Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current
scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

A99.53

F 763

STATION LIBRARY COPY

Interim Technical Report
AFSWP - 863
September - 1955

AERODYNAMIC CROWN DRAG OF SEVERAL BROADLEAF TREE SPECIES

Lai, W.

DIVISION OF FIRE RESEARCH
FOREST SERVICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the assistance of F. M. Sauer. W. Y. Pong aided in data reduction and contributed first-hand knowledge of test conditions. V. M. DeKalb and O. J. Brichacek, former staff members, supervised field operations.

AERODYNAMIC CROWN DRAG OF
SEVERAL BROADLEAF TREE SPECIES

by

W. Lai

Interim Technical Report
AFSWP-863
September 1955

U. S. Department of Agriculture
Forest Service
Division of Forest Fire Research
Washington, D. C.
A. A. Brown, Division Chief

Project Leader: W. L. Fons
California Forest and Range Experiment Station
P. O. Box 245
Berkeley, California

SUMMARY

In order to study the mechanics of wind action on trees, the induced resistance forces must be known. The principle wind resistance mechanism of trees arises from aerodynamic drag of the crown. This investigation was made to determine the aerodynamic drag of broadleaf crowns.

Eight species were tested, six in site class II or better, mixed hardwood stand in Pisgah National Forest, North Carolina, during the summer of 1952. The remaining species were tested in site class II or better, mixed conifer stand in Shasta National Forest, California, during the summer of 1950. A selected tree instrumented and mounted on the bed of a 1-ton truck with crown exposed above the cab was transported over a predetermined level, straight course at various steady speeds. Weight and moisture content of stem, branchwood and foliage, total height, crown length, and diameters at specified locations also were recorded.

The results are presented graphically by plotting drag force normalized with dry foliage weight against moment divided by dynamic pressure normalized with the cube of inside bark diameter at base of crown. Each species has its own curve although their general characteristics are the same.

The drag of two defoliated trees also was studied. Analysis reveals foliated trees offer 2 to 10 times greater aerodynamic drag.

CONTENTS

Summary	3
List of illustrations	5
List of tables	6
Introduction	7
Experimental procedure	8
Data and results	11
Discussion	13
Conclusions	24
Literature cited	25
Nomenclature	27

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1--Schematic diagram of instrumentation used for North Carolina tests	9
" 2--Dimensionless correlation for (a) silver maple, and (b) sweet birch (full crown)	11
" 3--Dimensionless correlation for pignut hickory (full crown).	12
" 4--Dimensionless correlation for (a) American beech, and (b) yellow-poplar (full crown)	13
" 5--Dimensionless correlation for (a) quaking aspen, (b) California black oak, and (c) scarlet oak (full crown)	14
" 6--Variation of center of pressure position with dynamic pressure	17
" 7--Variation of average center of pressure position with tree parameters	17
" 8--Comparison of full and defoliated crown drag	19
" 9--Dimensionless drag correlation summary (full crown)	21
" 10--Transpiration effect on drag curve	24

TABLES

Table 1--Foliage characteristics of test trees	10
" 2--Data for test trees	15
" 3--Reaction of center of pressure position to increase in velocity	19
" 4--Average modulus of elasticity for green wood determined with standard test specimens	20
" 5--Moisture content of drag test, static breakage, and crown analysis trees	23

INTRODUCTION

The effect of wind on trees has been studied by many investigators interested in the physiological aspects of the problem. In order to study the mechanics of wind action, the induced resistance forces must be investigated in a manner which enables specification for trees of different size, crown structure, and/or species. These aerodynamic drag forces arise principally from the crown. The following is an analysis of crown drag measurements made on several broadleaf tree species.

One of the earliest investigations of wind action on trees was carried out by Metzger, who in 1893 proposed his mechanical stem form theory, which states that wind action on trees produces stems behaving as beams of uniform resistance. Since then, numerous workers such as Tirén (14,15),^{1/} Windirsch (17), and Jacobs (4) have studied the stem growth problem with Metzger's theory. Among those who disagree with Metzger's theory is Jaccard (2) who considers stem form the result not only of mechanical wind action but also of wind effect on transpiration and the force of gravity. Other workers have tried to discover the action of winds on root systems. Among the more recent is Pryor (8) whose studies on Monterey pine show that wind action stimulates growth in horizontal root systems but has little effect on vertical ones. Much literature devoted to the general problem of the action of wind on tree growth exists. Fritzsche (1), Jacobs (3), and more recently Merger (7) discussed the problem quite thoroughly; their discussions covered work done by other investigators not referenced here.

