EXPERIMENTS ON ENSILAGE,

CONDUCTED AT ROTHAMSTED;

SEASON 1884-5.

BY

SIR J. B. LAWES, BART., LL.D., F.R.S.,

AND

J. H. GILBERT, LL.D., F.R.S.

This paper is, in the main, a reprint of a series of Articles published in the "Agricultural Gazette," from April 27 to August 10, 1885, as the experiments proceeded; but the order of the sections has been re-arranged, the Tables and text have been revised and corrected, and a more complete summary has been added.

LONDON:

HARRISON AND SONS, ST. MARTIN'S LANE,

Printers in Ordinary to Her Majesty.

1886.

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2017 with funding from
University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign Alternates

EXPERIMENTS ON ENSILAGE, CONDUCTED AT ROTHAMSTED ;

SEASON 1884-5.

BY

SIR J. B. LAWES, BART., LL.D., F.R.S.,

AND

J. H. GILBERT, LL.D., F.R.S.

This paper is, in the main, a reprint of a series of Articles published in the "Agricultural Gazette," from April 27 to August 10, 1885, as the experiments proceeded ; but the order of the sections has been re-arranged, the Tables and text have been revised and corrected, and a more complete summary has been added.

LONDON :
HARRISON AND SONS, ST. MARTIN'S LANE,
Printers in Ordinary to Her Majesty.

1886.

635.71
L41e

635.71
L41e

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
1. Introduction	5
2. The construction, and the filling, of the Silos	9
3. Quantity and composition of the Herbage put into the Silos, and of the Silage taken out	10
Silo No. 1 (p. 10)	
Silo No. 2 (p. 15)	
4. The chemical composition of the Silage.....	19
5. Experiments with Fattening Oxen.....	24
6. Food required for mere sustenance, for the production of Milk, and for the production of Fattening Increase.....	28
7. Preliminary Experiments with milking Cows.....	36
8. Plan and arrangement of the experiments with milking Cows	37
9. The results of the Experiments with milking Cows.....	39
10. Characters and composition of the Milk from the different Foods.....	51
11. Summary, and general conclusions.....	55

EXPERIMENTS ON ENSILAGE,

CONDUCTED AT ROTHAMSTED ;

SEASON 1884-5.

1.—INTRODUCTION.

ACCORDING to the "Agricultural Returns," there had, in 1884, been 610 silos constructed in Great Britain, and in 1885, the number was raised to 1183. It is probable that the greater portion of these are not in the hands of tenant farmers, as even at the best of times they are not over eager in adopting novelties ; and now, when the most serious depression exists, they may readily hesitate to pay even the interest upon a building which after trial might be found to be useless.

It is quite certain that, in some of the statements which have been made by the more enthusiastic advocates of ensilage, there has been much exaggeration, and that the revolution which the silo was to cause in our system of agriculture has yet to take place.

In the early part of 1884, a visit paid to Mr. Gibson's farm at Saffron Walden, where the system of ensilage was in full operation, sufficed to show that the process was worthy of careful investigation ; and Mr. Gibson kindly supplied much valuable information, essential for deciding as to the points which required to be investigated. Mr. Gibson's system of feeding was, to give the silage mixed with roots ; and when used in this way, with dry food in addition, the milk and butter yielded by the cows were both of good quality. He had also ascertained that clover-silage was superior to that made from pasture grass.

Although Mr. Gibson's experience is of great practical value, it does not afford much help in regard to the important question—what is the value of silage as compared with other foods? A great many points have to be investigated before we can assign to the system of ensilage its proper value in the economy of the farm. We want to know, how good a food silage is generally—and especially the silage made from various crops—both as compared with roots, and with the same crops used in the ordinary way. We further want to know, what are the changes that take place in the pit, and how many pounds of dry matter are taken out for 100 lbs. put in; for neither sour nor sweet silage can be made without the destruction of some of the food.

Any one who has had much experience with cows, and the products of a dairy, will understand at once that where the object of experiments is to ascertain the value of one food compared with another, in the production of milk or butter, the subject is surrounded with difficulties. In the feeding of fattening animals, if a sufficient number of them are under experiment, and the food is carefully weighed, it may be expected that the increase in live-weight will give an approximately correct indication of the feeding value of the foods used. With cows, however, not only has every change of food some influence on the yield of milk, but the same food, given in the same quantity, will produce very different amounts of milk with different cows.

It is also well known that milk may be produced at the expense of the substance of the cow herself. The animal may not be even losing weight for a short time, and still may be parting with solid matter which is replaced by water. This being the case, all experiments over short periods, in which one food is substituted for another, are liable to the serious objection, that it is not possible to say what is the source of the change in the milk production. As every cow has a certain milk producing capacity, which cannot be exceeded by any manner of feeding, the animal may be putting on flesh as well as yielding milk with one food, while it may only yield milk without putting on flesh with another food. It is evident that, in the first case, the food possessed a higher nutritive value than in the second; and, consequently, that it might have been reduced without lessening the production of milk. What has been said will be sufficient to show how difficult is the task of carrying out trustworthy experiments for the purpose of determining the influence of different foods on the production of milk or butter.

In addition to the inquiries respecting the comparative feeding value of silage made from different crops, it is very desirable that investigations should be carefully carried out in regard to the rela-

tion of food to the milk produced. In the Rothamsted dairy, as probably in all dairies, some rough and ready plan is adopted for increasing or diminishing the more costly foods according to the amount of milk or butter yielded; but we are not aware of any experiments in which the rise and fall of both milk and food have been made the subject of careful inquiry.

Our experiments on the feeding of cows with silage were commenced in December, 1884, with red clover-silage, followed later with meadow-grass-silage, and they were continued until the cows went out to grass in the spring. Next year we propose to repeat some of the experiments, with different foods, as it is very desirable that the comparison between a corn crop cut green and put into a silo, and the same crop left to ripen, should be made the subject of investigation. Oats will probably be the crop selected for this purpose.

It is contrary to our usual plan, to publish the results of our experiments before they are completed, and we have fully made up our minds as to the lessons to be learnt from them. There were, however, reasons why an exception seemed desirable in the case of our ensilage experiments. We could at any rate supply sufficient information to enable practical farmers to draw their own conclusions from the evidence brought before them. Any conclusions so put forward, however, should be accepted as possibly subject to modification as the investigation proceeds.

Although many careful experiments are carried out on parts of the farm at Rothamsted without regard to cost, on other parts the object is to make a profit just as much as on any other farm. The dairy is an ordinary working dairy, intended to produce milk as cheaply as possible. A contract is generally made to furnish so many gallons of milk per day for a year, and it may be mentioned that for the past year the contract has been 17*d.*, 21*d.*, and 22*d.* for 17 pints delivered at a railway station in London. Within certain limits, the quantity contracted for must be delivered. If more is produced it must be got rid of some other way; and if there is a deficiency the only alternative is to purchase more cows.

In addition to the cows, there are stock of all ages, bred on the farm, and about 40 Irish oxen are generally purchased in the autumn to consume the rough grass left by the cows. The land is mixed arable and pasture, about equally divided. Much of the pasture is young, and that which is old is not good. Sheep's fescue and *Agrostis* prevail, while white clover and the better grasses can only be obtained by high animal manuring. For six months of the year the stock are out in the fields, while during the other six months other food must be provided for them. A certain amount of succulent as well as dry food is therefore required. For the former, mangels grown on the

farm, are generally depended upon; and for the latter, hay and straw, with a certain amount of purchased food.

Under these circumstances, which are as ordinary and commonplace as can well be imagined—and although milk only is sent to London, it would be the same if butter were made—the question to consider is: What benefit will be derived from the use of silage?

Each cow in milk requires about 7 tons of mangels during the 6 months, say, 60 cows $\times$ 7	420 tons
100 head of other stock, each $3\frac{1}{2}$ tons mangels or swedes	350 tons
Total	770 tons

Estimating the dry matter in the roots to average 12 per cent., the 770 tons will give about $92\frac{1}{2}$ tons of dry substance of food.

Ten tons of fresh cut grass, clover, or tares—equal to nearly $2\frac{1}{2}$ tons of hay per acre—may be considered a full yield, and about one-fifth of the fresh weight would be dry matter. There would, therefore, be required 462 tons of the fresh green produce, or the produce of about 46 acres, to yield the same amount of dry matter as in the 770 tons of roots.

The question next arises—What crops should be grown for ensilage purposes? Should some of the corn crops be cut in the green state? should less roots be grown? or should clover, or pasture grass, be put into the silo?

With regard to the clover or pasture, there is the difficulty that a certain amount of hay is required for winter consumption, and if, in addition, a quantity of green grass were mown for the silo, less stock must be kept in the summer, which would be an objection. It is true that a rather better price is obtained for milk and butter in the winter; but, on the other hand, the cost of keep and attendance is much greater. Possibly it may be suggested that tares instead of roots should be grown; but the roots generally follow a second, and sometimes a third corn crop. Winter tares are an excellent crop, and of these a certain number of acres are always grown, but they are not to be compared with roots as a cleaning crop. In fact the substitution of a silage crop for roots, would be equivalent to giving up taking a barley crop after wheat.

If 25 tons of mangels were grown per acre, 28 acres would yield about $87\frac{1}{2}$ tons dry substance of food, whilst of ensilaged crops it would require more than 40 acres to yield the same amount. The relative feeding values of these two descriptions of food form, therefore, a very serious matter for consideration. Of course, all the difficulties mentioned could be got over, if it were clearly shown that silage is a more profitable food. But without roots the land cannot

be cleaned, unless by means of summer fallow, which is out of the question; and that land should be fairly clean is, certainly, an essential point in successful arable farming.

Practical farmers will thus see that there are difficulties, which are by no means fanciful, in the way of an extended adoption of ensilage; and that, notwithstanding all that has been said in favour of the process, there is a simplicity, and economy, in feeding off clover on the land, and the summer feeding of grass, which it will not be easy to supersede. Some may even go so far as to say: "I don't care how good silage may be as a food, I cannot see my way to adopt it, for I must grow roots." Others may say, "It ought to be a very good food indeed for me to use it, for it has a most disagreeable smell, which pervades the whole farm." The general view will probably be that some further information, derived from carefully conducted experiments, is very desirable.

2.—THE CONSTRUCTION, AND THE FILLING, OF THE SILOS.

In May (1884), the ground was excavated, and the building of the silos, of which there are two, was commenced. Each measured 15 feet by 13 feet 10 inches; the depth being 22 feet, 17 feet below the surface of the ground, and 5 feet above it. The silos are built of brick and cement, and are, in fact, water-tight tanks, with a corrugated iron roof over them. Each silo is calculated to hold from 100 to 120 tons of compressed silage, and it was intended to fill one with red clover, and the other with meadow-grass and oats; but as the oats advanced rather beyond the point which was considered desirable for the purpose, this part of the experiment was postponed until another year.

The first crop of red clover—which was pure clover without rye grass—was a very fine one. There was no rain during the filling of the silo, although, owing to the delay caused by the weighing and sampling, the operation extended from June 23 to June 30. The operations were as follows: every cart, as it came from the field, after passing over the weigh-bridge, was brought alongside the silo, and the clover was then passed through a chaff-cutter driven by steam; a sample of the chaff being taken from every load. Of the first crop, 98 tons were weighed in, several men and boys being employed within the silo to spread the chaff evenly, and tread it down. The material was first covered with boards fitting tolerably close, and then pressure was applied by means of hard Staffordshire bricks, weighing from 9 lbs. to 10 lbs. each. The pressure per square foot amounted to about 90 lbs.

At the end of August the boards were removed, and about 21 tons of a second crop of clover were weighed, chaffed, put in, and sampled.

After the pressure had been again applied the material did not reach within 4 feet of the top. The contents then measured 3706 cubic feet. The silage of the upper 4 feet weighed $45\frac{1}{2}$ lbs., and that of the lower 4 feet, $59\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. per cubic foot, giving an average weight of 53.6 lbs. per cubic foot.

The second silo was filled in exactly the same way as the first, the meadow-grass with which it was filled being taken from four different fields. It will be evident that any information regarding the cost of filling the silo would of no value, as accuracy, and not economy, was the main object to be attained; and the operations are so simple that any practical farmer can form his own estimate on the subject. It must, however, be borne in mind, that in carrying fresh cut crops, four or five times as much weight has to be carted as would be the case if the crop were made into hay. Roughly speaking, 4 tons of fresh cut clover will make 1 ton of hay; so in all movements of the crop, either in or out of the silo, calculation for the extra amount of labour must be made accordingly.

3.—QUANTITY AND COMPOSITION OF THE HERBAGE PUT INTO THE SILOS, AND OF THE SILAGE TAKEN OUT.

Silo No. 1.

Table I (p. 11) shows—the dates of filling Silo No. 1, the number of loads, the fresh weights of the clover as put in, the percentages of dry matter, ash, and nitrogen, in it, and the actual quantities of dry matter, ash, and nitrogen, put in.

Table II (p. 12) summarises the quantities of fresh clover, and of its various constituents, put into the silo; and it also shows—the quantities of silage, and of its various constituents, taken out; the actual loss of the various constituents; the percentage loss of each constituent, reckoning the total of each as 100; and the percentage loss of each constituent, reckoned on the total Fresh = 100.

We have thought it desirable that these results should be given as fully as possible, in order that those who will take the trouble to examine them, may form their own conclusions respecting them. This is especially desirable, as upon one very important point, namely the loss of constituents which occurs in the silo, we, and probably most of those who are interested in what may be called the chemistry of ensilage, have formed our opinions mainly on results which have been published by German or French chemists.

It would appear to be a very simple thing to estimate the loss which takes place in a silo. It may be said—"You have only carefully to weigh everything that goes in, and everything that comes out, and it is done." It will be seen, however, that a good deal more

FIRST AND SECOND CROP RED CLOVER.

TABLE I.—Quantity and Composition of the Herbage put into Silo No. 1.

Field.	When put in.	No. of Loads.	Fresh Weight.	Percentage composition.			Total weight.		
				Dry matter.	Ash in dry matter.	Nitrogen in dry matter.	Dry matter.	Ash.	Nitrogen.
First Crop Clover.									
Thirty acres.....	1884. June 23	20	34,776	16·35	10·80	2·942	5,686	614	167·3
	" 23	20	39,035	16·66	11·80	2·866	6,503	767	186·4
	" 23	5	8,827	19·99	9·68	2·781	1,765	171	49·1
	" 24	15	24,462	18·40	10·72	2·920	4,501	483	131·4
	" 24	14	25,654	19·26	10·92	2·793	4,941	540	138·0
	" 28	21	34,965	23·02	10·28	2·578	8,049	827	207·5
Geescroft	June 28	9	12,628	24·07	9·64	2·423	3,040	293	73·7
	28-30	15	23,670	21·32	8·98	2·382	5,046	451	120·2
	" 30	9	13,677	22·83	8·87	2·385	3,122	277	74·5
	Total ...	128	217,694	...	...	...	42,653	4,423	1148·1
Second Crop Clover.									
Geescroft	Aug. 25	4	6,950	19·13	8·61	2·616	1,330	115	34·8
	" 25	2	3,590	22·66	8·92	2·704	813	73	22·0
	" 26	8	12,831	17·10	10·45	2·688	2,194	229	59·0
	" 26	3	5,088	17·92	10·15	2·668	912	93	24·3
	" 26	4	5,483	18·56	8·45	2·502	1,018	86	25·5
	" 26	3	4,786	18·72	9·22	2·623	896	83	23·5
	" 26	6	9,032	21·90	9·15	2·524	1,978	181	49·9
Weighed off	Total	30	47,760	...	...	...	9,141	860	239·0
	...	1	1,136	(19·43)	(9·28)	(2·618)	221	21	5·8
	Total	29	46,624	...	...	...	8,920	839	233·2
Summary.									
First crop clover.....	...	128	217,694	...	...	...	42,653	4,423	1148·1
Second crop clover..	...	29	46,624	...	...	...	8,920	839	233·2
	Total	157	264,318	...	...	...	51,573	5,262	1381·3
	Tons, cwt8.		118 0	...	...	...	23 0½	2 7	0 12½

than this is required. So far as regards the total loss we have no difficulty. We weighed in 118 tons, and weighed out $88\frac{3}{4}$ tons, thus showing a loss of $29\frac{1}{4}$ tons. But the results further show that, of this loss, more than 28 tons consisted of water, and only about $1\frac{1}{6}$ ton of dry or solid substance; the total loss of dry substance amounting to about 5 per cent. of that put in. It may be mentioned that this is very nearly the same amount of loss as that which we found had taken place in a large rick of about 40 tons of hay, after standing two years.

