Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current
scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

a5011
A48

Reserve

cont 1 full st

United States
Department
of Agriculture

Forest Service

Intermountain
Research Station

General Technical
Report INT-267

March 1990

User's Manual for Western Root Disease Model

Albert R. Stage
Charles G. Shaw III
Michael A. Marsden
James W. Byler
David L. Renner
Bov B. Eav
Peter J. McNamee
Glenn D. Sutherland
Timothy M. Webb

JOHN STANLEY HATCH

AD-33 Bookplate
(1-68)

NATIONAL

**A
G
R
I
C
U
L
T
U
R
A
L**

LIBRARY

THE AUTHORS

ALBERT R. STAGE is principal mensurationist, Intermountain Research Station, Forestry Sciences Laboratory, Moscow, ID.

CHARLES G. SHAW III is principal plant pathologist, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Forest Service, Fort Collins, CO.

MICHAEL A. MARSDEN is biometrician, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins, CO.

JAMES W. BYLER is supervisory plant pathologist, Northern Region, Forest Service, Missoula, MT.

DAVID L. RENNER is computer programmer, Intermountain Research Station, Moscow, ID.

BOV B. EAV is supervisory operations research analyst, Forest Pest Management, Forest Service, stationed in the Methods Application Group, Fort Collins, CO.

PETER J. McNAMEE is senior analyst, ESSA Environmental and Social Systems Analysts, Ltd., Vancouver, BC, Canada.

GLENN D. SUTHERLAND is systems ecologist, ESSA Environmental and Social Systems Analysts, Ltd., Vancouver, BC, Canada.

TIMOTHY M. WEBB is systems ecologist, ESSA Environmental and Social Systems Analysts, Ltd., Vancouver, BC, Canada.

RESEARCH SUMMARY

Effects of *Armillaria* spp. or *Phellinus weirii* on stand dynamics are represented by the Western Root Disease Model. This model, which operates in conjunction with the Prognosis Model for Stand Development, can be used to evaluate effects of a wide assortment of silvicultural practices, including stump removal for disease reduction. The model was developed through a series of workshops designed to elicit information about the disease process from many experts on the biology of the root diseases and their hosts. Forest land managers also participated in the workshops to provide their insight into the values and resources being affected.

This publication contains model applications, a brief description of how the model is formulated, and documentation of the keyword procedures for using the model.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express gratitude to Nicholas L. Crookston, operations research analyst, Intermountain Research Station, for his help with coding and debugging the computer program; and to Tracy C. Wager, co-op education student and plant pathologist trainee from the Southwestern Region, Forest Service, for her extensive work on the cover design for this publication.

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Ecological Scope	2
Management Actions Represented	2
Data Required	3
Applications in Planning and Management	4
Stand Level	4
Forest Level	5
Multistand Analysis	5
Crow Creek Stand—An Example	5
Preparing a Simulation	9
The Keyword System	9
Controlling Program Execution	10
Entering Inventory Data	11
Specifying Management Options	16
Interactions With Other Damaging Agents	16
Considerations When Using the Combined Models	18
Root Disease Dynamics	18
Carryover of Root Disease	19
Interpreting Model Output	19
Table of Keywords	19
Root Disease Summary Table	24
Detailed Output Table	26
How the Model Works ,	30
Representation of Root Disease Centers at Start of Simulation	31
Effects of Other Agents	31
Spread of Infection Centers	32
Infection Dynamics Inside Centers	34
Carryover of Root Disease to a New Stand	34
Mortality and Growth Effects Inside Centers	35
Linkage to Prognosis Model and Limitations	35
References	36
Appendix I: Participants in Model Development	38
Appendix II: Real World vs the Model: Changing Model Assumptions	40
Appendix III: Keyword Summary and Default Values	46

The use of trade or firm names in this publication is for reader information and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture of any product or service.

User's Manual for Western Root Disease Model

Albert R. Stage
Charles G. Shaw III
Michael A. Marsden
James W. Byler
David L. Renner

Bov B. Eav
Peter J. McNamee
Glenn D. Sutherland
Timothy M. Webb

INTRODUCTION

Tree root diseases pervade forested lands of the United States. Timber losses caused by root diseases have been estimated to average nearly 240 million cubic feet per year (Smith 1984). Root diseases typically originate from infected stumps of previous stands and spread to root systems of other trees in the immediate vicinity (Thies 1984; Wargo and Shaw 1985). The ability of these diseases to survive for many years in roots and stumps and to spread in stands throughout a rotation (possibly without early detection) imposes constraints on the management prescriptions available for regenerating stands (Bloomberg and others 1980). Management practices such as transplanting of trees, deep planting, partial cutting, fire prevention, or excessive removal of understory biomass may initiate or enhance the spread of one or more root diseases (Wargo 1980). Other practices, including use of disease-resistant tree species, trenching around infection centers, and careful cutting and commercial thinning methods, are known to reduce root disease impacts (Shaw and Roth 1978).

In response to managers' concerns for lack of information about the future development of diseased stands, a model was designed to predict the spread and impact of pathogenic *Armillaria* spp. or *Phellinus weirii* (Murr. [Gilbn.]) in mixed species, multiaged stands in the Western United States. The model was developed through a series of workshops in which the knowledge of many experts on the biology and management of root diseases was captured (Brookes 1985 and appendix I). With this information, and after several rounds of refinement, the model was produced; it currently operates in conjunction with the Prognosis Model for Stand Development. Details on the process of model development appear in Shaw and others (1985), Eav and Shaw (1987), and McNamee and others (1985).

The combined Prognosis/Root Disease Model can be used with existing forest inventories to evaluate possible outcomes of silvicultural prescriptions and root disease control activities for sites where root disease may influence stand development. Its uses include long-term planning for management of stands affected by root disease and for highlighting potential uncertainties and research needs for better understanding of root disease dynamics and effects.

To model effects of silviculture on root-disease organisms, and their effects on stand dynamics, requires a model of stand development that can represent stands of mixed species and ages. Furthermore, the stand model should represent the species and sizes of regeneration that are expected to fill openings created by tree mortality. The Stand Prognosis Model described by Stage (1973) and Wykoff and others (1982) has these capabilities. In addition, it is extensively used for examining and evaluating alternative stand management practices in the Western United States. The Prognosis Model is intended to produce estimates of realizable yield. Growth statistics calculated by the model include the average effects of factors such as climatic variation, past management activities, and pest damage as they are applied to the individual tree classes that make up the stand. One or more "extensions" to the Prognosis Model must be used to explicitly include interactions

between the stand and events such as establishment of regenerating stands, pest outbreaks, and understory development.

At present, the Root Disease Model is linked to the Prognosis Model. Once during each of the Prognosis growth cycles, which span about 10 years of simulated time, the Root Disease Model calculates rates of spread of the disease and numbers of trees within diseased areas that become infected. Then reductions in growth and increases in mortality are passed to the Prognosis Model to be used in updating tree attributes. At the end of each cycle, a summary of disease conditions is produced, as well as the usual descriptions of stand volume, accretion, and mortality produced by the base Prognosis Model.

When the stand is primarily composed of conifer regeneration of less than 3 inches diameter at breast height (d.b.h.), the usual Prognosis cycles are too long to capture the rapid dynamics of the disease process. In this case, a “miniature” version of the Root Disease Model is invoked with shorter time steps. This sub-model is termed the “Carryover Model” because it serves to bridge the gap in modeling the transition from a mature stand to the reestablished stand at time of root closure.

Ecological Scope

The pathogens covered by this model, pathogenic *Armillaria* spp. and *P. weirii*, are widely distributed in forests of Western North America (fig. 1). Where these distributions overlap with the area covered by an existing variant of the Prognosis Model (fig. 1), the Root Disease Model can be used. However, when used with variants for which the regeneration establishment component (Ferguson and Crookston 1984) has not been implemented, projections will not include natural regeneration. When the stand is cut, the user must simulate regeneration through some sort of planting. In this manual, the examples will be based on the Inland Empire variant, which has a regeneration establishment component.

In addition to effects of pathogenic *Armillaria* spp. or *P. weirii*, the model includes interactions with damage caused by windthrow of trees in the stand and with three types of bark beetles. The bark beetle types are defined by their role in the dynamics of the root disease process. The three types are (1) dependent only on the density of susceptible stems, (2) dependent upon windfallen stems as refugia for the beetle population, and (3) dependent upon stems infected by root disease.

Management Actions Represented

The model can evaluate both direct control of root disease and a wide variety of silvicultural treatments, which may have indirect effects on the course of the disease.

Direct Control of Root Disease—The Root Disease Model implements only one management action specifically used for control of root disease, that of removal of inoculum by “pushing” infected stumps and their root systems (or by fumigation). This option can be requested in a specific year, with a factor specifying the efficiency with which roots are removed and the minimum dead tree/stump diameter for removal. This practice is an accepted management alternative in certain stands but should not be considered as feasible in all cases. A pathologist should be consulted to evaluate the possibility of using this option rather than selecting a resistant species, as described below.

Silvicultural Treatment—The silvicultural options available with the Prognosis Model can also be invoked to analyze alternative methods for indirect control of root disease. Regeneration systems to be simulated can span the full range from single-tree selection to clearcutting. In addition to the harvest of existing trees, new stands can be introduced following site preparation by natural regeneration or by planting. Of these, modification of species composition of regenerated stands through planting or choice of regeneration systems is the most effective means of avoiding root disease problems.

Figure 1—Locations covered by Prognosis variants. Shading indicates where either *Armillaria* spp. or *Phellinus weirii* is considered to be a management problem.

Data Required

The model is designed to start with sample inventories of actual stands. For example, the compartment examination procedure described by Stage and Alley (1972) and in USDA Forest Service Handbook 2409.21h R1 (1986) can supply the necessary stand data if augmented to include stumps infected with root disease.

In addition to the customary tree size attributes, the model uses information on the frequency of tree infection by root disease. This value can be compiled by the model from disease status codes of the individual sample trees or supplied by the user from an overall estimate based on an independent sample of the stand. The Root Disease Model also uses data on the area of the stand and the sizes and distribution of diseased centers to initiate the simulation. The model can start from bare ground by invoking the regeneration establishment component or from the description of the existing stand contained in the list of trees sampled in the inventory. Proportions of trees infected and proportions of roots infected within diseased areas can be supplied in the aggregate or by specifying disease status of each tree in the sample inventory.

APPLICATIONS IN PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

The Root Disease Model is potentially an important pest model. Root diseases affect a significant area of the West, more than 3 million acres in northern Idaho and western Montana alone (Smith 1984). *Phellinus weirii* and *Armillaria* spp. which are represented in this model are the major pathogens in those States (James and others 1984) and in Oregon and Washington (Hadfield and others 1986). In addition, effects of *Annosus* root disease may be approximated by making changes in the parameters through use of the keywords of this model (Shaw and others 1989).

Root diseases strongly influence stand prescriptions. Stand losses can exceed 50 percent or more at rotation age. Therefore, projections of stand volumes that do not account for disease effects can be greatly inflated. Even so, short-term effects can be subtle and easily underestimated. The loss of only a few trees per acre a year, many of which are salvaged for firewood or fall down from decay in a relatively short time, makes it difficult to recognize the magnitude of the problem. Silviculturists can learn from gaming with the model how past practices may have exacerbated the root disease problem and how prescribed treatments may reduce future losses.

The Root Disease Model may also be useful because the disease process is predictable. Although the model is still relatively new and untested, and many aspects of disease behavior are incompletely known, root disease, once established in a stand, is persistent. Tree killing and disease center enlargement continue at a relatively slow but predictable rate compared with certain other pests that are sporadic and unpredictable in occurrence and intensity. Empirical data to accurately assess these relationships are scarce. Therefore, outputs from the model should be used in a qualitative sense to evaluate alternative management prescriptions rather than as a precise quantitative estimator of future root disease impacts. However, output from the model will provide a "best guess" based on expert opinion and is likely to be more realistic than estimates by less experienced personnel. How important these best guesses are has been explored through model sensitivity analyses (McNamee and Sutherland 1986).

Stand Level

Because the model predicts stand growth and yield under different stand treatments and disease intensities, the results can be used to formulate stand prescriptions that will meet specified objectives and to communicate the treatment effects to interdisciplinary team members, line officers, and others. The model may be used at any stage of stand development. However, it may be most valuable for planning the regeneration phase because the greatest opportunity to influence root disease occurs at that time.

The most frequent approach to management of root disease problems in timber stands is regeneration to site-suited tree species that are disease tolerant. The model can be used to compare the effects of variations of this approach, as well as others. For example, the following options may be considered:

1. A clearcut, seed-tree cut, or shelterwood cut followed by regenerating to disease-tolerant species, or a mixed stand with a predominance of tolerant species.
2. Overstory removal from an understory that might be disease susceptible, tolerant, or a mixture of the two.
3. A clearcut and stump removal, followed by planting of a disease-susceptible but otherwise preferred species.
4. No treatment, or a deferred treatment.

We recommend that two other simulations be included even if those actions are not contemplated because they provide baselines against which other treatments can be compared:

1. Harvest without stump treatment, followed by natural regeneration, even if it consists of susceptible species. Simulate with the Root Disease Model operating.
2. Harvest, followed by planting of preferred species, ignoring root disease considerations. Simulate without the Root Disease Model operating.

In precommercial stands the model also may be used to evaluate effects of different treatment alternatives. Treatment options at this phase might include precommercial thinning, using various leave tree species and spacing rules, deferred or no treatment, and destroying the existing stand to replace with a disease-tolerant species.

Forest Level

Long-term planning for sustained yield requires that the yield potential of existing stands be estimated unbiasedly. All too often, inventories of young stands do not adequately describe the root-disease status. As a consequence, their future contribution to forest yield is seriously overestimated if they consist of susceptible species growing on infested sites. In the judgment of some managers, omission of pest effects may have resulted in plans that, in reality, are infeasible.

Representation of root disease impacts on long-term plans requires three elements:

1. An inventory that identifies those sites on which root disease can be expected to cause losses. Guides for classifying sites with respect to the risk of loss from root disease could be developed for some areas from information in Byler and others (in press), McDonald and others (1987), Williams and Marsden (1982).
2. A model such as this one for representing effects of proposed management activities on future yields that includes interactions between tree growth and mortality and the dynamics of the root pathogens.
3. A structure for a planning model that permits site-specific yield forecasts to be used in developing solutions to the yield scheduling problem. Stage and others (1986) suggested one such structure.

To be effective, all of the yield-estimating procedures used in a given planning solution should include pest effects. Otherwise, the solution would favor harvesting of stands with higher potential yield, even if those stands are, in their own right, also susceptible to damage by various pests.

Multistand Analysis

The model has the potential for describing disease effects and evaluating alternative treatment strategies at intermediate planning levels.

A strategy for reducing pest-caused losses for compartments or entire watersheds is to select high-risk stands for immediate harvest and defer treatment in low-risk stands. Root Disease Model simulations on stands of different ages, species composition, stocking, and disease condition could be used to set harvesting priority. Thus, mortality that would otherwise occur in high-loss stands would be captured and the growth potential of the site realized by regenerating to species tolerant of disease.

The Root Disease Model also has potential at the multistand level when implementing forest plans. The desired future vegetative conditions to achieve the mix of products and values identified in the plans remain to be specified. By projecting growth and development of many stands in an analysis area, effects of root disease and various treatments can be displayed. Stands can be scheduled for treatment in a sequence that best meets the management objectives. For example, treatments could provide a mix of stands at different successional stages, spaced in a manner that would meet visual management objectives, provide for big game hiding cover (Canfield and others 1986); thermal cover, and browse (Moeur 1985) yet provide a flow of timber products as well.