Despite the abundance of literature pertaining to wind action on trees, few workers attempted to measure the drag forces involved. Tirén attempted to estimate crown drag from conifer branch drag measurements made in a wind tunnel as part of his study on stem form. However, weighing the contribution to crown drag from different branch orientations is difficult. A recent crown drag study reported by Sauer (10) gives experimental results for entire conifer crowns rather than individual branches. Crown drag of saplings was measured in a wind tunnel; larger trees were tested by transporting specimens mounted on a truck bed. The data were correlated for each species with dimensionless parameters which permitted crown drag to be estimated from the dynamic pressure and tree characteristics.

Because of the differences in crown and foliage structure between broadleaves and conifers, it was believed that Sauer's conifer results would not apply. Therefore a study was made to determine the aerodynamic drag of several species of broadleaves under steady wind conditions.

^{1/} Or underlined numbers in parentheses, refer to Literature Cited, page 25.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

This investigation was carried out in California and North Carolina. Full crown drag of eight species was studied (table 1); one each of American beech and scarlet oak was tested with defoliated crowns. Except for aspen and California black oak, specimens were selected from a site class II or better, mixed hardwood stand in Bent Creek Experimental Forest, Pisgah National Forest, North Carolina, elevation 2300 feet, in the summer of 1952. Aspen and black oak were selected from a site class II or better, mixed conifer stand in Shasta National Forest, California, elevation 4000 feet, in the summer of 1950. Individual trees were selected for good stem form, crown symmetry, and road accessibility. Foliage characteristics and availability were the basis upon which species were chosen. Test trees were felled in a manner to minimize crown damage.

The vehicle-test technique developed for the conifers study was used (10). Trees were instrumented with ring dynamometers and mounted on the bed of a 1-ton truck. Average velocity, moment force about the base of crown, and drag were measured by transporting these instrumented specimens at various steady speeds over predetermined level, straight courses. Upon completion of drag tests, weight and moisture content of stem, branch-wood and foliage, total height, crown length, and diameters at specified locations were recorded for the specimen. These data were reduced and presented graphically in a manner similar to conifer results.

The North Carolina tests course was on highway 276 in the Pisgah National Forest. For the California tests, straight sections along highway 89 east of McCloud were used. Local effects were minimized by testing at the same velocities to and fro along the same course. Tests were performed during the early morning hours when surface winds and road hazards were at a minimum.

A range of speeds from 10 to 55 miles per hour (15 to 70 feet per second) at 5 m.p.h. intervals was used. Time was recorded from a stop watch with 0.002 minute least count. The lengths of test courses were chosen such that the velocity error was less than 2 percent.

The instrumentation system for the California trees was that used in the conifers study. Forces measured with ring dynamometers were recorded with a Foxboro SR-4 circular chart recorder. Readings from the circular charts had a least count corresponding to 10 pounds dynamometer load.

The above instrumentation was modified for the North Carolina tests (figure 1). The dynamometer at the base of crown measured the bending moment about the base of crown. The one close to the stem base, 5.16 below, connected in subtractive circuit with another matched dynamometer at the base of crown, measured the drag force. Measurements

- Figure 1.--Schematic diagram of instrumentation used for North Carolina tests

were recorded with single-channel Sanborn oscillographs operated off 24-V batteries through converters. This change in instrumentation allowed variable sensitivities which could not be obtained with the Foxboro recorder.

The least count of the oscillograph record is 1 millimeter. The least count of the reduced data varies with the gain and attenuation settings according to K_D where K is 31.4 pounds for drag measurements, and 20 pounds for moment measurements. An account of these least count variations is found in table 2 in Data and Results, under the heading "least count accuracy."

