88070034

U. S. Department of Interior
Bureau of Land Management
800 Truxtun Avenue, Room 311
Bakersfield, CA 93301

Chesemore 1980

IMPACT OF OIL AND GAS DEVELOPMENT ON BLUNT-NOSED LEOPARD LIZARDS

Final Report

Submitted by: David L. Chesemore, Ph.D.
Department of Biology
California State University, Fresno
Fresno, California 93740

in fulfillment of Contract YA-512-CT9-118

QL
666
.L237
C44
1980

February 1980

ABSTRACT

The impact of oil and gas development on blunt-nosed leopard lizards (Gambelia silus) was studied in the southern San Joaquin Valley, California, during May-July 1979. Ecological features on 14 study sites were described. Relative densities of lizards on all 14 sites were estimated and absolute densities on 5 intensive study sites were determined. Increased oil and gas development decreased the number of lizards present on a site. Uta stansburiana abundance was positively correlated with increasing disturbance of the habitat but Cnemidophorus tigris numbers showed no relationship to the level of development. No inverse relationship between Gambelia and Cnemidophorus numbers was indicated by the relative density estimates. The type of roads and the suitability of the habitat for lizards may determine whether or not blunt-nosed leopard lizards concentrate near roadways. Washes appear to be critical corridors of habitat for Gambelia and other wildlife. Schismus arabicus abundance was positively correlated with Gambelia abundance so this grass may serve as a satisfactory indicator of habitat suitability for the blunt-nosed leopard lizard. The mathematical equation that best estimated absolute density of blunt-nosed leopard lizards from relative density estimates was: $\log Y = -0.0561 + 0.778 \log X$ ($r = .994$). Formulas for prediction of absolute density of blunt-nosed leopard lizards from relative density estimates are given. Detailed suggestions for wash management are given and additional information needed to adequately manage habitats for Gambelia are also discussed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY.....	2
METHODS.....	3
Determination of Relative Densities of Lizards.....	3
Determination of Absolute Density of Lizards.....	3
Mathematical Relationships Between Densities.....	4
Description of Blunt-nosed Leopard Lizard Habitats.....	4
Description of Intensive Study Sites.....	4
DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREAS.....	6
Vegetation of the Study Sites.....	7
Soils of the Study Sites.....	8
Vertebrates Present on the Study Sites.....	8
DESCRIPTIONS OF INDIVIDUAL STUDY SITES.....	8
RESULTS.....	15
Relative Density Estimates of Lizards.....	15
Absolute Density Estimates of Blunt-nosed Leopard Lizards.....	16
Correlation Between Relative and Absolute Density Estimates..	16
Prediction of Absolute Density from Relative Density Data....	17
Density of Animal Burrows on Intensive Study Sites.....	18
Correlation of Environmental Conditions and Lizard Density....	19
DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS.....	19
Impact of Development on <u>Gambelia</u>	19
Impact of Roads on Blunt-nosed Leopard Lizard Density.....	21
Impact of Washes on Blunt-nosed Leopard Lizard Abundance....	23

Relationship of <u>Schismus arabicus</u> to <u>Gambelia</u> Abundance.....	24
Interactions of <u>Gambelia</u> and <u>Cnemidophorus</u>	25
Modification of the Cruise Method.....	25
MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS.....	27
LITERATURE CITED.....	31
APPENDIX 1. Example of Data Recording Form for Burrows.....	59
APPENDIX 2. Transect Method of Community Description.....	60

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. General description of the 14 study sites utilized to determine the impact of oil and gas development on blunt-nosed leopard lizards in the southern San Joaquin Valley, California.....	33
2. Vascular plants present on the 14 study sites used to determine the impact of oil and gas development on the blunt-nosed leopard lizard in the southern San Joaquin Valley, California.....	36
3. Vascular plants considered to be reliable indicators of disturbed habitat near Taft, California.....	39
4. Composition of vascular vegetation on intensive study site number 1, near Taft, California.....	40
5. Composition of vascular vegetation on intensive study site number 2, near Taft, California.....	42
6. Composition of vascular vegetation on intensive study site number 3, near Taft, California.....	43
7. Composition of vascular vegetation on intensive study site number 4, near Taft, California.....	44
8. Composition of vascular vegetation on intensive study site number 5, near Taft, California.....	45
9. Texture and bulk density of soils on study sites 1-5 near Taft, California, May-July 1979.....	47
10. Vertebrates present on the 14 study sites used to determine the impact of oil and gas development on the blunt-nosed leopard lizard in the southern San Joaquin Valley, California, May-July 1979.....	48
11. Relative densities of lizards on 14 study sites near Taft, California, during June-July 1979, based on transect counts...	53
12. Estimates of average relative densities and absolute densities of blunt-nosed leopard lizards on intensive study sites 1-5 near Taft, California, during May-July 1979.....	55
13. Density of animal burrows on intensive study sites 1-5 near Taft, California, during May-July 1979.....	56
14. Correlation coefficients (Spearman's rho) for relative densities of lizards and environmental conditions on 14 study sites near Taft, California, May-July 1979.....	57

INTRODUCTION

The endangered status of the blunt-nosed leopard lizard (Gambelia silus) is due primarily, if not exclusively, to the loss or drastic modification of its habitat. Because many of these remaining lizard populations occur on public lands subject to development for oil and natural gas, a basic understanding of lizard ecology and its reaction to various levels of development is needed. Once their biological needs are known, realistic management plans can be implemented. This action will ensure the minimum adverse impact of such developments. To provide this essential information for such management, this study was designed to examine the impact of different levels of oil and gas development on blunt-nosed leopard lizards in and about the Southern San Joaquin Valley (Fig. 1). This study was carried out between 22 May and 1 August 1979.

Information on the distribution, abundance and natural history of the blunt-nosed leopard lizard has been compiled primarily by Montanucci (1965, 1967, 1968, 1970, 1978a, 1978b), Bury (1971), and Fisk (1972). Snow (1972) has summarized the published literature on the blunt-nosed leopard lizard for the U.S. Bureau of Land Management. Tollestrup (1976) has provided some information on this lizard's ecology but much of her information is still unavailable, since it is part of a dissertation that is not yet available to the general public.

Financial support for this study was provided through the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Contract YA-512-CT9-118 and administered through the Eakersfield District Office. Fieldwork for

the project was done by: Tom Campbell, Pat Gordon, Bill Clark, Bob Hansen, Jennifer Babcock, and Steve Juarez.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The objectives of this study were:

- (1) to determine the relative abundance of blunt-nosed leopard lizards on 14 study sites in the southern San Joaquin Valley;
- (2) to describe qualitatively and quantitatively the habitat characteristics of 5 intensive study areas with particular detail allotted to vegetation, soil conditions, amount of oil and gas development and activity, and the density of rodent burrows;
- (3) to provide species lists of other wildlife, particularly vertebrates and rare or endangered species of vascular plants found on the sites;
- (4) to determine the absolute abundance (density per unit area) of leopard lizards on 5 intensive study sites;
- (5) to establish the mathematical relationship between relative density and absolute density estimates on the intensive study sites and place measures of statistical reliability around such estimates;
- (6) to provide correlation coefficients for dominant species of vascular plants and the level of oil and gas development and to determine if any of the vascular plants could be used as indicators of blunt-nosed leopard lizard habitat; and
- (7) to propose management recommendations based on various levels of oil and gas development so that current levels of blunt-nosed leopard lizards can be maintained or increased.

METHODS

Determination of Relative Densities of Blunt-nosed Leopard Lizards

Relative density estimates were based on the cruise method described by Tollestrup (1976). Sixteen equally spaced survey lines on a 20 acre study plot were used to collect the relative density estimates. In order to minimize differences between observers, the relative density cruises were done by the same person with their assignment to a particular site selected at random. Survey sites were slightly rectangular and survey flags were placed at 15-25 m intervals along the travel routes to aid the investigator in maintaining repeatable transect routes. Hand compasses were used when needed. Each sample site was oriented with its short axis in a north-south direction to minimize viewing problems of an investigator having to look directly into the sun during a portion of the survey. All observations from the transect were recorded on a standard data sheet (Appendix 1).

Determination of Absolute Density of Blunt-nosed Leopard Lizards

Systematic search of each intensive study area and capture and marking of leopard lizards seen on the site provided the basis for the absolute density estimates. Lizards were captured ("noosed") with sliding nooses on poles (Brown and Alcala 1961). Captured lizards were permanently marked by toe-clipping (Stebbins 1966) and an individual number painted on the side of each animal so that it could be identified later without handling. The exact location of capture was marked on a map of the study area. Subsequent sightings were recorded on the same map.

Population densities were computed using the Lincoln Index (Giles 1969). Periodic total counts were done by several investigators on each intensive study site to provide another form of estimate of lizard numbers.

Mathematical Relationships Between Relative and Absolute Densities

Once relative densities and estimates of absolute density were obtained for each intensive study site, a regression analysis was performed to determine if any reliable relationship existed between these two measures (Zar 1974).

