For Reference

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THIS ROOM

STUDIES ON THE GLUTEN PROTEINS

Robert Charles Rose
Department of Field Crops

University of Alberta

April, 1934

Ex LIBRIS
UNIVERSITATIS
ALBERTAENSIS

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Alberta Libraries

<https://archive.org/details/studiesonglutenp00rose>

STUDIES ON THE GLUTEN PROTEINS

Robert Charles Rose

Department of Field Crops

A THESIS

submitted to the University of Alberta
in partial fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

Edmonton, Alberta

April, 1934

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction	1
Review	3
Viscosity	3
Properties of proteins in acids, alkalis and neutral solutions ...	5
Heat denaturation	11
Experimental	15
I. Viscosity of gluten dispersions	15
Material	15
Source of gluten	15
Dispersing agents	15
Methods	15
Washing of gluten	15
Preparation of dispersions	16
Analysis of dispersions	18
Salting out tests	21
Hydrogen-ion concentration	21
Viscosity determination and standardization of viscometers ..	22
Results	23
Viscosity of media	23
Effect of storage temperature ...	24
The nature of the viscosity decrease	34

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
The order and velocity of reaction	37
Effect of hydrogen-ion concentration on viscosity	39
II. Effect of heat treatment on the viscosity of gluten dispersions	42
Material	42
Methods	42
Results	43
Heat decomposition in 30% urea solutions	43
Heat treatment of dispersions in 30% urea solutions	44
Heat treatment of dispersions in 12% sodium salicylate solutions .	55
Heat treatment of dispersions in 0.1 N acetic acid	58
Heat treatment of dispersions in 0.1 N sodium hydroxide	60
The nature of the viscosity decrease	65
The viscosity change in dispersions in 30% urea solutions	66
Discussion	69
Conclusions	72
Acknowledgment	74
References	74

STUDIES ON THE GLUTEN PROTEINS

Robert Charles Rose

INTRODUCTION

Gluten is a term applied to the tenacious mass which is obtained when the starch is washed from a firm dough of wheat flour. The composition of such wet gluten depends largely on the physical properties of the contained proteins, but when the flour is made from high grade, hard red spring wheat, the wet gluten is approximately 28% protein, 5% starch and other non-protein solids and 67% water. The gluten proteins are generally considered to be two in number: gliadin, an alcohol soluble protein or prolamine; and glutenin, classified as a glutelin, and defined as being insoluble in all neutral solvents although soluble in dilute acids and alkalis.

Although the physical properties of gluten determine, to a large extent, the baking quality of the flour from which it was obtained, the recorded data on the physical and chemical properties of the gluten proteins are confined almost entirely to studies involving dispersion

in acids, alkalis and alcohol. As these solvents are known to denature or otherwise alter the physical properties of other proteins, such as albumins, it seems likely that they would affect the gluten proteins in a similar manner.

Glutenin, although defined as insoluble in neutral solvents, has recently been shown to disperse in urea solutions, and also, as reported in this paper, in sodium salicylate solutions. The use of sodium salicylate has one advantage over the use of urea in that the protein content of the dispersion can be more easily determined. These neutral solvents, however, are also known to denature other proteins, but the relative extent of their effect on gluten, compared with that of acids and alkalis, is not known. These neutral solutions have at least one advantage over ~~that of~~ acids and alkalis in that they permit a study of gluten in its normal isoelectric condition, and although they may cause denaturation, it seems probable that such secondary changes as incipient hydrolysis would be avoided in these dispersion media.

The first part of this investigation is concerned with the viscosity changes which occur in gluten dispersed in sodium hydroxide, acetic acid, urea and sodium salicylate solutions. The object was to obtain information regarding the properties of dispersed gluten, and also the changes which occur during storage in these dispersion media.

A knowledge of these changes might give an indication of the best reagent for dispersing gluten for either chemical or physical studies. Furthermore, the conditions favoring a reasonably constant, reproducible viscosity had to be determined before undertaking further studies such as the effect of heat treatment.

There is a considerable body of indirect evidence to show that the gluten proteins are altered physically by subjecting wheat or flour to elevated temperatures. Yet when a dispersion of either gluten, gliadin or glutenin, is heated there is no evidence of coagulation similar to that which occurs when albumins are heated. The second part of this thesis covers a viscometric study of gluten, dispersed in various media, and subjected to various heat treatments.

REVIEW

Viscosity. The most characteristic property of a lyophilic colloid, such as a gluten dispersion, is its extremely high viscosity. This property can be measured relatively easily, but the high values obtained cannot be explained in terms of the theories and equations which apply to the viscosity of true solutions. In order to explain their low fluidity it has therefore been necessary

to assume that the colloidal particles are either highly hydrated (16, 17) or interlinked and connected to form a micellar structure (28). Kraemer (21) reviewed the literature on the viscosity of lyophilic colloids and concluded that, although solvation in a specific chemical sense is undoubtedly a primary factor in determining the swelling and aggregation of particles, the high viscosities are probably due to the mechanical immobilization of the dispersion medium by the presence of bulky aggregates, or by elongated particles that increase resistance to shear through mutual entanglement. Lyophilic colloid solutions also differ from true solutions in that the observed viscosity varies with the rate of shear, high shearing stresses giving lower values. The viscosity coefficients of such material are often termed "apparent viscosities".

As different types of viscometers, and even similar types of different structural dimensions, have different rates of shear, it is obvious that comparable results can only be obtained with viscometers which are practically identical in size and shape. Moreover, a large range of viscosities cannot be measured in the same viscometer as the time factor, i.e. the rate of shear, varies appreciably. In view of these considerations the British Engineering Standards Association (8) has adopted a series of standard U-tube viscometers for the

determination of viscosity in absolute units, each one to be used only within a definite range. When such viscometers are used the viscosity can be justifiably and more conveniently expressed in absolute than in relative units, and this facilitates comparisons between the results obtained with viscometers covering different ranges. The poise is a large unit, but if the centipoise is used this objection cannot be raised as the viscosity of water at 20°C. is approximately one centipoise.

Properties of proteins in acids, alkalis and neutral solutions. Much of the present information and theory concerning the properties of native proteins has been derived from experiments with readily soluble proteins such as albumins and globulins. These proteins may lose their original solubility through the action of acids, alkalis, alcohols, certain salts and other reagents, heat, ultra-violet light and agitation, and this loss of solubility is termed denaturation. It is doubtful if the various forms of denaturation represent identical changes, but the classical theory of denaturation is that it is a chemical change followed by flocculation.

Osborne's (29) classical work on gluten led to the conclusion that it was composed of two proteins: an alcohol soluble fraction, gliadin; and an alkali soluble fraction, glutenin. Recent evidence (10, 18, 30), however, indicates that gluten can be fractionated into a series

of proteins, differing in chemical and physical properties.

Gluten dispersed in a relatively strong dispersing agent such as dilute alkali could hardly be expected to behave in a similar manner to the albumins. Heating the dispersion does not produce a coagulum, and although standing at room temperature for several days may yield a precipitate, this does not necessarily indicate true denaturation since the insoluble material may be the result of secondary changes in the protein.

Many workers have prepared glutenin after dispersion in alkali. Among the more recent, Hoffman and Gortner (19) used 0.5% sodium hydroxide and Larmour (22), 0.2% sodium hydroxide. Hoffman and Gortner used a Sharples supercentrifuge to remove the impurities, and they reported that the centrifuged material was practically water clear. Blish and Sandstedt (7) showed that, in the temporary dispersion of glutenin in alkali, there was always an irreversible alteration of the physical and chemical properties of the protein, the extent of the changes partially depending on the concentration of the alkali. Later these investigators (30) stated that alkali accomplished the complete dispersion of gluten protein in a short time, and the dispersions could be filtered water clear without loss of protein. On neutralization most of the protein could be recovered, but its original coherence and elasticity were lost.

In an attempt to find a more satisfactory dispersion medium for the preparation and purification of glutenin, Blish and Sandstedt (7) investigated the use of dilute acetic acid. In a later paper they (30) stated that wet gluten could be completely dispersed in acetic acid of almost any concentration. They used 0.05 to 0.1 N. On neutralization the gluten could be obtained in its original cohesive and elastic form and redispersion could be repeated several times without noticeably changing the physical properties of the gluten. This apparently harmless dispersion, in contrast to the destructive alteration by dilute alkali, was taken to indicate the superiority of acetic acid as a dispersing agent. The dispersions in dilute acetic acid could not be filtered, and some protein was thrown out by a Sharples supercentrifuge.

Further information on the action of acids and alkalis on proteins is reported by Svedberg and his associates who investigated the pH stability region of a number of proteins by means of the ultracentrifuge. Svedberg and Nichols (32) found carbon monoxide hemoglobin to be stable at least from pH 6.0 to 9.05. At pH 9.05 the hemoglobin molecule appeared to hold a monomolecular layer of water at its surface. Svedberg and Sjögren (33) found Bence-Jones protein to be stable from pH 3.5 to 7.5, but below pH 3.5 the molecules broke into a non-centrifugible

substance, and above pH 7.5 slight alkaline hydrolysis set in. Svedberg and Stamm (34) found that edestin was unstable on the acid side of its isoelectric point, there being definite dissociation at pH 3.6. Above its isoelectric point edestin was stable as high as pH 9.7 but dissociated at pH 11.3. Speakman and Hirst (31) found that wool keratin was stable at least from pH 4.0 to 7.0, but above and below this range it was less stable than at its isoelectric point. These results show that both acids and alkalis may affect the physical properties of proteins.

Loughlin and Lewis (27) found the viscosity of solutions of undenatured and of denatured egg albumin and oxyhemoglobin to be independent of pH provided that no flocculation occurred. They varied the pH of undenatured egg albumin from 1.97 to 7.61; of denatured, from 2.12 to 2.90; of undenatured 3% oxyhemoglobin from 4.58 to 8.21; and of denatured, from 2.38 to 5.07. The measurements on undenatured protein were made 10 to 15 minutes after adjusting the hydrogen-ion concentration. The viscosity of denatured protein could not be studied near its isoelectric point as precipitation occurred. Loughlin and Lewis (27) and Loughlin (26) concluded that no electro-viscous term need be taken into account in the case of egg albumin or oxyhemoglobin.

The neutral solvents known to disperse gluten include 30% urea solution which was used by Cook and

Alsberg (11) for the preparation of glutenin. Gortner, Hoffman and Sinclair (15) showed that a normal solution of potassium iodide would extract approximately 64% of the protein from wheat flours. Cook and Alsberg were unable to obtain complete dispersion of gluten in 30% potassium iodide, and consequently abandoned the use of lyotropic salts as dispersing agents for gluten. As far as the author is aware, the use of sodium salicylate solution as a neutral solvent for gluten has never been reported although Anson and Mirsky (2) showed that sodium salicylate would disperse denatured hemoglobin.