Table 1.- Foliage characteristics of test trees

Species	Leaf arrangement	Leaf shape	Leaf size range
Silver maple (<i>Acer saccharinum</i> L.)	Opposite, simple, deciduous	Circular-deeply palmately 5-lobed	6 to 7 in. diameter petioles 4 in. long
Sweet birch (<i>Betula lenta</i> L.)	Alternate, simple, deciduous	Ovate to oblong-ovate	2-1/2 to 5 in. long 1-1/2 to 2 in. wide petioles 1/2 to 3/4 in. long
Pignut hickory (<i>Carya glabra</i> Sweet)	Alternate, pinnately compound; 5 (rarely 7); sessile	Lance-shaped leaflets	8 to 12 in. long leaflets 4 to 6 in. long, 2 to 3 in. wide
American beech (<i>Fagus grandifolia</i> Ehrh.)	Alternate, simple, clustered at end of branchlets, deciduous	Oblong-ovate	2-1/2 to 5 in. long 1 to 2-1/2 in. wide petioles 1/4 to 1/2 in. long
Yellow-poplar (<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i> L.)	Alternate, simple, deciduous	Tulip-like, usually 4-lobed with 2 lower broadest	4 to 6 in. long and wide
Quaking aspen (<i>Populus tremuloides</i> Michx.)	Alternate, simple, deciduous	Suborbicular to broadly ovate	1-1/2 to 2 in. diameter petioles 1-1/2 to 3 in. long
Scarlet oak (<i>Quercus coccinea</i> Muenchh.)	Alternate, simple, deciduous	Ovate or oblong-ovate	3 to 7 in. long 2 to 5 in. wide petioles 1 to 2-1/2 in. long
California black oak (<i>Quercus kelloggii</i> Newb.)	Alternate, simple, deciduous	Ovate or oblong-ovate	3 to 6 in. long about 2/3 length (width)

DATA AND RESULTS

The data have been correlated with dimensionless parameters that depend upon wind forces and tree characteristics (figures 2 to 5). These correlations are similar to the ones developed for conifers. The data are tabulated in table 2. Data for the defoliated trees are poor; hence no correlation is shown. Figure 6 shows the position of the center of pressure as a function of the dynamic pressure for several specimens tested. Estimation of an average center of pressure position may be obtained from figure 7 from tree parameters.

Figure 2.--Dimensionless correlation for (a) silver maple, and (b) sweet birch (full crown)

Figure 3.--Dimensionless correlation for
pignut hickory (full crown)

Figure 4.--Dimensionless correlation for (a) American beech, and (b) yellow-poplar (full crown)

DISCUSSION

Aerodynamic drag of a nonflexible body in submerged flow is a function of the geometry of the body, velocity, and fluid properties; specifically it is the product of drag coefficient, frontal area, and dynamic pressure. Drag coefficient is a function of Reynolds and/or Mach numbers. At low velocities, such as the range considered here, Reynolds number dominates.

Figure 5.--Dimensionless correlation for (a) quaking aspen, (b) California black oak, and (c) scarlet oak (full crown)

For a flexible, porous body such as a tree crown, the area and porosity change constantly with dynamic pressure. These changes affect the drag coefficient and hence drag. Tirén (14,15) concluded that the exponent for the velocity is not constant with crown drag. Sauer (10) attributes the nonconformity of crown drag with rigid bodies to the change in drag coefficient and area. Since this study was patterned after the work of Sauer, the role of Reynolds number has been subjugated as was done for conifers. The above considerations indicate that crown drag coefficient and area must be represented with measurable tree crown characteristics.

Table 2.- Data for test trees

[illegible]

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

Table 2.- Data for test trees (continued)

[illegible]

For definition of symbols, see Nomenclature, page 2.
 24. average atmospheric pressure for the summer as used, 29.90 in mercury.
 Outside air.
 Inside bar.

Figure 6.--Variation of center of pressure position with dynamic pressure

Figure 7.--Variation of average center of pressure position with tree parameters

The bending of tree crown and stem under the influence of wind forces results in a restoring couple, the magnitude of which is dependent upon the magnitude of the drag force and mechanical properties of the wood. This couple and drag force together with the dynamic pressure and tree characteristics must therefore combine to express the aerodynamic behavior of the crown.