Description of Blunt-nosed Leopard Lizard Habitats

Outline maps of the 5 intensive study sites were constructed from aerial photographs. Distinctive landmarks were noted on each map. The degree of oil and gas development was determined from the aerial photograph and verified by ground search of the site. Each of the 14 study sites was described in general terms as to elevation, topography, vegetation type, and level of development. Species lists of vascular plants, mammals, birds, reptiles, and amphibians were compiled for each site.

All study sites were photographed with 35mm black and white film to provide a permanent, visual record of conditions as they existed on each site at the time of the study. Color slides were also taken of the intensive study areas.

Description of Intensive Study Sites

Detailed habitat descriptions were made for each of the 5 intensive study sites. During both the initial investigation and the cruise surveys for relative abundance, the exact location of each leopard lizard was marked with survey stakes. Each of these locations served as a potential sampling point for the vegetation and soils work.

Five composite soil samples were taken at randomly selected survey stakes, as described above, in each intensive study area. If no leopard lizards occurred within the study sites, then samples were drawn randomly from the entire unit. The sample included the upper 30 cm of the soil and was stored in plastic bags until analyzed at the Soils Laboratory, California State University, Fresno. The texture of the soil was determined by mechanical analysis with the Bouyoucos hydrometer method (Bouyoucos 1936). Bulk density of the soil was measured by taking an undisturbed block of soil, determining its volume, drying it, and weighing it (Donahue et al. 1974:62). Bulk density indicates the degree of compaction of the soil and may help explain the existing pattern of lizard abundance on the study area. The occurrence and extent of soil erosion present on the study sites was documented. Slope and elevation on each study site was determined from U.S. Geological Survey maps.

Vegetation was quantified utilizing the line-intercept procedure (Canfield 1941, Mueller-Dombois and Ellenberg 1974). The line-intercept extended 25 m on either side of a randomly selected survey stake and was positioned by selecting a random azimuth for 25 m of the survey line and then 25 m along the backsight (180° from the first azimuth). Voucher specimens of vascular plants were collected and verified at the

Herbarium, California State University, Fresno. Standard descriptive statistics for the line-intercept results were then calculated (Phillips 1959). These formulas are shown in Appendix 2.

Density of animal burrows was determined using the point-quarter technique (Curtis and McIntosh 1951, Ashby 1972). Twenty-five randomly located points were selected along the 50 m line used to sample vegetation and the distance to the nearest animal burrow or suitable underground shelter for a blunt-nosed leopard lizard was measured.

These habitat data provided the quantitative bases for the calculation of correlation coefficients between environmental features and lizard numbers. Spearman's rho correlation coefficients were calculated for each of these comparisons (Zar 1974).

DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREAS

Fourteen study sites were utilized during this work with sites 1-5 being the intensive study sites on which both absolute and relative density estimates for the blunt-nosed leopard lizards were collected (Table 1). Considerable variation in ecological conditions and past historical use occurred within the study sites.

The current status of each study site, based on past and present development of oil and gas reserves and other human usage was reported as follows:

- (1)-no development of oil and gas reserves and no human disturbance on the site;
- (2)-no current, modern-day development for oil and gas reserves although evidence of old development present, no modern,

active roads through the site;

(3)-light to moderate development for oil and gas production with few (1-5) oil and gas wells or structures present on the site, 1 or 2 lightly used roads present on the study site;

(4)-considerable development on the site for oil and gas production with 5-10 oil and gas wells or structures present on the site, some native vegetation remaining between oil structures with several roads within the site having light to moderately heavy vehicle traffic; and

(5)-extremely heavy development and daily activity on many roads, virtually no native vegetation left on the site, and more than 10 oil and gas production structures currently operating within the site.

Vegetation of the Study Sites

During fieldwork on the study sites, vascular plants were collected and identified to provide a general idea of the presence of common species within the area (Table 2). Nine species of vascular plants were considered to serve as indicators of disturbance (Table 3). These plants were growing commonly on recently disturbed sites and/or were mentioned in the literature as being indicators of disturbed habitats.

Quantitative data on the plant communities in the intensive study sites 1-5 was collected with line-intercept sampling. Estimates of percent of bare ground, percent of cover per species, frequency per species, relative dominance, relative frequency, and importance value per species are presented in Tables 4-8.

Soils of the Study Sites

The results of the soils analyses are presented in Table 9. Texture analysis was determined for 25 samples and compaction (bulk density) was determined for 25 samples, with 5 samples being taken on each intensive study site.

Vertebrates Present on the Study Sites

During fieldwork on and around the 14 study sites vertebrates which were observed were noted and species lists were compiled for each site (Table 10). Five species of lizards, 6 species of snakes, 28 species of birds, and 11 species of mammals were found on or near these study sites.

DESCRIPTIONS OF INDIVIDUAL STUDY SITES

Site 1

This study area consisted primarily of Bromus-Schismus grassland with scattered clumps of Atriplex on fairly flat terrain (Figs. 2 and 3). Grass cover is heavy with very few open areas that might serve as foraging or basking areas for leopard lizards. All Gambelia seen were in or immediately adjacent to one of the two small washes that traversed this plot. All lizard species were localized in these "corridors" of favorable habitat. Gambelia, Uta, and Cnemidophorus were common in these washes and an occasional Sceloporus magister was also seen here. There was little or no disturbance on this site so it was given a level of development ranking of 1.

Site 2

This site possesses fairly level terrain and is heavily grown to dense Bromus grassland and Atriplex (Figs. 4 and 5). Grass cover was very heavy and there were few open patches of ground. Lizard density for all species was very low. All Uta, Cnemidophorus, and the single Gambelia were seen near the dirt roads that traversed the area. Man-caused disturbance is minimal on this site although a portion of it showed evidence of recent recreational motorcycle activity. It was given a level of development ranking of 2.

Site 3

This study site lay in a heavily developed, rank 5, oil field near the western base of the Temblor Range (Figs. 6 and 7). The terrain varies from flat to moderate inclines. Little of the original annual grasses and Atriplex remains. The area represented the heaviest development found on any of the intensive study areas. There was considerable mechanical surface disturbance on the site. Only Uta and Cnemidophorus were observed on this area.

Site 4

This study site lies at the eastern base of the Buena Vista Hills and had a very gentle, but noticeable, rolling slope (Figs. 8 and 9). This area had the highest Gambelia density of the 5 intensive study sites. While there were considerable amounts of heavy cover (Bromus, Schismus), there were also numerous open patches as well as several now-abandoned roads and oil well platforms that provided basking and foraging openings for lizards. Large bushes (Atriplex, Isomeris) were numerous and widespread; these provided escape cover for the lizards.

Grass cover in 1979, as reported by local residents, appeared denser than is usual. If such is the case, then this site may be even more favorable as Gambelia habitat in the "normal" years when less moisture is available and grass production is consequently less. This site was given a level of development ranking of 2.

Site 5

This study site in the Midway Oil Field has been developed rather heavily for oil production so it was given a rank of 4 (Figs. 10 and 11). There has been considerable alteration of natural vegetation, which consisted of Bromus-Schismus grassland with scattered bushes (Atriplex, Hymenoclea). Frequently used dirt roads are present and active oil wells were scattered throughout the site. Although there was a large amount of open space here, these areas were the result of severe surface disturbance of oil development rather than of natural occurrence. Additionally, oil seeps from the wells are present which served as death traps for small rodents, rabbits, birds, and probably lizards as well (Figs. 12 and 13). None of these seeps were fenced to exclude entry by wildlife.

Lizard density on this plot was quite low. While Uta and Cnemidophorus were present, Gambelia were not seen on the site. This plot was not bordered by undisturbed habitat that might colonize the disturbed area with new lizards.

Site 6

This plot was gently sloping from south to north. There was a road running through the southwestern corner of the site and on the south boundary an oil well was located just off of the plot. The site had 40+ cm tall grass and very dense grass cover on about 35% of the

plot. Shrubs covered approximately 10% of the plot. Salsola kali var. tenuifolia was the predominate shrub, though some Atriplex polycarpa were present. Salsola was concentrated in large patches and in a small wash that was 2-3 m wide. The wash accounted for about 1% of the plot. Atriplex was fairly evenly distributed throughout the study site (Fig. 14).

There were some small clearings within the site and a road that covered about 2% of the plot. Rodent burrows were common within the site but were grouped rather than being evenly distributed over the entire area. One Gambelia was found killed on a road about 50 m east of the study site but it was the only indication of this species in the area as no Gambelia were seen on this study site.

Site 7

This plot was flat except for a rise just north of the wash and the wash itself (Figs. 15 and 16). The wash was approximately 5 m deep and 35-45 m wide. Grass on the site was 40+ cm tall and very dense. The percentage of grass cover was approximately 60% with the remainder of the area consisting of the large wash, a clearing, and shrubs. The dominant shrub on the site was Atriplex polycarpa which covered about 5% of the plot. It was evenly distributed throughout it.

Clearings were small and sparse; although there was one large opening about 140 m long and 55 m wide on the plot. This clearing was artificially made by some kind of mechanical scraper. It had few rodent burrows and no vegetative cover. There were a number of rodent burrows within the wash. One adult female blunt-nosed leopard lizard was observed in the vicinity but this lizard was 2 m outside the study site

boundary. She was gravid so there were also male lizards in the general area.