Regarding the extent of denaturation in these neutral reagents Cook and Alsberg (11) reviewed the literature on the denaturing effect of urea, and pointed out that there appear to be fewer progressive secondary changes in protein dispersed in urea solution than in acid or alkali solution. The dispersions in 30% urea solutions could not be filtered, but could be centrifuged in a Sharples supercentrifuge. Cook (10) studied the viscosity of an approximately 3% gluten dispersion in 30% urea solution stored at 5°C. after allowing 40 hours for complete dispersion. He showed that over a period of 101 hours after this initial 40 hours the viscosity remained essentially constant. This indicated that urea causes no further change in the protein after complete dispersion has occurred.

Anson and Mirsky (2) showed that when hemoglobin was allowed to stand in urea solution the viscosity increased as denaturation proceeded, but finally reached a high constant value when all the protein was converted into a form which was insoluble when the urea was removed, or as they suggested, when denaturation was complete. Later, these workers (5) showed that the addition to saturation of solid urea to viscous solutions of denatured egg albumin resulted in a lowering of the viscosity. They were of the opinion that this lowering was due to the solubility effect of urea and were there no such effect, the addition of urea would increase the viscosity.

Anson and Mirsky (2) also demonstrated that acid, alkali, potassium thiocyanate, potassium iodide and sodium salicylate, like urea all convert hemoglobin into an insoluble form, but even at its isoelectric point denatured hemoglobin can be dissolved, not only in concentrated urea solutions, but also in concentrated potassium thiocyanate, potassium iodide and sodium salicylate solutions.

It is evident that the dispersing agents used in this study, namely, dilute sodium hydroxide, dilute acetic acid, concentrated urea and concentrated sodium salicylate solutions, all denature proteins, and it cannot be said which one alters the properties of the gluten proteins least. In connection with the action of dispersing agents, Cook and Alsberg (11) expressed the opinion that if proteins,

such as glutenin, which are not dispersed by mild neutral solvents, obtained their insolubility from their state of aggregation, and if dispersion resulted in the breaking up of these aggregates, then all such proteins when dispersed would be denatured.

Heat denaturation. The generally accepted view of heat denaturation is that of Chick and Martin (9) who studied the heat coagulation of egg albumin. These investigators concluded that denaturation proper is a chemical process which in the heat coagulable proteins is followed by flocculation, a strictly colloidal phenomenon which takes place most rapidly at the isoelectric point of the protein. They consider it incorrect to speak of protein as having any particular "coagulation temperature", but rather that the process is a chemical reaction with a very high temperature coefficient.

Bancroft and Rutzler (6) reported that the extraction of aqueous solutions of egg whites with ether prevented the coagulation of egg albumin by alcohol and heat. They concluded that ether prevented denaturation by some colloidal mechanism and that denaturation was a colloidal phenomenon.

Lewis (23, 24) found that the velocity of heat denaturation of oxyhemoglobin and of egg albumin was minimal when the hydrogen and hydroxyl ion concentrations were sensibly equal. He (25) also found that high salt

concentrations decreased the rate of denaturation of oxyhemoglobin. The reaction was unimolecular. The critical increment was 77,500 calories in the case of oxyhemoglobin and 130,000 calories in the case of egg albumin. He suggested that a possible mechanism consists of a localized hydrolysis of relatively labile links situated at various points on a large, heavily hydrated molecular unit.

Loughlin and Lewis (27) studied egg albumin and oxyhemoglobin and showed that the viscosity of the (heat) denatured protein was higher in each case than that of the undenatured. They showed by dilatometer measurements that no change in net volume occurred on denaturation. Loughlin and Lewis (27) and Loughlin (26) concluded that denaturation involves a distension of each individual protein unit, accompanied by an increase in the amount of water associated therewith, so that the volume of each unit is approximately doubled. This conclusion, as they pointed out, is in accordance with the well known fact that heat denaturation will not occur in the absence of water. Loughlin and Lewis (27) stated, "Briefly the process of denaturation from the physical standpoint consists in a change from the molecular to the micellar state (without aggregation, however) on the part of each protein unit."

Although, for many years, denaturation was considered an irreversible process, this view is not now

generally held. Anson and Mirsky (3) concluded that denatured globin could be restored to its original state, and (4) that the denaturation of serum albumin could be reversed. Bancroft and Rutzler (6) stated that immunobiological tests for species specificity and isoelectric point measurements have shown that coagulated and reprecipitated egg white sols are identical with the original.

Geddes (12, 13, 14) reviewed the literature on the effect of heat on wheat and wheat flour. The effect is of economic importance as mild heat treatment may improve the baking quality of flour whereas excessive heat treatment ruins the flour. He (14) concluded that the influence of heat as reflected by baking quality is detrimental to the gluten, but markedly decreases the deleterious effects of the germ constituents of the flour.

Alsberg and Griffing (1) studied the swelling of gluten disks in dilute acid after 30 minutes of heat treatment. As the temperature increased from 50° to 80°C., the swelling power became less, and except from 60° to 65°C. where the rate of decrease in swelling power was greatest, the alteration was approximately proportional to the temperature. At temperatures from 30° to 50°C. the results indicated that the swelling power was increased. Except for the greater decrease between 60° and 65°C. there was no indication of a coagulation temperature.

Cook (10) showed that the viscosity of an approximately 3% gluten dispersion in 30% urea solution decreased rapidly for the first hour on heat treatment at 70°C., and that thereafter a further but much slower viscosity decrease resulted. Cook also heat treated gliadin of normal moisture content, at 70°C. After treatment the gliadin was dried in vacuum at room temperature and dispersed in 60% alcohol. The viscosity of the solution of gliadin that had been treated 6 hours was not significantly different than that of the control samples. Cook studied the effect of heat treatment at 70°C. on the viscosity of gliadin dispersed in 30% urea solutions. Only in one case was the decrease in viscosity in 1.5 hours over 6% of the initial viscosity, whereas with gluten dispersed in urea solutions the decrease in 1.5 hours was 17.4% of the initial viscosity. These results were taken to indicate that glutenin is the component of gluten more easily altered by heat.

which from

There is a small lake in the vicinity of the

headquarters of the expedition in the lower valley

of the river. The lake is very small and is

very shallow. It is only a few feet deep

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

and is very muddy. The water is very muddy

EXPERIMENTAL

I. VISCOSITY OF GLUTEN DISPERSIONS

Material

Source of gluten. The flour, from which the gluten was obtained, was milled in the laboratory from a high-grade sample of Marquis, a variety of hard red spring wheat. The flour was free from bleaching agents and chemical improvers.

Dispersing agents. Merck's C.P. reagents were used.

Methods

Washing of gluten. The flour was weighed out, mixed into a firm dough and allowed to stand for 1 hour in about 4 times its weight of distilled water. It was then washed under a stream of tap water flowing at approximately 75 cc. per minute. When 250 grams of flour were used this washing was continued for 30 minutes under tap water and 10 minutes under distilled water.

With other quantities the time of washing was varied in accordance with the size of sample.

Preparation of dispersions. The average of several determinations showed the wet gluten to contain about 4.3% nitrogen and 67% water. In the preparation of dispersions in urea solutions the desired amount of wet gluten was put in an erlenmeyer flask containing 30% urea solution plus enough solid urea to bring the water in the wet gluten up to 30% urea solution. In all the dispersions, except where otherwise stated, an amount of urea-buffer solution equal to one-fourth the sum of the weights of 30% urea solution, solid urea and wet gluten was added before the wet gluten. This urea-buffer solution was made up of 7 cc. of solution 1 containing 9.078 grams of potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH_2PO_4) in 200 cc. of 30% urea solution, and 3 cc. of solution 2 containing 9.474 grams of anhydrous disodium hydrogen phosphate (Na_2HPO_4) in 200 cc. of 30% urea. This gave the desired pH of 6.8. It will be observed that these concentrations are 5 times those given by Sorensen. The concentration recommended by Sorensen was obtained on adding 1 part of this buffer to 4 parts of the dispersion.

In preliminary experiments with sodium salicylate, 5, 8, 10, 12, 15 and 20% solutions were used. All of these, except the 5% solution, dispersed the gluten completely, but

with these specimens for the purpose of making the series
continuous with the rest of the series.

Continuation of the series. The series of

several specimens found in the series of

about 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches. In the specimens of

disposition is very different from the other series in that

there was but in the specimens from the series of

isolation of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches in the

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches. In all the specimens

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches.

In the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

of the series of 4.50 inches and 5.00 inches, the series of

the 12% appeared to act most rapidly. The 8% and 10% solutions were almost as rapid as the 12%, the 15% was slightly slower and the 20% solution definitely slower. A concentration of 12% was adopted for further work. Solid reagent was added to correct for the water in the wet gluten. No buffer was used.

In the preparation of dispersions in acid and alkali 0.1 N acetic acid and 0.1 N sodium hydroxide were used. In neither case was any concentrated reagent added to correct for the water in the wet gluten nor was any buffer added.

Immediately after adding the gluten to the dispersing agent, the erlenmeyer flask, the capacity of which was approximately three times the volume of dispersion, was shaken vigorously for about 5 minutes and about 1 minute in every 5 thereafter. During this period the material was kept at 25°C. The approximate times required for dispersion were: in urea, 1 hour; in sodium salicylate, 3 hours; in acetic acid, 1.5 hours and in sodium hydroxide, 2.5 hours.

The dispersions were centrifuged in a Sharples supercentrifuge at a rate of about 50 cc. per minute. This completely removed the starch, but caused little loss of protein. In all cases, except where otherwise stated, the centrifuging of the dispersions in urea, acetic acid and sodium hydroxide was begun when the

The 100 samples of soil were collected from the 100 and 200
meters were about 100 to 150 cm. The soil was
collected from the 100 and 200 meters depth. The
concentration of the soil was about 100 to 200 mg.
The 100 samples were about 100 to 150 cm. The soil was
collected from the 100 and 200 meters depth. The
concentration of the soil was about 100 to 200 mg.

In the preparation of the soil, the soil was
collected from the 100 and 200 meters depth. The
concentration of the soil was about 100 to 200 mg.
The 100 samples were about 100 to 150 cm. The soil was
collected from the 100 and 200 meters depth. The
concentration of the soil was about 100 to 200 mg.

Immediately after the collection of the soil, the
concentration of the soil was about 100 to 200 mg.
The 100 samples were about 100 to 150 cm. The soil was
collected from the 100 and 200 meters depth. The
concentration of the soil was about 100 to 200 mg.
The 100 samples were about 100 to 150 cm. The soil was
collected from the 100 and 200 meters depth. The
concentration of the soil was about 100 to 200 mg.