Crow Creek Stand—An Example

To illustrate use of this modeling system, we will develop a simulation for a stand in the Crow Creek drainage on the Lolo National Forest. This 51-acre stand is about 130 years of age, growing on a *Thuja/Clintonia* habitat type at an elevation of 3,300 feet. When the stand was examined in 1983, it consisted of grand fir, larch, redcedar, and Douglas-fir. *Armillaria* occurred on two of the seven inventory plots. One possible scenario for management of this stand is to harvest the existing trees

in 2003, burn the slash, and plant larch. On this productive habitat, there will also be substantial natural regeneration, but largely comprising grand fir and Douglas-fir, which, in this habitat, are susceptible to damage from root rot. Therefore, a cleaning at age 10 favoring larch will remove most of the natural regeneration. The Prognosis Model keywords and data representing the Crow Creek inventory are listed in table 1. Portions of this input that contain information specific to the Root Disease Model are shaded.

Table 1—Keywords for the Root Disease and the Prognosis Models as they occurred in the Prognosis Model input file for a stand in Crow Creek on the Lolo National Forest

```

STDIDENT
164581202025 CROW CREEK DATA-R1-ROOT DISEASE
COMMENT
  - RRSETB - TEST ROOT DISEASE MODEL
  - TYPE OF STAND - INVENTORY
  - ROOT DISEASE - ARMILLARIA
  - 7 PLOTS, 2 WITH RD
  - RANDOM CENTERS
END
TREELIST
  DESIGN      1.
  DESIGN      40.0    300.0    5.0    7.0    0.0    1.0
  STDINFO     16.0    530.0   130.0    2.0    1.0   33.0
  INVYEAR     1983.0
  GROWTH      0.0     5.0
  NUMCYCLE    20.0
  NOTRIPLE
  THINDBH     2003.0    1.0    99.0    1.0
  TIMEINT     3.0     5.0
  TIMEINT     4.0     5.0
  TIMEINT     5.0     5.0
  TIMEINT     6.0     5.0
  TIMEINT     7.0     5.0
  TIMEINT     20.0    5.0
  ESTAB       2003.0
  BURNPREP    2004.0    80.
  PLANT       2005.0    2.0   500.0   80.0
  TALLYONE    2007.0
  TALLYTWO    2012.0
  RESETAGE    2005.    0.0
  HTADJ       2005.0    2.0    1.5
  END
  SPECPRF     2008.0    2.0   -2.0
  THINBTA     2013.0  1000.0
  RRIN
  SAREA       51.0
  PLREAD
  1
  5
  -999
  RRTYPE      1.0
  RRINIT      0.0     3.0                0.4   21.00
  RRTREIN
  STREAD
  3  25.0  10.0
  4  15.0  25.0
  3   5.0 100.0
  -999
  CARRY       1.0     4.0    0.02
  SPREAD      1.0
  PSTUMP      2003.0    0.8    3.0
  RRJUMP      1.0
  RSEED       11188.0
  RRDOUT
  END

```

(con.)

Table 1 (Con.)

TREEFMT

(13X,I4,T1,I5,T28,F2.0,T25,I1,T33,A2,F3.1,F2.1,3X,F3.0,T43,F3.0,T54,
F2.0,T46,I1,T48,3(I2,I1),T22,I1,T22,I1)

TREEDATA 5.0

1645812020252	001	10	0	0	12	OPP217	5175	9652611	0	01250	040S	592000
1645812020252	001	20	0	0	13	ODF214	7	5210752611	0	02700	0 0	0000
1645812020252	001	30	0	0	16	ODF184	0	010200610	0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0011010		0	0	11	OGF	3310	26 1783611	0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0011020		0	0	11	ODF	1 0 18	263611	0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0011030		0	0	11	OGF	1 0 15	153611	0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0011040		0	0	51	OGF	1 0 22	154611	0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0011050		0	0101	ODF	1 0 31	055611	0	00 00 0 0			0000
1645812020252	0011060		0	0	74	ODF	1 0 31	055902611	00 00 0 0			0000
1645812020252	002	10	0	0	12	ODF	95 7 52	5453	0 0	01 00 0 5E	530000	
1645812020252	002	20	0	0	12	ODF	61 3 46	4343	0 0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	002	30	0	0	11	OGF	5711 37	5073	0 0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	002	40	0	0	12	ODF	59 3 45	3844	0 0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0021010		0	0	11	OGF	1 0 23	254	0 0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0021020		0	0201	OGF	1 0 22	154	0 0	00 00 0 0			0000
1645812020252	0021030		0	0	54	OGF	1 0 22	054	0 0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	003	10	0	0	13	OL	354 31031	3952972452	02 00	025SE531000		
1645812020252	003	20	0	0	12	OL	57 9 53	5453601	0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	003	30	0	0	12	OLP	83 4 57	6733601	0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0031010		0	0	11	OC	9 0 25	774601	0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0031020		0	0	11	OGF	1 0 15	165601	0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0031030		0	0501	OGF	1 0 22	065601	0	00 00 0 0			0000
1645812020252	0031040		0	0	61	OC	1 0 25	265601	0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0031050		0	0	44	ODF	1 0 31	145601	0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	004	10	0	0	11	OL	13911103	8852	0 0	01 00 020S	592000	
1645812020252	004	20	0	0	12	OLP	54 5 48	5243	0 0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	004	30	0	0	12	OLP	51 6 47	4143	0 0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0041010		0	0	14	OLP	39 3 43	5233902	0	02 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0041020		0	0	11	ODF	14 0 40	1164	0 0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0041030		0	0	11	OGF	14 0 38	854	0 0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0041040		0	0	11	ODF	1 0 38	654	0 0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0041050		0	0	11	OGF	1 0 20	264	0 0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0041060		0	0451	OGF	1 0 22	055	0 0	00 00 0 0			0000
1645812020252	0041070		0	0201	ODF	1 0 31	055	0 0	00 00 0 0			0000
1645812020252	005	10	0	0	19	OWP134	0 0 87009	60610	00120	0 5NE550000		
1645812020252	005	20	0	0	12	OL	396 31031	5022453971	02200	0 0		0000
1645812020252	005	30	0	0	11	OGF126	8 92	9243611	0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	005	40	0	0	19	OL	236 0	013400960610	00 00 0 0			0000
1645812020252	005	50	0	0	15	OC	256 4 50	8464456981	02 00 0 0			0000
1645812020252	005	60	0	0	11	OGF13210	751004	3611	0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	005	70	0	0	19	OL	261 0	013800960610	00 00 0 0			0000
1645812020252	005	80	0	0	12	OL	372 51031	5042611	0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	005	90	0	0	13	OGF15510	79 953	3613	0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0051010		0	0	12	OS	49 2 53	4824611	0	01 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0051020		0	0	14	OGF	25 0 32	2234611	0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0051030		0	0	12	OGF	17 0 28	1024611	0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0051040		0	0	12	OC	16 0 25	954611	0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	0051050		0	0	62	OC	5 0 25	655611	0	00 00 0 0		0000
1645812020252	006	10	0	0	12	OGF138	5 881033	4991	0	01200	0 5N	530000
1645812020252	006	20	0	0	12	OGF12312	72 923	4451	0	00 00 0 0		0000

(con.)

Table 1 (Con.)

1645812020252	006	30	0	0	11	OGF20210	9112143	0	0	01	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	006	40	0	0	13	OL 326	310315032452	0	0	02	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	006	50	0	0	13	OL 132	4 9911223454	0	0	02	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	006	60	0	0	11	OGF17613	9311143	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	006	70	0	0	11	OL 160	310311443	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	006	80	0	0	11	OGF2151510012342	0	0	01	00	0	0	0000	
1645812020252	0061010	0	0	11	OC	1 0 25	365	0	0	01	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	0061020	0	0	81	OC	1 0 25	2555	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	10	0	0	12	OC 33914	5012763452	0	0	2200	0 5N	532000		
1645812020252	007	20	0	0	15	OC 23910	5010153456	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	30	0	0	13	OC 35914	7511363453	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	40	0	0	12	OC 25810	5010354452	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	50	0	0	13	OWP340 4	015532453	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	60	0	0	11	OC 43914	7511853	0	0	02	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	70	0	0	12	OC 39919	7511653	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	80	0	0	12	OC 31715	5011053	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	90	0	0	12	OL 371	410318262	0	0	02	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	100	0	0	12	OGF119 5	88 9834	0	0	01	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	110	0	0	12	OGF11312	67 8734	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	120	0	0	12	OGF 88 2	57 7235902	0	0	02	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	130	0	0	19	OGF118 0	0 9000960	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	140	0	0	12	ODF253 3	5213043	0	0	02	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	150	0	0	12	OL 337	410314942	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000
1645812020252	007	160	0	0	13	OC 32312	5011053452	0	0	00	00	0	0	0000

-999

PROCESS
STOP

PREPARING A SIMULATION

The Root Disease Model is controlled, and its parameter values modified, through 27 keywords similar in structure and use to those in the Prognosis keyword system (Wykoff and others 1982). A submittal system that interactively assists the user to prepare the necessary sequence of keywords and tree data has been a useful adjunct to the Prognosis Model. A system for users of pest models, including the Western Root Disease Model, is fully integrated with the above system for growth and yield models (Gladden 1989; Sleavin 1989).

The Keyword System

Root Disease Model keywords allow users to:

1. Control program execution, including the nature of root disease processes simulated, and the interaction with other agents.
2. Describe initial conditions of the stand and its disease status.
3. Specify management prescriptions to be invoked.
4. Change critical factors, particularly those that can be habitat-specific or dependent on the source of new trees; and to assume static rates and probabilities for one or more of the processes governing root disease dynamics.
5. Investigate effects of bark beetles and windthrow on root disease dynamics.

The Root Disease Model is invoked by inserting a sequence of root-disease keywords into the sequence of keywords for a normal Prognosis simulation. These keywords may be inserted by editing a Prognosis runstream or by using a submittal system such as that described in the next section.

The first keyword in the Root Disease Model control section is always RRIN, and the list is always terminated by the keyword END. Root Disease keywords must be kept together as a discrete unit. Also, they must not be inserted into the middle of another model extension list of keywords (such as the regeneration establishment model keywords). As in the Prognosis Model, all keywords are left justified in the first 10 characters of the record. If required, the next six 10-character fields (the parameter fields) may be used to add numeric data. Numeric data should include a decimal point or be right-justified in the field.

Users of the Forest Service Growth and Yield Submittal System on the Data General (Sleavin 1989) can generate the Western Root Disease Model keywords by choosing the keyword "PEST" from the Main Keyword Entry Screen. When the keyword PEST is selected, the Pest Model Submittal System (Gladden 1989) is invoked. A menu of pest models available for the particular variant of Prognosis is the first screen displayed. From this menu of pest models, the user can select the "WESTERN ROOT DISEASE MODEL."

Once the Western Root Disease Model is invoked, the system displays this model's Main Keyword Entry Screen, which gives the user the following options:

1. Program Execution Options
2. Root Disease Inventory Options
3. Root Disease Management Options
4. Model Modification Options
5. Other Agent Options
6. Process All Root Disease Keyword Groups
7. Add, Replace, or Delete a Keyword
8. Display All Entered Keywords
9. Exit Main Keyword Entry Screen

The last three of these options are shared by several other pest models served by the same submittal system. With the selection of a group, the system displays the appropriate keywords in that group for processing.

The main advantage of the submittal system is that it lets the user run the model without having to be concerned with formats of keyword parameters. In addition, users do not have to learn job control language and file assignment protocol for the USDA National Computer Center's computers in Fort Collins, CO, where the model resides. Formatting of keywords and all necessary job control language statements are handled by the submittal system. Furthermore, the system performs some checks for values entered to prevent logical errors and ensure that mandatory keywords are present in the keyword file.

Controlling Program Execution

The keywords that control program execution accomplish three general tasks. The RRIN and END keywords signal the beginning and ending of root disease-specific keywords. COMMENT is used to print out a more detailed description of the run. Finally, the RRDOUT and RRECHO keywords serve to control the format and amount of output generated by the model. The keywords for both the Root Disease Model and Prognosis are written to an output file.

- RRIN** The RRIN keyword is actually a Prognosis model keyword, signifying that the Root Disease Model is to be called. All following keywords, up to the END keyword, must be Root Disease Model keywords. Use of this keyword with the Root Disease Model is mandatory.
- COMMENT** This allows the user to enter a comment describing the root disease scenario being specified. This comment, entered on supplemental records, can be as many lines as the user wishes and is printed as part of the regular output provided by the Root Disease Model. The final supplemental record must contain "END." If such a supplemental record is not provided, keywords that follow will be assumed to be part of the comment and not processed as keywords. This "END" is not to be mistaken for the END keyword that signifies the end of the root disease keywords.

Supplemental Records

The supplemental records that follow this keyword form the comment statement.

DEFAULT: No comment statement is provided.

- RRDOUT** This keyword allows the user to get more detailed output from the Root Disease Model. More detailed output will be provided if the keyword is present.

Field 1: This field contains the file reference number on which the detailed output will be provided. If this field is blank, a value of 22 is assumed.

DEFAULT: No detailed output is provided. If the keyword is provided with blank fields, detailed output will be directed to file-reference number 22.

- RRECHO** The summary output is usually written with headers at the beginning that describe the output. Often, a user wishes to make a series of simulation runs and store the output from all scenarios for later analysis. In this case, headers are not needed. The RRECHO keyword allows the user to print out a machine-readable root disease summary table with no headings, in addition to the normal root disease summary output.

Field 1: This field contains the file reference number on which the machine-readable summary output is to be written. The default value is 24.

DEFAULT: No machine-readable output is printed.

END This keyword signifies the end of the root disease keywords. No field entries are needed. This keyword *must* be provided. Otherwise, all Prognosis Model keywords that may follow will be taken as invalid Root Disease keywords and not processed.

Entering Inventory Data

The Prognosis Model is initialized with a list of individual tree and stand characteristics from stand inventories. Sample-tree data are used in conjunction with a description of the sampling design to estimate stand statistics such as volume per unit area. Other information about sample trees (total height, crown ratio, past growth increment) and about the site (slope, aspect, elevation, and habitat type) may be optionally provided by the user.

The Root Disease Model is capable of defining initial root disease conditions using stand examination data that describe the root disease status of sample trees and stumps. The model summarizes number of infected and uninfected trees per acre inside root disease areas, number of trees per acre outside of root diseased areas (all of which are assumed to be noninfected), and the number, size, and type of stumps. When stand examination data do not include the disease status of sample trees, initial root disease conditions may be found through variables entered by user-supplied keywords, which define how the initial conditions for root disease are to be specified.

The RRTYPE keyword specifies the fungal species causing root disease in the stand. The model will not simulate infection by *Armillaria* spp. and *P. weirii* in the same stand at the same time. RRINIT indicates the configuration of the root disease centers within the stand and may also be used to enter the number of diseased and healthy trees within centers.

Alternatively, the disease status of individual trees may be entered for each sample tree as part of the information on the input tree list if the RRTREIN keyword is present. If the stand being represented with the RRTREIN keyword has been inventoried with a number of subplots, the numbers identifying those subplots that are in disease centers are entered with the PLREAD keyword. In addition, the total area of the stand is given by the SAREA keyword; this information is used to calculate tree densities inside root disease centers. If stumps are inventoried in the stand, then the STREAD keyword can be used to initialize the number and attributes of infected stumps.

Many Root Disease Model keywords require a tree species to be designated. An index between 1 and 11 identifies the conifers represented in the Prognosis Model. Conifer species indicated by the index may vary with different geographic variants of Prognosis. For the Inland Empire variant, the index corresponds to the following species:

1. Western white pine (*Pinus monticola*)
2. Western larch (*Larix occidentalis*)
3. Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*)
4. Grand fir (*Abies grandis*)
5. Western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*)
6. Western redcedar (*Thuja plicata*)
7. Lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*)
8. Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii*)
9. Subalpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa*)
10. Ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*)
11. Mountain hemlock (*Tsuga mertensiana*)

Indices for other Prognosis Model variants are in the documentation of those variants.