Site 8

This site was mostly flat and covered with dense, 20+ cm tall Bromus rubens (Fig. 17). The only exceptions were the roads and areas approximately 3 m in diameter that were covered with a dense growth of Erodium. These Erodium mats occurred in 5 or 6 areas on the plot. The roadway traversed the extreme northeastern corner of the plot. It was on this road that the only two leopard lizard sightings were made. One Cnemidophorus was observed just on the plot midway along its northern border. No other lizards were observed on this study site.

Site 9

At first glance, this plot appeared to represent favorable habitat for leopard lizards. Site number 2 across the road was found to have at least 2 leopard lizards but none were observed on or near this plot at any time during the study (Fig. 18). Uta and Cnemidophorus were seen on the study site. Site 9 had extensive open areas and 2 wash systems within it. However, upon closer examination of the open areas, it was discovered that these areas were found to be the result of previous oil pumping operations, dirt bikers, and other disturbance. The largest wash was literally paved with dried oil spills, up to 0.67 m in thickness. Several active oil seeps contained many species of vertebrates including Uta. Considerable erosion existed on the site and the creeks were subject to flash flooding during seasonal rainstorms. Few rodent burrows occurred in this greatly disturbed wash system. In contrast, the other wash system was not paved with dried oil and contained numerous rodent burrows.

Site 10

This site, located at the base of the Panorama Hills in the Elkhorn Plain, was at a much higher elevation, 767 m, than any of the other study plots (Fig. 19). It was characterized by mostly flat terrain with the western half of the site in annual grassland (Bromus, Schismus) sparsely covering the ground and interspersed with many open areas. The eastern half of the plot was grown to Atriplex and Ephedra interspersed with annual grasses.

Lizard density was quite high here; Gambelia density probably exceeded that of any of the other plots and Uta and Cnemidophorus were also very common on the area. Phrynosoma coronatum was also observed here.

Although there had been no oil development here, the area has been heavily grazed by cattle and some trampling of vegetation was evident. A little-traveled dirt road bisected the plot from north to south.

Site 11

The terrain of this site was essentially flat with a few low knolls scattered within the site. At the time of the study there was one oil well producing on the plot (Fig. 20). Two additional wells were located within 50 m of the study site; one to the southeast and one to the north. There was also some evidence of previous development on the site in the form of concrete slabs. Four roads crossing the area had extensive vehicle use occurring during the study. The area was also used by sport hunters.

Two of the 3 Gambelia observations were near roadcuts and Atriplex polycarpa bushes. The third was seen in a grassy area approximately 50 cm from a bald area ringed with Atriplex.

The dominant vegetation was Atriplex polycarpa with an understory of Bromus rubens. The bulk of the study site was composed of dense Bromus rubens. Only Cnemidophorus were found in such dense grassy areas.

Site 12

This plot seemed to be the ideal lizard habitat. Although there were several abandoned oil wells on the site, there were no active wells and no traveled roads, so the area was almost undisturbed on a daily basis (Fig. 21). Bromus rubens was quite high and thick in spots. However, the amount of bare ground, in the form of large, debris-littered clearings, mostly abandoned oil wells, and one very large wash, still comprised about 50% of the area of the study site. It was in these clear areas that lizards were usually seen. Rodent burrows were rather abundant on the site and several San Joaquin kit fox dens were found within the study plot. Atriplex polycarpa was the dominant shrub on the plot, and in places, was quite abundant. No Gambelia were seen on the site although many Uta and Cnemidophorus were consistently seen during the transects.

Site 13

This study site was located north of the town of McKittrick east of Highway 58 directly southeast and across the highway from intensive study site 1. At the time of this study there was no active oil and gas development on the site. However, at the southern boundary of the study site there was evidence of a previous structure. There were no roads in the plot although a dirt road ran parallel to the northern border of the site. This road was used as a dump site for approximately 30 m from its junction with Highway 58.

The terrain consisted of low rolling hills in 3 series running north-south through the site. The westernmost wash was sandy-bottomed; the eastern one was choked with Bromus rubens and Salsola kali. The vegetation was essentially the same as that of intensive study site 1 with the exception of 2 bald areas and the westernmost wash of site 13 was covered with dense Bromus rubens. Gambelia was observed only once on site 13 in a bald area near clumps of Atriplex.

Site 14

The terrain of the plot was generally level. A small dirt road traversed the plot and a large, open wash ran along and intersected the southern border of the plot. Another small wash branched repeatedly into smaller washes through the center of the plot (Fig. 23). A moderate amount of open area existed adjacent to the washes and throughout the plot's grassy areas. There were a large number of woody shrubs: Atriplex polycarpa, Hymenoclea salsola, Inomeris arborea, and Salsola kali on the site. Rodent burrows were present throughout the plot although more concentrated in washes and their adjacent banks. Some human disturbance in the form of motorcycle travel was present on the site. At least 6 Gambelia, 4 males and 2 females, were observed on this study site.

RESULTS

Relative Density Estimates of Lizards

During May-July 1979, 165 cruise transects (Tollestrup 1976) were run on 14 study sites near Taft, California (Table 11). No blunt-nosed leopard lizards were seen on 6 of the 14 study sites: 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, and 12. Site 10 had the greatest average number of leopard lizards seen

per transect; 3.1. Sites 4 and 1 had the second and third highest average number of Gambelia seen per transect; 1.5 and 1.4, respectively.

Cnemidophorus tigris were most abundant on study sites 1, 4, 12, and 13, although they occurred on all 14 sites. The average number of Cnemidophorus seen per transect ranged from 0.2 on site 8 to 10.0 on site 1.

Uta stansburiana also occurred on all 14 sites but were most abundant on sites 3, 5, 11, and 12. The average number of Uta recorded per transect ranged from 0.1 on site 8 to 21.8 on site 12.

Absolute Density Estimates of Blunt-nosed Leopard Lizards

During May-July 1979, the absolute number of Gambelia present on the 5 intensive study sites was estimated (Table 12). Three types of estimates of absolute density were obtained: a minimum number, those lizards actually captured and marked on each site; a Lincoln Index estimate based on recaptures of previously marked lizards; and a subjective estimated range of lizards likely to be on a site, based on total counts and comparison of the suitability of habitat with lizard needs.

No lizards were seen on intensive study sites 3 and 5. Only 1 blunt-nosed leopard lizard was captured on site 2. Ten lizards were captured and marked on site 4 and 7 were captured on site 1.

Correlation Between Relative and Absolute Density Estimates

One of the main objectives of this study was to develop a predictive equation so that relative density estimates (Y) might be converted to absolute density estimates (X). In order for this to be successful,

both relative and absolute density estimates must be obtained from at least 3 study sites (Table 11). The mathematical relationship between relative and absolute density can then be determined using a regression analysis (Zar 1974).

This analysis, using the number of lizards marked (X) against the average relative density estimate (Y), produced the predictive equation:

$$Y = 0.06 + 0.16X.$$

The standard error of the estimate (Y) was fairly low (SE=0.17). The correlation between these two sets of data was high (r=.98).

Prediction of Absolute Density from Relative Density Data

Inverse prediction, the procedure by which the value of the independent variable (X_i) is estimated from some dependent variable (Y_i) has been treated clearly by Zar (1974:213-214). By algebraic rearrangement of the linear regression equation $Y = a + bX$, the equation:

$$X_i = \frac{Y_i - a}{b}$$

provides the equation to use in obtaining an absolute density (X_i) estimate based on relative density estimate (Y_i).

A plot of the residuals of this predictive equation ($Y_i - \bar{Y}$) results in a heteroscedastic scatter; therefore a logarithmic transformation on both the midpoint and number of lizards marked, after deleting the zero values, resulted in the better equation:

$$\log Y = -0.0561 + 0.778 \log X.$$

The standard error of the estimate (Y) was 0.065. The correlation

between these two sets of data was very high ($r=.994$) so the transformation improved the fit of the estimate of absolute density based on the relative density estimates.

The log of the midpoint seems to be the best overall since it represents a more realistic estimate of the true density. The log transformation of the number of marked lizards results in a better statistical fit, but it is fairly certain that it underestimates the number of lizards present, at least on the plots with lizards present, as all Gambelia probably were not captured and marked on any one site during this study or perhaps during any other such study.

Absolute density can be estimated from relative density using the cruise technique of Tollestrup (1976), with the following equation:

$$X = \text{antilog} \left[\frac{\log Y + 0.901}{0.938} \right]$$

An example of this calculation would be as follows, assuming 5 blunt-nosed leopard lizards were seen on a plot:

$$X = \text{antilog} \left[\frac{\log(5) + 0.901}{0.938} \right]$$

X = 51 blunt-nosed leopard lizards actually living on the plot.

Density of Animal Burrows on Intensive Study Sites

The point-quarter method of estimating tree density was used to obtain estimates of the number of animal burrows per hectare on the 5 intensive study sites (Table 13). Considerable variation existed between and within sites on which these estimates were made. The number of burrows per hectare ranged from 16 to 203.