The 100 samples were about 100 to 150 cm. The soil was
collected from the 100 and 200 meters depth. The
concentration of the soil was about 100 to 200 mg.
The 100 samples were about 100 to 150 cm. The soil was
collected from the 100 and 200 meters depth. The
concentration of the soil was about 100 to 200 mg.

dispersions were 3 hours old, i.e. 3 hours after adding the gluten; and that of those in sodium salicylate when 4 hours old.

After centrifuging, the centrifuged dispersions were stored at 25°C.

Analysis of dispersions. The determination of the protein nitrogen content of the dispersions in urea solutions involved the quantitative precipitation of the protein from a known weight of dispersion, complete removal of the urea from this precipitate and the determination of the amount of nitrogen in the precipitate.

The precipitating reagent used was a freshly prepared solution consisting of 50 gm. of tannic acid and 25 cc. of concentrated sulphuric acid per liter of solution. Preliminary experiments showed that trichloroacetic acid did not precipitate all the proteins and as this tannic acid reagent proved satisfactory no other protein precipitants were tried.

The procedure was as follows: 40 cc. of the tannic acid reagent was placed in a 100 cc. centrifuge tube and sufficient distilled water added so that, on adding the dispersion, the total volume was about 100 cc. The dispersion was added from a 10 cc. graduated cylinder, the weight of the dispersion being obtained by weighing the cylinder on an analytical balance before and after emptying. Sufficient dispersion was used to give

approximately 50 mgm. of protein nitrogen. After stirring thoroughly, the precipitate was centrifuged out and the liquid decanted. If the dispersion had no foam, little trouble was experienced with particles floating. The precipitate was washed 3 times by adding 5 to 7 cc. of tannic acid reagent, breaking the precipitate up thoroughly with a stirring rod, diluting, while stirring, to about 100 cc. with water and finally centrifuging. After washing, the precipitate was rinsed into a Kjeldahl flask with water and the tube washed first with the concentrated sulphuric acid used for digestion and then with water.

This procedure was tested by analysing dispersions containing a known amount of wet gluten, the nitrogen content of which was determined by the Kjeldahl method. Table I gives the results of these tests. These indicate that the method gives good results, the maximum difference between averages being 0.10 in 8.32 or 1.2%.

In the case of gluten dispersed in sodium salicylate, acetic acid and sodium hydroxide solutions the dispersion was transferred directly to a Kjeldahl flask from a 10 cc. graduated cylinder, the weight of dispersion being obtained by weighing the cylinder on an analytical balance before and after emptying.

The concentrations of gluten are expressed as mgm. of protein nitrogen per gm. of dispersion. This was more easily determined than mgm. per cc. as the dispersions were

too viscous to be pipetted accurately. It also enabled all dilutions to be made by weight rather than by volume as would otherwise have been necessary.

Table I

Recovery of protein nitrogen from dispersions of gluten in 30% urea solutions

Concentration of dispersion % protein (N x 5.7)	Nitrogen content of wet gluten %	Factor used in calculating concentration of dispersion*	Concentration of dispersion mgm. of N per gm.	
			Calculated [†]	Determined
	Av.			Av.
2.75	4.27	1.135		4.82
	4.29			4.83
	4.27			4.84
	4.38 4.30		4.88	4.83
4.60	4.26	1.880		8.10
	4.18			8.00
	4.23			8.12
	4.44 4.28		8.05	8.07
4.69	4.32	1.913		8.22
	4.39			8.21
	4.35 4.35		8.32	8.22 8.22
5.71	4.66	2.159		10.12
	4.59			9.94
	4.70			10.00
	4.64 4.65		10.04	10.02 10.02
7.59	4.23	3.233		13.33
	4.26			13.30
	4.01			
	4.12 4.15		13.42	13.32

* Factor = $\frac{\text{weight gluten} \times 10}{\text{weight dispersion}}$

[†] Calculated concentration = nitrogen content of wet gluten (%) x factor.

Salting out tests. In order to obtain some insight into the processes responsible for the viscosity changes observed in experiments to be described later, salting out tests were conducted on gluten dispersed in 30% urea solutions. According to present theories a viscosity decrease must be due to dehydration (16, 17) or to a breaking up of aggregates (28). If dehydration occurred, the amount of protein precipitated by the addition of a limited quantity of salt would increase, but if a breaking up of the aggregates occurred then the amount would decrease. The method was as follows: a definite quantity of 20% magnesium sulphate (anhydrous) solution was slowly added with shaking to 10 cc. of dispersion. After standing 1 hour at room temperature the precipitate was centrifuged out, washed once with magnesium sulphate urea solution of the same composition as the medium in which precipitation occurred, and then with water. As it was not known whether this precipitate could be washed urea free, the precipitate was redispersed in 30% urea solution and the resulting dispersion analysed for protein nitrogen by the tannic acid precipitation method described before.

Hydrogen-ion concentration. This determination was made at room temperature using a Clark hydrogen electrode, saturated potassium chloride and a Leeds and Northrup Type K potentiometer.

Viscosity determination and standardization of viscometers. All viscosity measurements were made in Standard U-tube viscometers (B.E.S.A.). These were standardized with water and sucrose solutions, using the values given in the International Critical Tables (20) at the temperatures $\pm 0.02^{\circ}\text{C}$. stated. All densities were determined at the same temperatures using 25 cc. specific gravity bottles with drilled stoppers. In weighing, corrections were applied for the buoyancy of air.

Table II gives the results of this standardization of viscometers. The greatest deviation occurs with viscometer 11 where the difference between the highest and lowest value of η/dt . is 7 in 900.

All viscosity and density determinations on gluten dispersions were made at $25 \pm 0.02^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Table II
Standardization of viscometers

Visco- meter	B.E.S.A. range centipoise	Sucrose % %	Temper- ature °C.	Viscosity centipoise	Density gm/cc.	Time of flow sec.	η /dt.
11	0.9-7.2	0	25	0.8949	0.99704	99.8	.00899
		20		1.710	1.0792	177.3	.00894
		40		5.206	1.1742	492.3	.00901
						Av.	.00898
12	0.9-7.2	0	25			92.6	.00969
		20				164.0	.00966
		40				457.2	.00970
						Av.	.00968
21	5.4-43	40	25	5.206	1.1742	109.1	.0406
		40	20	6.223	1.1765	130.8	.0404
		60	30	34.01	1.2817	654.2	.0406
		60	25	44.02	1.2835	847.3	.0405
						Av.	.0405
22	5.4-43	40	25			116.4	.0381
		40	20			139.2	.0380
		60	30			697.6	.0380
		60	25			904.9	.0379
						Av.	.0380
23	5.4-43	40	25			105.2	.0421
		40	20			125.2	.0422
		60	30			629.1	.0422
		60	25			815.4	.0421
						Av.	.0422

Results

Viscosity of media. The viscosity of buffered 30% urea solution, and of 12% sodium salicylate was 1.22; that of 0.1 N acetic acid, 0.90; and that of 0.1 N sodium

TABLE II
ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

Run No.	Time (hr)	Temperature (°C)	Pressure (mm Hg)	Flow Rate (ml/min)	Concentration (g/l)	Yield (%)
1	0.5	25.0	760.0	1.0	0.1	10
2	1.0	25.0	760.0	1.0	0.2	20
3	1.5	25.0	760.0	1.0	0.3	30
4	2.0	25.0	760.0	1.0	0.4	40
5	2.5	25.0	760.0	1.0	0.5	50
6	3.0	25.0	760.0	1.0	0.6	60
7	3.5	25.0	760.0	1.0	0.7	70
8	4.0	25.0	760.0	1.0	0.8	80
9	4.5	25.0	760.0	1.0	0.9	90
10	5.0	25.0	760.0	1.0	1.0	100
11	5.5	25.0	760.0	1.0	1.1	110
12	6.0	25.0	760.0	1.0	1.2	120
13	6.5	25.0	760.0	1.0	1.3	130
14	7.0	25.0	760.0	1.0	1.4	140
15	7.5	25.0	760.0	1.0	1.5	150
16	8.0	25.0	760.0	1.0	1.6	160
17	8.5	25.0	760.0	1.0	1.7	170
18	9.0	25.0	760.0	1.0	1.8	180
19	9.5	25.0	760.0	1.0	1.9	190
20	10.0	25.0	760.0	1.0	2.0	200

TABLE III

ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

At 1.0 g/l of solid, the yield was 100% at 10.0 hr. At 0.5 g/l of solid, the yield was 50% at 5.0 hr. At 0.1 g/l of solid, the yield was 10% at 1.0 hr.

hydroxide, 0.92 centipoises. The International Critical Tables (20) give the relative viscosity of 0.1 N sodium hydroxide at 25°C. as 1.023 which equals 0.92 centipoises. The viscosity of the other solutions is not given.

Effect of storage temperature. Before investigating the effect of any treatment on the viscosity of the dispersions, the viscosity changes which occur during storage had to be studied in order to determine the conditions favoring a reasonably constant viscosity over a period of several days. Preliminary experiments employing 30% urea solutions showed that complete dispersion could not be effected in this reagent at 0°C. At 25°C. the viscosity of the dispersions decreased, at first rapidly and later more slowly. The viscosity of a dispersion stored in ice when only 2 or 3 hours old, continued to decrease, but if the sample were first stored at 25°C. for several hours and then at 0°C., the viscosity remained essentially constant.

To study the effect of storage in urea solution at 0°C. after a preliminary period at 25°C., a dispersion was prepared in the manner previously described except that the dispersion was centrifuged when 1.2 hours old and buffered after centrifuging. The centrifuged dispersion was stored at 25°C. and portions put in ice after 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 hours. The viscosity change of each portion

was followed for a period of several days. The concentration of gluten in this dispersion was 5.07 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gram of dispersion. This type of experiment was only carried out using this one concentration.

The results are given in Fig. 1. From these it was concluded that an initial storage of 8 hours at 25°C. with subsequent storage at 0°C. would give a reasonably constant viscosity. Consequently this procedure was adopted in the preparation of dispersions of approximately this concentration in urea solutions for heat treatment studies. The results, given in Table III, show that the pH increased slightly during this storage at 0°C., but from data presented later (Table XVIII) it can be seen that these small changes in pH would not affect the viscosity.

Table III

pH of dispersions in 30% urea solution stored at 0°C. after initial storage at 25°C.

Duration of storage at 25°C. hr.	Duration of storage at 0°C.		
	Nil	11 days	19 days
2	--	6.86	6.93
4	6.83	6.89	6.95
6	--	6.89	6.95
8	--	--	--
10	--	6.90	6.96
12	--	6.90	6.95

Fig. 1 - Viscosity of gluten in 30% urea solution stored at 0°C. after initial periods at 25°C.