Figures 2 to 5, inclusive, show the empirically correlated curves between drag force and moment about the base of crown normalized with dynamic pressure and tree parameters. These correlation groupings are similar to those used for conifers. The moment was chosen about the base of crown to indicate the degree of crown movement.

Comparison of raw data for conifers, North Carolina broadleaves, and California broadleaves indicates a greater variability for the North Carolina data. This variation cannot be reduced by correlation.

The tree characteristics used differ from those found to be important for conifers. Drag for conifers was normalized with dry crown weight. Broadleaf trees, unlike conifers, often do not exhibit a central stem. Their branchwood weights constitute the major portion of the crown weight (table 2). If drag per unit dry crown weight were used, the effect of foliage would be overshadowed. For this reason, the drag was computed on the basis of per unit dry foliage weight.

Dry branchwood to foliage weight ratio was shown to be important for conifers (10). Because of the wide range of broadleaf trees, variations in this ratio were small in most cases. For specimens with large differences, scatter of the data hid its effect on the correlation. Because of this, the ratio was eliminated from consideration.

Two defoliated trees were tested with the same procedure. Unfortunately the data are too meager for correlation. Nevertheless, comparison of the raw data shows that presence of foliage increases the drag from two- to tenfold (figure 8). This fact was brought out quantitatively by Bauer (10).

The center of pressure position calculated from the data remained fairly constant for the most part over the dynamic pressure range studied (figure 6). Below a dynamic pressure of approximately 2 pounds per square foot, which is equivalent to about 44 feet per second wind velocity, the center of pressure position for some trees ascended, some remained substantially constant, while others descended. Quantitative considerations indicate variation in position of center of pressure with wind velocity depends upon combinations of stem and branch properties (table 3).

Branch and stem stiffness was not measured. Examination of figure 6 indicates a maximum change in location of the pressure center of about 20 percent. Since the data do not allow rectification of these changes, a plot of the average center of pressure position is given (figure 7).

Figure 8.--Comparison of full and defoliated crown drag
(VT-11 Sweet birch, VT-2 Scarlet oak)

Table 3.- Reaction of center of pressure position
to increase in velocity

Branch	Stem	Reaction
stiff	stiff	remain constant
limber	stiff	ascend
limber	limber	descend

The mechanical properties of the stem, important from the standpoint of strength of materials, have been left out because their effect on the data does not justify complicating the correlation. The role of mechanical properties can be determined only by more experimentation.

A basic mechanical property which enters into stress determinations is the modulus of elasticity. Table 4 lists the average green modulus of elasticity for the various test specimens in descending order. The more supple, hence smaller modulus of elasticity, tree will bend more for a given dynamic pressure.^{1/} Therefore, the drag will be less and a higher dynamic pressure will be necessary to produce the same drag. Figure 9 shows that the order in the table follows approximately the order of the curves from right to left.

Table 4.-- Average modulus of elasticity for green wood determined with standard test specimens.^{1/}

Species ^{2/}	Modulus of elasticity of green wood (thousand psi)
Hickory, pignut	1650
Birch, sweet	1650
Oak, scarlet	1480
Beech	1380
Poplar, yellow	1090
Maple, silver	940
Aspen	860
Oak, California black	740

^{1/}Little is known about the modulus of elasticity of
-living trees

^{2/}See (2)

If the order of the curves were proportionally distributed, division of the independent variable by the modulus of elasticity to some power should bring the curves together. Since the order is not proportional, the curves cannot be brought together with the modulus of elasticity alone.

The influence of foliage characteristics on drag is inconclusive. However, it is interesting to note (figure 9) that hickory and aspen are at the two extremes of the band of curves. Table 1 shows that hickory has alternate pinnately compounded leaves 8 to 12 inches long while aspen has simple alternate leaves, 1-1/2 to 2 inches in diameter.

^{2/} Tiren measured fir branch drag and found supple branches afford less resistance per given wind velocity.

Figure 9.--Dimensionless drag correlation summary (full crown.)

It is known that transpiration continues after a tree is felled (6). The presence of wind and sunlight also increases the rate of transpiration (2; 9, pp. 170-174; 11). When sufficient water transpires, the leaves become flaccid and the wood becomes stiffer (the modulus of elasticity increases). The rate of change of modulus of elasticity with moisture content has been studied by Timmerman (1, 13) and Wilson (16). The rate of change of leaf strength with moisture content is not known, and requires further study.