Correlation of Environmental Conditions and Lizard Relative Densities

The correlation between relative densities of the 3 predominant species of lizards on the 14 study sites and environmental conditions on the sites were calculated (Table 14). Spearman's rho provided a consistent statistical measure for establishing the strength of these relationships. Its value ranged from -1.0 for perfectly negatively correlated data to +1.0 for perfectly positively correlated data.

DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

The 14 study sites provided a spectrum of habitats for blunt-nosed leopard lizards. The habitats varied from very suitable, as on sites 4 and 10, and perhaps 1, to totally unsuitable, such as on site 3. Detailed environmental measurements, such as percent of bare ground and number of animal burrows per hectare, were estimated for only the 5 intensively studied areas. This small sample size prevented some of the correlations from being statistically significant. However, potential biological significance of some of these factors is suggested by trends shown by some of the data as well as the correlation coefficients themselves.

Impact of Development on Gambelia

It is clear from the data collected on the 5 intensive study sites that high levels of development, use ranking of 5, such as occurred on site 3, destroy the suitable Gambelia habitat within that area. If the level of development as shown by that on site 3 is projected for all plots containing Gambelia then the fate of the blunt-nosed leopard lizard on these plots is extinction. It was also clearly shown

that a site, number 4, in the Taft area that had once been producing oil and gas but is no longer doing so can support a dense Gambelia population.

The lack of oil and gas development does not assure an abundance of blunt-nosed leopard lizards. Three of the study sites, 7, 8, and 13, had no or very few blunt-nosed leopard lizards present even though no oil and gas development existed on these sites. The habitat requirements of Gambelia must be met on a site before it can exist in the area.

It is possible to have some oil and gas production on a site and still maintain a viable population of Gambelia. After oil development ceases, the remaining lizards can recolonize the other areas of the site if conditions become suitable for their existence. The Gambelia population on site 4 is an example of this response.

Detailed data are lacking on the home range and seasonal movements of lizards within the type of habitat that occurs near Taft. Once these data are available, we will be able to judge whether the disturbed areas will be recolonized from surrounding areas that have viable lizard populations. If this distance is too great, blunt-nosed leopard lizards may never reoccupy the area without being physically moved by man from areas where they exist into these vacant habitats.

One problem associated with oil production is the leakage of oil from wells, transport pipes, and storage facilities (Fig. 12). The oil seeps associated with production must be prevented through improved oil field maintenance and inspections which are frequent enough to ensure that such equipment is properly working. Dumping of waste oil into washes, such as was observed during this study on site 3,

must be prevented by a higher degree of cooperation and understanding between oil and gas producer and the land management agency.

Impact of Roads on Blunt-nosed Leopard Lizard Density

Considerable discussion among biologists exists as to the importance of "roads" and even "open areas" to maintaining or expanding lizard numbers on a site. The obvious question, which has not yet been answered, is do we see more lizards along roads or in open areas because: (1) we see more lizards here because viewing conditions allow us to see more; (2) lizards prefer these roads or open areas as they provide food sources, basking areas, or some other aspect of their habitat requirements; or (3) it is some combination of (1) and (2) that best answers our original question.

Prior to assessing the impact that roads may have on Gambelia densities, it is necessary to distinguish between different kinds of roads. First, there are roads, mostly paved, that receive moderate to heavy amounts of vehicular traffic. Another road type is the dirt surface type that receives light to moderate amounts of traffic. Waste oil may be periodically applied to it to reduce dust problems. The third type of road is one that has been largely abandoned, either paved or dirt surface, and receives only very light traffic, primarily from recreationalists.

Lizards were frequently sighted on the third type of road such as occurred in site 4. Observations made during fieldwork suggest that in areas of heavy grass, which is generally unsuitable Gambelia habitat, the presence of narrow, abandoned roads probably serves to concentrate the lizards and serves as an important component of their

habitat. Rodent burrows were often concentrated along the roadbeds, particularly those with slightly raised banks. Rodent burrows, bushes growing at the road's edge, open areas, and the road's surface, probably attract leopard lizards for basking and foraging. Details of their food habits in these habitats are lacking. The production of favored food types may in large part determine where lizards do well and this aspect of their natural history needs to be investigated further.

If the habitat is especially good for Gambelia, as at site 10, lizards may not concentrate along the roadway. A small, little-traveled road bisected study site 10. Despite its presence and a high, if not the highest density of Gambelia of all of the study sites, no leopard lizards were sighted on the road during the study. In areas such as study site 10, where an abundance of open areas already existed, roads probably had a negligible effect on the density of leopard lizards present in the area.

While roads may enhance lizard visibility to some extent, it appears that Gambelia may in fact be concentrated along roadways in some habitats. With type (1) or (2) roads, some mortality from road kills would be expected. One management suggestion is to restrict vehicular traffic as much as possible on these routes or to schedule traffic such that it occurs during periods of low lizard activity.

Maintenance of existing openings in the area and the artificial establishment of openings simulating the "natural" openings, such as those found on study site 4 or 10, in areas that have very dense stands of vegetation may make these sites more favorable to lizards.

Fifteen to 30% bare ground on a site may provide the optimum range of openness for blunt-nosed leopard lizards (Table 14). Many Gambelia occurred on site 4 which had about 28% of its area in bare ground. After this percentage of open space was reached, lizard numbers declined. A site with 50% or more open ground may not be suitable for blunt-nosed leopard lizards.

Impact of Washes on Blunt-nosed Leopard Lizard Abundance

The presence of washes appears to be a critical feature for the well-being of blunt-nosed leopard lizards. These corridors of favorable habitat contained most, if not all, of the lizards encountered during the study. Other washes investigated in the general area of Taft often had high numbers of lizards in and immediately adjacent to their edges. Large, natural washes may have several Gambelia within a few hundred meters of wash habitat. Washes that have been subjected to extensive human disturbance, such as pollution with waste oil, vehicular traffic, and clearing of woody shrubs to the edge of their banks, had few or no lizards.

If washes exist on sites that are to be developed for oil and gas production, they must be protected and maintained in their natural condition. It is from these populations that recolonizing leopard lizards will probably come to repopulate other areas of the site after disturbance is reduced or ceases.

A 5 to 10 m wide band of undisturbed vegetation should be maintained on each side of a wash system. No vehicular traffic should be allowed in the wash itself and vehicular crossings of the wash

should be minimized and probably should not be allowed at intervals of more than 1 km. No waste oil should be dumped into the wash. This seems to be the practice now or in the recent past in many of the washes in the Taft area. Dumping of other refuse into these washes should also not be allowed.

These washes constitute "islands" of favorable habitat for a wide variety of wildlife besides Gambelia. These washes need to be intensively studied so that we can obtain a more precise understanding of the impact of disturbances upon their biology. Once this information is known, more specific guidelines for usage of lands surrounding washes can be formulated.

Relationship of Schismus arabicus to Gambelia abundance

Many biologists conclude dense vegetation is unfavorable habitat for Gambelia. A strong, positive correlation ($.10 > p > .05$) between Schismus arabicus and blunt-nosed leopard lizards was evident (Table 14). There was no significant relationship between Gambelia and Bromus rubens density. Schismus arabicus is a much shorter, sparser grass than Bromus rubens. The two do grow together but often Schismus becomes more abundant in drier years while Bromus rubens becomes less abundant. Moisture conditions in 1979 favored heavy growths of Bromus rubens in the Taft area which may not be typical of the normal relationship between the abundance of these two grasses. Schismus arabicus may open up the habitat to make it more favorable for Gambelia.

In the Taft area, more Schismus arabicus on a site may indicate better habitat for blunt-nosed leopard lizards. The relationship between vegetation and food sources, especially insect populations,

may be of critical importance here.

Interactions of Gambelia and Cnemidophorus

Montanucci (1965:281) found that Cnemidophorus was the only lizard which competed with Gambelia; where large numbers of leopard lizards were present, Cnemidophorus were either reduced in numbers or absent. He could not determine the exact cause of this inverse relationship between the two species.

Data collected during this study did not support this idea of an inverse relationship of numbers between these two species. In comparing relative densities of these two species on the 14 study sites, a significant, positive correlation ($.01 < p < .05$) between Gambelia and Cnemidophorus relative densities was indicated.

The exact spatial distribution of these two species may be the source of this apparent contradiction if Gambelia occupy primarily the washes and the Cnemidophorus occupy the grassland areas. Determination of these species interaction was not one of the objectives of the study but should be included in future studies of Gambelia. This interaction may determine the success of re-introductions of Gambelia into new habitats.

Modification of the Cruise Method

This technique developed by Tollestrup (1976) attempts to provide a quick and easy estimate of relative abundance of lizards and wildlife along a predetermined route. We suggest that data should be collected along both the 160 m line and the 1.6 km "end walks" to the next cruise line. If this is used, the "end walk" would be included and

data collection would be more efficient.

Two-person teams would also be more effective in both observing and capturing lizards. Capture by standard technique of noosing is possible but difficult. Frequently, these efforts are facilitated by having one person distract the lizard while the second person approaches slowly and nooses the lizard. Two-person teams should also be used for collection of relative density estimates. Particularly in dense cover, a lizard usually only has to move a few cm to screen itself from an approaching observer and with the second observer moving parallel to the first, a much better chance of seeing and counting the hiding lizard would occur.