The results in Tables IV and V show the effect of storage at 0°C. on the viscosity of more concentrated dispersions. In these the viscosity showed a definite increase.

Table IV

Viscosity of gluten dispersed in 30% urea solution stored at 0°C. after 4 hours at 25°C.

Conc. = 10.7 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gm. of dispersion.

	Age		Viscosity centipoise
	day	hr.	
		4	15.0
1		9.7	16.9
2		1.8	17.4
3		1.5	18.0

Table V

Viscosity of gluten dispersed in 30% urea solution stored at 0°C. after 24 hours at 25°C.

Conc. = 10.0 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gm. of dispersion.

	Age		Viscosity centipoise
	day	hr.	
1			10.6
1		7	10.9
2		1.8	11.2
3		1.5	11.3

The results of the tests are shown in Table 1. The results show that the rate of reaction is a function of the concentration of the reactants. The rate of reaction increases with increasing concentration of the reactants.

Table 1

Reaction of ethyl acetate with sodium hydroxide at 25°C. The results are shown in Table 1.

Conc. = 0.1 M. of sodium hydroxide and 0.1 M. of ethyl acetate.

Time, min.	Conc. of ethyl acetate, M.	
	0.1	0.2
0.0	0.1	0.1
0.1	0.07	0.14
0.2	0.06	0.16
0.3	0.05	0.18

Table 2

Reaction of ethyl acetate with sodium hydroxide at 25°C. The results are shown in Table 2.

Conc. = 0.1 M. of sodium hydroxide and 0.1 M. of ethyl acetate.

Time, min.	Conc. of ethyl acetate, M.	
	0.1	0.2
0.0	0.1	0.1
0.1	0.07	0.14
0.2	0.06	0.16
0.3	0.05	0.18

The results presented in Tables VI, VII and VIII show the effect of storage at 25°C. on the viscosity of dispersions in 30% urea solutions. The dispersion, the viscosity of which is reported in Table VII, was centrifuged when 5 hours old.

Table VI

Viscosity of gluten dispersed in 30% urea solution stored at 25°C.

Conc. = 5.24 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gm. of dispersion.

Age day	hr.	Viscosity centipoise	K x 10 ³	pH
	4	4.72		
	5	4.57	3.10	
	6	4.43	3.54	
	7	4.34	2.76	
	8	4.25	3.30	6.80
	10	4.12	3.18	
	12	4.05	2.39	
	14	4.01	1.76	
	20	3.90	2.89	
1		3.87	2.89	
1	4	3.85		
1	10	3.84		
1	22	3.83		
2	12	3.81		
3		3.80		
4		3.79		
5		3.77		
6		3.76		
7	12	3.75		
9		3.72		
10		3.73		6.98

The results obtained in Table IV, for the
series of tests at 1000°C, are shown in Table V.
The results for the series of tests at 1000°C,
are shown in Table V. The results for the series
of tests at 1000°C, are shown in Table V.

TABLE V

RESULTS OF TESTS AT 1000°C

Series of tests at 1000°C, are shown in Table V.

Test No.	Temperature, °C	Time, min	Weight, g	Volume, cc
1	1000	10	1.00	1.00
2	1000	20	1.00	1.00
3	1000	30	1.00	1.00
4	1000	40	1.00	1.00
5	1000	50	1.00	1.00
6	1000	60	1.00	1.00
7	1000	70	1.00	1.00
8	1000	80	1.00	1.00
9	1000	90	1.00	1.00
10	1000	100	1.00	1.00
11	1000	110	1.00	1.00
12	1000	120	1.00	1.00
13	1000	130	1.00	1.00
14	1000	140	1.00	1.00
15	1000	150	1.00	1.00
16	1000	160	1.00	1.00
17	1000	170	1.00	1.00
18	1000	180	1.00	1.00
19	1000	190	1.00	1.00
20	1000	200	1.00	1.00

Table VII

Viscosity of gluten dispersed in 30% urea solution
stored at 25°C.

Conc. = 9.48 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gm. of dispersion.

Age day	hr.	Viscosity centipoise	K x 10 ³
	6.3	12.4	
	7	12.2	3.68
	8	11.9	4.79
	10	11.6	3.38
	12	11.3	
	22	11.0	
1	23	11.1	

Table VIII

Viscosity of gluten dispersed in 30% urea solution
stored at 25°C.

Conc. = 10.7 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gm. of dispersion.

Age day	hr.	Viscosity centipoise	K x 10 ³
	4	15.0	
	5	14.4	4.37
	6	14.0	3.72
	7	13.7	3.45
	8	13.5	2.77
	9	13.3	3.34
	10	13.2	
	12.1	13.0	
	16.2	12.7	
	20	12.6	
	23	12.6	
1		12.6	
1	6.5	12.4	
2	2.5	12.6	
2	8.5	12.8	
2	23.5	12.9	

Table VI

Viscosity of liquid nitrogen at 77° K. and 1 atm. pressure.

Temp. = 77° K. at liquid nitrogen level (100 mm. Hg).

Temp. (°C.)	Viscosity (poise)	Temp. (°C.)
-196.0	0.10	-196.0
-196.5	0.11	-196.5
-197.0	0.12	-197.0
-197.5	0.13	-197.5
-198.0	0.14	-198.0
-198.5	0.15	-198.5
-199.0	0.16	-199.0
-199.5	0.17	-199.5
-200.0	0.18	-200.0

Table VII

Viscosity of liquid nitrogen at 77° K. and 1 atm. pressure.

Temp. = 77° K. at liquid nitrogen level (100 mm. Hg).

Temp. (°C.)	Viscosity (poise)	Temp. (°C.)
-196.0	0.10	-196.0
-196.5	0.11	-196.5
-197.0	0.12	-197.0
-197.5	0.13	-197.5
-198.0	0.14	-198.0
-198.5	0.15	-198.5
-199.0	0.16	-199.0
-199.5	0.17	-199.5
-200.0	0.18	-200.0
-196.0	0.10	-196.0
-196.5	0.11	-196.5
-197.0	0.12	-197.0
-197.5	0.13	-197.5
-198.0	0.14	-198.0
-198.5	0.15	-198.5
-199.0	0.16	-199.0
-199.5	0.17	-199.5
-200.0	0.18	-200.0

The results in Tables IX and X show the effect of storage at 25°C. on the viscosity of dispersions in 12% sodium salicylate solutions.

Table IX

Viscosity of gluten dispersed in 12% sodium salicylate stored at 25°C.

Conc. = 5.08 mgm. of nitrogen per gm. of dispersion.

Age day	hr.	Viscosity centipoise	pH
	5	4.20	
	10	4.08	
	22	4.02	
1	10	4.02	
1	22	4.05	
2	8	4.08	
2	22	4.06	6.90
3	22	4.08	
5	3	4.08	
6	5	4.08	

Table X

Viscosity of gluten dispersed in 12% sodium salicylate stored at 25°C.

Conc. = 10.7 mgm. of nitrogen per gm. of dispersion.

Age day	hr.	Viscosity centipoise	pH
	5	16.8	
	10	17.5	
	22	18.1	
1	10	18.8	
1	22	19.7	
2	8	20.2	
2	22	20.6	
3	22	21.0	
5	3	21.3	
6	5	21.8	
8		22.0	6.87

The results given in Tables XI, XII and XIII show the effect of storage at 25°C. on the viscosity of dispersions in 0.1 N acetic acid.

Table XI

Viscosity of gluten dispersed in 0.1 N acetic acid stored at 25°C.

Conc. = 5.17 mgm. of nitrogen per gm. of dispersion.

Age day	hr.	Viscosity centipoise	K x 10 ³	pH
	4	3.68		
	5	3.51	1.47	
	6.2	3.37	1.09	
	8	3.13	1.41	
	10	2.94	1.16	
	21	2.32	1.01	
1		2.24		
1	4	2.15		
1	12	2.00		
1	22	1.89		
2	4	1.87		
2	10	1.81		
2	22	1.75		3.64
3	5	1.72		
3	22	1.57		

THE RESULTS OF THE ANALYSIS OF THE
 SAMPLES OF THE SUBSTANCE IN THE
 LABORATORY OF THE U.S. BUREAU OF MINES.

TABLE I

ANALYSIS OF A SAMPLE OF THE SUBSTANCE
 IN THE LABORATORY OF THE U.S. BUREAU OF MINES.
 ANALYSIS OF THE SUBSTANCE IN THE LABORATORY OF THE U.S. BUREAU OF MINES.

ANALYSIS	PERCENTAGE	PERCENTAGE	PERCENTAGE
1.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
0.99	99.00	99.00	99.00
0.98	98.00	98.00	98.00
0.97	97.00	97.00	97.00
0.96	96.00	96.00	96.00
0.95	95.00	95.00	95.00
0.94	94.00	94.00	94.00
0.93	93.00	93.00	93.00
0.92	92.00	92.00	92.00
0.91	91.00	91.00	91.00
0.90	90.00	90.00	90.00
0.89	89.00	89.00	89.00
0.88	88.00	88.00	88.00
0.87	87.00	87.00	87.00
0.86	86.00	86.00	86.00
0.85	85.00	85.00	85.00
0.84	84.00	84.00	84.00
0.83	83.00	83.00	83.00
0.82	82.00	82.00	82.00
0.81	81.00	81.00	81.00
0.80	80.00	80.00	80.00
0.79	79.00	79.00	79.00
0.78	78.00	78.00	78.00
0.77	77.00	77.00	77.00
0.76	76.00	76.00	76.00
0.75	75.00	75.00	75.00
0.74	74.00	74.00	74.00
0.73	73.00	73.00	73.00
0.72	72.00	72.00	72.00
0.71	71.00	71.00	71.00
0.70	70.00	70.00	70.00
0.69	69.00	69.00	69.00
0.68	68.00	68.00	68.00
0.67	67.00	67.00	67.00
0.66	66.00	66.00	66.00
0.65	65.00	65.00	65.00
0.64	64.00	64.00	64.00
0.63	63.00	63.00	63.00
0.62	62.00	62.00	62.00
0.61	61.00	61.00	61.00
0.60	60.00	60.00	60.00
0.59	59.00	59.00	59.00
0.58	58.00	58.00	58.00
0.57	57.00	57.00	57.00
0.56	56.00	56.00	56.00
0.55	55.00	55.00	55.00
0.54	54.00	54.00	54.00
0.53	53.00	53.00	53.00
0.52	52.00	52.00	52.00
0.51	51.00	51.00	51.00
0.50	50.00	50.00	50.00
0.49	49.00	49.00	49.00
0.48	48.00	48.00	48.00
0.47	47.00	47.00	47.00
0.46	46.00	46.00	46.00
0.45	45.00	45.00	45.00
0.44	44.00	44.00	44.00
0.43	43.00	43.00	43.00
0.42	42.00	42.00	42.00
0.41	41.00	41.00	41.00
0.40	40.00	40.00	40.00
0.39	39.00	39.00	39.00
0.38	38.00	38.00	38.00
0.37	37.00	37.00	37.00
0.36	36.00	36.00	36.00
0.35	35.00	35.00	35.00
0.34	34.00	34.00	34.00
0.33	33.00	33.00	33.00
0.32	32.00	32.00	32.00
0.31	31.00	31.00	31.00
0.30	30.00	30.00	30.00
0.29	29.00	29.00	29.00
0.28	28.00	28.00	28.00
0.27	27.00	27.00	27.00
0.26	26.00	26.00	26.00
0.25	25.00	25.00	25.00
0.24	24.00	24.00	24.00
0.23	23.00	23.00	23.00
0.22	22.00	22.00	22.00
0.21	21.00	21.00	21.00
0.20	20.00	20.00	20.00
0.19	19.00	19.00	19.00
0.18	18.00	18.00	18.00
0.17	17.00	17.00	17.00
0.16	16.00	16.00	16.00
0.15	15.00	15.00	15.00
0.14	14.00	14.00	14.00
0.13	13.00	13.00	13.00
0.12	12.00	12.00	12.00
0.11	11.00	11.00	11.00
0.10	10.00	10.00	10.00
0.09	9.00	9.00	9.00
0.08	8.00	8.00	8.00
0.07	7.00	7.00	7.00
0.06	6.00	6.00	6.00
0.05	5.00	5.00	5.00
0.04	4.00	4.00	4.00
0.03	3.00	3.00	3.00
0.02	2.00	2.00	2.00
0.01	1.00	1.00	1.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table XII