Careful experiments have been made in Germany, by Weiske and

TABLE II.—*Quantity and Composition—of the Herbage put into the Silo No. 1; of the Silage taken out; and actual, and Percentage, Loss of Constituents in the Silo.*

	Authority for Analyses.	Fresh.	Dry Matter.	Ash.	Nitro- gen.	Dry Organic.	Crude Nitrogenous Substance = N × 6.25.	Crude Non- Nitrogenous Substance.	Water.
<i>Herbage as put into the Silo No. 1.</i>									
Second crop clover ...	Rothamsted	lbs. 46,624	lbs. 8,920	lbs. 839	lbs. 233	lbs. 8,081	lbs. 1,456	lbs. 6,625	lbs. 37,704
First crop clover	„	217,694	42,653	4,423	1,148	38,230	7,175	31,055	175,041
Total	„	264,318	51,573	5,262	1,381	46,311	8,631	37,680	212,745
<i>Silage taken out of the Silo No. 1.</i>									
Second crop clover {	Rothamsted	37,739	8,178	717	211	7,461	1,319	6,142	29,561
	Voelcker ...		8,069	766	203	7,303	1,269	6,034	29,670
	Mean ...		8,124	742	207	7,382	1,294	6,088	29,615
First crop clover ... {	Rothamsted	160,731	40,791	3,548	1,062	37,243	6,638	30,505	119,940
	Voelcker ...		40,881	3,767	1,059	37,114	6,619	30,495	119,850
	Mean ...		40,836	3,657	1,061	37,179	6,629	30,550	119,895
Total	Rothamsted	198,470	48,969	4,265	1,273	44,704	7,957	36,747	149,501
	Voelcker ...		48,950	4,533	1,262	44,417	7,888	36,529	149,520
	Mean ...		48,960	4,399	1,268	44,561	7,923	36,638	149,510
<i>Actual Loss of Constituents.</i>									
Second crop clover {	Rothamsted	8,885	742	122	22	620	137	483	8,143
	Voelcker ...		851	73	30	778	187	591	8,034
	Mean ...		796	97	26	699	162	537	8,089
First crop clover ... {	Rothamsted	56,963	1,862	875	86	987	537	450	55,101
	Voelcker ...		1,772	656	89	1,116	556	569	55,191
	Mean ...		1,817	766	87	1,051	546	505	55,146
Total	Rothamsted	65,848	2,604	997	103	1,607	674	933	63,244
	Voelcker ...		2,623	729	119	1,894	743	1,151	63,225
	Mean ...		2,613	863	113	1,750	708	1,042	63,235
<i>Per Cent. Loss—Total of each Constituent=100.</i>									
Second crop clover {	Rothamsted	19.1	8.3	14.5	9.4	7.7	9.4	7.3	21.6
	Voelcker ...		9.5	8.7	12.9	9.6	12.8	8.9	21.3
	Mean ...		8.9	11.6	11.2	8.7	11.1	8.1	21.5
First crop clover ... {	Rothamsted	26.2	4.3	19.8	7.5	2.6	7.5	1.4	31.5
	Voelcker ...		4.2	14.8	7.8	2.9	7.7	1.8	31.5
	Mean ...		4.3	17.3	7.6	2.8	7.6	1.6	31.5
Total	Rothamsted	24.9	5.1	18.9	7.3	3.5	7.8	2.5	29.7
	Voelcker ...		5.1	13.9	8.6	4.1	8.6	3.1	29.7
	Mean ...		5.1	16.4	8.2	3.8	8.2	2.8	29.7
<i>Per Cent. Loss—Total Fresh=100.</i>									
Second crop clover {	Rothamsted	19.1	1.6	0.3	0.05	1.3	0.3	1.0	17.5
	Voelcker ...		1.8	0.1	0.06	1.7	0.4	1.3	17.3
	Mean ...		1.7	0.2	0.06	1.5	0.4	1.1	17.4
First crop clover ... {	Rothamsted	26.2	0.9	0.4	0.04	0.5	0.3	0.2	25.3
	Voelcker ...		0.8	0.3	0.04	0.5	0.3	0.3	25.4
	Mean ...		0.9	0.4	0.04	0.5	0.3	0.2	25.3
Total	Rothamsted	24.9	1.0	0.4	0.04	0.6	0.3	0.3	23.9
	Voelcker ...		1.0	0.3	0.04	0.7	0.3	0.4	23.9
	Mean ...		1.0	0.3	0.04	0.7	0.3	0.4	23.9

Schulze, on the changes and losses in ensilaging; and as their results are familiar to all those who have studied the chemistry of ensilage, we propose merely to refer to them so far as to point out that with lupines, maize, and lucerne, the loss during fermentation amounted to between 22 and 36 per cent. of the dry matter. In some of the experiments one-third or more of some of the food-constituents contained in the green crops put in, was destroyed, while another portion was reduced in value. The experiments were made in tight casks, and in some cases the filling in and the putting on of the pressure were done at once; whilst in others the filling was extended to six or seven days. The latter was about the time occupied in the process in our own experiments.

In the German experiments the loss of water was very small, but there was a considerable loss of mineral matter. It has been generally held that, as in the fermentation of vegetable substances, which contain both organic and mineral matter, the destruction of the former necessarily increases the percentage of the latter, the difference between the amount of mineral matter in the fresh crop put into the silo and that in the silage taken out, would, in some degree, be a measure of the loss of organic matter during fermentation, and also be some check on the accuracy of the work. Weiske and Schulze point out, however, that owing to the sand, and other adventitious mineral matters, with which bulks of herbage from the field are always contaminated, the difference in the amounts cannot be relied upon as a basis of calculation. Our own results fully confirm this view. Indeed, they show a loss, and not a gain, of mineral matter in the silage, as compared with that in the clover put in. It is true that our loss of dry substance would only amount to 1 per cent. of the fresh herbage, and the loss of mineral matter to about one-third of 1 per cent.; but small as this loss appears to be, according to one set of analyses it amounts to 729 lbs., and to the other to 1000 lbs. in the total quantity of mineral substances contained in the 118 tons of clover that were put into the silo.

The complete explanation of this loss is not very obvious. It may be pointed out, however, that of the 16 samples of clover which were taken as the crop was chaffed and put into the silo, the variations in the percentage of ash are very great; the lowest being a little below $8\frac{1}{2}$, and the highest nearly 12 per cent. of the dry substance.

The depth of the silage in the pit was between 17 and 18 feet; and its removal for feeding purposes was a daily operation extending over three months. It was taken out in four layers, each of 4 to 5 feet in depth. From each layer five, six, or more samples were taken for analysis. A quantity of 1000 lbs., or more, was weighed, and rapidly mixed on an asphalte floor, so as to avoid loss by evaporation as much

as possible; and from this samples were taken. To ascertain the range of loss by evaporation in such an operation several experiments were made, of which the following is an example:—

		lbs.
January 2.	Weight 11.30 A.M.	200
	„ 3 P.M.	199 $\frac{3}{4}$
	After turning over and mixing	199 $\frac{1}{2}$
January 3.	Weight 10 A.M.	198
	Loss.....	2

On a very windy day the loss amounted to $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent., and on a still, damp day, it was less than $\frac{1}{4}$ per cent. On the 25th April, the weighing machine was taken into the silo, and a block of silage, about 3 feet by 2 feet by 3 feet deep, was cut out and immediately weighed in baskets, when the weight was found to be 814 lbs. It was then taken to the mixing floor, where it was turned over three times, and samples were taken from it. At the end of an hour the silage was again weighed, and the loss was found to be not quite $1\frac{3}{4}$ per cent. It was noticed that the floor, although previously quite dry, had become wet. It may also be mentioned that the weather was much warmer when this experiment was carried out, and that the silage was taken from the second silo, the first having been emptied some weeks previously.

It should be added that the silos, which, as already explained, were built of brick and cement, were filled very shortly after they were finished. This may to a certain extent have been a source of loss, as a good deal of the floor rested upon the chalk, which would carry away water very rapidly if there were any crack in the cement. It is quite possible, therefore, that some loss by drainage did take place. The late Dr. Voelcker gave the composition of the drainage from a silo as 90 per cent. of water, and 10 per cent. solid matter, of which more than one-third was mineral.

It seems somewhat difficult to suppose that the very large loss of water in the silo was wholly due to evaporation, when the loss of organic matter, by fermentation, was so small. But it is to be observed that the lower layers were removed more slowly than the others, so that the cut surfaces were longer exposed; whilst, as a matter of fact, the silage was drier towards the bottom. On the other hand, some drainage was observed soon after the filling and the application of the pressure, so that some at any rate of the loss was due to drainage. If we were to assume that drainage had taken place sufficient to carry off the whole of the apparent loss of minerals—amounting to 863 lbs.—in a solution of the strength of the liquid which was pressed out of a silo, and was analysed by the late Professor Voelcker, the loss of water by drainage would be 8 per cent., and by

evaporation 17 per cent.; but the actual loss by drainage was probably less than here supposed.

It is satisfactory to know that the Council of the Bath and West of England Society have voted a sum of money for the purpose of investigating the loss of nutritive substances which takes place during the fermentation of green crops in a silo; and it is to be hoped that the experiments will be carried out with the necessary care. It is evident that, until this important matter has been thoroughly sifted, all estimates in regard to the economy of ensilaging, as compared with the ordinary operations of the farm, are little better than guess-work.

Silo No. 2.

Silo No. 2 was similar in all respects to Silo No. 1, as already described; and was only divided from it by a 9-inch wall. From June 25 to July 2 (1884), about $45\frac{1}{2}$ tons of first-crop meadow-grass, from four different fields, were first put in. The whole of the grass was of fairly good quality; but that from the second field was much the ripest. It was intended to follow with winter oats; but the hot weather brought the crop too forward to be suitable for silage; so the full pressure was applied.

The silo was opened on August 23, when, from that date to August 26, about $30\frac{1}{2}$ tons of second-crop red clover were put in.

In the middle of October the weights were again removed, and about $6\frac{1}{2}$ tons of second-crop meadow-grass were put in. Part of this grass was from land which had already been mown once; and the rest was from a field which had been fed, and consisted chiefly of the portions which, having grown too strong, had been left by the stock.

As in the case of Silo No. 1, all the crops were chaffed, and the material was evenly spread and well trodden down, as it was put in.

Table III (p. 16) gives the fresh weights of each lot of herbage put into the silo; also the percentages, and the actual quantities, of dry matter, mineral matter, and nitrogen, which each contained.

Table IV (p. 17) summarises the amounts of fresh herbage, and its various constituents, put into the silo, and gives the amounts of silage, and of its various constituents taken out. It also shows the actual loss of each of the constituents in the silo, the loss per 100 of each, and the loss of each per 100 of fresh herbage put in.

Comparison of the results given in Table III, with those formerly given in Table I, relating to the herbage put into Silo No. 1, will show that whilst the dry matter in the first-crop clover put into Silo No. 1 ranged from under 17 to 24 per cent., and that of the second-crop clover from over 17 to under 23 per cent., the dry matter of the first-crop meadow-grass put into Silo No. 2 ranged from $24\frac{3}{4}$ to nearly 32 per cent., that of the second-crop grass was more than 38 per cent.,

FIRST AND SECOND CROP MEADOW-GRASS, AND SECOND CROP CLOVER.

TABLE III.—*Quantity and Composition of the Herbage put into Silo No. 2.*

Field.	When put in.	No. of Loads.	Fresh Weight.	Percentage composition.			Total weight.		
				Dry matter.	Ash in dry matter.	Nitrogen in dry matter.	Dry matter.	Ash.	Nitro-gen.
First Crop Meadow-Grass.									
	1884.		lbs.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.
Broadbalk and Appletree	June 25-26	29	40,802	24·72	8·47	1·685	10,086	854	169·9
Dr. Gilbert's meadow.....	" 25-26	12	19,609	31·86	7·67	1·340	6,247	479	83·7
Park and Grass-wick.....	" 26	11	13,639	27·30	7·44	1·628	3,723	277	60·6
Park and Lodge Meadow	July 2	20	27,930	26·66	8·14	1·670	7,446	606	124·3
	Total	72	101,980	...	...	...	27,502	2,216	438·5
Second Crop Clover.									
Thirty acres.....	August 23	35	32,408	39·27	8·14	2·620	12,727	1,036	333·4
Geescroft	" 23	10	8,363	24·36	9·05	2·435	2,037	184	49·6
	" 25	3	3,880	19·63	11·01	2·907	762	84	22·1
	" 25	1	2,031	19·41	10·02	2·775	394	39	10·9
	" 25	2	2,090	19·88	9·05	2·649	415	38	11·0
	" 25	2	2,160	19·01	9·13	2·269	411	38	9·3
	" 25	2	2,005	19·50	10·90	2·557	391	43	10·0
	" 25	1	1,040	18·36	9·40	2·798	191	18	5·3
	" 26	5	5,790	21·90	9·15	2·524	1,268	116	32·0
Thirty acres.....	" 26	7	7,218	32·67	7·97	2·639	2,358	188	62·2
	Total	68	66,985	...	...	...	20,954	1,784	545·8
Brought from Silo 1		1	1,136	(19·43)	(9·28)	(2·618)	221	21	5·8
	Total	69	68,121	...	...	...	21,175	1,805	551·6
Second Crop Meadow-Grass.									
Park and Park Field	October 17	17	14,858	38·13	9·04	1·890	5,665	512	107·0
Summary.									
First crop grass		72	101,980	...	...	...	27,502	2,216	438·5
Second crop clover		69	68,121	...	...	...	21,175	1,805	551·6
Second crop grass		17	14,858	...	...	...	5,665	512	107·0
	Total	158	184,959	...	...	...	54,342	4,533	1,097·1
	Tons, cwt...	82 11½	...	...	...	...	24 5½	2 0½	0 9½

and that of the second-crop clover ranged from over 18 to over 39 per cent. Indeed, more than two-thirds of the clover put into the Silo No. 2 averaged nearly 36 per cent. dry matter. It is thus seen that the herbage put into Silo No. 2 contained a very much less proportion of water than that put into Silo No. 1. It was, in fact, upon the whole, riper, and doubtless more woody, especially so far as the meadow-grass was concerned.

TABLE IV.—*Quantity and Composition of the Herbage put into Silo No. 2; of the Silage taken out; and Actual and Percentage Loss of Constituents in the Silo.*

	Fresh.	Dry Matter.	Ash.	Nitro- gen.	Dry Organic.	Crude Nitrogenous Substance = $N \times 6 \cdot 25$.	Crude Non-Nitrogenous Substance.	Water.
<i>Herbage as put into the Silo.</i>								
Second crop grass.....	lbs. 14,858	lbs. 5,665	lbs. 512	lbs. 107	lbs. 5,153	lbs. 669	lbs. 4,484	lbs. 9,193
Second crop clover	68,121	21,175	1,805	552	19,370	3,450	15,920	46,946
First crop grass.....	101,980	27,502	2,216	438	25,286	2,738	22,548	74,478
Total	184,959	54,342	4,533	1,097	49,809	6,857	42,952	130,617

Silage taken out of the Silo.

Second crop grass.....	14,473	5,068	525	91	4,543	568	3,975	9,405
Second crop clover	65,670	20,651	1,809	551	18,842	3,444	15,398	45,019
First crop grass.....	90,798	23,361	2,086	369	21,275	2,306	18,969	67,437
Total	170,941	49,080	4,420	1,011	44,660	6,318	38,342	121,861

Actual Loss of Constituents.

Second crop grass.....	385	597	+ 13	16	610	101	509	+ 212
Second crop clover	2,451	524	+ 4	1	528	6	522	1,927
First crop grass.....	11,182	4,141	130	69	4,011	432	3,579	7,041
Total	14,018	5,262	113	86	5,149	539	4,610	8,756

Loss per Cent.—Total of each Constituent = 100.

Second crop grass.....	Per cent. 2·6	Per cent. 10·5	Per cent. +2·5	Per cent. 15·1	Per cent. 11·8	Per cent. 15·1	Per cent. 11·4	Per cent. +2·3
Second crop clover	3·6	2·5	+0·2	0·2	2·7	0·2	3·3	4·1
First crop grass.....	11·0	15·1	5·9	15·8	15·9	15·8	15·9	9·5
Total	7·6	9·7	2·5	7·8	10·3	7·8	10·7	6·7

Loss per Cent.—Total Fresh = 100.

Second crop grass.....	2·6	4·0	+0·09	0·11	4·1	0·7	3·4	+1·4
Second crop clover	3·6	0·8	+0·006	0·001	0·8	0·009	0·8	2·8
First crop grass.....	11·0	4·1	0·1	0·07	3·9	0·4	3·5	7·0
Total	7·6	2·8	0·06	0·04	2·8	0·3	2·5	4·7

The great difference in the composition of the various crops put into the silos renders it extremely difficult to determine with certainty the changes and the losses which take place in them. There is also considerable difficulty arising from the depth of the silage in the pits, and the necessity of taking it out in several separate layers, and occupying weeks, or it may be months, in the process. The experience gained

this first year will probably enable us the better to guard against some of these possible sources of error in the future.