We found that during any given "run", some lizards were glimpsed and not identified to species or whether or not they had been previously marked. In areas where Gambelia and Cnemidophorus are common, this problem is intensified as their sizes are somewhat similar. When startled, a leopard lizard will usually move away from the source of disturbance. With the addition of a second person, walking an adjacent line, the chances of identifying the lizard are increased. The final result is a more accurate and efficient assessment of lizard density.

Field studies should be designed on a site having a high number of lizards, such as site 4 or 10, to determine whether 2, 3, or 4 observers working the cruise method provide the most usable data.

Tollestrup (1976) developed her technique on habitat considerably more homogeneous than the areas surveyed in this study. In or near the western foothills of Kern County, Gambelia were often concentrated in

areas that are discontinuously distributed in part because the general region has been extensively modified by man's activities. For example, at site 1 the vegetation consisting of scattered Atriplex surrounded by dense growths of annual grasses. The grassland was almost devoid of Gambelia. However, a sandy wash and an asphalted wash traversed this plot and in these "corridors" of favorable habitat were found several blunt-nosed leopard lizards. In habitat such as this, different relative density estimates are likely to result depending upon the layout of transect lines. A transect whose lines only briefly intersect these washes will probably yield a lower relative density index than a transect whose lines traverse the washes in a number of places and for greater distances. Stratification of the sampling effort must be done in these areas where non-homogeneous habitat exists to avoid erroneous estimates of relative densities.

MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

The fieldwork on blunt-nosed leopard lizards in the Taft area of California has provided us with some understanding of this species' biology. However, a lack of knowledge about its microhabitat requirements, food habits, reproductive biology, and interactions with Cnemidophorus tigris limits the development of a coherent strategy for this animal.

The washes in the Taft area appear either to be preferred habitat or refuges from the extensive alteration of habitat that has occurred around their perimeters. These areas clearly need to be protected from human disturbance. Specific management practices and controls

for wash management include:

- (1) establishment of buffer strips around the wash, 10-15 m wide, that protect it and allows its native vegetation to remain intact;
- (2) restriction of vehicle use around wash edges, with vehicle crossing points 1 km or more apart, and the total exclusion of driving in the wash proper; and
- (3) prevention of the wash being used as a disposal site for solid wastes, garbage, and oil.

An intensive study of existing washes near Taft is suggested so that the biological structure of such undisturbed habitat can be documented. This information can then be used to guide management activities so as to protect the biological structure of such communities. It is only in these washes that relic populations of blunt-nosed leopard lizards will exist after extensive development of a site for oil and gas production occurs. After the disturbance of development ceases or is reduced, then lizards from these protected areas will perhaps recolonize the disturbed areas.

If the level of development for oil and gas production is equivalent to that currently existing on sites 3 and 5, blunt-nosed leopard lizards will probably not survive on such areas. The impact of development is many-fold. The destruction of the native vegetation on a site results in the loss of food sources, insects, and protective cover for the lizards. Excessive disturbance may disrupt lizard breeding biology and the decrease in small mammal populations on the heavily developed sites reduces the availability of burrows for the

lizards. Maintenance of as much native vegetation as possible with between 25-50% of the site in bare ground is necessary to promote the well-being of blunt-nosed leopard lizards. Artificial burrows could be developed for the lizards as has been done in the Pixley area of California. Lizards could be captured on the site being intensively developed and relocated in protected wash areas. However, this assumes that such habitat exists and is not already fully-stocked with blunt-nosed leopard lizards. Control of vehicle traffic on roads within the area during the months when lizards are active in the area would help prevent excessive mortality due to lizards being run over by vehicles.

Potential conflicts between Gambelia and Cnemidophorus tigris need to be studied and appropriate management activities taken. Cnemidophorus populations may need to be reduced temporarily in areas where Gambelia will be reintroduced so as to reduce competition between these two species.

Rehabilitation of inactive oil and gas areas needs to be also considered and long-range management plans for such sites constructed. Management options for these areas include:

- (1) restricting travel on existing roads and prohibiting off-road vehicle usage on such sites. Off-road vehicle activity is probably detrimental to blunt-nosed leopard lizard populations as it is to other plant and animal groups within the affected area.

- (2) maintain 25-50% of the area in openings and protect the native shrubs of the area from destruction. Light grazing by domestic stock on such sites may be beneficial to blunt-nosed leopard lizards

but overgrazing of these areas must be avoided. Light grazing also helps reduce fire danger by removing potential fuel for wildfires.

(3) selective control of Cnemidophorus tigris on such sites, at least initially, may be necessary to reduce potential competition between these two species.

Current biological knowledge provides an indication of the needs of the blunt-nosed leopard lizard in habitats in the Taft area. The use of this information to develop management plans will insure that this species will continue to survive in this area of California. Cooperation of oil and gas producers and agencies responsible for the protection and enhancement of blunt-nosed leopard lizard habitat is essential if these management plans are to be effective.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ashby, W.C. 1972. Distance measurements in vegetation study. *Ecology*, 53:980-981.
- Bouyoucos, G.J. 1936. Directions for making hydrometer analysis of solids by the hydrometer method. *Soil Sci.*, 42:231-255.
- Brown, W. and A. Alcalá. 1961. Population of amphibians and reptiles in the submontane and montane forests of Cernos de Negros, Phillipine Islands. *Ecology*, 42:628-636.
- Bury, R.B. 1971. Status report on California's threatened amphibians and reptiles. Inland Fisheries Admin. Rept. No. 72-2, California Dept. of Fish and Game, Sacramento. 31 pp.
- Canfield, R. 1941. Application of the line intercept method of sampling range vegetation. *J. For.*, 39:388-394.
- Curtis, J.T. and R.P. McIntosh. 1951. An upland forest continuum in the prairie forest border region of Wisconsin. *Ecology*, 32:476-496.
- Donahue, R.L., R.W. Miller, and J.C. Shickluna. 1974. Soils--an introduction to soils and plant growth. 4th ed. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. 626 pp.
- Fisk, L. 1972. Protected amphibians and reptiles. Inland Fisheries Informational Leaflet No. 28, California Dept. of Fish and Game, Sacramento. 29 pp.
- Montanucci, R.R. 1965. Observations on the San Joaquin leopard lizard, Crotaphytus wislizenii silus Stejneger. *Herpetologia*, 21:270-283.
- _____. 1967. Further studies on leopard lizards, Crotaphytus wislizenii. *Herpetologia*, 23:119-126.
- _____. 1968. Notes on the distribution and ecology of some lizards in the San Joaquin Valley, California. *Herpetologia*, 24:316-320.
- _____. 1970. Analysis of hybridization between Crotaphytus wislizenii and Crotaphytus silus (Sauria: Iguanidae) in California. *Copeia*, 1970:104-123.
- _____. 1978a. Dorsal pattern polymorphism and adaptation in Gambelia wislizenii (Reptilia, Lacertilia, Iguanidae). *J. Herp.* 12:73-81.
- _____. 1978b. Discriminant analysis of hybridization between leopard lizards, Gambelia (Reptilia, Lacertilia, Iguanidae). *J. Herp.*, 12:299-307.

- Mueller-Dombois, D. and H. Ellenberg. 1974. Aims and methods of vegetation ecology. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. 547 pp.
- Petersen, C.G.J. 1896. The yearly immigration of young plaice into Limfjord from the German Sea. Rept. Danish Biol. Sta. for 1895, 6:1-77.
- Phillips, E.A. 1959. Methods of vegetation study. Henry Holt and Co., Inc., New York. 107 pp.
- Snow, C. 1972. Blunt-nosed leopard lizard Crotaphytus silus. Habitat Management Series for Endangered Species. Rept. No. 3, Bureau of Land Management, Denver. 13 pp.
- Tollestrup, K. 1976. A standardized method of obtaining an index of densities of blunt-nosed leopard lizards, Crotaphytus silus. Contract 14-16-0001-579RF, U.S. Dept. of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. Unpubl. Rept. 29 pp.
- Zar, J.H. 1974. Biostatistical analysis. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. 620 pp.

Table 1. General description of the 14 study sites utilized to determine the impact of oil and gas development on blunt-nosed leopard lizards in the southern San Joaquin Valley, California.

Study site number	Level of development	General location	Legal description	Elevation in meters	Vegetation type
1	1	4 km north of McKittrick, (Kern County)	Sec. 4, R22E, T30S, West Elk Hills, Calif.	214	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland
2	2	1.6 km east of Fellows, (Kern County)	Sec. 32, R22E, T31S, Fellows, Calif.	351	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland
3	5	3.2 km south of Derby Acres, (Kern County)	N½ of Sec. 22 R22E, T31S, Fellows, Calif.	458	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland
4	2	8.8 km north of Taft, (Kern County)	Sec. 24, R23E, T31S, Taft, Calif.	214	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland
5	4	4.8 km northwest of Fellows, (Kern County)	SE¼ of Sec. 23, R22E, T31S, Fellows, Calif.	412	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland
6	3	4 km southeast of McKittrick, (Kern County)	NW¼ of Sec. 26, R22E, T30S, West Elk Hills, Calif.	290	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland

Table 1. (Cont.)