RRTYPE: This keyword allows the user to specify the root disease type to be simulated.

Field 1: The value in this field should be a 1 or a 2. If it is 1, *Armillaria* is simulated. If it is 2, *P. weirii* is simulated. Any other value in field 1 will cause an error message to be printed and *Armillaria* will be simulated.

DEFAULT: *Armillaria* will be simulated.

SAREA This keyword defines the stand area to be simulated in the Root Disease Model and recalculates the dimensions of the stand as a square.

Field 1: This field contains the new stand area in acres that is to be simulated. A value in field 1 that is not positive will cause an error to be printed and the stand area to be set to 100 acres.

DEFAULT: The stand area is 100 acres.

We cannot overemphasize the importance of defining the stand area and root disease type to be simulated before other root disease conditions are defined. These two variables should be defined for the scenario before the conditions described with the other keywords can be meaningful.

If root disease is scattered throughout the stand, then one should consider starting the simulation with the area in root disease equal to stand area. However, when that option is used, or if spread has been sufficient to engulf the entire stand, spread-rate estimates become meaningless.

RRINIT This keyword indicates configuration of root disease centers. The user has two options. In the first, the user specifies the total area in root disease and the number of centers. Then, the model will randomly locate the root disease centers throughout the stand. Centers will be circles of equal size, calculated as the total area in root disease divided by the number of centers. The alternative is to provide a list of root disease centers with the X and Y coordinates of each center and its radius. If **RRTREIN** is not used, fields 3 and 4 of this keyword also provide information on the number of healthy and diseased trees within the root disease centers.

Field 1: This field specifies whether initial coordinates of root disease centers are to be assigned randomly or read in. If it has value = 0, root disease coordinates are chosen randomly. If it has value = 1, root disease center attributes are read in with supplemental records. The default value of 0 is used if no value is entered in this field.

Field 2: This field specifies the total number of root disease centers to be located in the stand. If attributes of root disease centers are to be read in (field 1 = 1), several supplemental records (equal to the value in field 2) are expected to follow. The number of centers cannot exceed 100. If field 2 exceeds 100, an error message is printed and the number of centers is set to 100.

- Field 3:** This field contains the number of infected trees per acre in the root disease centers. If no value is supplied, a default value of 50 percent of average stand density (trees per acre) will be used. If this value is to be obtained from the inventory tree list (indicated by the RRTREIN keyword), then the value in this field will be ignored.
- Field 4:** This field contains the number of uninfected trees per acre in the root disease centers. If no value is supplied, a default value of 50 percent of average stand density (trees per acre) will be used. If this value is to be obtained from the inventory tree list (indicated by the RRTREIN keyword), then the value in this field will be ignored.
- Field 5:** This field contains the average level of root infection on infected trees. It should be a number between 0 and 1 (which indicates 100 percent infection). All infected trees read in field 3 are given this infection level. The default value of 0.1 is used if no value is entered in this field.
- Field 6:** This field specifies the total acres in root disease and is only read if root disease centers are to be assigned randomly (field 1 = 0). In this case, the area of each root disease center is equal to field 6 divided by field 2.

Supplemental Records

Supplemental records are expected following this keyword if the root disease centers are to be read in (field 1 = 1). Each supplemental record contains all necessary information for one center and is read in with format 3F7.1: X-coordinate of the center in feet, Y-coordinate of the center in feet, and radius of the center in feet. An error will appear if either the X or Y coordinate of a center is outside the linear dimensions of the stand (assumed to be square) and the coordinate will be set to the linear dimension of the stand (on the edge of the stand). It is therefore important to define the total stand area with the SAREA keyword before using this keyword.

DEFAULT: Center locations assigned randomly, total center area is 25 percent of stand area, with 20 centers of equal size.

Fields 3 and 4 for the RRINIT keyword and the tree defect and damage codes entered on the individual-tree inventory data used in conjunction with the RRTREIN keyword provide the same kind of information to the model. However, the two alternatives may give somewhat different results during the first few periods of growth. When infection status is entered with RRINIT, all trees in the stand represented by a particular tree record in the sample are assumed to be divided between infected and uninfected in the same proportions. However, when status is indicated separately for each sample tree, differences in the infected proportions of the many sizes and species of trees in the stand are possible. Which alternative is more realistic depends on the adequacy of the sample of trees in the inventory input. For small samples, perhaps less than 40 trees, RRINIT may be better. With larger samples, the RRTREIN option should be more realistic.

RRTREIN

This keyword is used when initial disease conditions are included in the attributes of individual trees in the list of trees. Disease conditions of the sampled trees are described by three pairs of descriptors. Any one of the three may contain the root disease condition of the tree. The remaining two can be used to describe other insect, disease, or damage symptoms. Although arbitrary, the usual ordering is by importance. The first variable in each pair identifies the presence of a particular damaging agent. The second variable describes the severity of the condition. Any tree less than 5 inches d.b.h. and with a severity of 1 is not considered as infected. Default values of these codes are taken from specifications in the Forest Service Handbook FSH 2409.21h R1 Field Instructions for Stand Examinations (1986).

Agent	Code	Severity	Code
<i>Armillaria</i>	61	Tree within 30 feet of tree with deteriorating crown or killed by root disease.	1
<i>P. weirii</i>	62	Pathogen or diagnostic symptoms detected.	2
		Crown deterioration	3

The tree class information used in the Root Disease Model corresponds to the tree history information used in the Prognosis Model (variable ITH). When the Root Disease Model is active, the tree class information should be read into the Prognosis Model variable ITH. Tree class codes 6 and 7 identify trees that have died 5 years ago or less. Tree class codes 8 and 9 represent trees that have died more than 5 years ago.

Stumps, in the context of the Root Disease Model, are cut stumps or trees that are dead and infected with root disease. For example, a tree with a tree class of 6 and a damage code of 61 would be classified and processed as an infected stump. Stumps can also be added to the Root Disease Model in another way when using the tree list information. Trees that were infected by root disease and cut in previous rotations are identified by entering a tree height of 1.5 for the Prognosis Model variable THT. These trees are classified and processed as stumps and then discarded. Finally, additional stumps can be added to the root disease model when using RRTREIN keyword by using the STREAD keyword. When the Root Disease Model is used with initial user-supplied information, stumps can only be added to the model using the STREAD keyword.

PLREAD

This keyword, which is used only in conjunction with RRTREIN, indicates which subplots within the stand contain root disease. This keyword should be used when modeling a stand that contains both relatively large, identifiable areas of root disease and areas unaffected by root disease. Its absence, but with RRTREIN present, indicates that the stand is to be modeled as a single root disease center. No parameter fields are read in conjunction with this keyword. The user is, however, expected to provide supplemental records that contain the subplot identification of plots in diseased centers.

Supplemental Records

Each supplemental record should contain one number in I4 format. This is the diseased subplot number. A -999 entered at the end of the list of diseased subplot numbers indicates that all the disease

subplot information has been read. This supplemental record must be provided; otherwise, keywords that follow will be assumed to be part of the subplot list and not processed as proper keywords.

STREAD

This keyword is used to initialize stumps within the root diseased areas of the stand. A stump, in the context of the Root Disease Model, is defined as an infected tree that is killed by any means. The tree can be a stump formed by cutting, a dead standing tree, or a tree that has been snapped off by wind. The important attribute of these stumps is that they were formed by trees that were infected by root disease at the time of their death by whatever cause. These stumps will serve as inoculum sources from which infection will spread through the simulation. No parameter fields are read in conjunction with this keyword. However, the user is expected to provide supplemental records that contain necessary stump information.

Supplemental Records

Each supplemental record should contain three numbers in format I4,2F6.1. The first field contains the index of the tree species tabulated previously. Species identification is important because stumps of heartwood species are assumed to decay more slowly than stumps of nonheartwood species. Douglas-fir, all pines, western redcedar, and western larch are classified as heartwood species; the remainder are classified as nonheartwood species. The second field is the stump diameter in inches, taken at one foot above ground. The third field is the number of stumps of the given heartwood class and diameter. This number should be given as the total number of stumps of this type in the root diseased area, and not the number of stumps per acre. A -999 entered in the first four columns of the supplemental record indicates that all the stump list information has been read. This supplemental record must be provided; otherwise, keywords that follow will be assumed to be part of the stump list information and not processed as proper keywords.

When the RRINIT keyword is used and STREAD keyword omitted, the model assumes there are no stumps present. When the RRTREIN keyword is used, stump information can be entered into the model directly from the input tree list even though the STREAD keyword is omitted. Stump information may also be entered into the model from both the input tree list and the STREAD keyword when RRTREIN is used.

For our Crow Creek example, examination of aerial photos indicates that 21 of the 51 acres in the stand are in three root disease centers. Note that the proportion of infested area is greater than indicated by the proportion (2/7) of infested plots. Because the status of root disease was recorded for each tree in the inventory, the RRTREIN keyword will be used. However, because there are relatively few plots in the diseased portions of the stand, an additional list of infected stumps will be supplied by the STREAD keyword.

The Crow Creek data are similar to standard Forest Service Region 1 stand exam data. Tree damage codes are in columns 48-50, 51-53, and 54-56. Up to three damage types or agents can be recorded. The first two columns are the agent or cause, the last is the severity. When the data were taken the following were allowable root disease codes:

- 60 ROOT DISEASE
- 61 Shoestring root rot (*Armillaria* spp.)
- 62 Yellow laminated root rot (*P. weirii*)
- 63 Brown cubical rot (*Polyporous schweinitzii*, *Coniphora puteana*)
- 64 White rot (*Heterobasidion annosum*, *Poria subacida*)
- 65 Black stain root disease (*Verticicladiella* sp.)

Severity Codes:

- 1. Tree within a disease center or within 30 feet of a tree or stump that is symptomatic or killed by root disease.
- 2. Root disease confirmed by stain, decay, or other signs or symptoms.
- 3. Root disease crown symptoms.

Specifying Management Options

The only management action that is specific to root disease is pushing stumps, specified by the PSTUMP keyword. Although fumigation of stumps is a possibility for reducing inoculum, for the present, its effects can be approximated by varying the parameters of PSTUMP. Other silvicultural options that can influence the dynamics of root disease, such as species choices when planting or thinning, are invoked by using the options in the base Prognosis Model.

PSTUMP This keyword simulates a stump-pushing event in a particular year of the rotation. Stump pushing can only occur once in a scenario.

Field 1: The year in which stump pushing is to occur.

Field 2: The stump-pushing efficiency. This is the proportion of stumps that will be removed by the stump-pushing activity. The default is an efficiency of 1.0. An error message will appear if the entry in field 2 is less than 0 or greater than 1, and the default will be retained.

Field 3: The minimum stump diameter for stump pushing. All stumps of this diameter and greater will be removed with the efficiency provided in field 2. If left blank, the minimum stump d.b.h. is set to 0 inches and all stump sizes will be removed with the efficiency provided in field 2.

Interactions With Other Damaging Agents

Action of other agents in influencing mortality inside root disease centers is controlled by the BBTYPE1, BBTYPE2, BBTYPE3, and WINDTHR keywords. These keywords simply switch on the potential for a bark beetle or windthrow event to occur. The event will not occur before the specified cycle year and will not occur if conditions are inappropriate. Thus, a user could specify a cycle year of 1991 on the keyword line but not have the event occur until 2021 because conditions from 1991 to 2021 were not suitable for the event to occur. Each of the BBTYPE keywords uses an index of tree species in field 2.

BBTYPE1 This keyword specifies a type 1 bark beetle event. Examples of type 1 bark beetles are mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*) on lodgepole pine and western pine beetle (*D. brevicornis*) on ponderosa pine. The user specifies a year and intensity to switch this other agent on. The type 1 bark beetle model is switched off after one outbreak has occurred.

Field 1: This field specifies the earliest year that an outbreak can occur. This field must be specified, there is no default value for year.

Field 2: This field specifies the tree species eligible for attack by the bark beetle and should be a number between 1 and

11, inclusive, which is the tree species index applicable to your variant of the Prognosis Model. If the field is left blank, the default is 7, which is lodgepole pine in the Inland Empire variant.

Field 3: This field specifies the minimum d.b.h. (in inches) of trees that will be considered eligible for attack by the bark beetle. The default is a d.b.h. of 8 inches.

Field 4: This field specifies the minimum density of eligible trees as specified by fields 2 and 3, which must be present before an outbreak can occur. The default density is 10 eligible trees per acre.

Field 5: This field specifies the mortality rate that will be applied to all eligible trees if an outbreak occurs. The default rate is 0.85 for all eligible trees.

BBTYPE2 This keyword specifies a type 2 bark beetle event. An example of type 2 bark beetle is Douglas-fir beetle (*D. pseudotsugae*) on Douglas-fir. The user specifies a year and intensity to switch on this agent. A type 2 bark beetle outbreak can occur only if a windthrow event of a sufficient size also occurs. An error message is printed if the BBTYPE2 keyword is provided without the WINDTHR keyword, although the WINDTHR keyword does not have to precede the BBTYPE2 keyword. The mortality of live trees is determined by the number of windfallen trees that are of the size and species specified as host for this bark beetle. The number of live trees killed by the bark beetle is only slightly fewer than the number of host trees wind-thrown. For example, if 12 Douglas-fir trees of the size specified were wind-thrown, then 11 more live trees could be attacked and killed by the beetle. This action is dependent on there being a sufficient number of eligible stems remaining after windthrow for bark beetle attack. The type 2 bark beetle model is switched off after one outbreak has occurred.

Field 1: This specifies the earliest year an outbreak can occur. A year must be specified. There is no default value.

Field 2: This field specifies the tree species eligible for attack by the bark beetle and should be a number between 1 and 11, inclusive, which is the tree species index applicable to your variant of the Prognosis Model. Default is 3, which is Douglas-fir in the Inland Empire variant.

Field 3: This field specifies the minimum d.b.h. (in inches) of trees that will be considered eligible for attack by the bark beetle. The default is 10 inches d.b.h.

Field 4: This field specifies the minimum density of eligible trees as specified by fields 2 and 3, which must be windthrown in the same or prior year within the same time step as this bark beetle event. The default density is 10 eligible trees per acre.

Field 5: This field specifies the mortality rate that will be applied to all eligible trees if an outbreak occurs. The default rate is 0.88 for all eligible trees.

BBTYPE3 This keyword specifies a type 3 bark beetle event, which kills trees infected by root disease. Fir engraver (*Scolytus ventralis*) on grand fir is an example of this type. The user specifies a year and intensity to switch on this agent. Because the pattern of action for type 3

beetles is determined by the density of trees with greater than a specified level of root damage, the model remains active once it is switched on.

- Field 1: This specifies the year that is the earliest an outbreak can occur. A year must be specified. There is no default.
- Field 2: This field specifies the tree species eligible for attack by the bark beetle and should be a number between 1 and 11, inclusive, which is the tree species index applicable to your variant of the Prognosis Model. The default is 4, which for the Inland Empire variant corresponds to grand fir.
- Field 3: This field specifies the minimum d.b.h. (in inches) of trees that will be considered eligible for attack by the bark beetle. The default is 10 inches d.b.h.
- Field 4: This field specifies the minimum density of eligible trees as specified by fields 2 and 3 that must be present before an outbreak can occur. The default is 10 trees per acre.
- Field 5: This field specifies the mortality rate that will be applied to all eligible tree records if an outbreak occurs. The default mortality rate is 0.88 for all eligible trees.
- Field 6: This field specifies the minimum proportion of roots that must be infected for trees to be eligible for attack by this beetle. Trees with a lower infection level are not eligible for attack. The default is 0.30 proportion of the root system infested.