Viscosity of gluten dispersed in 0.1 N acetic acid
stored at 25°C.

Conc. = 5.46 mgm. of nitrogen per gm. of dispersion.

Age day	hr.	Viscosity centipoise	K x 10 ³	pH
	4.5	4.13		
	5.5	3.99	0.99	
	6	3.90	1.34	
	7.5	3.72	0.96	
	10	3.42	1.08	
	12	3.20	1.16	
	22	2.47	1.13	
1		2.40		
1	12	2.12		
2	6	1.92		
2	12	1.88		
3		1.82		
4		1.72		3.74
5		1.67		
6		1.63		

Table XIII

Viscosity of gluten dispersed in 0.1 N acetic acid
stored at 25°C.

Conc. = 10.3 mgm. of nitrogen per gm. of dispersion.

Age day	hr.	Viscosity centipoise	K x 10 ³	pH
	4.5	9.15		
	5.5	8.70	1.30	
	6	8.45	1.53	
	7.5	7.84	1.36	
	10	6.99	1.34	
	12	6.61		
	22	5.04		
1		4.86		
1	12	4.23		
1	13.2	4.20		
2	6	3.77		
2	12	3.64		
3		3.46		
4		3.16		4.02
5		3.00		
6		2.89		

Table 10

Estimated values of ΔT for various values of ΔT and ΔT at 100°C .

Values of ΔT are given in degrees Celsius and in degrees Fahrenheit.

No.	ΔT in $^\circ\text{C}$	Estimated values	No.	
			in $^\circ\text{C}$	in $^\circ\text{F}$
	10.0	1.0	10.0	1.0
	20.0	2.0	20.0	2.0
	30.0	3.0	30.0	3.0
	40.0	4.0	40.0	4.0
	50.0	5.0	50.0	5.0
	60.0	6.0	60.0	6.0
	70.0	7.0	70.0	7.0
	80.0	8.0	80.0	8.0
	90.0	9.0	90.0	9.0
	100.0	10.0	100.0	10.0
	110.0	11.0	110.0	11.0
	120.0	12.0	120.0	12.0
	130.0	13.0	130.0	13.0
	140.0	14.0	140.0	14.0
	150.0	15.0	150.0	15.0
	160.0	16.0	160.0	16.0
	170.0	17.0	170.0	17.0
	180.0	18.0	180.0	18.0
	190.0	19.0	190.0	19.0
	200.0	20.0	200.0	20.0

Table 11

Estimated values of ΔT for various values of ΔT and ΔT at 100°C .

Values of ΔT are given in degrees Celsius and in degrees Fahrenheit.

No.	ΔT in $^\circ\text{C}$	Estimated values	No.	
			in $^\circ\text{C}$	in $^\circ\text{F}$
	10.0	1.0	10.0	1.0
	20.0	2.0	20.0	2.0
	30.0	3.0	30.0	3.0
	40.0	4.0	40.0	4.0
	50.0	5.0	50.0	5.0
	60.0	6.0	60.0	6.0
	70.0	7.0	70.0	7.0
	80.0	8.0	80.0	8.0
	90.0	9.0	90.0	9.0
	100.0	10.0	100.0	10.0
	110.0	11.0	110.0	11.0
	120.0	12.0	120.0	12.0
	130.0	13.0	130.0	13.0
	140.0	14.0	140.0	14.0
	150.0	15.0	150.0	15.0
	160.0	16.0	160.0	16.0
	170.0	17.0	170.0	17.0
	180.0	18.0	180.0	18.0
	190.0	19.0	190.0	19.0
	200.0	20.0	200.0	20.0

The results given in Tables XIV and XV show the effect of storage at 25°C. on the viscosity of dispersions in 0.1 N sodium hydroxide.

Table XIV

Viscosity of gluten dispersed in 0.1 N sodium hydroxide stored at 25°C.

Conc. = 5.46 mgm. of nitrogen per gm. of dispersion.

Age day	hr.	Viscosity centipoise	pH
	3.8	1.92	
	5.2	1.90	
	10	1.86	
	22	1.84	12.6
1	10	1.82	
2		1.79	
3	3	1.74	
4	1	1.73	

Table XV

Viscosity of gluten dispersed in 0.1 N sodium hydroxide stored at 25°C.

Conc. = 10.2 mgm. of nitrogen per gm. of dispersion.

Age day	hr.	Viscosity centipoise	pH
	4.5	3.93	
	5.5	3.92	
	10.2	3.92	
	13.5	3.92	
	22	3.86	12.2
1	10	3.78	
2		3.70	
3	3	3.59	
4	1	3.60	

The results given in Tables VI to XV are presented graphically, those of dilute dispersions (5.08 to 5.46 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gram of dispersion) in Fig. 2, and those of concentrated dispersions (9.48 to 10.7 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gram of dispersion) in Fig. 3.

The nature of the viscosity decrease. Salting out tests were made on a dispersion in buffered 30% urea solution containing 4.52 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gram of dispersion. The results given in Table XVI show the amount of nitrogen precipitated from 10 cc. portions after 4 hours and again after 46 hours of storage at 25°C. During this period the viscosity of the dispersion decreased from 4.94 to 3.99 centipoises and the pH increased from 6.76 to 6.83.

Table XVI

Effect of storage on the subsequent precipitation of protein

20% MgSO ₄ used cc.	Mgm. nitrogen precipitated	
	When dispersion 4 hr. old	When dispersion 46 hr. old
2.75	12.2	6.1
2.75	14.6	7.4
3.00	22.1	20.7
3.00	24.0	20.6
3.50	34.4	30.8
3.50	31.2	30.1

Fig. 2 - Viscosity of dilute gluten dispersion stored at 25°C.

Fig. 3 - Viscosity of concentrated gluten dispersions stored at 25°C.

These results show that the amount of protein precipitated, by the method adopted, decreased with storage at 25°C. This, along with the decrease in viscosity, indicates that the size of the particles decreased due, probably, to a break down of the aggregates.

Dispersions of gluten in acetic acid were analysed using the tannic acid precipitation method. The recovery from a dispersion that was 5 hours old was 98.6% and that from a dispersion 3 weeks old was 88.2%. The decrease indicates that hydrolysis had occurred.

A precipitate formed in the dispersions in sodium hydroxide after they had stood at room temperature for about 2 weeks. The odor of ammonia was also evident at this time.

The order and velocity of reaction. As different concentrations of gluten were used, it was possible to calculate the order of the reaction responsible for the viscosity change which occurred during storage at 25°C. A summary of the values used in calculating the order of reaction in dispersions in urea and acetic acid solutions is given in Table XVII.

The constancy of the values of $t_m - t_1$ in each dispersing reagent indicates that the reaction is uni-molecular. This conclusion is in accordance with that of Lewis (23, 24) who studied the heat denaturation of oxyhemoglobin and of egg albumin.

These results show that the amount of glucose metabolized by the various tissues is proportional to the amount of glucose present in the blood. This, along with the fact that the amount of glucose in the blood is proportional to the amount of glucose in the diet, shows that the amount of glucose in the blood is proportional to the amount of glucose in the diet.

Measurements of glucose in the blood were made at intervals of 15 minutes during the experiment. The results show that the amount of glucose in the blood is proportional to the amount of glucose in the diet. This is in agreement with the results of the previous experiment, which showed that the amount of glucose in the blood is proportional to the amount of glucose in the diet.

A further study of the effect of the amount of glucose in the diet on the amount of glucose in the blood was made. The results show that the amount of glucose in the blood is proportional to the amount of glucose in the diet. This is in agreement with the results of the previous experiment, which showed that the amount of glucose in the blood is proportional to the amount of glucose in the diet.

The effect of the amount of glucose in the diet on the amount of glucose in the blood

The effect of the amount of glucose in the diet on the amount of glucose in the blood was studied. The results show that the amount of glucose in the blood is proportional to the amount of glucose in the diet. This is in agreement with the results of the previous experiment, which showed that the amount of glucose in the blood is proportional to the amount of glucose in the diet.

The results of the present experiment are in agreement with the results of the previous experiment, which showed that the amount of glucose in the blood is proportional to the amount of glucose in the diet. This is in agreement with the results of the previous experiment, which showed that the amount of glucose in the blood is proportional to the amount of glucose in the diet.

Table XVII

Values used in determining the order of reaction

Table from which values are obtained	Concentration of gluten N mgm/gm.	η_1 centi- poise	η_∞ centi- poise	η_m centi- poise	$t_m - t_1$ min.
Dispersions in urea solutions					
VI	5.24	4.72	3.84	4.28	210
VII	9.48	12.4	11.0	11.7	190
VIII	10.7	15.0	12.4	13.7	180
Dispersions in acetic acid solutions					
XI	5.17	3.68	1.67	2.67	540
XII	5.46	4.13	1.72	2.92	570
XIII	10.3	9.15	3.16	6.16	570
$\eta_m = \frac{\eta_1 + \eta_\infty}{2}$ $t_m = t \text{ when } \eta = \eta_m$					

The unimolecular reaction constants for these dispersions were calculated using the equation $K = \frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \ln \frac{\eta_1 - \eta_\infty}{\eta_2 - \eta_\infty}$ where t = time in minutes. The values of η_∞ used are given in Table XVII. As there is no evidence that the viscosity ever becomes absolutely constant, it was necessary to select arbitrary values for η_∞ .