Study site number	Level of development	General location	Legal description	Elevation in meters	Vegetation type
7	1	2.4 km east of McKittrick, (Kern County)	Sec. 22, R22E, T30S, West Elk Hills, Calif.	282	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland
8	1	20.9 km northwest of McKittrick, (Kern County)	Sec. 1, R20E, T29S, Carneros Rocks, Calif.	275	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland
9	2	7.2 km northwest of Taft, (Kern County)	N½ of Sec. 4, R23E, T32S, Fellows, Calif.	343	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland
10	1	18.5 km west of Taft, (San Luis Obispo County)	W½ of Sec. 18, R22E, T32S, Panorama Hills, Calif.	702	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland
11	3	3.7 km northwest of Fellows, (Kern County)	SW¼ of Sec. 25, R22E, T31S, Fellows, Calif.	397	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland
12	2	4 km northeast of Maricopa, (Kern County)	Sec. 32, R24E, T12N, Pentland Calif.	168	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland

Table 1. (Cont.)

Study site number	Level of development	General location	Legal description	Elevation in meters	Vegetation type
13	✓ 1	4 km north north-east of McKittrick, (Kern County)	Sec. 22, R22E, T30S, West Elk Hills, Calif.	214	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland
14	✓ 1	2.4 km west of Valley Acres, (Kern County)	N½ of Sec. 20, R24E, T31S, Taft, Calif.	145	<u>Atriplex-</u> grassland

Table 2. Vascular plants present on the 14 study sites used to determine the impact of oil and gas development on the blunt-nosed leopard lizard in the southern San Joaquin Valley, California.

Species	Study site number:													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
<u>Erodium cicutarium</u>		x		x	x	x	x				x	x	x	
<u>Eremocarpus setigerus</u>	x	x	x	x	x								x	
<u>Euphorbia ocellata</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x		x	x
<u>Tamarix pentandra</u>			x											
<u>Isomeris arborea</u>		x	x	x										x
<u>Descurainia sophia</u>										x				
<u>Lepidium dictyotum</u>	x			x										
<u>Lepidium nitidum</u>	x	x		x	x	x	x				x	x		x
<u>Sisymbrium altissimum</u>	x	x												
<u>Thelypodium lasiophyllum</u>											x			
<u>Eriogonum angulosum</u>											x			
<u>Eriogonum fasciculatum</u> ssp. <u>foliolosum</u>											x			
<u>Atriplex polycarpa</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x					x		x
<u>Atriplex semibaccata</u>						x		x						

Table 2. (Cont.)

Species	Study site number:													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
<u>Atriplex spinifera</u>		x				x					x			
<u>Eurotia lanata</u>											x		x	
<u>Salsola kali tenuifolia</u>	x		x		x	x	x				x	x		x
<u>Plantago insularis fastigiata</u>	x			x						x			x	x
<u>Linanthus liniflorus pharnaceoides</u>				x						x				x
<u>Linanthus parryae</u>														x
<u>Datura meteloides</u>			x											
<u>Plagiobothrys canescens</u>	x			x										
<u>Amsinckia tessellata</u>	x	x			x	x	x				x	x		
<u>Orthocarpus purpurascens</u>	x													
<u>Marrubium vulgare</u>	x	x	x	x	x								x	
<u>Astragalus lentiginosus</u> <u>var. nigricalycis</u>	x	x		x	x		x				x		x	
<u>Centaurea melitensis</u>	x		x		x	x	x					x	x	
<u>Eastwoodia elegans</u>	x									x				

Table 2. (Cont.)

Species	Study site number:													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
<u>Haplopappus acradenius bracteosus</u>	x		x		x									
<u>Helianthus annuus</u>			x		x									
<u>Hymenoclea salsola</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x			x
<u>Lactuca serriola</u>	x	x	x		x								x	
<u>Stephanomeria pauciflora</u>			x	x	x						x			
<u>Bromus rubens</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				x	x	x	x
<u>Bromus diandris</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x					x		
<u>Bromus mollis</u>		x												
<u>Festuca myuros</u>	x	x	x	x	x						x		x	x
<u>Avena barbata</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x					x		
<u>Schismus arabicus</u>	x	x	x	x		x	x				x	x		
<u>Hordeum leporinum</u>	x		x	x	x							x		

Table 3. Vascular plants considered to be reliable indicators of disturbed habitat near Taft, California.

Species	Study site number:				
	1	2	3	4	5
<u>Sisymbrium altissimum</u>	x	x			
<u>Atriplex semibaccata</u>					x
<u>Salsola kali</u> var. <u>tenuifolia</u>	x		x		x
<u>Datura meteloides</u>			x		
<u>Marrubium vulgare</u>	x	x	x	x	x
<u>Centaurea melitensis</u>	x		x		x
<u>Helianthus annuus</u>			x		x
<u>Lactuca serriola</u>			x		x
<u>Bromus diandris</u>	x	x	x	x	x

Table 4: Composition of vascular vegetation on intensive study site number 1, near Taft, California, May-July 1979.

Species	Percent			Relative frequency	Importance value
	Cover	Relative dominance	Frequency		
<u>Bromus rubens</u>	67.77	55.74	100	10.87	66.61
<u>Atriplex polycarpa</u>	18.01	14.81	100	10.87	25.68
<u>Schismus arabicus</u>	11.60	9.54	100	10.87	20.41
<u>Erodium cicutarium</u>	5.70	4.02	90	9.78	13.80
<u>Salsola kali</u>	2.31	1.90	90	9.78	11.68
<u>Bromus diandrus</u>	1.56	1.47	90	9.78	11.15
<u>Lepidium nitidum</u>	1.21	1.00	80	8.70	9.70
<u>Plagiobothrys canescens</u>	0.72	0.58	50	5.43	6.01
<u>Festuca myuros</u>	0.43	0.35	40	4.35	4.70
<u>Plantago insularis</u>	1.24	1.02	30	3.26	4.28
<u>Eremocarpus setigerus</u>	0.27	0.22	10	1.09	1.31
<u>Lepidium dictyotum</u>	0.10	0.08	10	1.09	1.17
<u>Hordeum leporinum</u>	0.08	0.07	10	1.09	1.16

Table 4: (Cont.)

Species	Percent			Relative frequency	Importance value
	Cover	Relative dominance	Frequency		
<u>Orthocarpus purpurascens</u>	0.03	0.02	10	1.09	1.11
<u>Festuca reflexa</u>	t	t	10	1.09	1.09
bare ground	14.11	11.60	100	10.87	22.47

Table 5. Composition of vascular vegetation on intensive study site number 2, near Taft, California, May-July 1979.

Species	Percent			Relative frequency	Importance value
	Cover	Relative dominance	Frequency		
<u>Bromus rubens</u>	73.20	63.25	100	12.50	75.75
<u>Atriplex polycarpa</u>	7.10	6.13	90	11.25	17.38
<u>Schismus arabicus</u>	4.31	3.73	80	10.00	13.73
<u>Erodium cicutarium</u>	2.13	1.84	90	11.25	13.09
<u>Festuca myuras</u>	1.99	1.72	90	11.25	12.97
<u>Bromus diandris</u>	1.58	1.37	90	11.25	12.62
<u>Lepidium nitidum</u>	1.06	0.92	70	8.75	9.64
<u>Atriplex spinifera</u>	2.71	2.34	30	3.75	6.09
<u>Festuca reflexa</u>	0.03	0.03	30	3.75	3.78
<u>Plagiobothrys canescens</u>	0.07	0.06	10	1.25	1.31
<u>Sisymbrium altissimum</u>	0.03	0.03	10	1.25	1.28
<u>Eremocarpus setigerus</u>	t	t	10	1.25	1.25
bare ground	21.51	18.93	100	12.50	31.43

Table 6. Composition of vascular vegetation on intensive study site number 3, near Taft, California; May-July 1979.

Species	Percent			Relative frequency	Importance value
	Cover	Relative dominance	Frequency		
<u>Bromus rubens</u>	12.90	12.35	90	17.31	29.66
<u>Salsola kali</u>	4.83	4.63	90	17.31	21.98
<u>Erodium cicutarium</u>	0.50	0.48	40	7.67	8.17
<u>Bromus diandris</u>	0.67	0.64	30	5.77	6.41
<u>Hordeum leporinum</u>	0.44	0.42	30	5.77	6.19
<u>Centaurea melitensis</u>	0.17	0.16	20	3.85	4.01
<u>Haploappus acradenius</u>	0.36	0.35	10	1.92	2.22
<u>Avena barbata</u>	0.08	0.08	10	1.92	2.00
<u>Festuca myuros</u>	0.05	0.05	10	1.92	1.97
<u>Schismus arabicus</u>	t	t	10	1.92	1.93
<u>Lactuca serriola</u>	t	t	10	1.92	1.92
oil pipe	0.28	0.27	60	11.54	11.81
bare ground	84.16	80.19	100	19.23	99.42

Table 7: Composition of vascular vegetation on intensive study site number 4, near Taft, California, May-July 1979.