WINDTHR This keyword specifies a windthrow event. The user specifies a year and intensity to switch on this agent. The windthrow model is switched off after an event has occurred. Both uninfected and infected trees are wind-thrown.

- Field 1: This specifies the earliest year a windthrow event can occur. A year must be specified. There is no default value.
- Field 2: The value in this field specifies the proportion of eligible stems that can be wind-thrown. In a sense, it is a measure of the magnitude of the event. Only dominant and codominant trees of any species (the largest 20 percent in the diameter distribution) are subject to windthrow events. The proportion of these trees to be wind-thrown should be specified. The default is zero, which will result in no trees wind-thrown.
- Field 3: This field specifies the minimum density of eligible trees per acre necessary for a windthrow event. The default is zero, and the windthrow event will be independent of the density of eligible stems.

CONSIDERATIONS WHEN USING THE COMBINED MODELS

There are several items that users of this model should consider when deciding how to develop a scenario.

Root Disease Dynamics

Many of the functional relationships that describe how live root systems become infected, how trees are killed, and the progress of infection through roots are based on our current best understanding of root disease pathology. However, default

values for the number of years required to kill trees, lifespan of effective inoculum, and the percentage of a root system that is infected at the time of tree death are representative of conditions in stands in the Inland Empire Region of the Western United States. These values (appendix II) should be adjusted through keywords for other Regions.

Carryover of Root Disease

The carryover model is used to predict the persistence of root disease between rotations in the same stand. There are some restrictions on its use in the current version of the model. First, the carryover model can span only four time steps prior to the time specified for evaluation of carryover. Second, these time steps should be of equal length. Third, the new regeneration affecting the carryover (in addition to the stumps) will be visible to the model only if the regeneration establishment model has been invoked by the user with new trees entering the tree list during the 20 years following the harvest. These options include natural regeneration and planting. Another keyword, TDISTN, should be considered when the planting option is used.

INTERPRETING MODEL OUTPUT

Information in earlier sections of this guide will enable users to prepare scenarios for simulation by the Root Disease and the Prognosis Models. Outputs from the combined models are presented in tables that summarize information on the conditions in the stand during the period covered by the projection. Outputs from the Root Disease Model are:

1. A summary listing of the options specified by keyword and any associated values in effect for the simulation. These include both Root Disease Model and Prognosis Model keywords and are written to the Prognosis Model output file.
2. A table representing the summary statistics for root disease areas. This table displays conditions within the diseased portion of the stand and is written to the Prognosis Model output file.
3. A detailed output file that describes species-specific consequences of root disease infection on tree growth and mortality. These data are written to a separate output file when requested by the keyword RRDOU.
4. A machine readable file containing all the information in the root disease summary table except table headings. This file is created only when requested by the keyword RRECHO.

The descriptions below focus largely on outputs specific to the Root Disease Model. Prognosis outputs are shown for comparative purposes only; a guide to their interpretation appears in Wykoff and others (1982).

Table of Keywords

Root Disease Model keywords and their parameters that define the scenario are listed with Prognosis Model keywords (table 2). The listing is designed to include only the keywords and their parameters that have been specified in the input data set. If some of the parameters are "out of bounds," warning messages will appear in this table. This listing is intended to help verify that the projection is based on the intended actions as well as to facilitate problem solving. This table is printed on the same file as the Root Disease summary table (table 2). The listing is designed to include only the parameters and options in effect for the simulation; not all possible keywords are listed.

In the example (table 2), keywords for the Root Disease Model begin with RRIN and end with END. These two keywords are left-adjusted in the table. The other Root Disease Model keywords are printed between these and are indented two positions to the right. The parameter values for each keyword are printed after the keyword; some are default values. For example, the parameters for PSTUMP are year (2003), efficiency (0.8), and minimum stump diameter, (3.0). No parameter value was entered for RRDOU, so the default 22 is printed.

Table 2—Keywords and parameters for the Root Disease Model output file for a stand in Crow Creek on the Lolo National Forest

STAND GROWTH PROGNOSIS SYSTEM VERSION 6.0 -- INLAND EMPIRE
01-22-1990 10:41:37

OPTIONS SELECTED BY INPUT

KEYWORD PARAMETERS:

STUDENT

STAND ID= 16458120

COMMENT

```

- - RRSETB - TEST ROOT DISEASE MODEL
- - TYPE OF STAND - INVENTORY
- - ROOT DISEASE - ARMILLARIA
- - 7 PLOTS, 2 WITH RD
- - RANDOM CENTERS

```

END

```
TTREELIST DATE/CYCLE= 1; DATA SET REFERENCE NUMBER = 3.; HEADING SUPPRESSION CODE = 0.
(O=WITH HEADING, OTHER VALUES=SUPPRESS HEADING).
```

DESIGN BASAL AREA FACTOR= 40.0; INVERSE OF FIXED PLOT AREA= 300.0; BREAK DBH= 5.0
SEE "OPTIONS SELECTED BY DEFAULT" FOR REMAINING DESIGN CARD PARAMETERS.

```

STDINFO      FOREST-LOCATION CODE=  116; HABITAT TYPE=530; AGE=  130; ASPECT AZIMUTH IN DEGREES=  45.; SLOPE= 10.4
              ELEVATION(100'S FEET)= 33.0; LATITUDE IN DEGREES=  45.
              HABITAT TYPE MAPPED TO 530 FOR THIS PROJECTION.

```

INVYEAR INVENTORY YEAR= 1983

GROWTH	DIAMETER GROWTH DATA TYPE CODE= 0.	5 YEAR MEASUREMENT PERIOD:
	HEIGHT GROWTH DATA TYPE CODE = 0.	5 YEAR MEASUREMENT PERIOD:
	MORTALITY MEASUREMENT PERIOD = 5	

NUMCYCLE NUMBER OF CYCLES= 20

(con.)

Table 2 (Con.)

NOTRIPLE

THINDBH DATE/CYCLE= 2003; SMALLEST DBH= 1.0; LARGEST DBH= 99.0; PROPORTION OF SELECTED TREES REMOVED= 1.000

TIMEINT CYCLE= 3; PERIOD LENGTH= 5

TIMEINT CYCLE= 4; PERIOD LENGTH= 5

TIMEINT CYCLE= 5; PERIOD LENGTH= 5

TIMEINT CYCLE= 6; PERIOD LENGTH= 5

TIMEINT CYCLE= 7; PERIOD LENGTH= 5

TIMEINT CYCLE= 20; PERIOD LENGTH= 5

ESTAB REGENERATION ESTABLISHMENT OPTIONS:
DATE OF DISTURBANCE= 2003

BURNPREP DATE/CYCLE= 2004; % GROUND= 80.0

PLANT DATE/CYCLE= 2005; SPECIES= 2.; TREES/ACRE= 500.; % SURVIVAL= 80.00

TALLYONE DATE/CYCLE= 2007; DATE OF DISTURBANCE=2003.

TALLYTWO DATE/CYCLE= 2012; DATE OF DISTURBANCE=2003.

RESETAGE DATE/CYCLE= 2005; NEW AGE= 0.

HTADJ DATE/CYCLE= 2005; SPECIES NUMBER= 2.; ADJUSTMENT VALUE= 1.5000

END END OF ESTABLISHMENT KEYWORDS

SPECPREF DATE/CYCLE= 2008; SPECIES= 2.; THINNING SELECTION PRIORITY= -2.

THINBTA DATE/CYCLE= 2013; RESIDUAL= 1000.00; PROPORTION OF SELECTED TREES REMOVED= 1.000
DBH OF REMOVED TREES WILL RANGE FROM .0 TO 999.0 INCHES, AND
HEIGHT OF REMOVED TREES WILL RANGE FROM .0 TO 999.0 FEET.

(con.)

Table 2 (Con.)

```

RRIN      ROOT DISEASE KEYWORDS:

SAREA      STAND AREA =    51.00 ACRES

PLREAD     DISEASE SUBPLOTS READ IN
           DISEASED PLOTS=    1    5

RRTYPE     ROOT DISEASE SIMULATED IS ARMILLARIA

RRINIT     ROOT DISEASE INITIALIZATION KEYWORD: ROOT DISEASE CENTERS ASSIGNED RANDOMLY
           INITIALIZATION WILL BE WITH USER-SUPPLIED DATA UNLESS THE RRTREIN KEYWORD IS USED IN THE RUNSTREAM
           NUMBER OF CENTERS=    3: INFECTED TREES/ACRE IN DISEASED AREAS = 100.00
           UNINFECTED TREES/ACRE IN DISEASED AREAS = 100.00 ;PROPORTION ROOTS INFECTED= .40 ;DISEASE AREA = 21.00 ACRES

RRTREIN    ROOT DISEASE INITIALIZATION IS FROM TREELIST

STREAD     STUMPS READ IN FROM KEYWORD FILE; SUMMARY OF TOTAL NUMBER OF STUMPS IN STAND REPORTED BELOW

CARRY      DYNAMIC CARRYOVER MODEL, MINIMUM SPREAD= .00 FT/YR  MODEL CALLED    4 CYCLES AFTER MANAGEMENT

SPREAD     DYNAMIC SPREAD MODEL

PSTUMP     DATE/CYCLE= 2003;  EFFICIENCY = .80;   MIN SIZE =    3.00 INCHES DBH

RRJUMP     ROOT DISEASE AREA WILL JUMP OUT  1.00 RADII UPON CUT

RSEED      SEED TO REINITIALIZE RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR= 11188.00

RRDOUT     DETAILED ROOT DISEASE OUTPUT ON LOGICAL UNIT  22

END        END OF ROOT DISEASE KEYWORDS

TREFNT     (13X.14.T18.14.T28.F2.0.T30.11.T33.A2.F3.1.F2.1.3X.F3.0.T43.F3.0.T54,
           F2.0.T46.11.T48.3(12.11).T22.11.T22.11.T63.12.13.13.11.11)

TREEDATA   DATA SET REFERENCE NUMBER=  5

PROCESS    PROCESS THE STAND.

```

(con.)

Table 2 (Con.)

OPTIONS SELECTED BY DEFAULT

DESIGN BASAL AREA FACTOR= 40.0; INVERSE OF FIXED PLOT AREA= 300.0; BREAK DBH= 5.0
 NUMBER OF PLOTS= 7; NON-STOCKABLE PLOTS= 0; STAND SAMPLING WEIGHT= 1.00000

SUMMARY OF ROOT DISEASE INFORMATION READ IN ON INPUT

NUMBER OF INFECTED TREE RECORDS IN DISEASE PATCHES= 6.
 NUMBER OF UNINFECTED TREE RECORDS IN DISEASE PATCHES= 13.

NUMBER OF STUMPS

SIZE CLASS	HEARTWOOD	NON-HEARTWOOD
0- 12"	100.	0.
12- 24"	3.	25.
24- 48"	11.	0.
48-100"	0.	0.
>100"	0.	0.

Root Disease Summary Table

The key information in the root disease summary output file is a table of changes within the area infected by root disease. The summary output from the Root Disease Model (table 3) is designed to illustrate a number of important indicators of root disease effects in diseased areas of the stand:

1. Measure of the extent of root disease infection, including the number of infection centers, the mean annual spread rate in feet per year, and the total acres of the stand with root disease.
2. The number of infected stumps and the basal area of those stumps (in square feet per acre).
3. The number of trees per acre killed by root disease in the current growth cycle and the timber volume loss represented by those trees (in cubic feet per acre). Note that the number of trees killed by other agents (if called) are not included here; those losses will be reflected in the stand averages summarized by Prognosis.
4. The number of uninfected trees per acre within disease centers.
5. The number of infected trees per acre in the centers and the average percentage of their root systems that are infected.
6. Economic indicators of the volume (cubic feet per acre), and total basal area (square feet per acre) of live trees in diseased areas.

Column headings in table 3 describe the information in general. Column 1 is the year of the end of the growth cycle. Column 2 is stand age; this age is relevant only if one sets the age in the Prognosis keywords, or resets it in the case of a harvest. Column 3 is the number of root disease centers in the stand. This value is set by a keyword (RRINIT, RRTREIN, or PLREAD). It is reset only if the carryover model is called. Root disease centers merging to form fewer, but larger, centers through time are handled elsewhere in the model on an area-infected basis.

Column 4 is the total area of the stand with root disease. Column 5 is the rate of spread of the disease over the past growth cycle; this is always positive. Reduction of the area in root disease by removal of infected stumps (if PSTUMP is invoked) occurs at the beginning of the growth cycle. Spread rate is calculated from the remaining infected stumps after that removal.

The next four columns are dead tree characteristics, which describe trees killed by root disease. The first of these columns is the number of stumps per acre infected with root disease. Zeros may occur in this column for two reasons. At the start of a simulation the history of the past growth period is undefined. When the infection rate is less than one tree per acre per year in the past cycle, a zero is shown. The next column is the basal area of infected stumps per acre. The last two columns are the number of trees per acre killed and the cubic volume of these trees for the past growth cycle.

Following the summary of dead tree characteristics is a summary of live trees in diseased portions of the stand. First is the number of noninfected trees per acre, then the number of infected trees per acre. After a harvest these values are incorrect. Until the carryover model is finished, newly infected trees are missed by the root disease model when writing this table. Small trees once infected also die within the growth cycle so they may not be seen as infected and alive. The next column is the simulated percentage of the root system infected for all infected trees in the stand. It serves primarily as an index to model performance. This is followed by the merchantable cubic foot volume per acre in the diseased portion of the stand at the end of the cycle. Newly infected trees on the edge of the disease centers are included. The basal area for this same set of trees appears in the next column.

Stand and management identifiers, as specified in the Prognosis keywords, are also listed in this table. All root disease outputs are aggregated across tree species in the root diseased portions of the stand.

As discussed elsewhere in this manual, the most straightforward indicator of the extent of root disease in a stand is the total area within root disease centers. The number of infection centers is presented primarily to verify the initial inventory

and to illustrate the outcome of carryover after a cut. No attempt is made by this version of the model to merge overlapping centers, although overlaps are taken into account when calculating the area infected with disease. In addition, the number of centers is prevented from increasing beyond 100 for the stand.

Interpretation of entries in the output tables for periods between a stand harvest and execution of the carryover model requires some special considerations. After the carryover model produces an estimate of the number of new disease centers (displayed in the output tables), the number of new centers remains constant until either the carryover model is called again or the area in root disease goes to zero. That is, although those centers may be spreading and coalescing, the model output does not display the “effective” number of disease centers. Thus, the number of root disease centers has meaning only for the cycle following completion of the carryover model; a more general indicator of root disease infection in stands is simply the total area in root disease. At present, the output tables (tables 3 and 4) do not accurately reflect disease conditions of the regeneration until after carryover has been executed. To check that CARRY has been executed, refer to the Activity Summary listed by Prognosis Event Monitor (Crookston 1985) just after the Summary Yield Tables.

Some comparisons will likely be made between the Root Disease Model summary, table 3, and the summary from the Prognosis Model, table 5. The critical issue to remember is that the Prognosis summary is for the entire stand including both the portion infested with root disease, and the portion uninfested. Infested area changes from one projection cycle to the next.

The Prognosis Model summary contains several columns of data that correspond directly to entries in the Root Disease Model summary table. In table 5 these are the columns labeled YEAR, AGE, TREES/ACRE, BA/ACRE, MERCH CU FT, ACC, and MOR. The first two columns should be identical to the first two columns in table 3. The third column refers to the number of live trees per acre; in table 3 this number is broken down into live noninfested and live infected trees per acre. The merchantable cubic foot volume per acre is the same measurement in both tables, except for the area to which the value applies. Both accretion (ACC) and mortality (MORT) appear in the Prognosis Model summary, but only mortality from root disease appears in the output table for the Root Disease Model. If the mortality level for the Prognosis Model is greater than that for the Root Disease Model, the Prognosis Model level is used in both tables.