From Table VI and Fig. 2 it can be seen that the viscosity of the dilute gluten dispersion in 30% urea solution is essentially constant after 1 day 10 hours. Therefore, the viscosity at this time was selected as η_∞ . For the other dispersions in urea solutions the lowest

(Values given in parentheses are calculated from the data in Table III)

Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV
Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV
Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV
Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV
Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV	Table IV

Displacement in the direction of the force

VI	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04
VII	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04
VIII	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04

Displacement in the direction of the force

IX	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04
X	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04
XI	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.04

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2}$$

The displacement in the direction of the force

displacement in the direction of the force

$$x = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2}$$

displacement in the direction of the force

displacement in the direction of the force

displacement in the direction of the force

displacement in the direction of the force

displacement in the direction of the force

displacement in the direction of the force

displacement in the direction of the force

displacement in the direction of the force

viscosities recorded in Tables VII and VIII were selected as the values for η_{∞} . Apparently the viscosities of the gluten dispersions in acetic acid continued to decrease indefinitely. Since the viscosity of the first dispersion prepared in acetic acid solution was last determined when the dispersion was about 4 days old, and since all these dispersions behaved in a similar manner, the viscosity at this time was selected as η_{∞} in each case.

The reaction constants were not calculated for dispersions in sodium salicylate and sodium hydroxide. In these cases the viscosity changes observed were slight and consequently the experimental error would play a large part in determining the value of the reaction constants.

In dealing with the viscosity behavior of gluten in different solvents certain features are evident. The magnitude of the viscosity and its change on storage depends on the dispersing agent used. The viscosity of the dispersions in the neutral solvents was, in all cases, higher than that of the dispersions in acids and alkalis. Alkalis appear to act very rapidly on the dispersed gluten as shown by the low level of the viscosity when first determined. Acids appear to affect the same viscosity changes as alkalis but at a slower rate.

Effect of hydrogen-ion concentration on viscosity.

This study was undertaken to determine the limits of pH

within which the viscosity could be considered constant. This was necessary as it was known that urea solutions tend to become alkaline when heat treated, or when stored.

An unbuffered dispersion containing approximately 6.25 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gram of dispersion was prepared in 30% urea solution. Buffer solutions 1 and 2 (page 16) were mixed in the proportion shown in Table XVIII. Beginning when the dispersion was 6.5 hours old, samples were prepared by adding 10 gm. of the buffer solutions to 40 gm. of the dispersion. The preparation of the entire series required about 45 minutes. By mixing these proportions the gluten was diluted to approximately 5 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gram of dispersion and the buffer to the strength recommended by Sorensen. The samples were prepared in the order 6, 7, 5, 8, 4, 9, 3, 10, 2, 11, 1, 12 and then 5A and 6A. This order was adopted as it was known that the viscosity of the stock dispersion would be changing as shown in Table VI, and it was hoped that this procedure would show the effect, if any, of age at time of buffering. All the samples were put in ice when the dispersion was 8 hours old.

The viscosities and pH values were determined 1 day later and again 4 days later, but in no case did there appear to be any significant change. Portions of samples 1, 6 and 12 were analysed for protein nitrogen. As

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

It is also possible that the results of the present study

may be different if the subjects had been different.

the dispersion medium had a different composition for each hydrogen-ion concentration, the viscosities of the media were determined. These were essentially the same, varying only from 1.22 centipoises at pH 6.1 to 1.25 centipoises at pH 8.0.

The results obtained 4 days after buffering are given in Table XVIII, and they indicate that over the range from 6.1 to 8.0, pH has no effect on viscosity. The protein nitrogen content of the samples that were analysed was essentially the same. That the viscosity is independent of pH is in agreement with the results of Loughlin and Lewis (27) who found that the viscosity of egg albumin and oxyhemoglobin was independent of pH.

Table XVIII

Effect of hydrogen-ion concentration on the viscosity of a gluten dispersion in 30% urea solution

Sample	Composition of buffer		Viscosity	pH	Concentration (duplicate)	
	Na_2HPO_4 solution cc.	KH_2PO_4 solution cc.				
			centipoise		mgm/gm.	
1	0.25	9.75	4.11	6.12	5.02	5.02
2	0.50	9.50	4.10	6.20		
3	1	9	4.10	6.37		
4	2	8	4.10	6.61		
5	3	7	4.10	6.80		
5A	3	7	4.10	--		
6	4	6	4.10	6.99	5.00	5.01
6A	4	6	4.09	--		
7	5	5	4.10	7.12		
8	6	4	4.12	7.22		
9	7	3	4.11	7.39		
10	8	2	4.09	7.65		
11	9	1	4.09	7.95		
12	9.5	0.5	4.08	8.05	5.01	5.02

II. EFFECT OF HEAT TREATMENT ON THE VISCOSITY OF GLUTEN DISPERSIONS

Material

The materials were the same as those used in the studies reported in Part I.

Methods

The methods described in Part I were employed in the studies reported in this part. For heat treatment studies, dispersions of gluten concentrations slightly higher than those desired were prepared, analysed and then diluted to the desired concentration. The dispersions, containing 5.00 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gram of dispersion, in 30% urea solutions were stored at 0°C. after 8 hours at 25°C. All other dispersions were stored at 25°C. prior to heat treatment.

Two baths were used for heat treating purposes. These were well insulated, equipped with stirrers and fitted with wooden lids with holes, through which narrow test tubes containing the dispersion to be treated were

placed. One bath contained mineral seal oil, the temperature of which was controlled by means of a DeKhotinsky bimetallic thermoregulator. The other bath contained water, the temperature of which was controlled by adjusting a tubular resistance by hand. This method proved satisfactory, but when the test tubes of dispersion were first placed in the bath the resistance had to be lowered and the temperature watched closely for a few minutes.

The treatments were carried out at temperatures $\pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$. recorded. The length of the period from the time the sample was put in the bath until it was removed was taken as the duration of heat treatment. The hot sample was placed in the viscometer and cooled in the bath at 25°C .

Results

In this section dispersions containing 5 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gram of dispersion are referred to as "dilute dispersions" and those containing 10 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gram of dispersion as "concentrated dispersions".

The viscosities of the media were the same as those reported in Part I.

Heat decomposition of 30% urea solutions. In order to study the heat decomposition of buffered urea solutions, 50 cc. portions were treated at 80°C . in sealed tubes. After

planned. One very important aspect will be the possibility
of water and electricity as sources of a sustainable development
development. The water table is expected to be
sustainable for about 100 years and is expected to be a
sustainable source. This is a very important aspect of the
water table in the future. It is expected that the water
table will be a sustainable source for a long time.

The water table is expected to be a sustainable source
for a long time. The water table is expected to be a
sustainable source for a long time. The water table is
expected to be a sustainable source for a long time. The
water table is expected to be a sustainable source for a
long time. The water table is expected to be a sustainable
source for a long time.

References

1. ...

In this section, the water table is expected to be a
sustainable source for a long time. The water table is
expected to be a sustainable source for a long time. The
water table is expected to be a sustainable source for a
long time. The water table is expected to be a sustainable
source for a long time. The water table is expected to be
a sustainable source for a long time.

Water table is expected to be a sustainable source
for a long time. The water table is expected to be a
sustainable source for a long time. The water table is
expected to be a sustainable source for a long time. The
water table is expected to be a sustainable source for a
long time. The water table is expected to be a sustainable
source for a long time.

treatment, the solutions were cooled, transferred to flasks in ice water, saturated with magnesium oxide and aerated. The ammonia given off was collected in standard acid and the amount determined hourly. The results, given in Table XIX, show that the urea decomposed on heating. However, after treatment for 4 hours at 80°C. only 30 mgm. of nitrogen were collected and as 50 cc. of 30% urea solution contains 7000 mgm. of nitrogen it is obvious that the decomposition did not affect the urea concentration significantly.

Table XIX

Effect of heat treatment at 80°C. on the decomposition of urea solutions

Duration of treatment hr.	Nitrogen collected during aeration (mgm.)						
	1st hr.	2nd hr.	3rd hr.	4th hr.	5th hr.	6th hr.	7th hr.
0	0.10						
1	5.5	0.8	0.10				
2	13.2	1.1	0.8				
4	23.6	4.4	1.5	0.4	0.20	0.14	0.10

Heat treatment of dispersions in 30% urea solutions.

Three dilute dispersions were prepared and portions heat treated. The results are given in Tables XX to XXV. In each case the dispersion used, and the age of the dispersion when treatment was begun are recorded. The results obtained during the initial stages of heat treatment are shown in Fig. 4.

Dispersion II was treated at 80°C. when 8 hours old, and again when 4 days old. The results (Table XXIII) are essentially the same and indicate that storage at 0°C. did not affect the viscosity behavior at 80°C.

At 80°, 90° and 100°C., (Tables XXIII, XXIV and XXV) the viscosity increased when the pH increased beyond 8.0. It is impossible, without further study, to say whether or not the increase was due to the effect of hydrogen-ion concentration. At 100°C. the dispersion turned dark and a precipitate showed after 5.5 hours of treatment.

Fig. 4 - Effect of heat treatment on the viscosity of dilute dispersions in 30% urea solutions.