The columns of Table III (p. 16), giving the percentages of ash and of nitrogen in the dry matter of the herbage put into Silo No. 2, show that, whilst in the first crop of grass the ash varied from $7\frac{1}{2}$ to $8\frac{1}{2}$ per cent., and in the second crop of grass it was about 9 per cent., in the second crop of clover it varied from about 8 to about 11 per cent. of the dry matter. There is a still greater difference in the percentages of nitrogen in the dry matter of the two descriptions of herbage. Thus, whilst in the dry matter of the meadow-grass, the nitrogen ranged from 1.34 to 1.89 per cent., in that of the clover it ranged from 2.27 to 2.91 per cent.

Turning now to Table IV (p. 17) it is seen that the proportion of water lost in the silo was comparatively small, both in the meadow-grass and in the clover. The loss was in fact much less than in Silo No. 1. The crops put into the Silo No. 2 contained, it is true, a much less proportion of water than those put into Silo No. 1. But the amount of loss by fermentation was much greater, and hence it would be supposed that the greater heat developed would have caused more evaporation. It would seem, therefore, as was supposed, that part of the greater loss of water in Silo No. 1 was due to drainage, and part to the length of time taken in using the silage, and the consequent long exposure of the cut surfaces. In the second crop of clover in Silo No. 2 the loss of water indicated is only 4.1 per cent., whilst in the second crop of clover in the Silo No. 1 it amounted to over 20 per cent. Further, it will be observed that there was an actual gain of water by the second crop of grass, which formed the top layer in Silo No. 2, it having doubtless absorbed watery vapour from the layers below it.

The table (IV) shows that the losses of dry substance, and of its several organic constituents, were much greater, both in the first crop of grass which was at the bottom of the silo, and in the second crop of grass which was at the top, than in the clover between them. Of the 21,175 lbs. dry substance in the clover put into the silo, there was a loss of only 524 lbs., or of only 2.5 per cent.; whilst in the first crop grass below it there was a loss of 15.1 per cent., and in the second crop grass above it, a loss of 10.5 per cent. of the dry matter put in.

Of mineral matter, or ash, the figures show a gain in the second crop grass, and in the second crop clover, but a loss in the first crop grass. An examination of the ashes of the herbage put in, and of the silage taken out, clearly shows that, as before assumed, the irregularity is dependent on the variable amounts of adventitious mineral matter in the ashes.

Of the total nitrogen, the loss in the first crop of grass below the

clover was 15·8 per cent., and in the second crop grass above the clover it was nearly the same, namely, 15·1 per cent.; whilst in the clover itself, between them, the percentage of nitrogen in which is so much higher, the loss of it was extremely small, amounting to less than a quarter of 1 per cent.

Thus, contrary to what might have been expected, the results indicate much more loss of dry matter, and of its several constituents, in the meadow-grass than in the clover. Further reference to the various changes and losses will be made when we come to consider the chemical composition of the herbage put in, and of the silage taken out of the silos. It is obvious that, so far as the results of these first experiments are to be relied upon, the losses of the food-constituents of the crops are far less than in the German experiments before referred to.

4.—THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE SILAGE.

Although a very large amount of analytical work has been executed in connection with our ensilage experiments, the data at present at command are insufficient to enable us to discuss at all adequately the changes, and the losses, which have taken place in the herbage put into the silo. Still, the results do bring to view some points of interest respecting which there can be little doubt.

Confining attention on the present occasion to Silo No. 1, it may be stated that, of the herbage put in, nine different mixed samples were made of the first-crop red-clover, and seven of the second-crop red-clover, as chaffed and put into the silo. Each of these samples represents the number of loads, and the weight of herbage, as shown in Table I, page 11; and is a mixture of a given quantity taken from each individual load. Unfortunately, the pressure of other work in the Rothamsted Laboratory rendered it quite impossible to determine the composition of these mixed samples in the green, undried condition. Each was, however, carefully sun-and-air dried; and in each, the dry matter, the ash, and the total nitrogen, were determined at Rothamsted. In proportionally mixed samples, representing, respectively, the whole of the first crop, and the whole of the second crop, the amounts of total nitrogen, of albuminoid nitrogen, and of woody fibre, have also been determined. The analyses of these mixed samples are, however, incomplete, but we are able to give, further on, a general indication of their bearing.

The first crop of clover put into the silo formed a layer of silage of 13 feet 10 inches in depth; and the second crop clover above it a layer of 4 feet of silage.

This latter, the second crop clover-silage, was taken out in one layer

to its full depth; and six samples were taken from it, namely—on December 13, 15, 18, and 30, 1884, and January 2 and 7, 1885. In each of these, the dry matter, the ash, and the total nitrogen, were determined at Rothamsted; and of three of them, namely, those taken on December 13, 18, and 30, more complete analyses were made by Dr. John A. Voelcker.

The first crop clover-silage was taken out in four layers, respectively of 4 feet 2 inches, 4 feet, 5 feet, and 8 inches, in depth. From the first layer five samples, namely, on January 14, 17, 20, 23, and 28, were taken; from the second layer five samples were taken, namely, on February 2, 6, 10, 17, and 19; from the third layer six samples were taken, namely, on March 6, 12, 14, 18, and 26, and April 4; and from the fourth layer five samples, namely, on March 10, 13, 17, and 20, and April 2. In each of these first crop clover-silage samples, the dry matter, the ash, and the total nitrogen, were, as before, determined at Rothamsted; and more complete analyses were made by Dr. John A. Voelcker, of the samples of January 17 and 23, of the first layer; of February 6 and 17 of the second layer; and of March 12 of the third layer. It was intended that another complete analysis of the silage of the third layer, and at least one of that of the fourth layer, should have been made, but this has not been accomplished.

Tables V and VI (pp. 21 and 22) give the results of the three complete analyses of the second-crop clover-silage, and of the five of the first-crop clover-silage; and, in the lower part of each table, is given a summary showing, side by side with Dr. Voelcker's determinations of dry matter, ash, and nitrogen, those made at Rothamsted in the same samples. Table V shows the percentage composition of the silage in the moist condition in which the samples were taken; and Table VI shows the percentage composition of the dry substance of the silage.

It will be seen that, upon the whole, Dr. Voelcker's and the Rothamsted determinations of dry matter, and of nitrogen, in the silage, agree very closely. Dr. Voelcker's determinations of mineral matter, or ash, are, however, always higher than those made at Rothamsted; the difference being probably due to the fact that, in the Rothamsted ashes, all visible adventitious matter was carefully picked out, whilst this was not done in the case of Dr. Voelcker's ashes.

It is frequently assumed that, although there is more or less loss of certain food-constituents in the conversion of succulent herbage into silage, yet this is more than compensated by the conversion of indigestible into digestible matter. The results at present at our command certainly do not seem to bear out this view.

ANALYSES OF THE SILAGE FROM SILO NO. 1; FIRST AND SECOND CROP RED CLOVER;

COMPLETE ANALYSES BY DR. J. A. VOELCKER;
PARTIAL ANALYSES MADE AT ROTHAMSTED.

TABLE V.—*Per Cent. in Fresh Silage. Detailed Analyses of each Layer.*

Constituents.	2nd Crop Red Clover.				1st Crop Red Clover.						
	Depth of Silage 4 feet.				1st depth, 4 feet 2 inches.		2nd depth, 4 feet.		3rd depth, 5 feet.	Mean.	
	Sample 1 taken Dec. 13, 1884.	Sample 2 taken Dec. 18, 1884.	Sample 3 taken Dec. 30, 1884.	Mean.	Sample 1 taken Jan. 17, 1885.	Sample 2 taken Jan. 23, 1885.	Sample 1 taken Feb. 6, 1885.	Sample 2 taken Feb. 17, 1885.	Sample 1 taken Mar. 12, 1885.		
	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.		P. cent.
Water ..	78·39	79·17	78·30	78·62	76·24	76·49	73·84	73·88	73·82	74·85	
Soluble Albuminoids	0·12	0·44	0·49	0·35	0·31	0·50	0·88	0·75	0·56	0·60	
Insoluble Albuminoids ..	1·94	1·75	1·69	1·79	1·81	1·81	1·93	1·75	2·19	1·90	
Total	2·06	2·19	2·18	2·14	2·12	2·31	2·81	2·50	2·75	2·50	
Soluble Ash	1·28	1·37	1·28	1·31	1·45	1·40	1·88	1·69	1·58	1·60	
Insoluble Ash	0·76	0·64	0·75	0·72	0·67	0·69	0·71	0·88	0·78	0·75	
Total	2·04	2·01	2·03	2·03	2·12	2·09	2·59	2·57	2·36	2·35	
Digestible Fibre	5·37	5·29	5·51	5·39	5·93	6·13	6·56	7·06	6·45	6·42	
Woody Fibre.....	7·02	6·41	6·15	6·53	6·72	6·70	6·48	6·50	6·67	6·61	
Total	12·39	11·70	11·66	11·92	12·65	12·83	13·04	13·56	13·12	13·03	
Acetic acid.....	0·44	0·19	0·62	0·42	0·56	0·76	0·63	0·70	0·73	0·68	
Lactic acid.....	0·86	1·18	0·85	0·96	1·10	0·84	1·11	0·79	0·81	0·93	
Total	1·30	1·37	1·47	1·38	1·66	1·60	1·74	1·49	1·54	1·61	
Soluble Carbohydrates, Amides (1), Chloro- phyll, &c.	3·82	3·56	4·36	3·91	5·21	4·68	5·98	6·00	6·41	5·66	
Total of all.....	100·00	100·00	100·00	100·00	100·00	100·00	100·00	100·00	100·00	100·00	
Albuminoid Nitrogen.....	0·33	0·35	0·35	0·35	0·34	0·37	0·45	0·40	0·44	0·40	
(1) Non-albuminoid Nitro- gen	0·21	0·17	0·20	0·19	0·17	0·22	0·23	0·26	0·28	0·23	
Total	0·54	0·52	0·55	0·54	0·51	0·59	0·68	0·66	0·72	0·63	

Summary.

Total	{ Voelcker	21·61	20·83	21·70	21·38	23·76	23·51	26·16	26·12	26·18	25·15
Dry	{ Rothamsted *	21·38	20·44	21·62	21·15	23·84	22·74	25·00	25·29	25·15	24·40
Matter.	{ Rothamsted †	21·82	20·63	22·24	21·56	24·40	23·50	25·63	25·99	25·88	25·08
Total	{ Voelcker	2·04	2·01	2·03	2·03	2·12	2·09	2·59	2·57	2·36	2·35
Ash in	{ Rothamsted ...	1·92	1·81	1·93	1·89	2·02	1·90	2·36	2·44	2·11	2·17
Fresh.											
Total	{ Voelcker	0·54	0·52	0·55	0·54	0·51	0·59	0·68	0·66	0·72	0·63
Nitrogen	{ Rothamsted ...	0·56	0·53	0·57	0·55	0·54	0·54	0·65	0·67	0·69	0·62
in Fresh.											

* Actual result by drying at 100° C.

† Actual result + volatile (acetic) acid.

ANALYSES OF THE SILAGE FROM SILO NO. 1; FIRST AND SECOND CROP RED CLOVER.

COMPLETE ANALYSES BY DR. J. A. VOELCKER;
PARTIAL ANALYSES MADE AT ROTHAMSTED.

TABLE VI.—*Per Cent. in Dry Matter. Detailed Analyses of each Layer.*

	2nd Crop Red Clover.				1st Crop Red Clover.					
	Depth of Silage 4 feet.				1st depth, 4 feet 2 inches.		2nd depth, 4 feet.		3rd depth, 5 feet.	Mean.
	Sample 1 taken Dec. 13, 1884.	Sample 2 taken Dec. 18, 1884.	Sample 3 taken Dec. 30, 1884.	Mean.	Sample 1 taken Jan. 17, 1885.	Sample 2 taken Jan. 23, 1885.	Sample 1 taken Feb. 6, 1885.	Sample 2 taken Feb. 17, 1885.	Sample 1 taken Mar. 12, 1885.	
	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.	P. cent.
Soluble Albuminoids	0.56	2.11	2.26	1.64	1.30	2.13	3.36	2.87	2.14	2.36
Insoluble Albuminoids ..	8.97	8.40	7.79	8.39	7.62	7.70	7.38	6.70	8.37	7.56
Total	9.53	10.51	10.05	10.03	8.92	9.83	10.74	9.57	10.51	9.92
Soluble Ash	5.92	6.58	5.90	6.13	6.10	5.96	7.19	6.47	6.03	6.35
Insoluble Ash	3.52	3.07	3.46	3.35	2.82	2.93	2.71	3.37	2.98	2.96
Total	9.44	9.65	9.36	9.48	8.92	8.89	9.90	9.84	9.01	9.31
Digestible Fibre	24.85	25.40	25.39	25.21	24.96	26.07	25.08	27.03	24.64	25.56
Woody Fibre	32.48	30.77	28.34	30.53	28.28	28.50	24.77	24.89	25.48	26.38
Total	57.33	56.17	53.73	55.74	53.24	54.57	49.85	51.92	50.12	51.94
Acetic Acid	2.04	0.91	2.86	1.94	2.36	3.23	2.41	2.68	2.79	2.69
Lactic Acid	3.98	5.67	3.91	4.52	4.63	3.57	4.24	3.02	3.09	3.71
Total	6.02	6.58	6.77	6.46	6.99	6.80	6.65	5.70	5.88	6.40
Soluble Carbohydrates, Amides (1), Chloro- phyll, &c.	17.68	17.09	20.09	18.29	21.93	19.91	22.86	22.97	24.48	22.43
Total of all ...		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Albuminoid Nitrogen ...	1.53	1.68	1.61	1.61	1.43	1.57	1.72	1.53	1.68	1.59
(1) Non-albuminoid Nitro- gen	0.97	0.82	0.92	0.90	0.72	0.94	0.88	1.00	1.07	0.92
Total	2.50	2.50	2.53	2.51	2.15	2.51	2.60	2.53	2.75	2.51

Summary.

Total	Voelcker	21.61	20.83	21.70	21.38	23.76	23.51	26.16	26.12	26.18	25.15
Dry	Rothamsted*	21.38	20.44	21.62	21.15	23.84	22.74	25.00	25.29	25.15	24.40
Matter.†	Rothamsted †	21.82	20.63	22.24	21.56	24.40	23.50	25.63	25.99	25.88	25.08
Total	Voelcker	9.44	9.65	9.35	9.48	8.92	8.89	9.90	9.84	9.01	9.31
Ash	Rothamsted ..	8.80	8.77	8.68	8.75	8.28	8.09	9.21	9.39	8.15	8.62
in Dry											
Matter.†											
Total	Voelcker	2.50	2.50	2.54	2.51	2.15	2.51	2.60	2.53	2.75	2.51
Nitro- gen in	Rothamsted ..	2.55	2.55	2.57	2.56	2.21	2.30	2.54	2.57	2.65	2.45
Dry											
Matter.†											

* Actual result by drying at 100° C.

† Actual result + volatile (acetic) acid.

The percentage of "*woody fibre*" is considerably higher in the dry substance of the silage than it was found to be in that of the herbage put in; and, so far as the results enable us to calculate, there was no reduction in the total amount of woody fibre put into the silo. The differences in the percentages of woody fibre in the different samples of silage which the analyses show, might, at first sight, lead to the conclusion that there has been a reduction in its percentage, and in its amount, in the lower layers; and the results might further be supposed to indicate a somewhat corresponding increase in the soluble extractive matters (soluble carbohydrates, &c.). But a careful consideration of the differences in the condition of maturation, and in the composition accordingly, of the herbage contributing to the several layers of silage, shows that the differences in the composition of the latter in these respects, are obviously connected with differences in the character of the herbage put in.

As to the relative proportions of soluble and insoluble albuminoids in the silage, it is obvious that they, too, are largely dependent on the conditions of succulence, or of ripeness, of the herbage put in; though some of the results seem to favour the view that a portion of the insoluble albuminoids has become soluble. According to the results given in Table II (p. 12), there was a loss of about 8 per cent. of the total nitrogen of the herbage put into the silo; and the analytical results now given show that, of the total nitrogen in the silage from the first crop clover, there was an average of 36·8 per cent. in a non-albuminoid condition; whilst initiative results show that, in the herbage put in, less than 20 per cent. of the total nitrogen was non-albuminoid. Again, of the total nitrogen of the second-crop clover-silage, there was an average of 36 per cent. non-albuminoid; whilst in the very ripe herbage put in, only about 10 per cent. of the total nitrogen was non-albuminoid. These results are quite in accordance with those obtained by Professor Kinch, Mr. Clifford Richardson, the late Dr. Voelcker, Mr. W. H. Jordan, and others.

Thus, not only is there a loss of nitrogenous food-material, but a very considerable proportion of the nitrogenous substance which remains is degraded into compounds, some of which are of no value as food (ammonia for example), whilst others, forming a much larger proportion, are, to say the least, of reduced food-value. Further, besides the loss, and the degradation, of nitrogenous substance, it has been shown that there was also more or less loss of non-nitrogenous matter; whilst there is no evidence that woody fibre of a certain degree of induration has been rendered more soluble.