Conditions in uninfested proportions of the stand can be obtained from these two tables by taking the values from table 5 and converting them to totals for the entire stand area and converting values from table 3 to a total for the area infested at that growth cycle. The stand total minus the disease area total is then divided by the area of the stand that is uninfested to get a per acre value for that part of the stand.

A second copy of the summary table can optionally be written to a supplementary output unit as specified with the RRECHO keyword. This copy of simulation results can be used as input to other analyses.

Detailed Output Table

Use of the RRDOUT keyword causes a more detailed output table to be printed (table 4). This table displays some measures of the effects of root disease on attributes of each tree species in diseased areas. At the time of stand inventory, and at the end of each cycle, the number of trees killed during that cycle and the number of alive uninfested and alive infected trees are displayed. In addition, five percentile classes for tree d.b.h. are presented, along with the size of the largest tree in each class for both the trees killed by root disease and live trees. This detail is intended to illustrate species-specific growth effects in the root-diseased areas of the stand.

The detailed output table is written to a separate file from all other model output. This output is controlled by the keyword RRDOUT. The additional information that is not in the root disease summary output table is the breakdown by size classes within species of the frequency and condition of trees within the root disease centers.

Table 4—A detailed summary of tree conditions within the diseased proportion of the stand by tree size class by species as reported in the Root Disease Model output file (RRDOUT) for a stand in Crow Creek on the Lolo National Forest

[illegible]

(con.)

Table 4 (Con.)

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	2100	2101	2102	2103	2104	2105	2106	2107	2108	2109	2110	2111	2112	2113	2114	2115	2116	2117	2118	2119	2120	2121	2122	2123	2124	2125	2126	2127	2128	2129	2130	2131	2132	2133	2134	2135	2136	2137	2138	2139	2140	2141	2142	2143	2144	2145	2146	2147	2148	2149	2150	2151	2152	2153	2154	2155	2156	2157	2158	2159	2160	2161	2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2173	2174	2175	2176	2177	2178	2179	2180	2181	2182	2183	2184	2185	2186	2187	2188	2189	2190	2191	2192	2193	2194	2195	2196	2197	2198	2199	2200	2201	2202	2203	2204	2205	2206	2207	2208	2209	2210	2211	2212	2213	2214	2215	2216	2217	2218	2219	2220	2221	2222	2223	2224	2225	2226	2227	2228	2229	2230	2231	2232	2233	2234	2235	2236	2237	2238	2239	2240	2241	2242	2243	2244	2245	2246	2247	2248	2249	2250	2251	2252	2253	2254	2255	2256	2257	2258	2259	2260	2261	2262	2263	2264	2265	2266	2267	2268	2269	2270	2271	2272	2273	2274	2275	2276	2277	2278	2279	2280	2281	2282	2283	2284	2285	2286	2287	2288	2289	2290	2291	2292	2293	2294	2295	2296	2297	2298	2299	2300	2301	2302	2303	2304	2305	2306	2307	2308	2309	2310	2311	2312	2313	2314	2315	2316	2317	2318	2319	2320	2321	2322	2323	2324	2325	2326	2327	2328	2329	2330	2331	2332	2333	2334	2335	2336	2337	2338	2339	2340	2341	2342	2343	2344	2345	2346	2347	2348	2349	2350	2351	2352	2353	2354	2355	2356	2357	2358	2359	2360	2361	2362	2363	2364	2365	2366	2367	2368	2369	2370	2371	2372	2373	2374	2375	2376	2377	2378	2379	2380	2381	2382	2383	2384	2385	2386	2387	2388	2389	2390	2391	2392	2393	2394	2395	2396	2397	2398	2399	2400	2401	2402	2403	2404	2405	2406	2407	2408	2409	2410	2411	2412	2413	2414	2415	2416	2417	2418	2419	2420	2421	2422	2423	2424	2425	2426	2427	2428	2429	2430	2431	2432	2433	2434	2435	2436	2437	2438	2439	2440	2441	2442	2443	2444	2445	2446	2447	2448	2449	2450	2451	2452	2453	2454	2455	2456	2457	2458	2459	2460	2461	2462	2463	2464	2465	2466	2467	2468	2469	2470	2471	2472	2473	2474	2475	2476	2477	2478	2479	2480	2481	2482	2483	2484	2485	2486	2487	2488	2489	2490	2491	2492	2493	2494	2495	2496	2497	2498	2499	2500	2501	2502	2503	2504	2505	2506	2507	2508	2509	2510	2511	2512	2513	2514	2515	2516	2517	2518	2519	2520	2521	2522	2523	2524	2525	2526	2527	2528	2529	2530	2531	2532	2533	2534	2535	2536	2537	2538	2539	2540	2541	2542	2543	2544	2545	2546	2547	2548	2549	2550	2551	2552	2553	2554	2555	2556	2557	2558	2559	2560	2561	2562	2563	2564	2565	2566	2567	2568	2569	2570	2571	2572	2573	2574	2575	2576	2577	2578	2579	2580	2581	2582	2583	2584	2585	2586	2587	2588	2589	2590	2591	2592	2593	2594	2595	2596	2597	2598	2599	2600	2601	2602	2603	2604	2605	2606	2607	2608	2609	2610	2611	2612	2613	2614	2615	2616	2617	2618	2619	2620	2621	2622	2623	2624	2625	2626	2627	2628	2629	2630	2631	2632	2633	2634	2635	2636	2637	2638	2639	2640	2641	2642	2643	2644	2645	2646	2647	2648	2649	2650	2651	2652	2653	2654	2655	2656	2657	2658	2659	2660	2661	2662	2663	2664	2665	2666	2667	2668	2669	2670	2671	2672	2673	2674	2675	2676	2677	2678	2679	2680	2681	2682	2683	2684	2685	2686	2687	2688	2689	2690	2691	2692	2693	2694	2695	2696	2697	2698	2699	2700	2701	2702	2703	2704	2705	2706	2707	2708	2709	2710	2711	2712	2713	2714	2715	2716	2717	2718	2719	2720	2721	2722	2723	2724	2725	2726	2727	2728	2729	2730	2731	2732	2733	2734	2735	2736	2737	2738	2739	2740	2741	2742	2743	2744	2745	2746	2747	2748	2749	2750	2751	2752	2753	2754	2755	2756	2757	2758	2759	2760	2761	2762	2763	2764	2765	2766	2767	2768	2769	2770	2771	2772	2773	2774	2775	2776	2777	2778	2779	2780	2781	2782	2783	2784	2785	2786	2787	2788	2789	2790	2791	2792	2793	2794	2795	2796	2797	2798	2799	2800	2801	2802	2803	2804	2805	2806	2807	2808	2809	2810	2811	2812	2813	2814	2815	2816	2817	2818	2819	2820	2821	2822	2823	2824	2825	2826	2827	2828	2829	2830	2831	2832	2833	2834	2835	2836	2837	2838	2839	2840	2841	2842	2843	2844	2845	2846	2847	2848	2849	2850	2851	2852	2853	2854	2855	2856	2857	2858	2859	2860	2861	2862	2863	2864	2865	2866	2867	2868	2869	2870	2871	2872	2873	2874	2875	2876	2877	2878	2879	2880	2881	2882	2883	2884	2885	2886	2887	2888	2889	2890	2891	2892	2893	2894	2895	2896	2897	2898	2899	2900	2901	2902	2903	2904	2905	2906	2907	2908	2909	2910	2911	2912	2913	2914	2915	2916	2917	2918	2919	2920	2921	2922	2923	2924	2925	2926	2927	2928	2929	2930	2931	2932	2933	2934	2935	2936	2937	2938	2939	2940	2941	2942	2943	2944	2945	2946	2947	2948	2949	2950	2951	2952	2953	2954	2955	2956	2957	2958	2959	2960	2961	2962	2963	2964	2965	2966	2967	2968	2969	2970	2971	2972	2973	2974	2975	2976	2977	2978	2979	2980	2981	2982	2983	2984	2985	2986	2987	2988	2989	2990	2991	2992	2993	2994	2995	2996	2997	2998	2999	3000	3001	3002	3003	3004	3005	3006	3007	3008	3009	3010	3011	3012	3013	3014	3015	3016	3017	3018	3019	3020	3021	3022	3023	3024	3025	3026	3027	3028	3029	3030	3031	3032	3033	3034	3035	3036	3037	3038	3039	3040	3041	3042	3043	3044	3045	3046	3047	3048	3049	3050	3051	3052	3053	3054	3055	3056	3057	3058	3059	3060	3061	3062	3063	3064	3065	3066	3067	3068	3069	3070	3071	3072	3073	3074	3075	3076	3077	3078	3079	3080	3081	3082	3083	3084	3085	3086	3087	3088	3089	3090	3091	3092	3093	3094	3095	3096	3097	3098	3099	3100	3101	3102	3103	3104	3105	3106	3107	3108	3109	3110	3111	3112	3113	3114	3115	3116	3117	3118	3119	3120	3121	3122	3123	3124	3125	3126	3127	3128	3129	3130	3131	3132	3133	3134	3135	3136	3137	3138	3139	3140	3141	3142	3143	3144	3145	3146	3147	3148	3149	3150	3151	3152	3153	3154	3155	3156	3157	3158	3159	3160	3161	3162	3163	3164	3165	3166	3167	3168	3169	3170	3171	3172	3173	3174	3175	3176	3177	3178	3179	3180	3181	3182	3183	3184	3185	3186	3187	3188	3189	3190	3191	3192	3193	3194	3195	3196	3197	3198	3199	3200	3201	3202	3203	3204	3205	3206	3207	3208	3209	3210	3211	3212	3213	3214	3215	3216	3217	3218	3219	3220	3221	3222	3223	3224	3225	3226	3227	3228	3229	3230	3231	3232	3233	3234	3235	3236	3237	3238	3239	3240	3241	3242	3243	3244	3245	3246	3247	3248	3249	3250	3251	3252	3253	3254	3255	3256	3257	3258	3259	3260	3261	3262	3263	3264	3265	3266	3267	3268	3269	3270	3271	3272	3273	3274	3275	3276	3277	3278	3279	3280	3281	3282	3283	3284	3285	3286	3287	3288	3289	3290	3291	3292	3293	3294	3295	3296	3297	3298	3299	3300	3301	3302	3303	3304	3305	3306	3307	3308	3309	3310	3311	3312	3313	3314	3315	3316	3317	3318	3319	3320	3321	3322	3323	3324	3325	3326	3327	3328	3329	3330	3331	3332	3333	3334	3335	3336	3337	3338	3339	3340	3341	3342	3343	3344	3345	3346	3347	3348	3349	3350	3351	3352	3353	3354	3355	3356	3357	3358	3359	3360	3361	3362	3363	3364	3365	3366	3367	3368	3369	3370	3371	3372	3373	3374	3375	3376	3377	3378	3379	3380	3381	3382
--	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

	L	1.9	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.8	2.8	.0	2.3	2.9	3.4	6.3	7.6	7.8	467.1	.9	60.21
DF	2.1	2.6	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	2.1	2.6	2.6	5.0	5.0	5.0	81.1	.0	.00
GF	1.3	2.9	3.9	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	2.0	1.3	1.3	2.1	7.5	7.5	7.5	116.3	1.0	71.44
C	.9	1.0	1.3	1.3	3.4	3.4	.1	.1	.9	.9	1.3	1.3	3.4	3.4	88.4	.0	.00
LP	1.5	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	.5	.5	1.5	2.0	2.9	3.2	3.2	3.2	72.9	.0	27.91
S	.4	.9	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	.1	.1	.4	.4	.9	1.0	1.1	1.1	12.1	.0	.00
PP	.4	.9	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	.0	.0	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	1.7	.0	24.60

	L	15.2	15.7	21.7	25.5	25.5	25.5	1.2	11.6	13.8	19.9	19.9	23.0	28.3	51.9	10.5	50.41
DF		9.1	11.8	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	.1	8.2	11.8	15.7	18.5	18.5	18.5	.5	.4	47.71
GF		31.3	31.3	31.3	31.3	31.3	31.3	3.3	22.6	31.3	31.3	31.3	31.3	31.3	1.1	4.6	35.15
C		3.2	3.2	8.7	18.1	18.1	18.1	.1	3.7	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.8	18.1	15.8	.9	50.53
LP		6.0	10.6	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.7	.0	6.0	8.5	9.5	11.7	11.7	11.7	.3	.1	15.59
S		2.5	2.6	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.2	.2	.0	70.89
PP		2.5	2.6	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	.0	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	.0	.0	10.04

Table 5—A summary for the entire stand as reported in the Prognosis Model output file for a stand in Crow Creek on the Lolo National Forest

STAND GROWTH PROGNOSIS SYSTEM										VERSION 6.0 -- INLAND EMPIRE										01-22-1990 10:43:08									
SUMMARY STATISTICS (PER ACRE OR STAND BASED ON TOTAL STAND AREA)																													
START OF SIMULATION PERIOD													REMOVALS				AFTER TREATMENT				GROWTH THIS PERIOD								
NO OF		TOP			TOTAL MERCH			MERCH NO OF TOTAL MERCH			TOP			RES			PERIOD ACCE			MORT		MAI							
YEAR	AGE	TREES	BA	SD1	CCF	HT	QMD	CU FT	CU FT	BD FT	CU FT	BD FT	CU FT	BD FT	BA	SDI	CCF	HT	QMD	YEARS	PER	YEAR	CU FT	MERCH					
1983	130	8349	242	791	255	113	2.3	9151	8022	37853	0	0	0	0	0	242	791	255	113	2.3	10	209	89	61.7					
1993	140	6118	293	868	333	117	3.0	10354	9220	43160	0	0	0	0	0	293	868	333	117	3.0	10	144	68	65.9					
2003	0	4528	282	793	310	123	3.4	10195	9151	42883	742	10157	9151	42883	11	54	31	7	.7	5	56	1	.0						
2008	5	4241	57	217	130	12	1.6	313	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	217	130	12	1.6	5	55	0	.0						
2013	10	4196	80	284	175	17	1.9	587	0	0	3196	563	0	0	5	21	9	17	.9	5	20	0	.0						
2018	15	946	18	64	29	23	1.9	125	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	64	29	23	1.9	5	58	0	.0						
2023	20	930	47	138	66	27	3.1	416	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	138	66	27	3.1	5	101	1	.0						
2028	25	912	84	219	108	34	4.1	920	325	1011	0	0	0	0	84	219	108	34	4.1	10	161	4	.0						
2038	35	845	173	385	190	43	6.1	2495	1640	6410	0	0	0	0	173	385	190	43	6.1	10	265	21	.0						
2048	45	706	265	522	268	56	8.3	4936	4251	20134	0	0	0	0	265	522	268	56	8.3	10	205	46	.0						
2058	55	579	300	556	294	65	9.7	6523	5896	29365	0	0	0	0	300	556	294	65	9.7	10	183	83	.0						
2068	65	457	308	541	294	72	11.1	7524	7014	35250	0	0	0	0	308	541	294	72	11.1	10	143	121	.0						
2078	75	363	293	496	274	78	12.2	7745	7330	36959	0	0	0	0	293	496	274	78	12.2	10	133	127	.0						
2088	85	290	274	450	252	84	13.2	7802	7441	37592	0	0	0	0	274	450	252	84	13.2	10	157	74	.0						
2098	95	242	279	441	252	89	14.5	8632	8297	42680	0	0	0	0	279	441	252	89	14.5	10	144	113	.0						
2108	105	204	271	417	241	94	15.6	8943	8636	44804	0	0	0	0	271	417	241	94	15.6	10	112	121	.0						
2118	115	172	256	385	224	99	16.5	8847	8572	44756	0	0	0	0	256	385	224	99	16.5	10	116	113	.0						
2128	125	147	245	360	212	102	17.5	8873	8616	45474	0	0	0	0	245	360	212	102	17.5	10	117	119	.0						
2138	135	128	234	338	200	106	18.3	8854	8612	45773	0	0	0	0	234	338	200	106	18.3	10	132	104	.0						
2148	145	112	230	324	194	111	19.4	9138	8901	47501	0	0	0	0	230	324	194	111	19.4	5	105	177	.0						
2153	150	104	219	307	183	112	19.6	8781	8553	45722	0	0	0	0	219	307	183	112	19.6	0	0	0	.0						

For the most part, column and row headings are sufficient to interpret the contents of this table. Realize that the table summarizes by time steps the conditions at the end of a growth cycle and the changes that took place within the past cycle.