Species	Percent			Importance value
	Cover	Relative dominance	Frequency	
<u>Bromus rubens</u>	35.20	33.10	100	44.86
<u>Schismus arabicus</u>	20.79	19.64	100	31.40
<u>Erodium cicutarium</u>	7.80	7.34	100	19.10
<u>Hymenoclea salsola</u>	7.44	7.00	90	17.59
<u>Isomeris arborea</u>	6.33	6.33	80	15.74
<u>Lepidium nitidum</u>	0.90	0.83	90	11.42
<u>Atriplex polycarpa</u>	2.61	2.45	50	8.34
<u>Astragalus lentiginosus</u>	0.54	0.46	60	7.52
<u>Festuca myuros</u>	0.57	0.54	40	5.25
<u>Lepidium dictyotum</u>	0.03	0.02	20	2.37
<u>Avena barbata</u>	0.15	0.14	10	1.32
<u>Plagiobothrys canescens</u>	0.01	0.01	10	1.19
<u>Amsinckia tessellata</u>	0.01	0.01	10	1.19
bare ground	27.52	25.92	90	36.51

Table 8. Composition of vascular vegetation on intensive study site number 5, near Taft, California, May-July 1979.

Species	Percent			Importance value
	Cover	Relative dominance	Frequency	
<u>Bromus rubens</u>	49.32	43.53	100	51.66
<u>Erodium cicutarium</u>	2.34	2.06	100	10.19
<u>Festuca myuros</u>	1.92	1.70	90	9.02
<u>Hymenoclea salsola</u>	3.03	2.67	70	8.36
<u>Hordeum leporinum</u>	2.81	2.48	70	8.17
<u>Lepidium nitidum</u>	0.91	0.79	90	8.11
<u>Amsinckia tessellata</u>	0.49	0.43	90	7.75
<u>Schismus arabicus</u>	0.66	0.59	80	7.09
<u>Eremocarpus setigerus</u>	0.63	0.55	80	7.05
<u>Bromus diandrus</u>	1.10	0.97	70	6.66
<u>Festuca reflexa</u>	0.12	0.09	40	3.34
<u>Atriplex spinifera</u>	0.95	0.84	30	3.28
<u>Atriplex polycarpa</u>	0.54	0.48	30	2.92

Table 8. (Cont.)

Species	Percent			Relative frequency	Importance value
	Cover	Relative dominance	Frequency		
<u>Centauria melitensis</u>	1.10	0.97	20	1.63	2.60
<u>Atriplex semibaccata</u>	0.14	0.12	30	2.44	2.56
<u>Salsola kali</u>	0.02	0.02	30	2.44	2.46
<u>Euphorbia ocellata</u>	0.03	0.02	20	1.63	1.65
<u>Helianthus annuus</u>	0.09	0.08	10	0.81	0.89
<u>Happlopappus acradenius</u>	0.08	0.07	10	0.01	0.88
<u>Plagiobothrys canescens</u>	0.01	0.01	10	0.81	0.82
<u>Astragalus lentiginosus</u>	0.01	0.01	10	0.81	0.82
<u>Stephanomeria pauciflora</u>	0.01	t	10	0.81	0.81
oil pipe	0.14	0.12	40	3.25	3.37
bare ground	46.89	41.38	100	8.13	49.51

Table 9. Texture and bulk density of soils on study sites 1-5 near Taft, California, May-July 1979.

Study site number	Sample size	Level of development	Percent			Bulk density
			sand	silt	clay	
1	5	1	84.5 (5.67) ¹	11.4 (4.68)	4.1 (0.99)	1.568 (.0496)
2	5	1	62.6 (1.76)	31.1 (1.38)	6.3 (1.02)	1.448 (.0282)
3	5	5	68.8 (2.95)	27.3 (2.74)	3.9 (0.46)	1.586 (.0344)
4	5	2	61.7 (1.49)	33.1 (1.53)	5.2 (0.92)	1.854 (.0229)
5	5	4	57.7 (2.28)	32.8 (1.45)	9.5 (1.37)	1.444 (.0248)

¹Value in brackets represents standard error of the estimate of the mean (1 SE).

Table 10: Vertebrates present on the 14 study sites used to determine the impact of oil and gas development on the blunt-nosed leopard lizard in the southern San Joaquin Valley, California, May-July 1979.

Species	Study site number:													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Lizards														
<u>Gambelia silus</u>	x	x		x		x	x	x		x				x
<u>Sceloporus magister</u>	x						x						x	
<u>Uta stansburiana</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<u>Phrynosoma coronatum</u>										x				
<u>Cnemidophorus tigris</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Snakes														
<u>Masticophis flagellum</u>	x				x	x				x		x		
<u>Arizona elegans</u>	x													
<u>Pituophis melanoleucus</u>	x					x								
<u>Lampropeltis getulus</u>												x		
<u>Rhinocheilus lecontei</u> ¹														x
<u>Crotalus viridis</u>							x							

Table 10. (Cont.)

Species	Study site number:													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Birds														
<u>Cathartes aura</u>												x		
<u>Buteo jamaicensis</u>				x					x	x				x
<u>Aquila chrysaetos</u>										x		x		
<u>Circus cyaneus</u>				x						x				
<u>Falco sparverius</u>		x												
<u>Lophortyx californicus</u>				x	x					x				
<u>Charadrius vociferus</u>					x									
<u>Recurvirostra americana</u> ¹														
<u>Himantopus mexicanus</u> ¹														
<u>Zenaidura macroura</u>	x	x		x	x	x	x	x				x		x
<u>Geococcyx californicus</u>				x		x				x		x	x	
<u>Athene cunicularia</u>									x					
<u>Tyto alba</u> ¹														
<u>Chordeiles acutipennis</u>				x										

Table 10. (Cont.)
Table 10. (Cont.)

Species	Study site number:													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
<u>Myiarchus cinerascens</u>	x				x									
<u>Tyrannus verticalis</u> ¹														
<u>Eremophila alpestris</u>				x				x	x	x				
<u>Tachycineta thalassina</u>								x						
<u>Corvus corax</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x		x	x	x
<u>Mimus polyglottos</u>		x		x	x	x			x					
<u>Toxostoma lecontei</u>	x	x		x	x		x		x	x	x	x	x	x
<u>Lenius ludovicianus</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<u>Sturnus vulgaris</u> ¹														
<u>Passer domesticus</u> ¹														
<u>Sturnella neglecta</u>	x	x		x	x	x		x	x	x		x	x	x
<u>Icterus galbula</u> ¹														
<u>Euphagus cyanocephalus</u>	x		x	x	x	x	x				x		x	
<u>Passerculus sandwichensis</u>	x			x					x					

Table 10. (Cont.)

Species	Study site number:													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Mammals														
<u>Taxidea taxus</u>										x				
<u>Canis latrans</u>								x				x	x	
<u>Vulpes macrotis</u>	x	x		x	x							x		
<u>Felis domestica</u>														x
<u>Spermophilus beecheyi</u>				x	x	x			x					x
<u>Ammospermophilus nelsoni</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x
<u>Thomomys bottae</u>				x		x	x	x					x	
<u>Lepus californicus</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x		x	x	x
<u>Sylvilagus auduboni</u>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x		x	x	x	x
<u>Dipodomys heermanni</u> ¹														
<u>Dipodomys nitratoides</u> ¹														
<u>Peromyscus maniculatus</u> ¹														
<u>Perognathus californicus</u> ¹														

Table 10. (Cont.)

Species	Study site number:													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
<u>Perognathus inornatus</u> ¹														
<u>Reithrodontomys megalotis</u> ¹														

¹Species seen in the general area but not specifically noted as occurring on any study site.

Table 11. Relative densities of lizards on 14 study sites near Taft, California, during June-July 1979, based on transect counts.

Study site number	Level of development	Number of transects	Species:				
			<u>Gambelia</u>	<u>Cnemidophorus</u>	<u>Uta</u>	<u>Sceloporus</u>	<u>Phrynosoma</u>
1	1	15	1.40 ¹ (0.91) ²	10.00 (3.08)	3.33 (0.82)	3	-
2	1	15	0.27 (0.27)	3.00 (2.26)	0.80 (0.22)	-	-
3	5	10	-	4.80 (2.77)	5.20 (0.84)	-	-
4	2	20	1.50 (0.76)	7.75 (2.16)	1.20 (0.22)	-	-
5	4	15	-	1.50 (1.21)	4.33 (0.68)	-	-
6	3	10	-	1.30 (1.31)	0.50 (0.38)	-	-
7	1	10	-	1.10 (0.97)	0.90 (0.86)	0.30 (0.34)	-
8	1	10	0.40 (0.50)	0.20 (0.29)	0.10 (0.23)	-	-

Table 11. (Cont.)

Study site number	Level of development	Number of transects	Species:			Sceloporus	Phrynosoma
			Gambelia	Cnemidophorus	Uta		
9	3	10	-	1.30 (0.84)	1.00 (0.68)	-	-
10	1	10	3.10 (1.33)	5.70 (2.37)	3.60 (1.85)	-	0.30 (0.34)
11	3	10	0.30 (0.34)	3.70 (1.72)	11.40 (4.38)	-	-
12	2	10	-	8.10 (4.07)	21.80 (3.32)	-	-
13	1	10	0.20 (0.29)	7.30 (3.59)	3.80 (1.90)	0.10 (0.23)	-
14	1	10	0.60 (0.49)	6.00 (2.44)	0.80 (0.52)	-	-

¹ Average number of animals seen per transect.