It remains to see what are the results of the experiments on the feeding of animals with the silage.

5.—EXPERIMENTS WITH FATTENING OXEN.

In the beginning of December, 1884, 10 oxen were selected from a herd of 40 Irish shorthorns, of good quality, which cost about 16*l.* a head delivered at Rothamsted a short time previously. On December 19th, they were weighed, and divided into two lots of five each; care being taken that the two lots should correspond as far as possible, both as to the character of the animals, and in weight. The difference in the average weight per head of the two lots, was, at the commencement of the experiment, only 12 lbs. For the purpose of the experiment they were placed in ten boxes under the same roof; one lot of five facing the other lot of five.

The experiment was arranged to compare the feeding value of red-clover-silage with that of an ordinary winter food for fattening stock—namely, a mixture of clover-hay-chaff and swedes. Besides these foods, which were to be tried one against the other, each animal received the same description and amount of purchased or saleable food; namely, 6 lbs. of cake, and $4\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of barley-meal, per head per day. Of the experimental foods, one lot received an average of rather more than 65 lbs. of clover-silage per head per day; and the other lot 12 lbs. of clover-hay-chaff, and an average of about 50 lbs. of swedes, per head per day. As far as we could calculate beforehand, the quantity of dry substance in the silage given to the one lot, would be nearly the same as that in the clover-hay and swedes given to the other lot.

Table VII (p. 25) shows the exact amounts of the various foods consumed per head per day by each lot. In the particulars of the foods weighed off it will be noticed that some cake was included. This consisted of the harder pieces of decorticated cotton-cake, which has been unusually hard of late. It would have been better to have picked this out, and weighed it separately. It will be seen, however, that the oxen on silage had more than 75 lbs. of the mixed foods per head per day, of which, on the average, only about $1\frac{1}{3}$ lb. was weighed off. Reckoning one-fourth of this to have been cake and three-fourths silage, and that of the food weighed off from Lot 2, one-third was cake, one-third chaff, and one-third swedes, calculation shows that the silage oxen consumed about $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. more dry substance of food per head per day than the others; but this larger amount of dry substance contained a somewhat larger proportion of woody fibre. The total amount of dry substance consumed was, in both cases, on the average, between 24 and 25 lbs. per head per day; and the quantity of nitrogen consumed was practically identical in the two cases.

EXPERIMENTS ON THE FEEDING OF OXEN.

TABLE VII.—FOOD CONSUMED PER HEAD PER DAY.

Lot 1.—5 Oxen; *Experimental Food—Clover Silage.*

<i>Food given per Head per Day.</i>			
Periods.	Clover-silage.	Cake.*	Barley meal.
	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.
December 19—December 31 = 13 days.	65	6	4½
January 1—February 10 = 41 „ .	70	6	4½
February 11—March 16 = 34 „ .	65	6	4½
March 17—April 11 = 26 „ .	65	5	4½
Total..... 114 days.	—	—	—

Food Weighed off.

January 7	20 lbs.		Chiefly silage.
January 26	90 „		Chiefly silage.
February 10	168 „		Silage and cake.
February 20	92 „		Silage and cake.
February 28	124 „		Silage and cake.
March 9	38 „		Chiefly silage.
March 16	116 „		Silage and cake.
April 9	78 „		Chiefly silage.
April 11	57 „		Silage and a little cake.

Total 783 lbs.

Per head per day 1·36 lb.

Lot 2.—5 Oxen; *Experimental Food—Clover-chaff and Swedes.*

<i>Food given per Head per Day.</i>				
Periods.	Clover-chaff.	Swedes.	Cake.*	Barley meal.
	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.
December 19—December 31 = 13 days.	12	40	6	4½
January 1—January 7 = 7 „ .	12	60	6	4½
January 8—March 16 = 68 „ .	12	50	6	4½
March 17—April 11 = 26 „ .	12	50	5	4½
Total 114 days.	—	—	—	—

Food Weighed off.

January 7	35 lbs.		Chaff and swedes.
March 16	85 „		Chaff, swedes, and cake.
March 23	46 „		Chaff and swedes.
April 11	34 „		Chaff, swedes, and cake.

Total 200 lbs.

Per head per day 0·35 lb.

* December 19 to February 4 (48 days) an equal mixture of linseed and decorticated cotton-cake; February 5 to April 11 (66 days) decorticated cotton-cake only.

The following Table (VIII) shows the weight of each animal at the commencement, at two intermediate periods, and at the conclusion of the experiment; also the gain in weight within each period, and over the total period of 16 weeks and 2 days. It further shows, for each lot, and for each period, the average increase per head per week, and the average increase per 1000 lbs. live-weight per week.

Taking the results for the whole period, whether we compare the total increase, the average increase per head, the increase per head per week, or per 1000 lbs. live-weight per week, there is a very close agreement between the two lots, the one receiving clover-silage, and the other very nearly the same amount of dry substance in clover-hay-chaff and swedes. The silage has slightly the advantage; but the difference is not more than might be expected in two lots of oxen fed on precisely the same food. Both lots did remarkably well; the silage oxen giving an average increase of rather more, and the others of rather less, than $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of their live-weight per week. There can be

EXPERIMENTS ON THE FEEDING OF OXEN.

TABLE VIII.—*Actual Weights, and Increase in Weight of the Oxen.*

Oxen.	Actual weights.				Increase in weight.			
	Dec. 19, 1884.	Jan. 30, 1885.	Feb. 28, 1885.	April 11, 1885.	Dec. 19 to Jan. 30, 43 days.	Jan. 30 to Feb. 28, 29 days.	Feb. 28 to April 11, 42 days.	Total Dec. 19 to April 11, 114 days.
<i>Lot 1.—5 Oxen; Experimental Food—Clover-silage.</i>								
Nos.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.
1.....	1055	1145	1183	1281	90	38	98	226
2.....	1132	1330	1421	1547	198	91	126	415
3.....	1086	1224	1263	1344	138	39	81	258
4.....	1048	1213	1260	1365	165	47	105	317
5.....	1020	1171	1253	1351	151	82	98	331
Total.....	5341	6083	6380	6888	742	297	508	1547
Average	1068	1217	1276	1378	149	59	102	310
Increase per head per week					24.3	14.2	17.0	19.0
Increase per 1000 lbs. live-weight per week					21.2	11.4	12.8	15.6

Lot 2.—Oxen; Experimental Food—Clover-chaff and Swedes.

1.....	1055	1231	1265	1347	176	34	82	292
2.....	1068	1229	1260	1327	161	31	67	259
3.....	1020	1166	1186	1288	146	20	102	268
4.....	1125	1257	1270	1393	132	13	123	268
5.....	1132	1334	1390	1519	202	56	129	387
Total.....	5400	6217	6371	6874	817	154	503	1474
Average	1080	1243	1274	1375	163	31	101	295
Increase per head per week.....					26.5	7.5	16.8	18.1
Increase per 1000 lbs. live-weight per week					22.8	5.9	12.7	14.8

no doubt, therefore, that well made red-clover-silage is a very good food for fattening oxen.

About 25 years ago, from the results then at command, we concluded that fattening oxen, liberally fed on good food, composed of a moderate proportion of cake or corn, some hay or straw-chaff, and roots, would, on the average, consume 12 to 13 lbs. of the dry substance of such mixed food per 100 lbs. live-weight per week, and should give 1 lb. of increase in live-weight for 12 or 13 lbs. dry substance of food so consumed; that is 1 per cent. increase in live-weight per week. In these new experiments the oxen receiving silage consumed rather over, and those receiving clover-chaff and swedes rather under, 14 lbs. of dry substance of food per 100 lbs. live-weight per week; and the former gave 1 lb. increase for a little over 9 lbs., and the latter for about $9\frac{1}{3}$ lbs. dry substance of food consumed. In other words, both lots consumed rather more dry substance of food per 100 lbs. live-weight per week than according to our former estimates; but they gave considerably more increase, both upon a given live-weight within a given time (about $1\frac{1}{2}$ instead of 1 per cent. per week), and for a given amount of dry substance of food consumed.

It will be seen that the amount of cake and meal given was rather large, together amounting to $10\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. per head per day. It was, however, thought desirable that the fattening should be completed, or nearly completed, when the experiment closed. It is a question how far the better result than formerly is due to the large proportion of cake and meal; how far to improvement in the fattening qualities of stock since that time; and how far to the fact that, with earlier maturity, the increase in live-weight represents a considerable proportion of growth as well as fattening increase. It may be added that four of the oxen were sold by auction at Watford, and fetched 25*l.* 6*s.* 3*d.* per head; whilst the others were sold at intervals during seven weeks, and averaged 24*l.* 12*s.* 6*d.* per head.

So far then as the results of a single experiment can be relied upon, it would seem that, as food for fattening oxen, a given amount of dry substance in red-clover-silage is quite equal to the same amount of dry substance in a mixture of clover-hay-chaff and swedes, given in the proportion of 12 parts chaff to 50 parts swedes.

It will be of interest to consider—what would be the difference in the cropping of the farm, to produce clover-silage on the one hand, or clover-hay-chaff and swedes, on the other, in the proportions used in these experiments with oxen. Supposing that, to give a herd of oxen 65 lbs. of clover-silage per head per day, we had to produce 65 tons of the silage; and for the 12 lbs. of clover-hay and 50 lbs. of swedes, per head per day, we had to produce 12 tons of clover-hay, and 50 tons of swedes—how much land would be

required in the two cases? A fairly good crop of red clover, cut twice, would weigh about 10 tons per acre in the green or fresh state; and according to the results with No. 1 Silo, this would yield only about $7\frac{1}{2}$ tons of clover-silage, so that it would require $8\frac{3}{4}$ acres to produce the 65 tons of silage. The 10 tons of first and second crop green clover would make about $2\frac{1}{2}$ tons of clover-hay; so that it would require about $4\frac{3}{4}$ acres to produce the 12 tons of clover-hay. There would thus remain about 4 acres at disposal for the production of the 50 tons of swedes.

6.—FOOD REQUIRED FOR MERE SUSTENANCE, FOR THE PRODUCTION OF MILK, AND FOR THE PRODUCTION OF FATTENING INCREASE.

Before referring to the plan and the results of the experiments with cows, it may be well, with a view to the better understanding of the subject, to make some remarks on food generally, and especially to call attention to the distinction between the amount of food required for the mere sustenance of the animal, and the amount required for the production of the milk.

In the various accounts which have been published of experiments on silage, nothing is more striking than the extraordinary results which are stated to have been obtained by its use. In several cases, the use of an amount of the material which, according to calculation, would not contain more dry substance of food than would be sufficient to support the life of the cow, has, it has been stated, been followed by a remarkable increase in the production of milk and butter. The authors of these statements have, probably, no doubt of their accuracy; but they depend upon others for the record of the facts; and those employed usually try to make these come out so as to meet the views of their masters, if they take an interest in the new food.

The ordinary dry foods of the farm—hay, straw, and corn—contain about one-sixth of their weight of water, and five-sixths of real *dry substance*. Whenever the foods given to cows have been carefully weighed, and the dry matter determined, it has been found that a cow of ordinary size, and in ordinary milking condition, will consume not less than 25 lbs. of “*dry substance*” of food daily.

For example, 40 years ago, Drs. Thomas and Robert Dundas Thomson carried out some feeding experiments at Glasgow, with cows of the Ayrshire breed, weighing about 1000 lbs. each. They used grass alone, or hay, with various dry foods. The cows were yielding about 2 gallons (about $20\frac{2}{3}$ lbs.) of milk per head per day, and the dry substance of food varied from 25 lbs. to 30 lbs. per head per day. The higher amount, which was that consumed when an additional

quantity of corn or linseed was given, yielded only a slight increase in the milk.

About 25 years ago we conducted experiments with cows and oxen, at Rugby, for the Royal Sewage Commission, trying un-sewaged against sewaged grass, and the same with oilcake in addition. The various experiments were made in 1861, 1862, and 1863. We will confine attention here to the experiments with cows. The average weight of the animals was between 1000 and 1100 lbs. The average of five experiments with grass alone—some unsewaged and some sewaged—showed that 28·7 lbs. of dry substance of food were consumed per head per day, with an average yield of $26\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of milk per head per day. And the average of five experiments with grass and oilcake showed a consumption of 28·4 lbs. of dry substance of food per head per day, with a yield of $25\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of milk per head per day.

Again, in the course of experiments which we conducted for the Board of Trade on the relative values of unmalted and malted barley, as food for stock, cows, oxen, sheep, and pigs were experimented upon; and in the case of the 20 cows, of which the average weight was 1140 lbs., and the average yield of milk about 23 lbs. per head per day, the average consumption, over a period of 10 weeks, during the winter of 1863–4, amounted to about $29\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. dry substance of food per head per day.

In 1884, we assisted Mr. Edwards, who has a large dairy of highly-bred shorthorns at St. Albans, in carrying out some experiments upon ensilage; and it was found, on calculation, that, in the three experiments, the food supplied $28\frac{1}{2}$ lbs., 27 lbs., and 27 lbs., per head per day, of real *dry substance*; whilst the three lots were yielding, respectively, an average of $19\frac{3}{4}$ lbs., 17 lbs., and scarcely 17 lbs. of milk, per head per day. It may be mentioned that these were unusually heavy cows, one indeed weighed over 1700 lbs., and the 26 cows gave an average of 1413 lbs.

Again, in the spring of 1884, the dry food consumed by the Rothamsted cows, when yielding 30 lbs. of milk per head per day, was about 26 lbs.

It is usually said that it requires about three acres of grass to support a cow for a year. If we estimate an acre of ordinary meadow land, when fed or mown, to yield a produce equal to $1\frac{1}{2}$ tons of hay per acre, three acres of such produce would furnish a cow with an average 23 lbs. of *dry substance* of food per day during the year; if the produce were equal to $1\frac{3}{4}$ tons of hay per acre, 3 acres would supply an average of 26·8 lbs. of *dry substance* per day for a year; or if the yield were equal to 2 tons of hay per acre, it would supply an average of 30·7 lbs. of *dry substance* of food per day, the year round. The land would of course yield much more than its average quantity

during the summer, and much less during the winter; whilst a cow would consume more than its average amount when yielding milk, and less when dry.

It will be seen that there is a fairly general agreement between the figures brought out in the foregoing cases; certainly quite sufficient, not only to raise doubts as to the accuracy of any results which differ materially from them, but also sufficient to provide practical farmers with some basis upon which to calculate the requirements of their stock during the six winter months.

So far, we have only treated of the amount of dry substance of food consumed by a cow that is yielding milk. It is evident, however, that the different foods must vary considerably in their composition, and consequently in their feeding qualities, as also they vary in their cost; and as, in all dairies, the yield of milk varies considerably, not only according to the milk-yielding capacity of the individual cows, but also owing to the necessary fluctuations in the yield from the time of calving to dryness, an accurate knowledge of the feeding qualities of different descriptions of food ought to lead to economy in their use.

For many years past, important investigations have been carried on in Germany, especially at Weende, by Henneberg and others, relating to the digestibility of the various constituents contained in different foods, and also as to the amounts of the digestible substances which are required to support life.

What is called the "sustenance food" of an animal, is the amount which will supply the waste of the body in a state of rest, without either gain or loss of weight. For example, it was found that a ration of $19\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of clover hay supplied to an ox weighing 1000 lbs., was sufficient to keep up this weight, without adding to it, the animal doing no work, and the temperature of the stall being kept at about 51° Fahrenheit. Of this $19\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of hay, $\frac{5}{6}$ lb. of nitrogenous, and nearly $7\frac{3}{4}$ lbs. of non-nitrogenous substances, were digested. Other rations were also experimented upon—such as clover hay, straw, and rape cake, in different proportions, and clover hay, mangels, straw, and rape cake. The mean of five experiments, including that with the clover hay alone, showed 0.57 lb. of digestible nitrogenous, and 7.4 lbs. of digestible non-nitrogenous substances, to be required for the support of the animal without gaining or losing weight; but in two of the cases the temperature of the stall was about 62° F., and in the other two it was about 69° F. From results of this kind, both Professor Julius Kühn and Professor Emil v. Wolff have constructed tables which give the amounts of digestible substances that may be expected to be present in a great variety of foods.

Owing to the great difference in the feeding qualities of the same description of food—whether it be roots, hay, corn, or purchased food—it is evident that these tables require to be used with caution; still, when so used, they are of considerable value. We now propose, therefore, to apply them to the results obtained at Rothamsted in the spring of 1884, from 30 cows, each of which consumed daily 4 lbs. decorticated cotton-cake, $3\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. bran, 3·6 lbs. hay chaff, 7·2 lbs. oat-straw chaff, and 81 lbs. mangels, and yielded 30 lbs. of milk per head per day.