Test specimens were felled and mounted the night before each run. Runs were started at about 6 a.m. and continued until all data were collected for a particular tree. At the completion of tests, observations showed that in all cases the leaves were flaccid. In many cases a small amount of leaves was torn off during the course of runs.

Moisture content samples were taken only at the end of each test. Therefore, the moisture loss of test trees is not known. Comparison of test trees with trees used for static breakage and crown analysis indicates a substantial loss of moisture in all North Carolina specimens (table 5). Comparable data for California black oak and aspen could not be found.

Due to limpness of leaves in flaccid crowns, their wind resistance will be less for the same dynamic pressure; also stiffer crown components will require less dynamic pressure for the same drag. Therefore, the experimental curves are less steep than they should be (figure 10).

Figure 10.—Limpness effect on drag curve

Table 5.-- Moisture content of drag test, static breakage, and crown analysis trees

Species	Moisture content					
	Vehicle-test trees ¹			Breakage-analysis trees ²		
	Foliage	Branch	Stem	Foliage	Branch	Stem
percent						
Silver maple (<i>Acer saccharinum</i> L.)	98.5	61.4	54.1	122.5	80.7	69.5
	108.6	62.7	76.0	151.8	70.9	66.3
				165.2	74.4	72.7
				195.6	72.5	56.9
				142.2	78.0	74.3
Sweet birch (<i>Betula lenta</i> L.)	122.9	60.2	56.4	177.8	69.1	71.6
	105.2	54.8	52.2	158.9	68.6	71.4
				132.0	87.1	71.4
				184.3	83.9	77.2
				135.4	70.8	58.9
Pignut hickory (<i>Carya glabra</i> Sweet)	133.2	57.1	59.6	168.0	64.1	53.2
	118.0	63.2	59.2	144.5	67.8	70.5
				225.7	72.6	58.7
				218.2	80.2	63.1
				201.5	68.5	49.5
American beech (<i>Fagus grandifolia</i> Ehrh.)	75.6	66.2	66.5	142.1	74.8	73.2
	83.5	50.9	77.8	151.3	93.2	80.3
				121.4	70.6	75.0
				121.2	72.4	89.4
				131.1	66.3	81.0
Yellow-poplar (<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i> L.)	76.0	65.7	102.7	216.3	105.7	102.0
	221.0	99.4	81.3	315.0	115.8	104.1
	142.5	80.3	132.6	255.9	128.2	130.7
				329.1	118.6	100.0
				311.4	115.3	106.2
Scarlet oak (<i>Quercus coccinea</i> Muenchh.)	84.1	55.2	68.5	154.1	83.1	84.4
	92.2	88.1	83.2	109.7	68.4	94.4
	92.4	62.1	76.1	127.0	62.4	67.6
				121.9	73.8	72.8
				145.4	66.3	93.8

¹These trees arranged in same order as in table 2. Moisture samples collected after completion of drag tests.

²Sample trees from "Crown Characteristics of Several Broadleaf and Palm Tree Species," T. G. Storey (in preparation), and trees used by W. Y. Pong for static breakage analysis.

Preliminary analysis by Storey^{3/} seems to indicate geometric similarity between young and mature broadleaves. In order to establish the general applicability of the empirical curves, dynamic similarity for broadleaves must be established as was done for conifers (10). This can be done by testing larger as well as smaller trees.

Table 2 shows the restricted number and size of test species. The limited samples also indicate the necessity for further experimentation. A larger size range of test trees will reveal also the role of dry branchwood to foliage weight ratio.

The preceding discussion has brought out many interesting points which have not been studied adequately. To recapitulate, influence of foliage characteristics, crown geometry, strength properties of leaves, branches, and stem, and change of moisture content should be investigated for complete understanding of the broadleaf aerodynamic crown drag mechanism.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Empirical curves based on wind forces and tree parameters have been developed for the prediction of aerodynamic drag of foliated crown for several species of broadleaf trees.

2. Each species has its own curve although the general characteristics of all curves are similar.

3. Foliage contributes a major portion to crown drag. For the trees tested, a difference of two- to tenfold exists between defoliated and full crowns.