The first section of the table is for the base year (usually inventory). For this point in time, a breakdown of tree density is presented for live trees but not for mortality. Instead, a section of zeros is printed because the model does not summarize periodic mortality in the time before the date of inventory.

The size class breakdown within a species is by five percentile classes. Diameter distributions between species may vary greatly and are sometimes based on a small number of sample trees. A detailed diameter distribution is available as a Prognosis option. However, this distribution is for the entire stand, not just the area in root disease. Thus, the Prognosis summary will differ from the breakdown within the root-diseased portion of the stand. Following harvest and reestablishment, and prior to the time at which the Carryover Model is invoked, values for trees killed per acre represent newly established trees being infected and killed by the normal Root Disease Model within the infection centers as they existed at the time of the cut. During this interval (such as in table 4, in year 2008) there are no entries for total infected trees, or percentage of roots infected. After the Carryover Model is run, the model will have a set of new infection centers and an estimate of number of trees infected and percentage of roots infected. From that point on, this table represents the new area infected.

HOW THE MODEL WORKS

The Root Disease Model simulates the epidemiology of pathogenic *Armillaria* spp. or *P. weirii*. The model simulates spatial relationships between location of infected stumps and infected and uninfected live trees to predict the spread of infection in the stand. Persistence of infection between rotations is also simulated. The major relationships captured in the model are the susceptibility of trees to infection, vulnerability of trees to death once infected with root disease, effects of windthrow and attack by bark beetles, disease related growth reduction, and inoculum dynamics in infected trees and stumps. Physical and biological agents that may influence the dynamics of root disease incidence can optionally be included in the simulation.

The parameters used as defaults in the model reflect our best current understanding of *Armillaria* and *P. weirii* epidemiology in the Inland Empire region of the western United States. These parameters can be adjusted using keywords to more accurately reflect particular pathogenicities and stand conditions (appendix II).

The dynamics and impact of root disease in a stand projection is modeled in roughly six major parts:

1. Representation of root disease centers at the start of a simulation.
2. Simulation of the effects of other mortality agents on trees inside root disease centers.
3. Simulation of the spread of root disease centers.
4. Dynamics of the infection of trees inside root disease centers.
5. Estimation of the "carryover" of root disease from one stand through a harvest to a new stand with new infection centers.
6. Calculation of mortality inside root disease centers and growth effects due to root disease.

Brief descriptions of each of these phases will serve as background for describing input requirements, interpretation of output, and understanding model behavior. For additional detail, refer to McNamee and others (1989).

Representation of Root Disease Centers at Start of Simulation

The Prognosis Model represents the stand by a list of sample tree records. Each record in the list represents a number of trees in the stand with identical attributes. The number of trees per unit area represented by each record at the time of inventory is determined by the sample design. In addition to the number of trees, each record includes the species of the tree and its d.b.h., height, and crown ratio.

After Prognosis compiles the stand inventory and prescriptions for selected management actions, the root disease inventory is used to characterize diseased portions of the stand. Inventory data can be generated in two ways: (1) exclusively through keywords specified by the user, or (2) a combination of keywords specified by the user and data obtained directly from the input tree list used by Prognosis. Regardless of the method used, the following information is needed:

1. The number and size of root disease centers and their locations (unless a random distribution of locations is assumed).
2. The number of infected and uninfected trees within root disease centers (input through keyword or supplied directly from tree list) and the average proportion of root systems infected on infected trees (always keyword supplied).
3. A list of infected stumps (including infected dead trees) inside the root disease centers, and their sizes. This stump information can be entered through keywords, read directly from the tree list, or both for a given scenario.

In the model, the stand is assumed to be square and infection centers within it are assumed to be circular. The total density of infected and uninfected trees of each tree record inside the disease centers is computed from the input tree list or from the keyword, RRINIT, supplied by the user.

The proportion of root systems colonized on infected trees at the time of inventory can be defined by the user. Once simulation begins, this proportion is calculated separately for each tree, and thus the average for all infected trees will change.

Dead tree and stump information is summarized from the input tree list, from a user specified keyword, or both. Dead trees and stumps, in the context of the Root Disease Model, are defined as any tree that died infected with root disease, whatever the cause of death. The model assumes that trees uninfected with root disease at the time of death do not become inoculum sources. The remaining dead trees or stumps can be a whole standing tree, a standing bole, or a bole that has been snapped off. These dead trees and stumps act as inoculum sources for root disease in the infection centers.

Following the initialization of stand conditions and extent of root disease within the stand, the summary of the initial inventory is completed and the stand projection begins.

Effects of Other Agents

Effects of windthrow and bark beetles on tree mortality may be simulated if desired. These agents interact with the root disease process primarily by influencing inoculum levels and potential disease spread. For example, trees killed by bark beetles can become *Armillaria* inoculum only if they were infected by the fungus prior to death. The killing by beetles of uninfected trees near disease centers could slow disease spread because the model assumes that these root systems will not become inoculum. The other agents submodel is actually a collection of separate models, each of which simulates the action of one type of agent on trees in the stand. These models require the user to specify timing and severity of the event.

Through keywords the user can specify the occurrence of one or more of these agents during any desired cycle year of the projection. The user specifies the earliest year in which the other agent of interest can occur. If the required conditions are not appropriate in that cycle, the agent remains potentially active until conditions are appropriate, and then the event occurs. Only one incident of BBTYPE 1 and BBTYPE2 is permitted.

Windthrow of Trees—The windthrow model simulates major blowdown events in infection centers. Dominant and codominant trees are wind-thrown if the density of eligible stems exceeds a user-defined minimum. For infected trees that are wind-thrown, the model determines the number of stems that tip over, removing their root systems from the soil, as opposed to snapping off and thus leaving the root system in the ground. This decision is based on the proportion of the root systems that are infected. Windfallen stems that tip over do not further contribute to the spread of root disease. Infected trees that are snapped off are entered in the dead tree/stump list and become inoculum sources for root disease.

Bark Beetle Interactions—The current version of the other agents submodel simulates three types of bark beetle-stand interactions:

Type 1 beetles—An outbreak of type 1 beetles occurs when the density of susceptible trees of a given species and minimum diameter exceeds a user-defined minimum. Mountain pine beetle attacking lodgepole pine stands is used as the prototype interaction from which parameters for type 1 interactions are set. Mountain pine beetle and western pine beetle attacking ponderosa pine are also type 1 interactions.

Type 2 beetles—These depend on trees wind-thrown in the current time step. An outbreak occurs if the number of windfallen stems of a suitable size exceeds a user-specified minimum. Standing live trees are also attacked in proportion to their density and the density of wind-thrown trees that are attacked. The user must also specify a windthrow event with a type 2 bark beetle interaction. Douglas-fir beetle attacking Douglas-fir is used as the prototype type 2 beetle interaction from which defaults are set.

Type 3 beetles—An outbreak of type 3 beetles occurs if the density of a given tree species with sufficient size and proportion of their root systems infected exceeds a user-defined minimum density. That is, this type of beetle interaction is dependent on root disease infection, and tree species, size and density. Each of these can be specified by the user. Fir engraver attacking grand fir is used as the prototype type 3 interaction from which parameters are estimated.

In all of these bark beetle-stand interactions, the infected trees that are killed by an outbreak are added to the dead tree/stump list to act as inoculum.

Spread of Infection Centers

The spread of infection centers can be simulated dynamically or it can be set to a predefined rate by the user. If the latter option is chosen, the user specifies the annual rate (feet per year) at which to expand infection centers. If the dynamic spread model is chosen (the default), then the spread rate is simulated in the following way:

Figure 2 shows the basic relationship of how live root systems become infected, trees are killed, and infection spreads in dead roots. The average portion of a root system that is colonized when a tree dies is a function of the pathogen and tree species. The number of years it takes for a given root disease pathogen to kill a tree is a function of tree species and size.

The time necessary to kill a Douglas-fir tree on Douglas-fir habitat in the interior region of the Western United States is defined by the relationship shown in figure 3. This relationship is modified for other species, pathogens, and habitat types, as shown in the figure, but assumes that all trees react to infection in a similar way until their d.b.h., and hence their time-to-death exceeds some minimum.

Following tree death, fungal spread through the root system depends on the species of pathogen. *Armillaria* is assumed to colonize the entire root system within 5 years. *Phellinus weirii* is assumed to be unable to colonize the rest of the root system after death. But *P. weirii* inoculum on dead trees and stumps is assumed to have a minimum lifespan of 20 years. There is no minimum lifespan for *Armillaria* on dead trees and stumps. The maximum lifespan of inoculum is a function of stump size and tree species (fig. 4). Tree species are grouped into

heartwood and nonheartwood types. Species with heartwood include Douglas-fir, pines, western redcedar, and western larch. Nonheartwood species are true firs, hemlocks, and spruce. Inoculum is assumed to decay at a rate that reduces the radial extent of infected root systems by 75 percent during the first one-third of the lifespan. Remaining infected roots are assumed to decay at a steady rate over the remaining two-thirds of their lifespan.

Figure 2—Patterns of pathogen spread and inoculum development in a single root system. Time scales depend on tree species.

Figure 3—Time required from infection by *Armillaria* to tree death for Douglas-fir on a Douglas-fir habitat type.

Figure 4—Lifespan of inoculum for various tree species infected with *Armillaria*; see text for description.

Spread rate is calculated by explicitly simulating a small portion of the stand for a number of years and averaging the spread rate of root disease over that period. Trees are selected from the tree list in proportion to their density in the stand and placed in a small “test plot” in either a random pattern (the default) or a square grid pattern with a small, positive amount of “error” in tree placement. These spatial patterns can be used to simulate the spread of root disease in stands under conditions ranging from natural regeneration in the stand to a plantation with little or no natural regeneration. Spread is simulated by increasing the area of infection of each infected root system using the relationship shown in figure 2, then checking to see whether this root system contacts any uninfected root systems. If they do, the uninfected trees contacting the infected trees become infected at a rate equal to the probability of pathogen transmission on contact (dependent on tree species and root disease pathogen). For simplicity, degree of root overlap is ignored in the estimation of probability—the probability is the same whether one or more systems come into contact.

Spread rate is converted to an increase in the radius of each disease center for the current growth cycle. These increased radii are then converted into an increase in total root disease area in the stand for that cycle, taking into account the overlaps between centers. The average spread rate is converted to an annual rate expressed in feet per year for the summary output display.

Old infection centers are assumed to expand at the time of the cut if the root disease pathogen is *Armillaria*. This is because many of the root systems at the edge of the infection center have just become infected with root disease, and *Armillaria* is assumed to completely colonize these root systems after tree death. The user can specify, through a keyword option (RRJUMP), by how many root-system diameters the center will expand after a cut.

Infection Dynamics Inside Centers

Not all trees inside infection centers develop root disease. This part of the model simulates pathogen transmission from infected to uninfected trees within the infection centers.

A representative sample of inoculum (infected stumps) is placed on the plot in a similar way to the live trees for the spread model above. Then trees from the tree list are distributed randomly on this test plot in proportion to their density. The number of newly infected trees inside infection centers is estimated, depending on the proportion of the root systems of each tree that contacts inoculum sources and the probability of infection from that contact.

Carryover of Root Disease to a New Stand

This model component represents our best, albeit incomplete, understanding of the ways in which root disease centers are affected by the harvest of trees and subsequent regeneration of a new stand. For example, the integrity of root disease centers from the old stand may break down after a clearcut. The disease in the new stand may arise from only a few isolated inoculum sources within the previously infected area. Or the centers may remain essentially whole after the clearcut. Or centers in the new stand may rapidly coalesce and expand from essentially the old boundaries. These possibilities are part of a continuum that is determined by the density of inoculum, the composition of the original stand, and the composition of the regenerating stand.

The user has two options for specifying how carryover of root disease is to be modeled. The user can simply set the expected probability of new center initiation and the expected number of new infection centers created after carryover. This option, termed the “static” carryover model, is the default. The second option uses the same relationships for disease spread and pathogenicity used by the main Root Disease Model to estimate carryover of disease from one stand to the next. This option is called the “dynamic” carryover model. Its operation is discussed more fully below.

The carryover model calculates the probability of infection persisting from the time of the cut through to the time of root closure in the new stand. This is done by

reconstructing conditions at the time of the cut and keeping track of the probabilities of infection persisting from the original stumps and from young trees that become infected through contact with old infected stumps or other infected trees. The time step used by the carryover model for this reconstruction is 5 years. This submodel uses the same relationships as those used in the estimation of root disease spread and infection. After the simulation of carryover is completed, the probability of infection persisting is converted into an estimate of the number of new infection centers. The model allows up to 100 new centers to be created from carryover; these new centers are randomly distributed within the boundaries of the old infection centers.

Mortality and Growth Effects Inside Centers

The final part of the Root Disease Model is to reconcile the mortality from root disease and other agents, and growth effects due to root disease in infected trees, with stand averages calculated by Prognosis. These rates are then passed back to Prognosis.

Trees outside root disease centers and uninfected trees inside root disease centers can suffer mortality from causes represented by Prognosis and other agents, while infected trees inside the root disease centers can suffer mortality from causes represented by Prognosis, other agents, and root disease. The model assumes that the total mortality on any tree class will be the maximum of the mortality predicted by Prognosis or the mortality predicted by the combined effect of root disease or other agents.

Root disease infection can affect both diameter and height increment and is modeled as a function of the proportion of the root system colonized by root disease. These relationships are applied only to infected trees; the actual growth increments for each Prognosis tree record are a weighted average of the separate growth increments of the three categories of trees represented by each record: infected inside centers, uninfected inside centers, and trees outside centers. Finally, the Root Disease Model updates the attributes in the dead tree/stump list, and passes control back to Prognosis. The projection continues as Prognosis updates the attributes of the trees in the stand, calculates tree volumes, compiles the tables that display projected stand conditions, and if required, begins the next cycle. At the conclusion of the projection, the Prognosis stand tables are displayed as are the tables summarizing conditions within root disease centers.

Linkage to Prognosis Model and Limitations

Coastal forest conditions were included in development of the Root Disease Model. At this time, however, a Prognosis Model variant has not been developed for coastal forests. This Root Disease Model could be linked to other stand growth models in addition to Prognosis Model. The essential requirements are:

1. A list of trees in the stand, with each tree representing a number of trees per acre on a sampling basis.
2. A regeneration component to add new trees as growing space is released by mortality or harvest.
3. A procedure for compressing the tree list to make space for the new trees.

The limited length of the tree list (500 tree records) imposes some limitation on the representation of the root disease process. The most serious limitation is the necessity of having each tree record represent trees both infected and uninfected, and both inside and outside root disease centers. The consequence of this limitation is that tree attributes such as crown ratio, height increment, and diameter increment must be maintained using weighted averages of the values for trees in each condition. However, this limitation does not apply at the start of the simulation if the disease status of each tree in the inventory is supplied using the RRTREIN option. A further consequence of having each tree record represent trees in all disease conditions is that their growth rates (before modification for effects of infection) are based on overall stand stocking.