The following Table (IX) shows that the food supplied an average of about $25\frac{3}{4}$ lbs. dry substance per head per day. It also gives the quantities of digestible nitrogenous and non-nitrogenous substances in the foods, calculated according to our own estimates of average composition, and to Emil v. Wolff's estimates of the proportion of the several constituents which is digestible. It further shows—the amounts which, according to the German estimates, would be required for the sustenance of one of the cows, the average weight of which was about 1290 lbs.; the amounts required for the production of 30 lbs. of milk; and lastly, the estimated excess in the food.

TABLE IX.—*Amount and Distribution of Food, and Food Constituents, per Head per Day.*

	Total dry substance.	Digestible.		
		Nitrogenous substance.	Non-nitrogenous substance.*	Total, nit. and non-nit.-substance.
	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.
4 lbs. cotton-cake.....	3·6	1·38	1·93	3·31
$3\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. bran	3·0	0·42	1·41	1·83
3·6 lbs. hay chaff.....	3·0	0·19	1·52	1·71
7·2 lbs. oat-straw chaff ..	6·0	0·10	2·86	2·96
81 lbs. mangels.....	10·1	1·30	7·40	8·70
	25·7	3·39	15·12	18·51
Required for sustenance } of 1290 lbs. live-weight }	—	0·74	9·55	10·29
		2·65	5·57	8·22
Required for 30 lbs. of } milk	—	1·10	3·90	5·00
Estimated excess in food.:	—	1·55	1·67	3·22

* Reckoned as starch.

Of the $25\frac{3}{4}$ lbs. of dry substance of food consumed, about $18\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. are reckoned as digestible; and of the non-nitrogenous or respiratory and fat-forming portion of the food, about $9\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. out of the $15\frac{1}{8}$ are estimated to be employed in supporting the life of the animal. It is, however, probable that somewhat more than this was actually used for the purpose, as the temperature of our sheds would be lower than in the cases of the German experiments above referred to. Thus, whilst for the mere sustenance of the cow the demand upon the non-nitrogenous constituents of the food is very large, the requirement for the nitrogenous constituents is small.

In the case of all the foods except the roots, only that portion of the nitrogenous and non-nitrogenous constituents which is estimated to be digestible is entered in the table; but as regards the mangels all the constituents are included, as the German calculations do not make any allowance for indigestible matter in their case. It is generally assumed, however, that it is only those compounds which contain nitrogen in the form of albuminoids that are competent to form flesh and the nitrogenous compounds of milk; whilst it is certain that a very large proportion of the nitrogen in roots is not in that form. In connection with ensilage this subject becomes of great importance, as there seems to be no doubt that during the fermentation much of the albuminoid matter is destroyed.

It will be observed that of the 10·1 lbs. of dry substance of the mangels, 1·3 lb. is given as digestible nitrogenous matter; but of this total quantity as little as one-fifth may, and pretty certainly not more than two-fifths would, consist of albuminoids. Out of the 10·1 lbs. of total dry substance, 7·4 lbs., or about 74 per cent., are recorded as digestible non-nitrogenous, or respiratory and fat-forming matter. We thus see what constitutes the great value of the root-crops. It is the fact that they furnish the essential respiratory constituents of food in very large quantities; though, even when grown under very favourable conditions of soil and season, they are not adapted for fattening, unless used in conjunction with other foods. For the purpose of mere sustenance however—as, for instance, in the case of cows which are out of milk, but are kept in warm yards or sheds—roots are a very suitable food used with straw chaff.

It may here be mentioned incidentally, that the loss of lambs born dead, which is often attributed to the manure used for the roots, is more probably due to the want of sufficient albuminoids in the roots. It should be further understood that while the nitrogenous constituents of the food can be used by the animals for respiratory purposes, the non-nitrogenous constituents cannot be used for the production of albuminoids; and as a certain amount of albuminoids is essential, if the food is deficient in them the animal must wastefully consume the

non-nitrogenous substances in excess in order to obtain enough of the albuminoids.

The quantities given in the table are calculated for a cow weighing 1290 lbs.; but if as many sheep as would represent an equivalent weight were substituted for the cow, the amount of sustenance food necessary to keep them without increasing or losing weight, would be considerably higher; partly because more surface would be exposed to the cold, and partly because there is always more or less growth of wool.

Out of the $15\frac{1}{8}$ lbs. of total digestible non-nitrogenous, or respiratory and fat-forming matter in the food, the home produce of the farm furnished rather more than $11\frac{3}{4}$ lbs., and the purchased cake and bran about $3\frac{1}{2}$ lbs.; and as the sustenance of the animal is reckoned to require only about $9\frac{1}{2}$ lbs., there remains a surplus of $2\frac{1}{4}$ lbs. of the home produce, and all the respiratory constituents of the purchased food, available for the production of milk or increase. Instead of the $9\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. required by the cow for sustenance, sheep of the same weight would probably require the whole of the digestible non-nitrogenous substance of the farm-produce for sustenance alone; and they would be entirely dependent upon the purchased food for increase in live-weight.

It has been shown that the food requirements of a cow for the purposes of sustenance only, are very small so far as the nitrogenous constituents are concerned, but large for the respiratory, or non-nitrogenous matters. For a cow yielding 30 lbs. (nearly 3 gallons) of milk per day, however, the requirement for the nitrogenous constituents is very much greater. Foods which are rich in nitrogen, such as oil-cakes and leguminous seeds, are therefore specially adapted for the production of milk.

It is somewhat remarkable that the composition of bran, which has a special value in the eyes of all dairy-men, bears a very close relation to that of milk in the proportion of the digestible nitrogenous and non-nitrogenous constituents, as will be seen in the following table:—

	Digestible nitrogenous matter.	Digestible non-nitrogenous matter.
$3\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of bran will supply . . .	0.42	1.41
$11\frac{1}{2}$ „ of milk will contain. . .	0.42	1.49

Table IX (p. 31) shows that, in the 4 lbs. of cake and $3\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of bran, which were daily supplied to the cows, the total digestible constituents would be approximately the same as those required to produce the 30 lbs. of milk; but the nitrogenous would be in excess, and the non-nitrogenous in about corresponding deficiency. As, however, the

amount of milk varies very much, owing to the difference in the milk-yielding capacity of different cows, and as every cow, whatever its capacity may be, has a maximum yield which is followed by an almost daily decline, it appeared desirable, while the ensilage experiments were going on, that the cake and bran, which obviously contributed so largely to the production of the milk, should be supplied to each cow somewhat in proportion to its yield.

The following Table (X) shows, not only how very large, but how very variable, may be the amounts of total solid matter, nitrogenous matter, fat, &c., in the milk yielded by different cows, or even by the same cow at different periods between calving and dryness. For comparison there is also given, the estimated composition of the increase in live-weight of a fattening ox weighing 1000 lbs., the amount of which increase would frequently be only about 10 lbs., and would rarely exceed 15 lbs. per week.

The table shows the amounts of the various solid constituents in the milk yielded per week by cows giving respectively 4, 8, 12, 16, and 20 quarts per day = 7, 14, 21, 28, and 35 gallons per head per week, assuming the milk to contain 12·50 per cent. of total solids; consisting of 3·65 per cent. albuminoids, 3·50 per cent. butter-fat, 4·60 per cent. sugar, and 0·75 per cent. mineral matter. The table also shows, the estimated constituents in the weekly increase in live-weight of a fattening ox weighing 1000 lbs.:—

TABLE X.

Milk per week (one gallon reckoned to weigh 10·33 lbs.).	Total solid matter.	Nitrogenous substance.	Fat.	Non-nitrogenous substance not fat.	Mineral matter.
	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.
7 gallons.....	9·04	2·64	2·53	3·33	0·54
14 „	18·08	5·28	5·06	6·66	1·08
21 „	27·12	7·92	7·59	9·99	1·62
28 „	36·16	10·56	10·12	13·32	2·16
35 „	45·20	13·20	12·65	16·65	2·70
10 lbs. increase in live-weight.....	7·25	0·75	6·35	—	0·15
15 lbs. increase in live-weight.....	10·88	1·13	9·53	—	0·22

It may be observed that whilst the meat-producing power of an ox is confined within comparatively narrow limits, the milk-producing capacity of a cow has a very wide range. Another very remarkable fact is the extremely small amount of both nitrogenous and mineral

matter which is stored up in the increase of an ox, compared with that carried off in the milk of a cow. Hence, a dairy, where milk is exported, is very exhausting.

It is evident that there is far more scope for economy in the regulation of the diet of a cow producing milk, than in that of a fattening ox. During the period in which an ox advances from the store condition to fatness, at an average rate of increase which may be estimated at from 1 to $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of its live-weight per week, a cow may be yielding 5 gallons of milk per day at one time, and at another less than one-fourth of that quantity.

Starting with the fact that the two lots of 20 cows each, which were under experiment, were receiving, per head per day, 4 lbs. of cake, and $3\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. bran, with a fixed amount of chaff, and, in addition, in one case silage, and in the other roots, and were yielding an average of 28 lbs. of milk per head per day, it was decided that whilst each lot of 20 cows should continue to receive the total of 80 lbs. of cake daily, the amount should be so apportioned among the 20 that each cow should receive more or less than 4 lbs. daily, accordingly as its yield during the preceding week averaged more, or less, than 28 lbs. of milk per day. The average yield of milk of each cow was, therefore, made up at the end of the week; and, for the succeeding week, 4 lbs. of cake were given to every cow which had yielded 28 lbs. of milk daily: and to each cow which yielded more or less than this quantity, the amount of cake was increased, or reduced, in the proportion of $\frac{1}{4}$ lb. of cake for each 2 lbs. of milk yielded more or less than 28 lbs.

Thus, if a cow yielded 50 lbs. of milk per day (nearly 5 gallons), that is 22 lbs. more than the standard amount of 28 lbs., it received an extra allowance of $2\frac{3}{4}$ lbs. of cake, or in all $6\frac{3}{4}$ lbs. per day; if it yielded 40 lbs. (nearly 4 gallons) or 12 lbs. in excess of the average, it received $1\frac{1}{2}$ lb. cake extra, or a total of $5\frac{1}{2}$ lbs.; if 30 lbs., or 2 lbs. extra, in all $4\frac{1}{4}$ lbs. cake; if only 20 lbs., or 8 lbs. deficiency, only 3 lbs. of cake; or if only 10 lbs., or 18 lbs. deficiency, it received $2\frac{1}{4}$ lbs. less cake, or in all only $1\frac{3}{4}$ lb., and so on.

We cannot at present discuss the results of this experiment; but anyone who looks at the table showing the difference between the amount of solid matter contained in 7 gallons and in 35 gallons of milk, will admit that, without some regulation of the diet, one of two things must take place. Either the yield of some cows must be stinted for want of sufficient food, or others must be receiving food which cannot be turned to profitable account. The highest average yield of milk of any cow during our experiments was 51 lbs., or nearly 20 quarts per day, whilst several were yielding, at the same time, not much more than one-fifth of this quantity.

It is no unusual thing to give fattening oxen 15 lbs. to 18 lbs. of

cake and corn per day. An ox receiving this quantity of food must be consuming a very large amount of nitrogenous substance, of which but a very small percentage is found in the increase in live-weight. A certain amount of that which is digested may be employed in the production of fat, the nitrogen being found in the urine in soluble compounds. In this form, however, the nitrogen nitrifies so rapidly in the soil, that it has little more permanence as manure than that in a salt of ammonia, or in nitrate of soda.

On the other hand, the bulk of the manure of a fattening ox possesses a durability which is well established in practice. Indeed, it may be considered that "condition," or "unexhausted fertility" of a soil, is chiefly due to the constituents of the food which pass, with but little change, in the solid excrements of the fattening animals; but partly also to those in the litter. To *accumulate* nitrogen in the soil, it must be in combination with carbon. In a field where we grow barley continuously, manured with rape cake, although the cake largely increases the crop, a residue still accumulates in the soil, sufficient to be measurable by analysis, and so to establish a claim for unexhausted fertility.

7.—PRELIMINARY EXPERIMENTS WITH MILKING COWS.

In the beginning of February, 1884, one of the laboratory staff was instructed to take the weight of each cow's milk, morning and evening, and to ascertain the amount of food consumed. This was before a brick of the silos was laid, but in anticipation of experiments which it was intended to carry out in the following winter.

From the 4th of February to the 9th March, the average yield of milk per cow per day was 29 lbs. 13 oz., and the average consumption of food per head per day was estimated to be as follows:—

Decorticated cotton cake.....	4 lbs.
Bran	3½ „
Mixed hay and straw chaff	14 „
Mangels	80 „

The fluctuations in the average daily yield of milk were very small, the highest of any one day being just below 32 lbs., and the lowest a little above 27 lbs. The weights of food were not, however, taken with sufficient accuracy to be altogether reliable, as will be evident from the results obtained in the following month, when it was found that between March 10th and April 7th the average yield of milk was 30 lbs. 5 oz. The same amounts of cake and bran were consumed, but of chaff it was found, on accurate weighing (instead of measuring), that the consumption amounted to only 10½ lbs. per cow,

or $3\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. per head per day less than the amount estimated to be given in the previous month. The amount of dry substance of food consumed per cow daily was now $25\frac{1}{4}$ lbs., whilst the figures for the previous month would give $28\frac{1}{4}$ lbs.; but it is probable that not more than from 25 lbs. to 26 lbs. of dry substance was really consumed.

The grass was so forward on April the 8th, that the cows were turned out from 11 o'clock to 3 o'clock each day. This was continued until the 17th, during which time they had the same cake and bran as before, but only about half the amount of chaff and rather less mangels. On April 17 they were turned out altogether; but continued to have the same quantity of cake; the bran, chaff, and mangels, being gradually reduced; the two latter being stopped altogether in the middle, and the bran at the end of May. The average yield of milk only increased slightly, the amount being $31\frac{3}{4}$ lbs. as against $30\frac{1}{4}$ lbs.; and the highest increase during the summer was to 35 lbs. 3 oz. in the week ending May 17th.

It does not appear that, if cows have been well fed during the winter, any large increase of milk is obtained when they are turned out to grass; and later on, when the weather became hot and dry, the milk fell off very much, the flies having been very troublesome during part of the time. With the exception of the cotton cake, 4 lbs. of which were given to each cow daily, no record of food consumed was possible until the cows again went into their winter quarters in November, but in the meantime the milk was carefully weighed.

8.—PLAN AND ARRANGEMENT OF THE EXPERIMENTS WITH MILKING COWS.

In the beginning of December, 1884, 48 cows were in milk, 40 standing in one house—20 facing the other 20—the food being supplied from a gangway down the centre. We proposed to try only two experiments; and after much trouble in calculation, and finding it hopeless to match each individual cow in one lot with one in the other which had been approximately the same length of time in milk, and was yielding the same amount of milk, it was finally decided to select from the herd two lots, of 20 each, which should agree as nearly as possible in the following conditions: (1) the *average* number of weeks since calving; (2) the *average* yield of milk. This involved the shifting of some of the cows—a proceeding which they strongly resented. Eventually two lots of 20 each were selected, which gave between 14 and 15 weeks as the average date since calving, and an average daily yield of milk of between 30 lbs. and 31 lbs. during the preceding 10 weeks; or less, if they had not been in milk so long.

Of course these averages were made up of very great differences, both in the length of time since calving, and in the yield of milk;

but such is the case in all herds where it is of importance to obtain, as nearly as possible, an uniform supply of milk all the year round. As the experiments were to go on for some months, it was evident that, in both lots, some cows would become dry, and would have to be replaced by others which had recently calved. Still it was considered that results obtained under these circumstances were far more likely to be trustworthy than if the experiment had been made on individual, or on a very few, cows.

Although a certain amount of interest attaches to the substitution of silage for hay, it will be admitted by every practical farmer, that the real value of silage must greatly depend upon its capability of wholly, or at any rate largely, superseding root-crops. To those who are out of the reach of brewer's grains, roots are the only succulent food available for fully half the year; and it is tolerably evident that, without some succulent food, neither meat nor milk can be produced profitably during the winter months.

As already shown, in the experiments with fattening oxen, clover-silage was tried against a mixture of clover-hay-chaff and swedes; but in the experiments with milking cows, now to be described, the comparison is limited to the trial of silaged crops against mangels.

In arranging comparative feeding experiments, it is always desirable that those foods which are not the subject of comparison should be the same for the different lots of animals. Silage, and especially that made from red clover, with which we began our experiment, differs from roots in some important respects. It contains a much higher percentage of dry substance, its dry substance contains a considerably higher proportion of albuminoid compounds, and also very much more indigestible woody fibre. It was impossible, therefore, so to arrange the two rations as to supply exactly the same constituents in each; and it was decided that equal quantities of *dry substance* should be given in the silage, and in the mangels.