² Value in parentheses represents two standard errors of the estimate.

³ No lizards observed during the running of the transects.

Table 12. Estimates of average relative densities and absolute densities of blunt-nosed leopard lizards on intensive study sites 1-5 near Taft, California, during May-July 1979.

Study site number	Level of development	Average relative density <i>per acre</i>	total marked	Absolute density:	
				Petersen estimate	Estimated range of lizards likely present on site
1	1	1.40	7	8.8	9-10 ³
2	1	0.27	1	- ¹	2-3
3	5	0.00	0	-	0
4	2	1.50	10	24.5 ² (21.4)	15-20
5	4	0.00	0	-	0-1

¹Insufficient numbers of lizards marked and/or recovered to use Petersen estimate technique.

²An inflated estimate with 6 estimates of 40 lizards produced a higher average than may be reasonable. Value in parentheses represents average Petersen estimate based on 5 samples which ranged in values from 15 to 27.

³Based on extensive fieldwork on study sites these values represent what we feel are reasonable boundaries of blunt-nosed leopard lizards present on each site.

Table 13. Density of animal burrows on intensive study sites 1-5 near Taft, California, during May-July 1979.

Study site number	Level of development	Sample size	Burrow density per:		Average burrow diameter in mm
			acre	hectare	
1	1	5	.82 (25) ¹	203 (62)	41 (3.2)
2	1	5	.31 (6)	77 (14)	48 (6.5)
3	5	5	.6 (6)	16 (16)	55 (8.0)
4	2	10	.74 (12)	182 (29)	47 (7.8)
5	4	5	.71 (25)	176 (63)	40 (5.8)

¹Value in parentheses represents 2 standard errors of the estimate of the mean (2 SE).

Table 14. Correlation coefficients (Spearman's ρ) for relative densities of lizards and environmental conditions on 14 Study sites near Taft, California, May-July 1979.

Comparison	n	ρ	Significance ¹
<u>Gambelia</u> relative density X			
level of development	14	-.564	.02 < p < .05
<u>Cnemidophorus</u> relative density	14	.426	NS
<u>Uta</u> relative density	14	-.165	NS
burrow density	5	.718	NS
percent of bare ground	5	-.667	NS
<u>Schismus</u> importance value	5	.975	.05 < p < .1
<u>Atriplex polycarpa</u> importance value	5	.667	NS
<u>Bromus rubens</u> importance value	5	.205	NS
<u>Cnemidophorus</u> relative density X			
<u>Uta</u> relative density	14	.522	.05 < p < .1
burrow density	5	-.300	NS
percent of bare ground	5	.700	NS
<u>Schismus</u> importance value	5	.600	NS
<u>Atriplex polycarpa</u> importance value	5	.500	NS
<u>Bromus rubens</u> importance value	5	-.100	NS
level of development	14	.151	NS
<u>Uta</u> relative density X			
burrow density	5	-.300	NS
percent of bare ground	5	.700	NS
<u>Schismus</u> importance value	5	-.700	NS

Table 14. (Cont.)

Comparison	n	rho	Significance ¹
<u>Uta</u> relative density X			
<u>Bromus rubens</u> importance value	5	-.700	NS
level of development	14	.440	NS
Importance value of <u>Atriplex polycarpa</u> X			
burrow density	5	.700	NS
importance value of <u>Schismus</u>	5	.700	NS
importance value of <u>Bromus rubens</u>	5	.800	NS
Level of development	5	-.975	.05 < p < .1
Level of development X			
burrow density	5	-.564	NS
percent of bare ground	5	.975	.05 < p < .1
<u>Schismus</u> importance value	5	-.667	NS
<u>Bromus rubens</u> importance value	5	-.872	NS
Burrow density X			
percent of bare ground	5	-.700	NS
Importance value of <u>Schismus</u> X			
importance value of <u>Bromus rubens</u>	5	.500	NS
<u>Gambelia</u> absolute density X			
level of development	14	-.576	.02 < p < .05

¹NS indicates no statistical significance below the 90% (.1) level of probability.

POINT QUARTER BURROW SURVEY FORM

59.

COUNTRY: _____

INVESTIGATORS: _____

SITE NUMBER: _____

FIELD NOTES: _____

LINE NUMBER: _____

DATE SAMPLED: _____

POINT NUMBER	QUARTER 1		QUARTER 2		QUARTER 3		QUARTER 4	
	Dist.	Diam.	Dist.	Diam.	Dist.	Diam.	Dist.	Diam.
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

Appendix 2. Transect method of plant community description.

The line-intercept method of plant sampling is one-dimensional. It consists of taking observations on a line or lines laid out randomly over the study area. All plants touching the line are identified to species and the length of their intercept along the transect recorded. The following calculations were made from the line-intercept data:

- (1) Dominance or Cover (as percent of ground's surface) =

$$\frac{\text{total of intercept length of a species}}{\text{total transect length}} \times 100$$

- (2) Relative Dominance =

$$\frac{\text{total intercept length of a species}}{\text{total intercept length for all species}} \times 100$$

- (3) Frequency =

$$\frac{\text{number of transects in which a species occurred}}{\text{total number of transects taken}} \times 100$$

- (4) Relative Frequency =

$$\frac{\text{frequency value for a species}}{\text{total frequency values for all species}} \times 100$$

- (5) Importance Value =

$$\text{relative frequency} + \text{relative dominance}$$

Fig. 1. Locations of the 14 study sites in the southern San Joaquin Valley used to determine the impact of oil and gas development on blunt-nosed leopard lizards, May-July 1979.

Fig. 2. Intensive study site number 1, located 4 km north of McKittrick just west of Highway 58.
Photograph by Tom Campbell, 2 June 1979.

Fig. 3. Outline map of intensive study site number 1. The terrain of this plot was fairly level and was traversed by 3 washes. Dashed lines indicate routes used for the relative density estimates.

Fig. 4. Intensive study site number 2, located 1.6 km east of Fellows on Midway Road. Photograph by Tom Campbell 1 June 1979.

Fig. 5. Outline map of intensive study site number 2. Four roads traversed this site and 2 washes occurred within it.

Fig. 6. Intensive study site number 3, located 3.2 km south of Derby Acres near Mocal Road. Photograph by Tom Campbell, 26 May 1979.

Fig. 7. Outline map of intensive study site 3. Oil and gas structures active on the site are represented by partial and entire, solid circles.

Fig. 8. Intensive study site number 4, located 8.8 km north of Taft near Valley West Road. Photograph by Tom Campbell, 12 June 1979.

Fig. 9. Outline map of intensive study site number 4. No active oil development occurred on this site; the half-solid circles indicate old, inactive oil and gas structures on this site.

Fig. 10. Intensive study site number 5, located 4.8 km northwest of Fellows, near Shale Road. Photograph by Tom Campbell, 1 June 1979.

Fig. 11. Outline map of intensive study site number 5. This Bromus-Schismus grassland with scattered Atriplex and Hymenoclea bushes was interspersed with active oil and gas structures. Dirt roads on the area received moderate usage.

Fig. 12. Typical oil seep from an operating oil well on intensive study site number 5. Many vertebrates were trapped in this oil. Photograph by Tom Campbell, 20 June 1979.

Fig. 13. A raven that died in an old oil seep near study site number 12. Photograph by Tom Campbell, 21 June 1979.

Fig. 14. Nonintensive study site number 6, located 4 km southeast of McKittrick along Reserve Road.
Photograph by Jennifer Babcock, 4 July 1979.

Fig. 15. Nonintensive study site number 7, located 2.4 km east of McKittrick near Reserve Road.
Photograph by Jennifer Babcock 2 July 1979.

Fig. 16. This wash running through nonintensive study site 7 was typical of those found on many of the study sites. Photograph by Jennifer Babcock, 2 July 1979.

Fig. 17. Nonintensive study site 8, located 20.7 km northwest of McKittrick on the 7th Standard Road.
Photograph by Tom Campbell, 5 July 1979.

Fig. 18. Nonintensive study site number 9, located 7.2 km northwest of Taft on Midway Road. Photograph by Tom Campbell, 18 June 1979.

Fig. 19. Nonintensive study site number 10, located 18.5 km west of Taft on Simmler-Soda Lake Road.
Photograph by Tom Campbell, 9 June 1979.

Fig. 20. Nonintensive study site number 11, located 3.7 km northwest of Fellows on Shale Road.
Photograph by Steve Juarez, 18 June 1979.

Fig. 21. Nonintensive study site number 12, located 4 km northeast of Maricopa near Kerto Road.
Photograph by Tom Campbell, 2 June 1979.

Fig. 22. Nonintensive study site 13, located 4 km north-northeast of McKittrick on Highway 58.
Photograph by Tom Campbell, 18 June 1979.

Fig. 23. Nonintensive study site number 14, located 3.8 km west of Valley Acres. Photograph by Tom Campbell, 1 June 1979.