An alternative model formulation that would remove this limitation would be to maintain each annulus of spread as an independent stand. Such a formulation would be possible with the Parallel Processing Extension of the Prognosis Model (Crookston and Stage in press). At this time, however, we do not believe that the improved resolution would be justified in view of the other approximations of the disease process implicit in the rest of this model.

REFERENCES

- Bloomberg, W. J.; Cumberbirch, P. M.; Wallis, G. W. 1980. A ground survey method for estimating loss caused by *Phellinus weirii* root rot. I. Development of survey design. Can. For. Serv. Rep. BC-R-3. 24 p.
- Brooks, M. 1985. Scientists, managers, and modelers work on root diseases. Forestry Research West. August: 12-15.
- Byler, J. W.; Marsden, M. A.; Hagle, S. K. [In press]. The probability of root disease on the Lolo National Forest, Montana. Canadian Journal of Forest Research.
- Canfield, J. E.; Lyon, L. J.; Hillis, J. M. 1986. The influence of viewing angle on elk hiding cover in young timber stands. Res. Pap. INT-371. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 6 p.
- Crookston, N. L.; Stage, A. R. [In press]. User's guide to the Parallel Processing Extension of the Prognosis Model. Gen. Tech. Rep. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station.
- Crookston, N. L. 1985. User's guide to the event monitor: an addition to the Prognosis Model. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-196. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 36 p.
- Eav, B. B.; Shaw, C. G., III. 1987. The Western Root Disease Model: A status report. In: Proceedings 35th Western International Forest Disease Work Conference: 84-92.
- Ferguson, D. E.; Crookston, N. L. 1984. User's guide to the regeneration establishment model—a Prognosis Model extension. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-161. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 23 p.
- Gladden, J. 1989. Data General pest model submittal system. Fort Collins, CO: Forest Pest Management, Method Applications Group, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. 228 p.
- Hadfield, J. S.; Goheen, D. J.; Filip, G. M.; Schmitt, C. L.; Harvey, R. D. 1986. Root diseases in Oregon and Washington conifers. R6-FPM-250-86. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region. 27 p.
- James, R. L.; Stewart, C. A.; Williams, R. E. 1984. Estimating root disease losses in northern Rocky Mountain National Forests. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 14(5): 652-655.
- McDonald, G. I.; Martin, N. E.; Harvey, A. E. 1987. *Armillaria* in the Northern Rockies: pathogenicity and host susceptibility on pristine and disturbed sites. Res. Note INT-371. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 5 p.
- McNamee, P. J.; Webb, T. M.; Everitt, R. R.; Greig, L. A. 1985. Final report of a project to construct a prototype root disease model for silvicultural planning in the Western United States. Unpublished report on file at: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forestry Sciences Laboratory, Moscow, ID.
- McNamee, P. J.; Sutherland, G. D. 1986. Sensitivity analysis of the Root Disease Model. Draft unpublished report on file at: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forestry Sciences Laboratory, Moscow, ID.
- McNamee, P. J.; Sutherland, G. D.; Shaw, C. G., III; [and others]. 1989. Description of a multi-species root disease model developed for silvicultural planning and management in coniferous forests of western North America.

- In: Morrison, D. J., ed. Proceedings 7th IUFRO conference on root and butt rot in forest trees; 1988 August; Vernon and Victoria, BC. Victoria, BC: Pacific Forest Research Centre: 320-335.
- Moeur, M. 1985. COVER: a user's guide to the CANOPY and SHRUBS extension of the stand Prognosis Model. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-190. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 49 p.
- Shaw, C. G., III; Goheen, D. J.; Eav, B. B. 1989. Simulation of impacts of annosus root disease with the Western Root Disease Model. In: Otrosina, W. J.; Scharpf, R. F., tech. coords. Proceedings of the symposium on research and management of annosus root disease (*Heterobasidion annosum*) in western North America; 1989 April 18-21; Monterey, CA. Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-116. Berkeley, CA: Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture: 129-139.
- Shaw, C. G., III; Roth, L. F. 1978. Control of *Armillaria* root disease in managed coniferous forests: a literature review. European Journal of Forest Pathology. 8: 163-174.
- Shaw, C. G., III; Stage, A. R.; Webb, M. 1985. Development of a root disease sub-routine for use with stand growth models of western forests. In: Proceedings 33rd Western International Forest Disease Work Conference: 48-54.
- Sleavin, K. E. 1989. Data General growth and yield submittal system user guide. Version 2:34:03:89. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington Office Timber Management Unit. 194 p.
- Smith, R. S. 1984. Root disease-caused losses in the commercial coniferous forests of the western United States. Rep. 84-5. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Pest Management, Methods Application Group. 21 p.
- Stage, A. R. 1973. Prognosis model for stand development. Res. Pap. INT-137. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 32 p.
- Stage, A. R.; Alley, J. R. 1972. An inventory design using stand examinations for planning and programing timber management. Res. Pap. INT-126. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 17 p.
- Stage, A. R.; Crookston, N. L.; Wiitala, M. R. 1986. Procedures for including pest management activities in forest planning using present or simplified planning models. In: Bailey, R. G., tech. coord. Lessons from using FORPLAN: proceedings of a planning and implementation workshop; 1986 April 29-May 1; Denver, CO. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Land Management Planning Systems: 202-215.
- Thies, W. G. 1984. Laminated root rot—the quest for control. Journal of Forestry. 82: 345-356.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 1986. Forest Service handbook FSH 2409.21h R1. Timber Management Data Handbook. Missoula, MT. 245 p.
- Wargo, P. M. 1980. *Armillaria mellea*: an opportunist. Journal of Arboriculture. 6: 276-278.
- Wargo, P. M.; Shaw, C. G., III. 1985. *Armillaria* root rot—the puzzle is being solved. Plant Disease. 69: 826-832.
- Williams, R. E.; Marsden, M. A. 1982. Modeling probability of root disease center occurrence in northern Idaho forests. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. 12: 876-882.
- Wykoff, W.; Crookston, N. L.; Stage, A. R. 1982. User's guide to the stand Prognosis model. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-133. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 112 p.

APPENDIX I—PARTICIPANTS IN MODEL DEVELOPMENT (1983-1986)

Stephen Arno
Intermountain Fire Sciences Laboratory
Intermountain Research Station (INT)
Missoula, MT

Fred Baker
Utah State University, Logan

Rick Barth
Idaho Panhandle National Forests (NF)
Region 1 (R-1), Wallace, ID

Jerome Beatty
Forest Pest Management, R-3
Albuquerque, NM

W. J. Bloomberg
Canadian Forestry Service
Victoria, BC

Jim Brickell
Timber Management
R-1, Missoula, MT

Martha Brookes
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
Pacific Northwest Research Station (PNW)
Corvallis, OR

Jim Byler
State and Private Forestry, R-1
Missoula, MT

Jim Chew
Timber Management, R-1
Missoula, MT

Jim Colbert
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
PNW, LaGrande, OR

Larry Cron
Fernan District,
Idaho Panhandle NF
Coeur d'Alene, ID

Nick Crookston
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
INT, Moscow, ID

Robert O. Curtis
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
PNW, Olympia, WA

Bov Eav
Forest Pest Management
Methods Application Group
Fort Collins, CO

Robert Everitt
ESSA Ltd.
Vancouver, BC

Dennis Ferguson
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
INT, Moscow, ID

Greg Filip
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
PNW, LaGrande, OR

Brian W. Geils
Rocky Mountain Research Station (RM)
Fort Collins, CO

Don Goheen
Forest Pest Management
R-6, Portland, OR

Tom Gregg
Forest Pest Management
R-6, Portland, OR

Lorne Greig
ESSA Ltd., Toronto, ON

Sue Hagle
State and Private Forestry
R-1, Missoula, MT

Everett Hansen
Oregon State University
Corvallis, OR

Alan Harvey
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
INT, Moscow, ID

Charles S. Hodges
USDA Forest Service
Washington Office (WO)

Richard E. Johnson
Boise Cascade Corporation
Goldendale, WA

Richard Krebill
INT, Ogden, UT

George Lightner
Clearwater NF
Orofino, ID

Bob Loomis
Forest Pest Management, WO

Michael Marsden
Forest Pest Management
Methods Application Group
Fort Collins, CO

Neil Martin
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
INT, Moscow, ID

Geral McDonald
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
INT, Moscow, ID

Peter McNamee
ESSA Ltd.
Toronto, ON

Duncan Morrison
Canadian Forest Service
Victoria, BC

John Muir
British Columbia Forest Service
Victoria, BC

Ken Neiman
Moscow, ID

Earl Nelson
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
PNW, Corvallis, OR

Susan Rainville
Fernan Ranger District
Idaho Panhandle NF
Coeur d'Alene, ID.

Ken Russell
Washington Department of Natural
Resources, Olympia, WA

Art Schipper
PNW, Portland, OR

Richard Schmitz
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
INT, Ogden, UT

John Schwandt
Idaho Department of Lands
Coeur d'Alene, ID

Charles G. Shaw III
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
RM, Fort Collins, CO

E. Michael Sharon
Forest Pest Management
R-2, Lakewood, CO

Kathy Sleavin
Timber Management
R-2, Fort Collins, CO

Dale Snedaker
Bureau of Land Management
Salem, OR

Al Stage
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
INT, Moscow, ID

Glenn Sutherland
ESSA Ltd.
Vancouver, BC

Walt Thies
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
PNW, Corvallis, OR

Boris Tkacz
Forest Pest Management
R-4, Ogden, UT

Tom Turpin
Siuslaw NF
R-6, Corvallis, OR

Roger Ward
Nez Perce NF
R-1, Grangeville, ID

Phil Wargo
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
Northeastern Forest Experiment Station
(NE), Hamden, CT.

Tim Webb
ESSA Ltd., Vancouver, BC

Douglas Welbourne
Idaho Panhandle NF
R-1, Coeur d'Alene, ID

Carroll Williams
Pacific Southwest Station (PSW)
Berkeley, CA

Ralph Williams
Forest Pest Management
R-4, Ogden, UT

Paul Wood
Forestry Consultant,
Vancouver, BC

Ken H. Wright
PNW, Portland, OR

Bill Wykoff
Forestry Sciences Laboratory
INT, Moscow, ID

APPENDIX II—REAL WORLD VS. THE MODEL: CHANGING MODEL ASSUMPTIONS

These keywords offer the user flexibility in exploring the dynamics of the model by altering important keywords (the INFKILL, INFMULT, INOCSPAN, RRJUMP, RRMINK, TDISTN, and TTDMULT keywords) and modifying the simulation of important processes such as the spread of disease centers, and carryover between rotations (the SPREAD and CARRY keywords). The RSEED keyword allows the user to vary the random numbers used by the model in calculating spread rate and the dynamics of infection inside root disease centers.

CARRY	Specifies the type of carryover model to be simulated (static or dynamic). The default is that a static carryover model is simulated.
Field 1:	Contains either a 0 or 1. If 0 is entered or field is left blank, a static carryover model is assumed and an entry in field 3 will be read, specifying the fixed probability of forming new disease centers. If 1 is entered, the dynamic carryover model is assumed and field 3 will be searched for the minimum spread rate at root closure.
Field 2:	This contains the number of Prognosis growth cycles after harvesting (thinning or clearcutting) that the carryover model will be called. The default is four cycles. Additional harvesting within the delay interval will, in turn, delay the execution of CARRY.
Field 3:	The entry in field 3 depends on whether the user invokes a static or dynamic carryover model. If field 1 is 0 or blank, a static carryover model is being invoked and field 3 contains the probability of forming new disease centers. The default is a probability of 1.0. An error message will appear if the entry in field 3 is less than 0 or greater than 1, and the default probability will be retained. If field 1 contains 1, the dynamic carryover model will be simulated and field 3 should contain the minimum rate of disease spread at root closure.
Field 4:	Field 4 is only examined if the user has specified a static carryover model. Field 4 contains the number of new disease centers in the entire stand that will be formed when the carryover model is invoked. From one to 100 centers can be established in this way. The default value of three centers will be used if the user specifies less than one or greater than 100 centers.
DEFAULT:	Static spread model called four growth cycles after every stand thinning, including clearcutting.
INFKILL	Infection through a root system proceeds until a threshold proportion of the root system is infected and the tree dies. This keyword allows the user to modify the proportion of the root system that is infected at the time of death.
Field 1:	This field defines for which type of root disease the proportions are to be specified. A value of 1 specifies <i>Armillaria</i> , 2 specifies <i>P. weirii</i> . Any other value entered on this line will cause an error message to be printed and the <i>Armillaria</i> type to be assumed.

However, if the disease specified in this field differs from that specified by the RRTYPE keyword, this keyword will be ignored.

- Field 2: The value entered in this field, if between 1 and 11 inclusive, specifies that a single proportion of roots infected at death value is to be changed and indicates the tree species index to be changed. Any other value indicates that all proportions of root infection at death are to be changed, and a supplemental record is to be provided with the new values.
- Field 3: This field is only examined if field 2 has a value between 1 and 11 inclusive. It contains the new proportion of root systems infected at death for the species indexed in field 2.

Supplemental Records

A supplemental record is expected if the proportion of roots infected at death is to be changed for all species (Field 2 is not a number between 1 and 11 inclusive). Format for this supplemental record is 11F5.2.

DEFAULT:

Species abbrev.	WP	L	DF	GF	WH	C	LP	S	AF	PP	OTH
Species index	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
<i>Armillaria</i>	0.3	0.85	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.75	0.3	0.75	0.3	0.3	0.3
<i>P. weirii</i>	0.85	0.75	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.75	0.85	0.65	0.60	0.85	0.8

INFMULT This keyword allows the user to change the probabilities of disease transmission given that the vertical projections of the infected and uninfected root systems overlap. As one example of the use of this keyword, the user may change infection probabilities for each tree species to represent differences in various habitats.

- Field 1: This field contains the year in which the probabilities of infection modified with this keyword are to take effect. This allows the user to change these parameters once during the simulation. The user must put the year in field 1 on all INFMULT keywords that refer to probabilities of infection changed at some point during the simulation. The year in which the probabilities of infection are changed is the date specified on the last INFMULT keyword that has a year in field 1.
- Field 2: This field defines for which type of root disease the probabilities are to be specified. A value of 1 specifies *Armillaria*, 2 specifies *P. weirii*. Any other value entered on this line will cause an error message to be printed and *Armillaria* to be assumed. However, if the disease specified in this field differs from that specified by the RRTYPE keyword, this keyword will be ignored.
- Field 3: The value entered in this field, if between 1 and 11 inclusive, specifies that a single probability of infection is to be changed and indicates the tree species index to be changed. Any other value indicates that all probabilities are to be changed and a supplemental record is to be provided with the new probabilities.

Field 4: This field is only examined if field 3 has a value between 1 and 11 inclusive. It contains the new probability of infection for the species indexed in field 3.

Supplemental Records

A supplemental record is expected if all probabilities are to be changed (field 3 is not a number between 1 and 11 inclusive). Format for this supplemental record is 11F5.2.

DEFAULT:

Species abbrev. Species index	WP 1	L 2	DF 3	GF 4	WH 5	C 6	LP 7	S 8	AF 9	PP 10	OTH 11
<i>Armillaria</i>	0.1	0.05	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.1
<i>P. weirii</i>	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.02	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.4

INOCSPAN This keyword specifies the minimum lifespan, in years, of inoculum for the two root disease types.

Field 1: This field contains the minimum lifespan of the *Armillaria* root disease type.

Field 2: This field contains the minimum lifespan of the *P. weirii* root disease type.

DEFAULT: 0 years for the *Armillaria* type and 20 years for the *P. weirii* type.