The following amounts of other foods were allotted per head per day to each of the 40 cows:—

Cake	4 lbs.
Bran, $3\frac{1}{2}$ lbs., afterwards raised to	4 „
Chaff (half hay and half straw)	10 „

Thus far the same foods were given to each lot; but, in addition, one lot received, per head per day, at first 42 lbs. of clover-silage, gradually raised to 50 lbs., and the other lot at first 75 lbs. of mangels, gradually increased to 90 lbs., as the silage given to the others was increased, and it was found that lower down in the pit it contained a higher percentage of dry matter. Most of the cows took to the silage readily, though a few had a distinct aversion to it, and in order not to

influence their yield of milk injuriously these were supplied with a limited quantity of mangels as well as silage, the former being reduced and the latter increased until their repugnance to the food had ceased.

Two or three weeks elapsed before the disturbance caused by the necessary changes in the position of some of the cows, and in the alteration of their food, was overcome; and it was not until the 24th of December that the animals were weighed.

9.—THE RESULTS OF THE EXPERIMENTS WITH MILKING COWS.

Table XI (p. 41) shows the average amounts of food consumed per head per day, by each lot of 20 cows, within each of the 13 weeks of the experimental period.

Table XII (pp. 42-3) shows, for each of the 20 cows receiving clover-silage, and Table XIII (pp. 44-5), for each of the 20 receiving mangels, the dates of calving, the average yield of milk per day prior to the commencement of the experiment, and the average yield during each of the 13 weeks of the experimental period. They also show, the average yield per day, and the total yield, both in lbs. and gallons, of each cow, over the whole of the experimental period.

Milking cows are exceedingly sensitive to change in anything they have been accustomed to; and after the few necessary transpositions of the animals had been made in accordance with the allotments, and the experiment had fairly commenced, they were treated exactly as if they had not been under experiment at all; the only difference in the management being that both the food and the milk were carefully weighed. Several of the cows of each lot became dry during the experiment; and they were replaced by others, as explained in the foot notes to Tables XII and XIII. The results proved that the new cows were not all equally good milkers; but the differences are to some extent neutralised by the large number of animals in each lot.

Table XI (p. 41), relating to the food, shows that during the first few weeks, until the animals had become accustomed to the silage, a small quantity of mangels was given with it; and this was done again towards the end of the experiment, in the case of a few cows which did not consume all their silage, when it was found that, with the admixture of a small quantity of mangels, they generally consumed their silage also. Most of the animals, however, took to the silage quite readily.

At page 35, it was stated that each lot of 20 cows received the same total amount of cake and bran, but that, after a time, first the cake, and afterwards the bran also, were allotted to the individual cows within each lot in proportion to their yield of milk. That is to

say, instead of each cow receiving 4 lbs. of cake, and $3\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. of bran, it received more or less than these quantities, in proportion as its yield of milk was more or less than the average of the whole lot. We shall consider the results of this apportionment of the purchased foods according to the yield of milk at some future time.

Tables XII and XIII show that, over the whole experimental period of 13 weeks, the average yield of milk of the cows receiving clover-silage was 25 lbs. 12 ozs. per head per day, against 27 lbs. 5 ozs., yielded by the cows receiving mangels. This corresponds to a difference over the whole period of 14 gallons per head, or of 281 gallons in the lot of 20 cows, in favour of those receiving mangels.

It is probable that part of this difference was due to the fact that two of the cows brought into the mangel lot during the progress of the experiment (Nos. 21 and 40), turned out to be much better milkers than any two brought into the silage lot. But it is probable that it was also in part due to the more succulent mangels being a more appropriate addition to the dry food for milking cows, than so much silage, which contained nearly twice as high a percentage of dry substance as the mangels. That this was so would appear from the fact that the cows on the silage drank an average of about $1\frac{3}{4}$ gallon more water per head per day than those on the mangels.

Upon the whole the evidence points to the conclusion that if a portion of the dry matter of the clover-silage, say one-fifth or more, had been replaced by a corresponding amount in mangels, not only would some of the cows have consumed the silage better, but such a mixture would doubtless have been more appropriate for milk-yielding. It was feared, however, that if a mixture of the two foods to be compared were given, the results might not be sufficiently distinct. It may be added that the general impression among the attendants was that the cows on the clover-silage showed more tendency to fatten than the others; whilst, as a matter of fact, they did give rather more increase in live-weight. To this point we shall recur further on.

It will be seen that the anticipation we expressed that there would be considerable difficulty in getting strictly comparable results in experiments with dairy cows has been fully realised. But, as the whole of the data are now before the reader, he can form his own conclusions respecting them.

EXPERIMENTS WITH COWS.

TABLE XI.—AVERAGE FOOD CONSUMED PER HEAD PER DAY.

13 WEEKS; DECEMBER 14, 1884, TO MARCH 14, 1885.

Week ending	Average food given per head per day.					Total food weighed off.
	Cake.*	Bran.	Chaff.	l over-silage.†	Mangels.‡	

Lot 1.—20 Cows; *Experimental Food—Clover-silage.*

1884-5.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.
Dec. 20 }	4	3½	6¾	42½	13¾	410½
„ 27 } §	4	3½	9½	44¾	8¾	0
Jan. 3 }	4	3½	10	46¾	4¼	0
„ 10.....	4	3½	10	48¾	(3) 13¾	0
„ 17.....	4	3½	10	50	0	0
„ 24.....	4	3½	10	50	0	0
„ 31.....	4	3½	10	50	0	0
Feb. 7.....	4	3½	10	50	0	0
„ 14.....	4	3½	10	50	0	0
„ 21.....	4	3½	10	50	(1) 5¾	0
„ 28.....	4	3¾	10	50	(2) 7⅛	415
Mar. 7.....	4	4	10	50	(2) 2⅞	307
„ 14.....	4	4	10	50	0	353
Per head per day....	—	—	—	—	—	0¾

Lot 2.—20 Cows; *Experimental Food—Mangels.*

1884-5.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.
Dec. 20 }	4	3½	11	—	75	—
„ 27 } 	4	3½	10¾	—	75¾	—
Jan. 3	4	3½	10	—	80	—
„ 10	4	3½	10	—	80	—
„ 17	4	3½	10	—	82¾	—
„ 24	4	3½	10	—	90	—
„ 31	4	3½	10	—	90	—
Feb. 7	4	3½	10	—	90	—
„ 14	4	3½	10	—	90	—
„ 21	4	3½	10	—	90	—
„ 28	4	3¾	10	—	90	—
Mar. 7	4	4	10	—	90	—
„ 14	4	4	10	—	90	—
Per head per day....	—	—	—	—	—	—

* December 14 to January 5, half linseed, and half decorticated cotton-cake; afterwards decorticated cotton-cake only.

† Second-crop clover-silage, December 14 to January 10; afterwards first-crop clover-silage.

‡ First three weeks some mangels were supplied to all the silage-fed cows; the figures in parentheses show the number of cows receiving mangels at other periods.

§ Averages for 19 cows only; cow No. 19 various foods.

|| Averages for 19 cows only; cow No. 35 various foods.

EXPERIMENTS

TABLE XII.—YIELD OF MILK

Lot 1.—20 Cows.

Names of cows.	Date of calving.	First milked.	Average milk per head per day.								
			Prior to experiment.						Experimental period.		
			From calving.	Previous 10 weeks (or less).		Last week (or less).			Week ending		
									Dec. 20.	Dec. 27.	Jan. 3.
	1884.	1884.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.		lbs. oz.		lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.
1. Strawberry.....	Oct. 28	Oct. 29	47 13	48 10		45 11		40 3	39 1	38 13	
2. Phœbe*.....	Mar. 31	Apr. 5	36 7	17 11		12 8		11 11	11 14	11 3	
3. Isabella†.....	Sept. 2	Sept. 3	22 5	20 6		19 2		17 12	16 8	16 12	
4. Penelope.....	Aug. 19	Aug. 21	29 8	25 13		20 7		19 12	20 7	20 7	
5. Beauty‡.....	June 6	June 10	29 11	23 6		18 12		16 6	16 6	16 0	
6. Joan of Arc.....	Sept. 29	Oct. 1	33 5	33 10		29 15		25 7	24 1	24 1	
7. Rothamsted Maid	Sept. 23	Oct. 1	24 3	23 9		16 12		16 0	16 1	16 2	
8. Parody.....	Nov. 2	Nov. 5	39 11	(40 0)		38 7		35 15	34 11	33 0	
9. Queen Bess.....	Aug. 17	Aug. 21	32 0	29 2		21 15		23 2	22 14	22 1	
10. Cherry Ripe.....	Oct. 17	Oct. 21	45 3	(45 14)		41 2		37 14	36 3	35 5	
11. Granny.....	Aug. 18	Aug. 19	26 7	24 13		20 3		20 12	20 12	19 13	
12. Helen.....	Nov. 18	Nov. 19	35 3	(36 12)		35 6		29 7	34 0	31 5	
13. Polly.....	June 19	June 20	36 14	28 0		22 3		20 12	21 6	21 9	
14. Sally.....	Nov. 20	Nov. 21	39 11	(41 15)		41 1		36 2	41 2	44 2	
15. Wonder§.....	July 22	July 23	21 10	19 6		14 15		13 1	14 14	13 14	
16. Fairy Queen 	Feb. 24	Feb. 26	36 0	23 8		20 4		18 5	17 14	18 1	
17. Buttercup.....	Oct. 2	Oct. 4	32 12	32 10		35 0		32 5	33 0	30 0	
18. Narcissus¶.....	June 22	June 24	22 10	16 10		10 8		6 10	{ 2 12 } 28 7	32 15	
19. Lucy.....	Dec. 9	Dec. 13	(37 8)	(37 8)		(37 8)		33 3	40 11	42 6	
20. Victoria†.....	Sept. 9	Sept. 10	39 3	37 5		30 0		26 3	26 14	26 15	
Average			33 6	30 5		26 9		24 1	25 8	25 12	

* Replaced January 11th, by Ann, calved January 3rd.

† Bought as newly-calved at this date; exact date of calving not known.

‡ Replaced February 5th, by Gypsy, calved January 30th; Gypsy (ill) replaced February 16th, by Rosemary, calved February 12th.

WITH COWS.

PER HEAD PER DAY (LBS).

Experimental Food—Clover-silage.

Average milk per head per day.										Summary for experimental period. 13 weeks, Dec. 14—Mar. 14.		
Experimental period.												
Week ending												
Jan. 10.	Jan. 17.	Jan. 24.	Jan. 31.	Feb. 7.	Feb. 14.	Feb. 21.	Feb. 28.	Mar. 7.	Mar. 14.	Average per day.	Total yield.	
lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs.	galls.
36 2	36 13	35 6	36 9	36 5	35 4	34 10	33 7	33 4	31 11	35 15	3271 $\frac{3}{4}$	317
10 5	35 4	37 1	39 11	38 6	38 1	36 2	36 6	35 13	33 3	28 14	2624 $\frac{7}{8}$	254
16 6	16 7	15 11	15 11	15 9	15 14	15 8	15 12	15 12	15 4	16 1	1461 $\frac{3}{4}$	142
19 5	19 11	19 6	19 8	19 7	19 0	18 8	17 13	15 15	15 8	18 13	1712 $\frac{1}{2}$	166
15 8	14 1	13 8	12 12	$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 12 & 1 \\ 14 & 11 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$	13 7	$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 17 & 15 \\ 28 & 15 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$	32 13	33 4	33 4	19 11	1794	174
21 12	21 8	21 11	21 0	19 12	19 15	19 15	19 5	18 13	18 1	21 3	1926 $\frac{3}{8}$	186
15 8	15 5	14 13	14 2	13 15	13 7	12 15	12 9	11 14	11 4	14 2	1287 $\frac{3}{4}$	125
32 9	33 5	33 8	33 3	33 8	32 0	31 7	31 0	30 6	29 13	32 10	2970 $\frac{1}{2}$	287
22 3	21 13	20 11	19 14	18 15	18 1	16 15	15 15	14 13	12 12	19 4	1750 $\frac{1}{2}$	169
33 14	33 9	32 11	32 13	32 0	31 12	31 2	31 6	31 6	31 2	33 3	3017 $\frac{1}{2}$	292
18 13	17 11	16 15	17 10	18 5	16 15	15 5	13 10	14 15	14 2	17 6	1579 $\frac{1}{2}$	153
32 4	31 6	29 8	27 12	28 8	27 11	28 9	26 11	26 14	25 2	29 3	2653 $\frac{1}{2}$	257
20 11	21 3	20 15	20 5	20 10	20 3	20 4	20 1	19 14	19 7	20 9	1871	181
42 10	44 5	44 0	44 15	45 10	46 2	46 13	44 1	44 10	43 12	43 11	3978 $\frac{1}{2}$	385
13 7	12 13	12 2	12 12	13 9	13 4	13 10	$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 14 & 1 \\ 17 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$	20 6	21 4	14 11	1335 $\frac{1}{4}$	129
17 15	19 2	19 6	18 10	19 4	19 8	19 10	18 13	18 15	17 8	18 11	1701 $\frac{1}{8}$	165
30 6	32 11	32 7	33 15	32 12	32 9	33 10	32 12	33 0	32 13	32 8	2956	286
33 4	34 10	35 1	34 0	31 15	30 4	30 15	28 9	24 11	24 11	28 6	2580 $\frac{1}{8}$	250
42 3	43 10	44 7	43 13	43 3	44 1	44 12	44 6	42 14	42 10	42 8	3865 $\frac{1}{2}$	374
27 13	28 13	28 6	29 9	28 14	28 11	28 2	28 7	27 0	25 5	27 12	2526 $\frac{1}{2}$	245
25 2	26 11	26 6	26 7	26 3	25 13	26 4	26 0	25 12	24 15	25 12	2343 $\frac{1}{4}$	227

§ Replaced February 25th, by Daffodil, calved February 20th.

|| Slipped calf October 15th, but continued milking.

¶ Replaced December 23rd, by Kate, calved December 20th.

EXPERIMENTS
TABLE XIII.—YIELD OF
Lot 2.—20 Cows.

Names of cows.	Date of calving.	First milked.	Average milk per head per day.											
			Prior to experiment.						Experimental period.					
			From calving.	Previous 10 weeks (or less).	Last week.	Week ending								
						Dec. 20.		Dec. 27.		Jan. 3.				
	1884.	1884.	lbs.	oz.	lbs.	oz.	lbs.	oz.	lbs.	oz.	lbs.	oz.		
21. Susan*	June 12	June 14	28	5	18	4	11	7	10	1	8	7	5	9
22. Countess	Nov. 23	Nov. 28	45	8	(45	7)	46	1	44	10	41	10	40	2
23. Nina	Oct. 31	Nov. 8	37	0	(37	0)	34	10	32	5	31	1	30	4
24. Jane	Nov. 29	Nov. 30	23	15	(24	0)	27	5	29	9	28	8	29	15
25. Charmer	Aug. 30	Sept. 3	36	8	33	2	26	1	24	12	23	15	22	8
26. Nancy†	Sept. 9	Sept. 10	30	15	28	5	21	10	13	15	13	2	13	0
27. Nelly	Dec. 2	Dec. 6	39	12	(41	0)	41	0	40	15	39	1	38	0
28. Princess‡	April 29	May 5	22	8	17	5	13	1	12	7	10	9	9	2
29. Bright Eye	June 17	June 23	32	10	28	0	25	15	25	4	23	15	24	1
30. Darling	July 5	July 5	34	12	29	6	23	8	21	13	21	0	20	4
31. Empress	Oct. 18	Oct. 21	40	6	(40	12)	37	0	38	12	38	3	35	14
32. Julia	Nov. 16	Nov. 17	27	0	(29	4)	34	10	30	15	32	13	32	7
33. Scrutable	Nov. 3	Nov. 5	35	15	(36	6)	33	9	31	3	29	15	26	8
34. May Day	July 4	July 5	27	4	22	7	21	11	22	7	22	6	23	9
35. Liddy	Dec. 11	Dec. 14	—	—	—	—	—	—	32	12	43	0	50	15
36. Welcome	Nov. 24	Nov. 28	45	12	(46	3)	46	5	47	13	47	3	44	0
37. Ne plus ultra§	July 1	July 5	30	15	23	6	20	5	20	6	21	1	20	15
38. Cowslip 	April 1	April 4	31	9	21	4	15	13	18	4	15	14	14	10
39. Russet Belle	March 18	March 20	38	9	27	9	21	0	19	14	19	4	19	7
40. Ophir¶	July 26	August 1	34	1	28	6	20	3	19	3	17	10	15	4
Average			33	14	30	6	27	7	26	14	26	7	25	13

* Replaced January 11th, by Dewdrop, calved January 6th.

† Bought as newly-calved at this date; exact date of calving not known. Replaced February 22nd, by Gypsy, calved January 30th. (See Note ‡, Lot 1).

‡ Replaced January 24th, by Emma, calved January 2nd.

WITH COWS.

MILK PER HEAD PER DAY (LBS.).

Experimental Food—Mangels.