Table XX

Effect of heat treatment at 40°C. on the viscosity of dilute dispersions in 30% urea solutions

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as %	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	of initial viscosity %	
Dispersion I, 2 days old				
	0	4.14	100.0	6.82
	5	4.13	99.8	
	30	4.13	99.8	
1		4.06	98.1	6.85
1	45	4.01	96.6	
2	30	4.00	96.5	6.84
3	15	3.98	96.1	
4		3.97	95.9	
5		3.95	95.4	
6		3.94	95.2	6.87
7	30	3.98	96.1	
8	15	3.96	95.7	
9		3.96	95.7	6.88
Dispersion II, 1 day old				
	0	4.11	100.0	6.80
	5	4.08	99.3	
	30	4.05	98.5	6.81
1		4.01	97.6	6.81
1	30	4.00	97.3	6.82
2		4.00	97.3	6.82
3		3.97	96.6	6.82
4		3.94	95.9	6.85
5		3.92	95.4	6.85
6		3.91	95.1	6.86
7		3.89	94.6	6.88
11		3.89	94.6	6.87
Dispersion III, 1 day old				
	0	4.03	100.0	6.80
	5	4.02	99.8	
	30	3.97	98.5	
1		3.92	97.3	
2		3.85	95.5	6.82
3		3.80	94.3	
4		3.81	94.5	6.84
5		3.75	93.1	
6		3.73	92.6	6.83
7		3.70	91.8	
8		3.71	92.1	

Table XXI

Effect of heat treatment at 60°C. on the viscosity of dilute dispersions in 30% urea solutions

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as %	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	of initial viscosity %	
Dispersion I, 2 days old				
	0	4.13	100.0	6.82
	8	4.14	100.2	
	30	4.01	97.1	
1		3.93	95.2	6.86
1	30	3.91	94.7	
2		3.86	93.5	6.90
2	30	3.83	92.7	
3		3.80	92.0	6.96
3	45	3.75	90.8	
4	30	3.76	91.0	7.09
5	15	3.72	90.1	
6	15	3.71	89.8	7.21
7	30	3.65	88.4	
8	30	3.59	86.9	
9		3.62	87.7	7.35
Dispersion II, 1 day old				
	0	4.12	100.0	6.80
	10	4.09	99.3	
	30	4.00	97.1	6.82
1		3.89	94.4	6.83
1	30	3.84	93.2	6.83
2		3.85	93.4	6.86
3		3.79	92.0	6.92
4		3.76	91.3	7.02
5		3.72	90.3	7.08
6		3.72	90.3	7.14
7		3.71	90.0	7.17
8		3.69	89.6	7.26
9		3.64	88.3	7.30
12		3.56	86.4	7.42

Table XXII

Effect of heat treatment at 70°C. on the viscosity of dilute dispersions in 30% urea solutions

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as %	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	of initial viscosity %	
Dispersion II, 3 days old				
	0	4.12	100.0	6.85
	10	4.11	99.8	
	30	3.94	95.6	6.85
1		3.82	92.7	6.91
1	30	3.79	92.0	7.03
2		3.71	90.0	7.12
2	30	3.68	89.3	7.21
3		3.61	87.6	7.31
4		3.52	85.4	7.49
5		3.44	83.5	7.68
6		3.36	81.6	7.88
7		3.30	80.1	7.90
8	30	3.20	77.7	8.06
10		3.14	76.2	8.26
11		3.10	75.2	
13	20	3.09	75.0	8.61
Dispersion III, 2 days old				
	0	4.03	100.0	6.80
	10	3.94	97.8	
	30	3.76	93.3	
1		3.66	90.8	6.91
2		3.54	87.8	7.10
3		3.46	85.9	7.28
4		3.39	84.1	7.44
5		3.33	82.6	7.55
6		3.28	81.4	7.68
7		3.22	79.9	7.77
8		3.18	78.9	7.99

TABLE NO. 1
Effect of leaf treatment at 100% on the growth
of silver poplars in the second year

No.	Treatment at 100%	Height in cm.	Diameter in cm.	Weight in gms.	Percentage of Fruit	
					Weight	Volume
Experiment I, 2 years old						
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100.0	Control	12.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
100						

Table XXIII

Effect of heat treatment at 80°C. on the viscosity of dilute dispersions in 30% urea solutions

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as %	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	of initial viscosity %	
Dispersion I, 1 day old				
	0	4.12	100.0	6.81
	5	3.99	96.8	
	23	3.75	91.0	
	38	3.74	90.8	7.27
1	36	3.48	84.5	7.63
2	13	3.35	81.3	
3	5	3.24	78.6	8.09
4		3.44	83.5	8.54
5		3.77	91.5	
6		3.81	92.5	8.74
7		3.45	83.7	8.94
8		3.26	79.1	8.93
Dispersion I, 3 days old				
	0	4.14	100.0	6.83
	10	3.98	96.1	
	30	3.80	91.8	7.00
1		3.62	87.4	7.20
1	30	3.50	84.5	
2		3.40	82.1	7.62
2	30	3.32	80.2	
3		3.27	79.0	7.98
3	30	3.23	78.0	8.30
4		3.32	80.2	8.32
4	30	3.34	80.7	8.50
5		3.39	81.9	8.77

TABLE 1

Effect of salt treatment on the growth of *Salmonella typhimurium* in the presence of 1% glucose

No.	Concentration of salt solution (%)	Concentration of glucose (%)	Growth (mm)	pH
Experiment 1, 2 and 3				
1	0.1	1.0	11.2	7.0
2	0.2	1.0	10.5	7.0
3	0.3	1.0	10.1	7.0
4	0.4	1.0	9.8	7.0
5	0.5	1.0	9.5	7.0
6	0.6	1.0	9.2	7.0
7	0.7	1.0	8.9	7.0
8	0.8	1.0	8.6	7.0
9	0.9	1.0	8.3	7.0
10	1.0	1.0	8.0	7.0
11	1.1	1.0	7.7	7.0
12	1.2	1.0	7.4	7.0
Experiment 4, 5 and 6				
13	0.1	1.0	11.2	7.0
14	0.2	1.0	10.5	7.0
15	0.3	1.0	10.1	7.0
16	0.4	1.0	9.8	7.0
17	0.5	1.0	9.5	7.0
18	0.6	1.0	9.2	7.0
19	0.7	1.0	8.9	7.0
20	0.8	1.0	8.6	7.0
21	0.9	1.0	8.3	7.0
22	1.0	1.0	8.0	7.0
23	1.1	1.0	7.7	7.0
24	1.2	1.0	7.4	7.0

Table XXIII (Continued)

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as % of initial viscosity	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	%	
Dispersion II, 8 hours old				
	0	4.05	100.0	6.79
	10	3.87	95.5	
	30	3.68	90.9	6.84
1		3.59	88.6	7.10
1	30	3.45	85.2	7.41
2		3.43	84.7	7.49
2	30	3.40	84.0	7.80
3		3.27	80.7	7.93
3	30	3.32	82.0	8.14
4		3.36	83.0	8.30
4	30	3.63	89.6	8.50
5		3.80	93.8	8.59
5	30	3.80	93.8	8.68
6		3.77	93.1	8.76
6	30	3.71	91.6	8.88
Dispersion II, 4 days old				
	0	4.11	100.0	6.82
	10	3.97	96.6	6.85
	30	3.81	92.7	
1	2	3.66	89.1	7.20
1	33	3.54	86.1	
2		3.44	83.7	7.62
2	30	3.40	82.7	7.84
3		3.31	80.5	8.01
3	30	3.30	80.3	8.25
4		3.43	83.5	8.55
4	30	3.64	88.6	8.60
5		3.73	90.8	8.56
5	30	3.75	91.2	8.71
6		3.76	91.5	
6	30	3.67	89.3	
7		--	--	8.85

TABLE III (Continued)

Frequency of Observations	Frequency of Observations	Frequency of Observations	Frequency of Observations
1	10	1.00	1.00
2	10	1.00	1.00
3	10	1.00	1.00
4	10	1.00	1.00
5	10	1.00	1.00
6	10	1.00	1.00
7	10	1.00	1.00
8	10	1.00	1.00
9	10	1.00	1.00
10	10	1.00	1.00
11	10	1.00	1.00
12	10	1.00	1.00
13	10	1.00	1.00
14	10	1.00	1.00
15	10	1.00	1.00
16	10	1.00	1.00
17	10	1.00	1.00
18	10	1.00	1.00
19	10	1.00	1.00
20	10	1.00	1.00
21	10	1.00	1.00
22	10	1.00	1.00
23	10	1.00	1.00
24	10	1.00	1.00
25	10	1.00	1.00
26	10	1.00	1.00
27	10	1.00	1.00
28	10	1.00	1.00
29	10	1.00	1.00
30	10	1.00	1.00
31	10	1.00	1.00
32	10	1.00	1.00
33	10	1.00	1.00
34	10	1.00	1.00
35	10	1.00	1.00
36	10	1.00	1.00
37	10	1.00	1.00
38	10	1.00	1.00
39	10	1.00	1.00
40	10	1.00	1.00
41	10	1.00	1.00
42	10	1.00	1.00
43	10	1.00	1.00
44	10	1.00	1.00
45	10	1.00	1.00
46	10	1.00	1.00
47	10	1.00	1.00
48	10	1.00	1.00
49	10	1.00	1.00
50	10	1.00	1.00
51	10	1.00	1.00
52	10	1.00	1.00
53	10	1.00	1.00
54	10	1.00	1.00
55	10	1.00	1.00
56	10	1.00	1.00
57	10	1.00	1.00
58	10	1.00	1.00
59	10	1.00	1.00
60	10	1.00	1.00
61	10	1.00	1.00
62	10	1.00	1.00
63	10	1.00	1.00
64	10	1.00	1.00
65	10	1.00	1.00
66	10	1.00	1.00
67	10	1.00	1.00
68	10	1.00	1.00
69	10	1.00	1.00
70	10	1.00	1.00
71	10	1.00	1.00
72	10	1.00	1.00
73	10	1.00	1.00
74	10	1.00	1.00
75	10	1.00	1.00
76	10	1.00	1.00
77	10	1.00	1.00
78	10	1.00	1.00
79	10	1.00	1.00
80	10	1.00	1.00
81	10	1.00	1.00
82	10	1.00	1.00
83	10	1.00	1.00
84	10	1.00	1.00
85	10	1.00	1.00
86	10	1.00	1.00
87	10	1.00	1.00
88	10	1.00	1.00
89	10	1.00	1.00
90	10	1.00	1.00
91	10	1.00	1.00
92	10	1.00	1.00
93	10	1.00	1.00
94	10	1.00	1.00
95	10	1.00	1.00
96	10	1.00	1.00
97	10	1.00	1.00
98	10	1.00	1.00
99	10	1.00	1.00
100	10	1.00	1.00

Table XXIV

Effect of heat treatment at 90°C. on the viscosity of dilute dispersions in 30% urea solutions

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as %	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	of initial viscosity %	
Dispersion II, 2 days old				
	0	4.12	100.0	6.79
	10	3.86	93.7	
	30	3.67	89.1	7.29
	55	3.53	85.7	7.74
1	10	3.53	85.7	7.94
1	30	3.94	95.6	8.23
1	51	4.05	98.3	8.50
2	10	3.93	95.4	8.67
2	35	3.75	91.0	8.82
3		3.59	87.1	8.91
3	30	3.51	85.2	9.04
4		3.38	82.0	9.14
4	30	3.37	81.8	
5		3.36	81.6	9.16
5	30	3.36	81.6	
6		3.33	80.8	9.26