RRCOMP Prognosis tree list arrays are 1,350 records long. In an effort to conserve computer memory requirements but maintain good representation of trees inside disease centers, the root disease tree lists are 500 records long. Because of a one-to-one correspondence between the Prognosis and Root Disease tree lists, the Prognosis tree lists can never contain more than 500 records when using the root disease extension. Compression of the tree lists occurs whenever the number of records exceeds 500. This keyword allows the user to specify the maximum size of the tree lists for the scenario to be between one and 500 records. Compression will occur automatically whenever the tree list length is about to exceed (because of new regeneration) the user-defined maximum. There is a tradeoff to be aware of in that a shorter tree list is quicker to process but compression is expensive. It is advisable to compress the tree list to about 200 elements if a large number, near 500, tree records are read in and regeneration is to occur. This will minimize the number of times the compression routine will have to be called. Two precautions: (1) Be sure to include the NOTRIPLE Prognosis keyword to avoid immediately undoing the effect of the RRCOMP action, and (2) be sure not to introduce an RRCOMP action between the time of a harvest and the subsequent timing of the CARRY keyword.

Field 1: The maximum size of the tree list. The tree list is compressed to this number whenever its length approaches this number. An error message will appear if the value of field 1 is blank or is greater than 500 and the maximum size of the tree list will be set to 150 records.

DEFAULT: 150 records.

RRJUMP	<p>Root disease spreads through trees around the edge of centers when the stand is thinned or clearcut. This keyword allows the user to specify the extent to which root disease will expand outward when centers are cut.</p> <p>Field 1: This field contains the number of root-system diameters by which the centers will expand upon cutting. The model computes the average root system diameter of all trees in the stand, and the centers will move out this distance multiplied by the value contained in parameter field.</p> <p>DEFAULT: Centers will expand one mean root radius upon cutting.</p>
RRMINK	<p>It is assumed that modifiers of the mortality and infection dynamics of trees infected with either <i>Armillaria</i> or <i>P. weirii</i> are effective at all tree sizes. This keyword allows the user to relax this assumption (see also the TTDMULT keyword and fig. 3).</p> <p>Field 1: This field contains a time-to-death below which the modifiers specified by the TTDMULT keyword do not influence mortality and infection dynamics for trees infected by <i>Armillaria</i>. This field should contain a value. If it is blank, the minimum time to death is set to 0 years.</p> <p>Field 2: This field contains a time-to-death below which the modifiers specified by the TTDMULT keyword do not influence mortality and infection dynamics for trees infected by <i>P. weirii</i>. This field should contain a value. If it is blank, the minimum time to death is set to 0 years.</p> <p>DEFAULT: The time to death below which the modifiers do not influence mortality and infection dynamics is 0 inches for both <i>Armillaria</i> and <i>P. weirii</i>.</p>
RSEED	<p>This keyword allows the user to change the seed value used in starting the model random number generators. The random number generators are used in the dynamic simulation of spread rate, as well as in the dynamics of infection inside root disease centers.</p> <p>Field 1: The new seed value. This can be any positive integer number less than the maximum number allowed on the particular computer. The default value is 855998726.</p>
SPREAD	<p>Specifies the type of spread model to be simulated (static or dynamic).</p> <p>Field 1: Contains either a 0 or 1. If 0 is entered or is left blank, a static spread model is assumed and an entry in field 2 will be read, specifying the fixed annual spread rate. If 1 is entered, dynamic spread model is used.</p> <p>Field 2: The fixed annual spread rate. This field is only examined if field 1 is blank or contains 0.</p> <p>DEFAULT: Static spread model, with a spread rate of 1.0 ft per year.</p>

TDISTN	<p>Spread of root disease between trees depends on the spatial distribution of trees in the stand. The distribution can be random or gridded, as in a plantation. This keyword allows the user to specify the initial tree distribution and the tree distribution after some point in the simulation.</p> <p>Field 1: This field contains the year in which the distribution will change from that specified in field 2 to the other. This is particularly useful when, for example, a stand is to be cut and turned into a plantation.</p> <p>Field 2: This field is used to specify the current tree distribution. If field 2 is blank or contains a 0, the tree distribution is assumed to be random. If field 2 contains a 1, the tree distribution is set to regular spacing. All other entries in the parameter field are ignored and the default, a random distribution, is used.</p> <p>Field 3: This field contains the standard deviation about the mean distance between trees in a regular, or lattice, distribution. This is useful in those cases when a stand is to be established with planting, but natural regeneration is allowed in the stand.</p> <p>DEFAULT: The tree distribution is assumed to be random.</p>
TTDMULT	<p>This keyword allows the user to change the time-to-death multipliers for root disease mortality. These multipliers permit the user to vary the time-to-death for each tree species. (See also the RRMINK keyword and fig. 3.)</p> <p>Field 1: This field contains a year in which the time-to-death multipliers modified with this keyword are to take effect. This allows the user to change these parameters once during the simulation. The user must put the year in field 1 on all TTDMULT keywords that refer to time-to-death multipliers changed at some point during the simulation. The year in which the time-to-death multipliers are changed is the date specified on the last TTDMULT keyword that has a year in field 1.</p> <p>Field 2: This field defines for which type of root disease the multipliers are to be specified. A value of 1 specifies <i>Armillaria</i>, while a value of 2 specifies <i>P. weirii</i>. Any other value entered on this line will cause an error message to be printed and the <i>Armillaria</i> type to be assumed. However, if the disease specified in this field differs from that specified by the RRTYPE keyword, this keyword will be ignored.</p> <p>Field 3: The value entered in this field, if between 1 and 11 inclusive, specifies that a single multiplier is to be changed and indicates the tree species index to be changed. Any other value indicates that all multipliers are to be changed and a supplemental record is to be provided with Format 11F5.2 for the new multipliers. Indices for tree species are given in the rightmost column of table 4 of Wykoff and others (1982).</p>

Field 4: This field is only examined if field 3 has a value between 1 and 11 inclusive. It contains the new multiplier for the tree species indexed in field 3.

Supplemental Records

A supplemental record is expected if all multipliers are to be changed (field 3 is not a number between 1 and 11 inclusive). Format for this supplemental record is 11F5.2.

DEFAULT:

Species abbrev.	WP	L	DF	GF	WH	C	LP	S	AF	PP	OTH
Species index	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
<i>Armillaria</i>	1.8	2.0	1.0	0.75	0.9	1.2	1.8	1.1	0.75	1.8	0.9
<i>P. weirii</i>	3.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.5	10.	3.0	1.1	1.0	3.0	1.5

APPENDIX III—KEYWORD SUMMARY AND DEFAULT VALUES

This summary lists all the keywords and their associated parameter fields for the Root Disease Model. Default values exist for all program options. Keywords need only be used if the desired action differs from the default action. Page number of detailed description of each keyword appears in parentheses beneath the keyword.

Summary of Keyword Specific to Root Disease Model Extension

Parameter fields						
Keyword	1	2	3	4	5	6
Program execution options						
COMMENT (10)	Supplemental records, as many as desired until END in the first 3 columns is read.					
END (11)						
RRDOUT (10)	logical unit number for detailed output					
RRECHO (10)	logical unit number for machine readable output					
RRIN (10)						
Root disease inventory options						
PLREAD (14)	Supplemental Records: 1 record for each subplot in disease center. Subplot number in I4 format corresponding to the subplot identifier on the tree records. A subplot identifier of -999 indicates end of subplot supplemental records.					
RRINIT (12)	type of root disease initialization (random/fixed)	no centers in stand	number infected trees per acre in disease centers	number uninfected trees per acre in disease centers	proportion of root systems colonized	total area in root disease centers (acres)
	Supplemental Records, if initialization is fixed, 1 record for each center noted in field 2 of RRINIT keyword, (3F7.1) X-coordinate of center, Y-coordinate of center, radius of center.					
RRTREIN (14)						
RRTYPE (12)	root disease type					
SAREA (12)	stand area (acres)					
STREAD (15)	Supplemental records containing following information are needed for STREAD keyword, (I4,2F6.1) tree species, stump diameter, number of stumps. -999 in first 4 columns signals end of plot information.					

(con.)

Parameter fields						
Keyword	1	2	3	4	5	6
Root disease management options						
PSTUMP (16)	year of stump pushing	proportion of stumps removed	minimum stump diameter to be removed			
Model modification options						
BBTYPE1 (16)	first year beetle infestation can occur	tree species to infest	minimum DBH to infest	minimum No. of eligible stems	proportion of eligible stems killed	
BBTYPE2 (17)	first year beetle infestation can occur	tree species to infest	minimum DBH to infest	minimum No. of eligible stems	proportion of eligible stems killed	
BBTYPE3 (17)	first year beetle infestation can occur	tree species to infest	minimum DBH to infest	minimum No. of eligible stems	proportion of eligible stems killed	minimum proportion of roots infected in eligible stems
CARRY (40)	type of carryover (static or dynamic)	No. growth cycles after stand entry that carryover model is called	(static model) probability of forming new centers (dynamic model) minimum spread rate	(static model) number of new centers to form (dynamic model) not used		
INFKILL (40)	tree species to modify	root infection at death				
	Supplemental records, if field 1 of INFKILL keyword is ≤ 0 or > 11 , all species changed, (11F5.2) Root infection at death for all species.					
INFMULT (41)	year multiplier takes effect	root disease type	tree species to modify	species multiplier		
	Supplemental records, if field 3 of INFMULT keyword is ≤ 0 or > 11 , all species changed, (11F5.2) Probabilities of infection for all species.					
INOCSPAN (42)	years for <i>Armillaria</i>	years for <i>P. weirii</i>				
RRCOMP (42)	No. records to which tree list is compressed					

(con.)

Parameter fields						
Keyword	1	2	3	4	5	6
Model modification options (Con.)						
RRJUMP (43)	No. of root-system diameters to expand centers after cut					
RRMINK (43)	years to death for <i>Armillaria</i>	years to death for <i>P. weirii</i>				
RSEED (43)	alternative seed value for random number generators					
SPREAD (43)	type of spread (static or dynamic)	annual spread rate (for static spread)				
TDISTN (44)	year in which tree spatial distribution changes to other type	tree spatial distribution of initial stand (random or uniform)	standard deviation of uniform distribution			
TTDMULT (44)	year multiplier takes effect	root disease type	tree species to modify	species multiplier		
	Supplemental records, if field 3 of TTDMULT keyword is ≤0 or >11, all species changed, (11F5.2) Time-to-death multipliers for all species.					
WINDTHR (18)	first year windthrow can occur	proportion of eligible stems to windthrow	minimum No. of eligible stems necessary for windthrow event			

Default values for Keywords;

COMMENT, END, PLREAD, and RRIN have no defaults

Default values by field

Keyword	Field 1	Field 2	Field 3	Field 4	Field 5	Field 6
BBTYPE1	YYYY ^a	7 ^b	8.0	10.0	0.85	—
BBTYPE2	YYYY ^a	3 ^b	10.0	10.0	0.88	—
BBTYPE3	YYYY ^a	4 ^b	10.0	10.0	0.88	0.30
CARRY	0	4	1.0 ^c	3.0 ^d	—	—
INFKILL	1	— ^e	—	—	—	—
INFMULT	YYYY ^a	1	— ^f	—	—	—
INOCSPAN	0	20	—	—	—	—
PSTUMP	YYYY ^a	1.0 ^g	0.0	—	—	—
RRCOMP	150	—	—	—	—	—
RRDOUT	22	—	—	—	—	—
RRECHO	24	—	—	—	—	—
RRINIT	0	20 ^h	0.5 ⁱ	0.5 ⁱ	0.1 ^g	25
RRJUMP	1.0	—	—	—	—	—
RRMINK	0	0	—	—	—	—
RRTREIN	(Keyword has no parameter fields)					—
RRTYPE	1	—	—	—	—	—
RSEED	855998726	—	—	—	—	—
SAREA	100	—	—	—	—	—
SPREAD	0	1.0	—	—	—	—
STREAD	(Keyword has no parameter fields)					—
TDISTN	YYYY ^a	0	—	—	—	—
TTDMULT	YYYY ^a	1	— ^j	—	—	—
WINDTHR	YYYY ^a	0.0 ^g	0.0 ^g	—	—	—

^aThe four-digit YYYY is specified as a calendar year.

^bThe tree species value must be a number between 1 through 11, inclusive, which is the Prognosis tree species index.

^cIf field 1 = 0, then a static carryover model is invoked with a default of 1.0 in field 3. If field 3 is specified by the user, the value must be a number between 0.0 and 1.0, inclusive. If field 1 = 1, then the dynamic carryover model is invoked with a default of 0.0 feet per year in field 3 as a minimum spread rate.

^dThis field is only used if field 1 = 0. The number of new centers must be between 1 through 100, inclusive.

^eA supplemental record is expected if the proportion of roots infected at death are to be changed for all species (value other than 1 through 11 inclusive, entered in field 1). Format for this supplemental record is 11F5.2.

^fA supplemental record is expected if the probabilities of infection are to be changed for all species (value other than 1 through 11 inclusive, entered in field 2). Format for this supplemental record is 11F5.2.

^gThe value must be a number between 0.0 and 1.0, inclusive.

^hThe number of root disease centers must be between 1 and 100, inclusive.

ⁱIf RRTREIN is not present, fields 3 and 4 will default to 0.5 of average stand density.

^jSupplemental record is expected if all multipliers are to be changed (value other than 1 through 11, inclusive, entered in field 2). Format for this supplemental record is 11F5.2.

1022252519

Stage, Albert R.; Shaw, Charles G., III; Marsden, Michael A.; Byler, James W.; Renner, David L.; Eav, Bov B.; McNamee, Peter J.; Sutherland, Glenn D.; Webb, Timothy M. 1990. User's manual for Western Root Disease Model. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-267. Ogden, UT: U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 49 p.

Effects of pathogenic *Armillaria* spp. or *Phellinus weirii* on stand dynamics are represented by the Western Root Disease Model. This model, which operates in conjunction with the Prognosis Model for Stand Development, can be used to evaluate effects on stand generation and growth of a wide assortment of silvicultural practices, including stump removal for disease reduction. This publication contains model applications, a brief description of how the model is formulated, and documentation of the keyword procedures for using the model.

KEYWORDS: *Armillaria*, *Phellinus weirii*, Prognosis Model, bark beetles, windthrow

INTERMOUNTAIN RESEARCH STATION

The Intermountain Research Station provides scientific knowledge and technology to improve management, protection, and use of the forests and rangelands of the Intermountain West. Research is designed to meet the needs of National Forest managers, Federal and State agencies, industry, academic institutions, public and private organizations, and individuals. Results of research are made available through publications, symposia, workshops, training sessions, and personal contacts.

The Intermountain Research Station territory includes Montana, Idaho, Utah, Nevada, and western Wyoming. Eighty-five percent of the lands in the Station area, about 231 million acres, are classified as forest or rangeland. They include grasslands, deserts, shrublands, alpine areas, and forests. They provide fiber for forest industries, minerals and fossil fuels for energy and industrial development, water for domestic and industrial consumption, forage for livestock and wildlife, and recreation opportunities for millions of visitors.

Several Station units conduct research in additional western States, or have missions that are national or international in scope.

Station laboratories are located in:

Boise, Idaho

Bozeman, Montana (in cooperation with Montana State University)

Logan, Utah (in cooperation with Utah State University)

Missoula, Montana (in cooperation with the University of Montana)

Moscow, Idaho (in cooperation with the University of Idaho)

Ogden, Utah

Provo, Utah (in cooperation with Brigham Young University)

Reno, Nevada (in cooperation with the University of Nevada)

USDA policy prohibits discrimination because of race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion, or handicapping condition. Any person who believes he or she has been discriminated against in any USDA-related activity should immediately contact the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250.