Average milk per head per day.										Summary for experimental period. 13 weeks, Dec. 14—Mar. 14.			
Experimental period.										Average per day.	Total yield.		
Week ending													
Jan. 10.	Jan. 17.	Jan. 24.	Jan. 31.	Feb. 7.	Feb. 14.	Feb. 21.	Feb. 28.	Mar. 7.	Mar. 14.				
lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs.	galls.
6 8	51 10	48 14	51 0	53 3	54 7	52 4	51 0	49 9	47 12	37 11	3432 $\frac{3}{4}$	332	
37 15	38 7	40 10	40 2	39 12	39 3	37 4	38 14	38 2	38 12	39 10	3608 $\frac{1}{4}$	349	
31 7	31 10	30 14	31 8	31 6	31 1	30 8	29 15	28 10	27 15	30 10	2789	270	
30 10	31 11	30 12	30 8	31 3	31 2	31 0	30 15	29 13	28 12	30 5	2759 $\frac{7}{8}$	267	
22 14	21 12	20 11	20 7	20 12	20 3	21 1	20 3	19 3	19 8	21 6	1944 $\frac{3}{4}$	188	
12 13	11 4	10 9	10 9	10 8	10 0	9 0	17 14	20 14	20 5	13 6	1216 $\frac{1}{2}$	118	
37 7	38 2	33 11	39 8	34 15	34 8	34 5	34 14	33 6	34 7	36 6	3298 $\frac{1}{2}$	319	
8 0	4 0	{ 3 4 7 6 }	12 0	18 15	20 15	20 7	21 3	20 11	20 9	14 1	1279 $\frac{1}{8}$	124	
23 8	23 13	24 4	23 0	23 6	22 14	22 0	22 15	21 11	21 8	23 4	2115 $\frac{3}{8}$	205	
20 12	20 7	20 15	19 15	21 1	21 0	20 12	26 6	29 0	29 10	22 9	2054 $\frac{1}{8}$	199	
33 6	34 4	32 12	32 10	34 3	33 4	32 5	33 12	33 12	32 1	34 4	3116 $\frac{1}{8}$	302	
28 4	30 5	29 5	27 14	26 13	25 7	24 10	25 9	27 10	27 11	28 7	2589	251	
24 14	26 0	25 5	23 11	24 10	22 12	22 12	22 4	21 13	21 14	24 14	2266 $\frac{1}{8}$	219	
21 4	21 4	21 4	19 8	19 11	20 5	19 14	19 0	18 1	17 6	20 7	1860 $\frac{3}{8}$	180	
46 14	49 5	44 5	48 12	49 15	44 7	45 6	47 11	47 13	49 1	46 3	4201	407	
38 9	40 13	39 10	35 10	35 12	35 14	34 2	32 12	30 0	29 11	37 13	3443 $\frac{1}{2}$	333	
18 1	17 7	15 7	12 5	10 14	34 5	36 8	35 10	37 0	34 11	24 3	2202 $\frac{1}{2}$	213	
11 14	6 0	{ 5 8 11 0 }	20 5	23 10	23 7	22 12	21 13	21 9	20 10	17 7	1589 $\frac{1}{2}$	154	
17 14	16 14	16 13	16 10	15 15	16 4	16 3	14 15	15 10	15 14	17 1	1551 $\frac{1}{2}$	150	
13 14	13 8	12 4	11 3	{ 9 14 29 11 }	43 12	44 12	47 6	47 0	48 3	26 14	2444 $\frac{3}{4}$	237	
24 5	26 7	25 7	26 6	27 2	29 4	28 14	29 12	29 9	29 5	27 5	2488 $\frac{1}{8}$	241	

§ Replaced February 8th, by Butterfly, calved February 3rd.

|| Replaced January 24th, by Rose, calved January 1st.

¶ Replaced February 6th, by Milkmaid, calved February 4th.

We will next give the results obtained on changing from clover-silage to meadow-grass-silage:—

On March 14 the experiment with cows on clover-silage terminated, and that on meadow-grass-silage at once commenced, and was continued for a period of six weeks. In order not to interfere with the yield of milk by a sudden change of food, a mixture of three parts clover-silage and one part grass-silage was given during the first seven days, and a mixture of half clover- and half grass-silage during the next seven days, after which grass-silage only was given.

Table XIV shows the average amounts of the different foods consumed per head per day, within each week, by each of the two lots of 20 cows.

EXPERIMENTS WITH COWS.

TABLE XIV.—AVERAGE FOOD CONSUMED PER HEAD PER DAY.
SECOND PERIOD; SIX WEEKS, MARCH 15 TO APRIL 25.

Week ending	Average food given per head per day.					Total food weighed off.
	Cake.	Bran.	Chaff.*	Silage.	Mangels.†	
Lot 1.—20 Cows; Part Clover-silage, part Meadow-grass-silage.						
1885.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.
March 21	4	4	9 $\frac{3}{4}$	50	(1) 11 $\frac{3}{4}$	408
„ 28 ..	4	4	8	50	(1) 20	197
April 4	4	4	7 $\frac{5}{7}$	50	(2) 20	329
„ 11	4	4	7	50	(4) 11 $\frac{3}{4}$	395
„ 18	4	4	7	42 $\frac{1}{7}$	(20) 6 $\frac{3}{4}$	434
„ 25	4	4	7	41 $\frac{3}{4}$	(20) 17 $\frac{1}{4}$	75 $\frac{1}{4}$
Average per head } per day	..	..	..	..	...	2·19
Lot 2.—20 Cows; Mangels as before.						
March 21	4	4	10	—	90	—
„ 28	4	4	10	—	90	—
April 4	4	4	10	—	90	—
„ 11	4	4	10	—	90	40
„ 18	4	4	10	—	90	—
„ 25	4	4	10	—	90	—
Average per head } per day	..	..	..	..	..	0·05

* $\frac{1}{2}$ hay and $\frac{1}{2}$ oat straw.

† The figures in parentheses show how many cows received mangels.

‡ During this period most of the unconsumed food was distributed among the other cows of the lot, and consumed.

It will be seen that both lots received the same amount of cotton-cake and bran as before; and Lot 2 also the same amount of chaff and mangels as before. In fact, there was no change whatever in the food of the 20 cows receiving mangels. The cows receiving silage were also at first supplied with the same amounts of both chaff and silage as before; but they did not consume them, and it was found necessary to reduce the quantity of chaff, until, during the last three weeks of the period, the allowance was only 7 lbs. instead of 10 lbs. per head per day; and during the last fortnight the amount of silage was reduced by nearly one-fifth, and some mangels were given to all instead of only to a few, of the cows receiving silage. It will be observed, indeed, that throughout the experiment a good deal of food, chiefly silage and chaff, was left unconsumed.

In the case of the experiment with clover-silage as against mangels, it was concluded that the silage, when given to cows in quantity containing an amount of dry matter corresponding to that in the mangels, was not so suitable for milk production as the succulent mangels, which only contained about half the percentage of dry matter, and a very much less proportion of woody fibre. It was also concluded that probably a better result would have been obtained if a smaller quantity of the silage, with some mangels, had been given. The meadow-grass-silage contained a still higher percentage of dry matter than the clover-silage, and no doubt a much higher percentage of woody fibre. It also contained only about two-thirds as much nitrogen as the clover-silage; though the quantity of it consumed contributed nearly as much nitrogen as the quantity of mangels consumed by the other cows; and doubtless the silage supplied a larger amount of albuminoid nitrogen than the mangels, that is, a larger amount in a condition available as food.

It is not to be wondered at, therefore, that with silage containing still more dry matter, and especially still more woody fibre, the cows should not consume so much, and that they should also require much less chaff.

Table XV (p. 48) shows, for each of the 20 cows receiving the meadow-grass-silage, the average yield of milk per day, during each of six weeks of the experiment; and Table XVI (p. 49) shows the same particulars for the 20 cows receiving mangels. In each case, there is also given the average yield per day during the preceding 13 weeks of those of the cows which had gone through the whole of the previous experiment, or for a shorter period for those which had been brought in during its progress; also the average yield during the immediately preceding week. Finally, there is given the average yield per day, and the aggregate yield (both in lbs. and in gallons), of each cow, and of each lot, during the whole period of six weeks of the second experiment.

EXPERIMENTS WITH COWS.

TABLE XV.—YIELD OF MILK PER HEAD PER DAY, &c. SECOND PERIOD; MARCH 15 TO APRIL 25.

Lot 1.—20 Cows; part *Clover-silage* and part *Meadow-grass-silage* 2 weeks; *Meadow-grass-silage* 4 weeks.

Names of cows.	Yield of milk per head per day.										6 weeks, March 15 to April 25.										
	Previous period.			Experimental period.							Average per day.	Total yield.									
	Last week, March 8 to 14.			Week ending																	
	13 weeks (or less) Dec. 14 to March 14.																				
	lbs.	oz.	lbs.	oz.	March 21.	March 28.	April 4.	April 11.	April 18.	April 25.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.									
1. Strawberry	35	15	31	11	30	15	28	15	27	7	24	10	1118 ⁵ / ₈	108							
2. Ann	(36	10)	33	3	33	5	33	4	32	6	31	6	1347 ⁵ / ₈	130							
3. Isabella*	16	1	15	4	14	1	12	4	10	2	{ 9 9 } { 40 0 }	42	2	41	9	23	1	969	94		
4. Penelope†	18	13	15	8	14	4	12	3	9	10	{ 7 14 } { 20 13 }	22	2	21	13	15	4	636 ³ / ₈	62		
5. Rosemary	(32	5)	33	4	33	10	32	12	31	3	28	10	27	9	26	0	29	10	1257 ¹ / ₂	122	
6. Joan of Arc	21	3	18	1	17	2	15	8	14	9	14	6	13	11	13	11	14	13	622 ⁵ / ₈	60	
7. Beatrice‡	32	10	29	13	35	1	38	3	35	12	29	14	34	8	35	12	34	14	1464 ¹ / ₂	142	
8. Parody	49	4	29	13	29	5	27	14	27	11	26	5	26	2	27	8	27	8	1153 ⁵ / ₈	112	
9. Queen Bess§	39	4	12	12	10	15	{ 8 8 } { 26 2 }	31	9	35	7	35	2	35	10	26	10	26	10	1111 ¹ / ₂	108
10. Cherry Ripe	33	3	31	2	30	11	29	12	28	11	27	6	26	12	24	15	28	1	1177 ¹ / ₂	114	
11. Granny 	17	6	14	2	13	9	12	8	11	2	9	7	{ 7 12 } { 34 10 }	33	5	17	5	724 ⁵ / ₈	70		
12. Helen	29	3	25	2	25	2	25	8	24	8	21	11	18	2	18	5	22	3	942 ⁵ / ₈	91	
13. Polly	20	9	19	7	20	5	19	1	17	13	16	10	16	5	15	6	17	9	739	72	
14. Sally	43	11	43	12	41	12	41	7	41	7	37	13	35	7	37	14	37	4	1648 ¹ / ₂	159	
15. Daffodil	(19	15)	21	4	22	8	23	1	22	7	21	8	21	7	21	3	22	0	925 ⁵ / ₈	90	
16. Fairy Queen	18	11	17	8	16	15	15	10	15	11	15	4	15	3	14	15	25	10	655 ⁵ / ₈	63	
17. Buttercup	32	8	32	13	33	6	32	5	32	2	32	6	26	3	23	5	29	15	1259 ⁵ / ₈	122	
18. Kate	(30	13)	24	11	22	10	22	14	20	8	18	14	13	7	18	5	20	4	851	82	
19. Lucy	42	8	42	10	42	12	45	9	45	11	37	10	34	13	39	3	40	15	1719 ¹ / ₂	166	
20. Victoria	27	12	25	5	24	4	22	10	21	7	20	1	19	2	18	6	21	0	880 ⁵ / ₈	85	
Average	27	13	25	10	25	10	25	2	25	1	23	15	25	9	26	3	25	4	1060 ¹ / ₂	102	

* Replaced April 10, by Princess, calved April 6.

† Replaced April 10, by Alice, calved March 26.

‡ Beatrice calved March 10, brought into the experiment on March 15.

§ Replaced March 28, by Defiance, calved March 19.

|| Replaced April 15, by Caroline, calved April 10.

EXPERIMENTS WITH COWS.

TABLE XVI.—YIELD OF MILK PER HEAD PER DAY, &c. SECOND PERIOD; MARCH 15 TO APRIL 25.

Lot 2.—20 Cows; *Mangels as before.*

Yield of milk per head per day.												
Names of cows.	Previous period.		Experimental period.								6 weeks, March 15 to April 25.	
	Last week, March 8 to 14.		Week ending								Average per day.	Total yield.
	13 weeks (or less) Dec. 14 to March 14.		March 21.	March 28.	April 4.	April 11.	April 18.	April 25.				
	lbs.	oz.	lbs.	oz.	lbs.	oz.	lbs.	oz.	lbs.	oz.	lbs.	galls.
21. Dewdrop	47	12	45	6	44	11	39	10	39	11	1803 $\frac{1}{2}$	175
22. Countess	38	12	37	7	38	8	37	9	36	12	1561 $\frac{1}{2}$	151
23. Nina	30	10	27	15	26	14	26	15	23	4	1074 $\frac{1}{2}$	104
24. Jane	30	5	28	12	29	0	28	1	27	5	1151 $\frac{1}{2}$	112
25. Charmet	21	6	19	8	17	10	16	6	15	0	651 $\frac{1}{2}$	63
26. Gypsy	(19	11)	20	5	19	14	20	0	21	5	866 $\frac{1}{2}$	84
27. Nelly	36	6	34	7	32	6	29	4	29	9	1218 $\frac{1}{2}$	118
28. Emma	(19	0)	20	9	20	7	20	9	21	2	863 $\frac{1}{2}$	84
29. Brighteye*	23	4	21	8	21	7	20	10	19	13	763 $\frac{1}{2}$	74
30. Darling	22	9	29	10	28	5	25	13	27	4	1153 $\frac{1}{2}$	112
31. Empress	34	4	32	1	32	3	31	15	32	0	1351 $\frac{1}{2}$	131
32. Juliet	28	7	27	11	25	13	24	9	24	2	795 $\frac{1}{2}$	77
33. Scrutable	24	14	21	14	20	12	20	10	19	8	837 $\frac{1}{2}$	81
34. Mayday†	20	7	17	6	16	0	15	7	15	10	575 $\frac{1}{2}$	55
35. Liddy	46	3	49	1	46	0	45	0	45	6	1893 $\frac{1}{2}$	183
36. Welcome	37	13	29	11	28	3	28	3	27	5	1163 $\frac{1}{2}$	112
37. Butterfly	(35	10)	34	11	35	10	35	13	37	7	1455 $\frac{1}{2}$	140
38. Rose	(21	13)	20	10	19	15	19	6	18	11	804 $\frac{1}{2}$	78
39. Russet Belle	17	1	15	14	14	9	14	11	15	12	635 $\frac{1}{2}$	62
40. Milkmaid	(45	5)	48	3	48	1	43	1	43	0	1763 $\frac{1}{2}$	171
Average	30	4	29	5	28	5	27	11	27	6	1119	108

* Replaced April 24, 1885, by Sylvia, calved April 10, 1885.

† Replaced April 19, 1885, by Flora, calved April 18, 1885.

‡ Replaced April 18, 1885, by Phoebe, calved April 10, 1885.

In the first place, Table XV (p. 48) shows that, of the 20 cows on silage, four became dry during the experiment; one in the second, two in the fourth, and one in the fifth week, and they were replaced by others; three out of the four of which gave a higher yield of milk than the average of the lot. Table XVI (p. 49) shows that, of the 20 cows on mangels, three became dry, one in the fifth, and two in the sixth week; and they were in each case replaced by others which yielded more than the average of the lot.

In the week prior to the commencement of this second experiment, the average yield of the silage-fed cows was nearly $3\frac{3}{4}$ lbs. per head per day less than that of the cows on mangels; and although during the six weeks of the experiment the cows receiving the meadow-grass-silage gave a less actual quantity of milk than those on mangels, they fell off in yield less, and gave, on the average of the whole period, only 2 lbs. instead of as at the beginning nearly $3\frac{3}{4}$ lbs. less milk per head per day than the mangel-fed cows. Both lots fell off in yield during the fourth week, probably under the influence of the weather. But whilst the silage-fed cows recovered again in the next week, coincidentally with a reduction in the amount of silage and its replacement by some mangels, aided by the introduction of fresh cows, those on mangels did not recover until a week later, and then not to their original yield, notwithstanding three high-yielding cows had been brought in.

So far as the yield of milk is concerned, the evidence is, therefore, not adverse to the silage, when used in moderate quantity, according to the amount of solid and digestible matter it contains.

