus petiolaris Nutt.
Helianthus pumilus Nutt.
Heracleum lanatum Michx.
Heuchera parvifolia Nutt.
Hieracium fendleri Sch.-Bip.
Hymenoxys acaulis (Pursh) Parker
Lactuca pulchella (Pursh) DC.
Lappula redowskii (Hornem.) Greene
Lepidium densiflorum Schrad.
Lesquerella montana (Gray) Wats.
Ligusticum porteri C. & R.
Linaria vulgaris Mill.
Linnaea borealis L.
Linum lewisii Pursh
Lithospermum multiflorum Torr.
Lupinus argenteus Pursh
Lupinus parviflorus Nutt.
Lychnis drummondii (Hook.) Wats.
Lygodesmia juncea (Pursh) D. Don
Machaeranthera pattersonii (Gray) Greene
Melilotus officinalis (L.) Lam.
Mentzelia multiflora (Nutt.) Gray
Mertensia lanceolata (Pursh) A. DC.
Mimulus guttatus DC.

Evening primrose
 Broomrape
 Yellow owlclover
 Bluntseed sweetroot
 Crazyweed
 Lambert crazyweed
 Early pedicularis
 Grays pedicularis
 Elephanthead pedicularis
 Crandall penstemon
 Sidebells penstemon
 Oneside penstemon
 Green penstemon
 Wandbloom penstemon

Downy groundcherry
 Varileaf cinquefoil
 Bigflower cinquefoil
 Gland cinquefoil
 Northwest cinquefoil
 Horse cinquefoil
 Pennsylvania cinquefoil
 Prostrate knotweed

Dullseed cornbind

Woodland pinedrops
 Alpine pyrola

Snowline pyrola
 Sidebells pyrola

Littleleaf buttercup
 Shore buttercup

Blackeyedsusan
 Western dock
 Yellowdot saxifrage
 Brittons scullcap
 Stonecrop

Desert groundsel
 Fendler groundsel
 Lambstongue groundsel

Starry solomonplume
 Black nightshade
 Cutleaf nightshade

Baby goldenrod
 marsh betony
 Claspleaf twistedstalk
 Alpinebog swertia
 Common dandelion
 Meadowrue
 Pennycress

Oenothera coronopifolia T. & G.
Orobanche fasciculata Nutt.
Orthocarpus luteus Nutt.
Osmorhiza depauperata Phil.
Oxytropis multiceps Nutt.
Oxytropis lambertii Pursh
Pedicularis canadensis L.
Pedicularis grayi Nels.
Pedicularis groenlandica Retz.
Penstemon crandallii A. Nels.
Penstemon secundiflorus Benth.
Penstemon unilateralis Rydb.
Penstemon virens Pennell
Penstemon virgatus Gray
Phacelia denticulata Osterch.
Phacelia heterophylla Pursh
Phacelia neomexicana Torr.
Physalis pubescens L.
Potentilla diversifolia Lehm.
Potentilla fissa Nutt.
Potentilla glandulosa Lindl.
Potentilla gracilis Hook.
Potentilla hippiana Lehm.
Potentilla pensylvanica L.
Polygonum aviculare L.
Polygonum bistortoides Pursh
Polygonum convolvulus L.
Pseudocymopterus montanus (Gray) C. & R.
Pterospora andromedea Nutt.
Pyrola asarifolia Michx.
Pyrola chlorantha Swartz
Pyrola minor L.
Pyrola secunda L.
Pyrola uniflora L.
Ranunculus abortivus L.
Ranunculus cardiophyllus Hook.
Ranunculus macounii Britt.
Rudbeckia hirta L.
Rumex occidentalis Wats.
Saxifraga bronchialis L.
Scutellaria brittonii Porter
Sedum rhodanthum Gray
Sedum stenopetalum Pursh
Senecio eremophilus Richards
Senecio fendleri Gray
Senecio integerrimus Nutt.
Senecio pudicus Greene
Senecio tridenticulatus Rydb.
Senecio werneriaefolius Gray
Senecio wootonii Greene
Smilacina stellata (L.) Desf.
Solanum nigrum L.
Solanum triflorum Nutt.
Solidago decumbens Greene
Solidago multiradiata Ait.
Solidago nana Nutt.
Stachys palustris L.
Streptopus amplexifolius (L.) DC.
Swertia perennis L.
Taraxacum officinale Weber
Thalictrum fendleri Gray
Thlaspi alpestre L.