^{3/} Storey, T. G., Crown characteristics of several broadleaf and palm tree species--relation between weight of crown, branchwood and foliage, and stem diameter (In preparation).

LITERATURE CITED

1. Fritzsche, I. K.
1932. [Storm menace and adaptation]. Tharandtar Forstliches Jahrbuch 84(1):1-104. [Transl. No. 143, Div. Silvics, U. S. Forest Service.]
2. Jaccard, P.
1930. [The mechanical and physiological effect of the wind on the form of the tree trunks]. Separatabdruck Aus Der Schweizer, Zeitschrift Fur Forestwesen. [Transl. No. 173, Div. Silvics, U. S. Forest Service.] 12 pp., illus.
3. Jacobs, M. R.
1936. The effect of wind on trees. Australian Forestry 1(2):25-32. 22 references.
4. ———
1939. A study of the effect of sway on trees. Commonwealth For. Bur., Australia, Bul. No. 26. 17 pp., illus.
5. Markwardt, L. J., and Wilson, T. R. C.
1935. Strength and related properties of woods grown in the United States. Tech. Bul. 479, U. S. Dept. Agric., For. Products Lab. 99 pp., illus.
6. McIntosh, D. C.
1949. Observation of trees felled and left with foliage intact. Canada For. Products Laboratories. (Mimeo. O-141). 16 pp., illus.
7. Merger, F.
1954. Mechanical aspects of wind-breakage and windfirmness. Jour. For. 52(2):119-125. 16 references, illus.
8. Pryor, L. D.
1937. Some observations on the roots of Pinus radiata in relation to wind resistance. Australian For. 2(2):37-40, illus.
9. Robbins, W. W., and Weier, W. W.
1950. Botany, an introduction to plant science. 480 pp., illus. New York.
10. Sauer, F. M., Fons, W. L., and Arnold, R. K.
1951. Experimental investigation of aerodynamic drag in tree crowns exposed to steady wind--conifers. Div. Fire Res., U. S. Forest Service (mimeo.). 19 pp., illus.

11. Taisitiroo, Satoo.
1948. Effect of wind on transpiration of new and old leaves of some trees. Bul. Tokyo Univ. Forests No. 36. 29 pp., illus.
12. Tiemann, H. D.
1906. Effect of moisture upon the strength and stiffness of wood. Bul. 70, U. S. Forest Service. 130 pp., illus.
13. ———
1907. The strength of wood as influenced by moisture. Circ. 108, U. S. Forest Service. 42 pp., illus.
14. Tiren, L.
1926. Nagra undersokninger over staniformen. Skogvards Foreningens Tidskrift, Vol. 24. [In Swedish, staff translation.] Haft 1-2, pp. 23-88, illus.
15. ———
1928. Einige untersuchungen uber die schaftform. Meddelanden Fran Statens Skogsforsoksanstalt, Hafte 24, No. 4, Vol. 5, pp. 81-152, illus., 16 references. [In German.]
16. Wilson, T. R. C.
1932. Strength-moisture relations for wood. Tech. Bul. 282. U. S. Dept. Agric., Forest Products Laboratory. 88 pp., illus.
17. Windirsch, J.
1936. [The structure of forest trees on a static basis.] Thorandier Forstliches Jahrbuch 87(7):533-536. [Transl. No. 260, Div. Silvics, U. S. Forest Service.] 15 references, illus.

NOMENCLATURE

A	=	oscillograph attenuation setting
d_{bh}	=	outside bark diameter at breast height, in.
d_c	=	inside bark diameter at base of crown, in.
D	=	drag force, lb.
H_{bh}	=	stem length above breast height, ft.
H_c	=	length of crown, ft.
$\bar{H}_{cp}$	=	stem length from tip to center of pressure, ft.
IB	=	inside bark
K	=	dynamometer constant, lb.
L	=	length of test course, ft.
M	=	moment about base of crown, in.-lb.
OB	=	outside bark
t	=	time, min.
T	=	temperature, °F
V	=	velocity, ft./sec.
W_{db}	=	total weight of dry branchwood, lb.
W_{df}	=	total weight of dry foliage, lb.
ρ	=	mass density of air, lb.-sec. ² /ft.