In the first experiment, the cows receiving clover-silage in rather large amount gave rather less milk than those on mangels; but, on the other hand, they gave a considerable increase in live-weight, whilst those on mangels as a whole lost in weight. Owing to the numerous changes in the cows during the course of the experiments, it happens that there are only 12 of the original silage-fed cows, and only 11 of the original mangel-fed cows, the weights of which can be traced through both periods of experiment. The cows were weighed soon after the commencement of the experiment with clover-silage, in December, 1884; again at the end of that experiment, when that with the meadow-grass-silage commenced; and, lastly, at the conclusion of the second period. We do not propose to enter fully into the subject of the increase or loss in weight of the animals on the present occasion, but only to refer to the general character of the results in their connection with the greater or less yield of milk by the different lots, over the different periods.

As already said, the cows on clover-silage increased in weight con-

siderably, and of the 12 of the original 20 which continued throughout both experiments, every one increased when on the clover-silage. On the other hand, of the 11 of the mangel-fed cows which remained throughout the two periods, only 4 increased during the first period, and on the average the lot lost in weight. During the second period, however, when the meadow-grass-silage was used, only 3 of the 12 cows increased at all, two of them very little, and the lot as a whole lost in weight considerably; whilst of the 11 cows of the mangel lot, 7 now increased, and the lot as a whole increased in weight.

From the whole of the results of the experiments on the feeding of cows with silage, it would appear that the clover-silage given in such large quantity was more favourable for meat-production, and less for milk-production, than the mangels. On the other hand, when the meadow-grass-silage was used, the animals did not give more milk, but they lost in weight. There can be no doubt that the grass-silage was inferior as food to the clover-silage; and it is to be supposed that the favourable maintenance in the yield of milk on the grass-silage compared with that of the cows on mangels over the same period, is at any rate partly to be attributed to a drain on the flesh and fat previously stored up by the animals. So far as this may take place, it obviously does not necessarily follow that there will be an immediate falling off in the yield of milk on changing to an inferior food. It is known that a badly-fed cow will reduce in condition very much when suckling a calf; and the same thing may happen when the cow is milked. In fact, the comparative values of different foods for cows cannot be measured by the yield of milk alone; but the increase or loss in weight must also be taken into account.

10.—CHARACTER AND COMPOSITION OF THE MILK FROM THE DIFFERENT FOODS.

Owing to the large amount of additional work in the Rothamsted Laboratory in connection with other branches of the ensilage experiments, it was found impossible to devote sufficient time and attention to obtain a complete series of comparative analyses of the milk yielded by the cows on the different foods. Still, sufficient has been done clearly to indicate the comparative characters of the different milks.

Table XVII (p. 52) shows the percentage of total solids, in 13 samples of the *morning* milk, of each of the two lots of cows, taken at intervals during the 10 weeks from January 14 to March 25; Lot 1 having silage, and Lot 2 mangels containing a corresponding amount of dry matter; each lot having the same amount of other foods in addition. The table also shows the percentages of mineral matter, or ash, in the last eight samples for each lot.

TABLE XVII.—PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL SOLIDS, AND MINERAL MATTER (ASH), IN THE MORNING MILK OF THE COWS.

Lot 1.—*Silage.* Lot 2.—*Mangels.*

Dates.	Total solids.		Mineral matter (ash).	
	Lot 1. Silage.	Lot 2. Mangels.	Lot 1. Silage.	Lot 2. Mangels.
A.M.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Per cent.
January 14	12·13	12·36	—	—
„ 19	12·01	12·12	—	—
„ 21	11·96	12·35	—	—
„ 26	11·95	12·05	—	—
„ 28	11·80	12·30	—	—
February 9	11·86	12·19	0·75	0·78
„ 11	11·87	12·15	0·79	0·77
„ 23	11·92	12·15	0·61	0·65
„ 25	11·77	12·12	0·72	0·72
March 9	11·94	12·21	0·71	0·72
„ 11	11·91	12·36	0·71	0·72
„ 23	11·93	12·52	0·73	0·73
„ 25	12·02	12·68	0·71	0·73
Mean	11·93	12·27	0·72	0·73

Table XVIII (p. 53) shows, not only the percentages of total solids and of mineral matter, but also the percentages of butter-fat, and the specific gravity, of both the *morning* and the *evening* milk of each lot of cows, on six different days from April 7 to April 22. It may be stated that the percentage of fat was frequently determined by Marchand's lacto-butyrometer; but the results given in the table are calculated by Fleischmann and Morgen's formula, from the amount of total solids, and the specific gravity. The results obtained by the lacto-butyrometer, although very useful, are admittedly only approximative; and, as Dr. P. Vieth has shown by a very comprehensive investigation of the subject, the deviations from the amounts as determined by more exact methods, are greater in the case of results obtained by it, than in those obtained by calculation from the total solids and the specific gravity.

Referring to the results in Table XVII, it should be observed that Lot 1 were receiving clover-silage during the periods of sampling from January 14 to March 11, and a mixture of clover-silage and meadow-grass-silage when the samples of March 23 and March 25 were taken. They were also receiving meadow-grass-silage at the six periods of sampling to which the results given in Table XVIII refer. Lot 2, however, received mangels throughout.

TABLE XVIII.—COMPOSITION OF THE MORNING AND THE EVENING MILK OF THE COWS.
 Lot 1.—*Meadow-grass-silage.* Lot 2.—*Mangels.*

Dates.	Average yield of milk per head.		Specific gravity of the milk (corrected to 60° F.).			Total solids.			Butter-fat.			Mineral matter (ash).	
	A.M.	P.M.	Total.	A.M.	P.M.	Direct daily average.	A.M.	P.M.	Direct daily average.	A.M.	P.M.	Direct daily average.	P.M.
Lot 1.— <i>Meadow-grass-silage.</i>													
April 7	14 4	10 0	24 4	Sp. gr. 1·0333	Sp. gr. 1·0321	Sp. gr. 1·0328	P. cent. 12·29	P. cent. 12·67	P. cent. 12·45	P. cent. 3·03	P. cent. 3·61	P. cent. 3·27	P. cent. 0·71
" 9	13 6	9 2	22 8	1·0331	1·0328	1·0330	12·41	13·06	12·67	3·18	3·78	3·42	0·71
" 13	14 6	10 0	24 6	1·0331	1·0320	1·0326	12·18	12·68	12·39	2·99	3·64	3·26	0·73
" 15	15 9	10 12	26 5	1·0334	1·0325	1·0330	11·97	12·79	12·31	2·74	3·62	3·10	0·73
" 20	15 6	10 11	26 1	1·0322	1·0324	1·0323	11·82	12·79	12·22	2·86	3·64	3·18	0·67
" 22	15 15	10 10	26 9	1·0325	1·0323	1·0324	12·09	12·64	12·31	3·02	3·54	3·23	0·71
Mean ..	14 13	10 3	25 0	1·0329	1·0324	1·0327	12·13	12·77	12·39	2·97	3·64	3·24	0·71
Lot 2.— <i>Mangels.</i>													
April 7	14 13	11 1	25 14	1·0335	1·0339	1·0337	12·99	13·54	13·23	3·58	3·96	3·74	0·75
" 9	15 1	10 12	25 13	1·0344	1·0338	1·0341	12·85	13·43	13·09	3·26	3·89	3·52	0·74
" 13	14 11	10 13	25 8	1·0345	1·0339	1·0342	12·54	13·40	12·90	2·99	3·84	3·35	0·73
" 15	15 0	10 11	25 11	1·0344	1·0343	1·0344	12·64	13·49	12·99	3·08	3·82	3·39	0·75
" 20	16 5	11 12	28 1	1·0340	1·0339	1·0339	12·14	13·53	12·72	2·74	3·95	3·25	0·69
" 22	16 0	11 12	27 12	1·0341	1·0340	1·0341	12·73	13·39	13·01	3·22	3·81	3·47	0·74
Mean ..	15 5	11 2	26 7	1·0341	1·0340	1·0341	12·65	13·46	12·99	3·15	3·88	3·45	0·73

It is seen that the mean percentage of total solids in the 13 samples of the morning milk of the silage-fed cows, taken from January 14 to March 25, is 11·93 against 12·13, the mean of the six samples of their morning milk taken from April 7 to April 22. Again, the mean of the 13 samples of the morning milk of the mangel-fed cows, taken from January 14 to March 25, was 12·27, against 12·65 during the period from April 7 to April 22. There was thus, in both cases, an increase in the percentage of total solids as the season advanced. But, as the increase was even greater with the mangel- than with the silage-fed cows, it is obvious that the result is due to the season, and not, in the case of Lot 1, to the change from clover- to meadow-grass-silage.

Still confining attention to the results relating to the morning milk, which is well known to be poorer than evening milk, it is seen that at each of the 19 periods of sampling to which Tables XVII and XVIII refer, the milk of the mangel-fed cows shows a higher percentage of total solids than that of the silage-fed cows. It also shows, almost without exception, a slightly higher percentage of mineral matter.

Turning now to the more detailed composition, as recorded in Table XVIII, it is seen that the milk of both lots shows, on the average, indeed in nearly every individual case, a slightly lower specific gravity in the afternoon than in the morning. The afternoon milk shows, on the other hand, uniformly a considerably higher percentage of both total solids and butter-fat; and generally a somewhat higher percentage of mineral matter also. Thus, whilst the mean percentage of total solids in the morning milk of the silage-fed cows is 12·13, in their evening milk it is 12·77, or 0·64 higher; and whilst the mean percentage of total solids is 12·65 in the morning milk of the mangel-fed cows, it is 13·46, or 0·81 higher, in their evening milk. Again, whilst the mean percentage of butter-fat in the morning milk of the silage-fed cows is 2·97, in their evening milk it is 3·64, or 0·67 higher; and whilst the mean percentage of butter-fat in the morning milk of the mangel-fed cows is 3·15, in their evening milk it is 3·88, or 0·73 higher. It is thus seen that, in the case of both lots of cows, the higher percentage of total solids in the evening milk very closely corresponds with the increased amount of butter-fat in the evening milk.

The first three columns of Table XVIII, show the average yield of milk per head of each lot of cows, in the morning, in the evening, and for the whole day, at each of the six periods of the sampling and the analysis of the milk. It will be seen that there was little more than two-thirds as much of the richer evening milk as of the poorer morning milk.

The "*direct daily averages*," of specific gravity, and of the percentages of total solids, butter-fat, and mineral matter, as given in the

third column of the respective divisions of the table, are calculated from the quantities, and the composition, of the morning and the evening milk, respectively. According to these results, the average percentage of total solids in the total daily milk of the silage-fed cows was, over the six periods, 12·39, against 12·99, or 0·60 higher, in that of the mangel-fed cows. And the average percentage of butter-fat in the daily milk of the silage-fed cows is 3·24, against 3·45, or 0·21 higher, in the milk of the mangel-fed cows. There is thus less difference between the average amount of total solids in the milk of the two lots of cows, than there is between the morning and evening milk of the same cows; and there is very much less difference in the average amount of butter-fat in the milk of the two lots, than there is between the amount in the morning and the evening milk of the same cows. It may be added that the average percentages of total solids, and of butter-fat, agree very fairly with those given by Dr. Vieth for the average milk of the same period of the year; those of the silage-fed cows being somewhat below, and those of the mangel-fed cows above his average.

Upon the whole, the analytical results clearly show that the milk of the mangel-fed cows throughout contained higher amounts of both total solids, and butter-fat, than that of the silage-fed cows. Yet, quite consistently with the observation of others on the same point, the milk of our silage-fed cows was judged, both by colour and by taste, to be richer than that of the mangel-fed cows. The milk of the silage-fed cows possessed a slight, but not at all disagreeable, flavour, which may be described as *hayey*, and which could readily be detected by some, but not by others. The butter from the milk of the silage-fed cows was also much yellower than that from the milk of the mangel-fed cows; but there was no perceptible distinction between the two as to taste.

With these results we close the record of our silage experiments for the present.

SUMMARY, AND GENERAL CONCLUSIONS.

1. It would require a larger area of land to obtain a given quantity of dry substance of food in crops grown for ensilaging, than to obtain the same quantity in roots.

2. The substitution of ensilage-crops for roots on a large scale would necessitate a considerable change in the course of cropping. It would lessen the area under cleaning crops, and consequently lessen the area suitable for growing grain for the market.

3. Where ensilaging is only adopted instead of hay-making, it is improbable that it will be substituted for it entirely, and if only

partially, the process would only have a comparatively limited application. Or, if it be extended to the natural and artificial grasses usually fed on the land, an expensive mode of feeding would be substituted for an economical one.

4. Neither in the case of red-clover, nor in that of meadow-grass, was the loss of dry substance of food in the silo so great as has frequently been supposed. It was, so far as can be judged, much about the same as in a hay rick.

5. There was some total loss of nitrogenous substance; but there was a much larger amount of it degraded from the albuminoid condition to compounds incapable of forming the nitrogenous substances of animal increase, or of milk.

6. The results obtained do not confirm the conclusion that woody fibre of a given degree of induration is rendered more soluble, and consequently more digestible.

7. In a comparative experiment with fattening oxen, a given amount of dry substance in red-clover-silage was found to be practically equal to the same amount of dry substance in a mixture of clover-hay-chaff and swedes, given in the proportion of 12 parts chaff and 50 parts swedes.

8. The amount of dry substance of food required by a cow of say about 1200 lbs. live-weight, when in milk, will vary considerably according to the character of the food, the temperature to which the animal is exposed, its yield of milk, and other circumstances. But it will seldom be less than 25 lbs. per head per day, and will seldom exceed 30 lbs.

9. When a milking cow is supplied with fair proportions of chaff and roots, with fair proportions of purchased food, such as cake and bran, in addition, the home-grown food will approximately supply the requirements of the animal for mere sustenance, and approximately the whole of the purchased food will remain for milk production. It is a question for consideration, therefore, how far the supply of the purchased foods should be graduated according to the yield of milk of each individual cow.

10. In ordinary liberal feeding, food is always consumed in excess of the amount which, according to calculation, is actually required for sustenance, and for the production of fattening increase, or of milk.

11. Two or three times as much total dry substance, and six, seven, or more times, as much nitrogenous substance, will be contained in the milk of a cow yielding 3 gallons per day, as in the fattening increase of an ox of the same weight.

12. In a comparative experiment with milking cows, clover-silage was tried against mangels. Two lots of cows, of 20 each, had the same amounts of cake, bran, and chaff; one lot receiving besides, an

average of nearly 49 lbs. of clover-silage, and the other lot an average of nearly 86 lbs. of mangels per head per day. Over a period of 13 weeks, the cows having clover-silage yielded an average of 25 lbs. 12 ozs. of milk per head per day, and those having mangels gave an average of 27 lbs. 5 ozs. per head per day.

13. There was more nitrogenous substance, and rather more total dry substance, but more of this was woody fibre, in the quantity of clover-silage consumed, than in the mangels. The silage was, therefore, when given in such large proportion, less suitable for milk-production than the more succulent mangels. The result was that the cows on the silage showed more tendency to fatten; and, in fact, though giving less milk, they gained in live-weight, whilst the mangel-fed cows slightly lost in weight.

14. It is probable that, if a portion of the clover-silage had been replaced by an amount of mangels containing a corresponding quantity of dry matter, the yield of milk would have been greater, and the tendency to increase in live-weight would have been less.

15. In a second experiment with the two lots of cows of 20 each, and extending over six weeks, the same standard foods were given as before; but, in addition, the one lot now received meadow-grass-silage, and the other mangels as before. Compared with the clover-silage, the meadow-grass-silage would contain still more woody fibre, and it contained little more than two-thirds as much nitrogenous substance. It was accordingly found that the animals would not consume so much chaff, nor the whole of the silage given to them. Some mangels were therefore given with the silage, when they consumed it better. Both lots of cows slightly declined in yield of milk during this period, the silage-fed cows rather less than the others. There was, however, but little difference in the yield of the two lots; but whilst the silage-fed cows now lost in weight, the mangel-fed cows slightly gained.

16. When analysed, the milk of the mangel-fed cows invariably showed a higher percentage of both total solid matter, and butter-fat, than that of the silage-fed cows.

17. The afternoon milk of both lots of cows always gave higher percentages of both total solid matter, and butter-fat, than the morning milk; and the excess of solid matter in the afternoon milk very closely corresponded with its excess of fat.

18. There was more difference in the percentage of both total solid matter and fat, between the morning and the evening milk of the same lot of cows, than between the milk of the two lots taken at the same time of day.

19. There can be no doubt that good food may be preserved in a favourable state for future use by being properly ensilaged. But the

results obtained at Rothamsted do not favour the idea that produce which is itself not good food, can be made good food by being ensilaged.

20. Good ensilage, given in such amount as to supply the same quantity of dry substance as would be given in chaff and roots, is no doubt a very good food for fattening oxen.

21. Good ensilage, given in less proportion, and in conjunction with roots, with the ordinary dry foods in addition, is no doubt a very good food for milking cows.

22. In conclusion, it is hoped that the details which have been given of the first year's experiments on ensilage at Rothamsted, will afford some useful basis for the consideration of those who may be deliberating whether or not to adopt the system.