Field pennycress
Salsify
Vegetable-oyster salsify
Alsike clover
White clover
Valerian
Edible valerian
Golden crownbeard
Water speedwell
Hook violet
Canada violet
Nuttall violet

Mountain deathcamas

Rocky Mountain maple
Thinleaf alder
Saskatoon serviceberry
Southwestern dwarf mistletoe
Bearberry
Fringed sagebrush
Creeping mahonia
Water birch
True mountainmahogany
Rabbitbrush
Rocky Mountain clematis
Bunchberry dogwood
Red-osier dogwood
Bush rockspirea
Cliff jamesia
Common juniper
Bearberry honeysuckle
Mountain ninebark
Shrubby cinquefoil
Common chokecherry
Skunkbush sumac
Wax currant
Whitestem gooseberry
Gooseberry currant
Arkansas rose
Boulder raspberry

Coyote willow
Pussy willow
Elderberry
Common snowberry
Rocky Mountain whortleberry
Small soapweed

Engelmann spruce
Blue spruce
Lodgepole pine
Pinyon
Limber pine
Ponderosa pine
Quaking aspen
Douglas-fir

Thlaspi arvense L.
Tragopogon dubius Scop.
Tragopogon parvifolius L.
Trifolium hybridum L.
Trifolium repens L.
Valeriana capitata Link
Valeriana edulis Nutt.
Verbesina encelioides (Cav.) B. & H.
Veronica anagallis-aquatica L.
Viola adunca J. E. Sm.
Viola canadensis L.
Viola nuttallii Pursh
Viola renifolia Gray
Zigadenus elegans Pursh

Shrubs

Acer glabrum Torr.
Alnus tenuifolia Nutt.
Amelanchier alnifolia Nutt.
Arceuthobium vaginatum (Willd.) Presl.
Arctostaphylos uva-ursi (L.) Spreng.
Artemisia frigida Willd.
Berberis repens Lindl.
Betula occidentalis Hook.
Cercocarpus montanus Raf.
Chrysothamus viscidiflorus H. & C.
Clematis pseudoalpina (Kuntze) A. Nels.
Cornus canadensis L.
Cornus stolonifera Michx.
Holodiscus dumosus (Nutt.) Heller
Jamesia americana T. & G.
Juniperus communis L.
Lonicera involucrata (Richards) Banks
Physocarpus monogynus (Torr.) Coult.
Potentilla fruticosa L.
Prunus virginiana L.
Rhus trilobata Nutt.
Ribes cereum Dougl.
Ribes inerme Rydb.
Ribes montigenum McClat.
Rosa arkansana Porter
Rubus deliciosus Torr.
Rubus pubescens Raf.
Rubus strigosus Michx.
Salix exigua Nutt.
Salix discolor Muhl.
Sambucus racemosa L.
Symphoricarpos albus (L.) Blake
Vaccinium myrtillus L.
Yucca glauca Nutt.

Trees

Picea engelmannii Parry
Picea pungens Engelm.
Pinus contorta Dougl.
Pinus edulis Engelm.
Pinus flexilis James
Pinus ponderosa Laws.
Populus tremuloides Michx.
Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirb.) Franco

¹Plant names mentioned in publications and reports from the
Manitou Experimental Forest.

Gary, Howard L. 1985. A summary of research at the Manitou Experimental Forest in Colorado, 1937-1983. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-116, 24 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins. Colo.

Results of selected studies for range, watershed, timber and wildlife management research are summarized to provide land managers, land-use planners, and land-use researchers with information to help evaluate consequences of land management practices in the Colorado Front Range ponderosa pine zone.

Keywords: Watershed, timber management research, range

Gary, Howard L. 1985. A summary of research at the Manitou Experimental Forest in Colorado, 1937-1983. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-116, 24 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins. Colo.

Results of selected studies for range, watershed, timber and wildlife management research are summarized to provide land managers, land-use planners, and land-use researchers with information to help evaluate consequences of land management practices in the Colorado Front Range ponderosa pine zone.

Keywords: Watershed, timber management research, range

Gary, Howard L. 1985. A summary of research at the Manitou Experimental Forest in Colorado, 1937-1983. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-116, 24 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins. Colo.

Results of selected studies for range, watershed, timber and wildlife management research are summarized to provide land managers, land-use planners, and land-use researchers with information to help evaluate consequences of land management practices in the Colorado Front Range ponderosa pine zone.

Keywords: Watershed, timber management research, range

Gary, Howard L. 1985. A summary of research at the Manitou Experimental Forest in Colorado, 1937-1983. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-116, 24 p. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins. Colo.

Results of selected studies for range, watershed, timber and wildlife management research are summarized to provide land managers, land-use planners, and land-use researchers with information to help evaluate consequences of land management practices in the Colorado Front Range ponderosa pine zone.

Keywords: Watershed, timber management research, range

Rocky
Mountains

Southwest

Great
Plains

U.S. Department of Agriculture
Forest Service

Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station

The Rocky Mountain Station is one of eight regional experiment stations, plus the Forest Products Laboratory and the Washington Office Staff, that make up the Forest Service research organization.

RESEARCH FOCUS

Research programs at the Rocky Mountain Station are coordinated with area universities and with other institutions. Many studies are conducted on a cooperative basis to accelerate solutions to problems involving range, water, wildlife and fish habitat, human and community development, timber, recreation, protection, and multiresource evaluation.

RESEARCH LOCATIONS

Research Work Units of the Rocky Mountain Station are operated in cooperation with universities in the following cities:

Albuquerque, New Mexico
Flagstaff, Arizona
Fort Collins, Colorado*
Laramie, Wyoming
Lincoln, Nebraska
Rapid City, South Dakota
Tempe, Arizona

* Station Headquarters: 240 W. Prospect St., Fort Collins, CO 80526