TABLE VIII

Effect of soil treatment on the yield of grain and straw of winter wheat

No.	Yield of grain and straw, t/ha	Yield of grain, t/ha	Yield of straw, t/ha	Grain yield, %	Straw yield, %
1	1.00	0.70	0.30	70.0	30.0
2	1.10	0.75	0.35	68.2	31.8
3	1.20	0.80	0.40	66.7	33.3
4	1.30	0.85	0.45	65.4	34.6
5	1.40	0.90	0.50	64.3	35.7
6	1.50	0.95	0.55	63.3	36.7
7	1.60	1.00	0.60	62.5	37.5
8	1.70	1.05	0.65	61.8	38.2
9	1.80	1.10	0.70	61.1	38.9
10	1.90	1.15	0.75	60.5	39.5
11	2.00	1.20	0.80	60.0	40.0
12	2.10	1.25	0.85	59.5	40.5
13	2.20	1.30	0.90	59.1	40.9
14	2.30	1.35	0.95	58.7	41.3
15	2.40	1.40	1.00	58.3	41.7
16	2.50	1.45	1.05	58.0	42.0
17	2.60	1.50	1.10	57.7	42.3
18	2.70	1.55	1.15	57.4	42.6
19	2.80	1.60	1.20	57.1	42.9
20	2.90	1.65	1.25	56.9	43.1

Table XXV

Effect of heat treatment at 100°C. on the viscosity of dilute dispersions in 30% urea solutions

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as %	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	of initial viscosity %	
Dispersion I, 3 days old				
	0	4.14	100.0	6.83
	10	3.82	92.3	
	30	3.62	87.4	7.97
1		3.70	89.4	8.74
1	30	3.32	80.2	9.02
2		3.07	74.2	9.15
2	30	2.91	70.3	9.25
3		2.81	67.9	9.26
4	30	2.93	70.8	
5		2.86	69.0	9.35
6		3.13	75.6	9.45
Dispersion II, 2 days old				
	0	4.11	100.0	
	10	3.81	92.7	
	20	3.73	90.8	7.45
	30	4.22	102.7	7.85
	45	4.05	98.5	8.39
1		3.72	90.5	8.71
1	20	3.46	84.2	
1	40	3.35	81.5	9.17
2		3.19	77.6	
2	30	3.09	75.2	9.39
3		3.04	74.0	
3	30	3.02	73.5	9.39
4		3.13	76.2	
5		3.24	78.8	9.35

TABLE 10

STRENGTH OF JOINTS IN STEEL PLATE GIRDS
 (Continued from Table 9)

PLATE THICKNESS, IN.	STRENGTH, KIPS	STRENGTH, KIPS	STRENGTH, KIPS
1/2	10.0	10.0	10.0
3/4	15.0	15.0	15.0
1	20.0	20.0	20.0
1 1/4	25.0	25.0	25.0
1 1/2	30.0	30.0	30.0
1 3/4	35.0	35.0	35.0
2	40.0	40.0	40.0
2 1/4	45.0	45.0	45.0
2 1/2	50.0	50.0	50.0
2 3/4	55.0	55.0	55.0
3	60.0	60.0	60.0
3 1/4	65.0	65.0	65.0
3 1/2	70.0	70.0	70.0
3 3/4	75.0	75.0	75.0
4	80.0	80.0	80.0
4 1/4	85.0	85.0	85.0
4 1/2	90.0	90.0	90.0
4 3/4	95.0	95.0	95.0
5	100.0	100.0	100.0
5 1/4	105.0	105.0	105.0
5 1/2	110.0	110.0	110.0
5 3/4	115.0	115.0	115.0
6	120.0	120.0	120.0
6 1/4	125.0	125.0	125.0
6 1/2	130.0	130.0	130.0
6 3/4	135.0	135.0	135.0
7	140.0	140.0	140.0
7 1/4	145.0	145.0	145.0
7 1/2	150.0	150.0	150.0
7 3/4	155.0	155.0	155.0
8	160.0	160.0	160.0
8 1/4	165.0	165.0	165.0
8 1/2	170.0	170.0	170.0
8 3/4	175.0	175.0	175.0
9	180.0	180.0	180.0
9 1/4	185.0	185.0	185.0
9 1/2	190.0	190.0	190.0
9 3/4	195.0	195.0	195.0
10	200.0	200.0	200.0

The results given in Table XXVI show the effect of heat treatment at 60° and 80°C. on the viscosity of concentrated dispersions. At 60°C. the viscosity decreased slightly and at 80°C. it increased considerably.

Table XXVI

Effect of heat treatment on the viscosity of concentrated dispersions in 30% urea solutions

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as % of initial viscosity	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	%	
Temperature = 60°C. Dispersion 1 day old				
	0	10.6	100.0	
	10	10.8	101.9	
	30	10.7	100.9	6.82
1		10.6	100.0	6.81
2		10.5	99.1	6.86
3		10.4	98.1	6.93
4		10.4	98.1	6.95
Temperature = 80°C. Dispersion 1 day old				
	0	10.6	100.0	6.79
	10	10.8	101.9	
	30	11.0	103.8	6.89
1		11.6	109.4	7.06
1	30	12.0	113.2	7.27
2		12.8	120.7	7.45
2	45	14.5	136.8	7.72

The results in Table XXVII show the effect of storage at 0°C. on the viscosity of dispersions which had been heat treated. The viscosity remained essentially

The results given in Table I are for the effect of heat treatment at 50°C. on the viscosity of concentrated solutions. At 50°C. the viscosity decreased slightly and at 70°C. it decreased considerably.

TABLE I

Effect of heat treatment on the viscosity of concentrated solutions at 25°C.

No.	Inversion of polarization	Viscosity in 1% solution	Viscosity in 1% solution at 25°C.	No.
Temperature = 50°C. (concentration 1 g/l.)				
	0	19.8	19.8	
	10	12.0	12.0	
	20	12.7	12.7	
1		12.7	12.7	
2		12.7	12.7	
3		12.7	12.7	
4		12.7	12.7	
Temperature = 50°C. (concentration 1 g/l.)				
	0	19.8	19.8	
	10	12.0	12.0	
	20	12.7	12.7	
1		12.7	12.7	
2		12.7	12.7	
3		12.7	12.7	
4		12.7	12.7	
5		12.7	12.7	
6		12.7	12.7	
7		12.7	12.7	
8		12.7	12.7	
9		12.7	12.7	
10		12.7	12.7	
11		12.7	12.7	
12		12.7	12.7	
13		12.7	12.7	
14		12.7	12.7	
15		12.7	12.7	
16		12.7	12.7	
17		12.7	12.7	
18		12.7	12.7	
19		12.7	12.7	
20		12.7	12.7	
21		12.7	12.7	
22		12.7	12.7	
23		12.7	12.7	
24		12.7	12.7	
25		12.7	12.7	
26		12.7	12.7	
27		12.7	12.7	
28		12.7	12.7	
29		12.7	12.7	
30		12.7	12.7	
31		12.7	12.7	
32		12.7	12.7	
33		12.7	12.7	
34		12.7	12.7	
35		12.7	12.7	
36		12.7	12.7	
37		12.7	12.7	
38		12.7	12.7	
39		12.7	12.7	
40		12.7	12.7	
41		12.7	12.7	
42		12.7	12.7	
43		12.7	12.7	
44		12.7	12.7	
45		12.7	12.7	
46		12.7	12.7	
47		12.7	12.7	
48		12.7	12.7	
49		12.7	12.7	
50		12.7	12.7	

The results in Table II are for the effect of heat treatment at 70°C. on the viscosity of concentrated solutions. The viscosity decreased considerably.

constant in all cases showing that this internal condition of the heat treated material was essentially stable.

Table XXVII

Viscosity of dispersions in 30% urea solutions stored at 25°C. after heat treatment

Treatment	Concentration of protein nitrogen mgm/gm.	Duration of storage at 0°C. day hr.		Viscosity centipoise	pH
9.5 hr. at 40°C.	4.52		0	3.91	6.88
		1		3.92	
		3		3.94	
		10		3.90	
2 hr. at 70°C.	4.52		0	3.58	7.11
		1		3.55	
		3		3.56	
		10		3.55	
4 hr. at 70°C.	5.00		0	3.38	7.44
			10	3.37	
		1		3.39	7.49
		2		3.39	
		8		3.39	
8 hr. at 70°C.	5.00		0	3.17	7.99
			5	3.13	
		1		3.10	7.89
		2		3.11	
		8		3.13	
1 hr. at 80°C.	4.52		0	3.51	7.20
		1		3.49	
		3		3.49	7.35
		10		3.50	

Heat treatment of dispersions in 12% sodium salicylate solutions. The results given in Table XXVIII show the effect of heat treatment at 60° and 80°C. on the viscosity

NOTED IN ALL CASES THAT THE TEMPERATURE OF THE WATER REMAINS ABOUT THE SAME DURING THE DAY AND NIGHT.

TABLE I

TEMPERATURE OF WATER IN THE TANK DURING THE DAY AND NIGHT.

Time	Temperature of Water in Tank	Temperature of Air	Temperature of Sun
10.0	70.0	70.0	70.0
11.0	70.0	70.0	70.0
12.0	70.0	70.0	70.0
13.0	70.0	70.0	70.0
14.0	70.0	70.0	70.0
15.0	70.0	70.0	70.0
16.0	70.0	70.0	70.0
17.0	70.0	70.0	70.0
18.0	70.0	70.0	70.0
19.0	70.0	70.0	70.0
20.0	70.0	70.0	70.0

TEMPERATURE OF WATER IN THE TANK

THE TEMPERATURE OF THE WATER IN THE TANK REMAINS ABOUT THE SAME DURING THE DAY AND NIGHT.

of dilute dispersions. At 60°C. the viscosity increased and at 80°C. it decreased. During the same period the viscosity of a portion stored at 25°C. increased from 3.94 to 3.98 centipoises.

Table XXVIII

Effect of heat treatment on the viscosity of dilute dispersions in 12% sodium salicylate solutions

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as % of initial viscosity	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	%	
Temperature 60°C. Dispersion 3 days old				
	0	3.94	100.0	6.90
	30	4.15	105.3	
1		4.14	105.1	
1	30	4.16	105.6	
2		4.20	106.6	
3		4.24	107.6	
4		4.24	107.6	6.86
5	30	4.30	109.1	
10	30	4.30	109.1	
12		4.32	109.6	6.89
Temperature 80°C. Dispersion 3 days old				
	0	3.94	100.0	6.90
	30	4.04	102.5	
1		4.00	101.5	
1	30	3.98	101.0	
2		3.91	99.2	
3		3.87	98.2	
4		3.83	97.2	6.89
5	30	3.76	95.4	
10	30	3.58	90.9	
12		3.55	90.1	6.90

The results given in Table XXIX show the effect of heat treatment at 60° and 80°C. on the viscosity of concentrated dispersions. In order to determine whether evaporation occurred during the removal of samples to the extent that it increased the viscosity, portions of these dispersions were treated in sealed tubes. These were removed at the end of the 12-hour period and cooled to 25°C. before opening. The results show that at 60°C. evaporation affected the viscosity; the viscosity of the material treated in the sealed tube being 22.2, and that of the other 22.7 centipoises. Unfortunately, due to an accident, a direct comparison of the results obtained from treatment at 80°C. is impossible. At 60°C. the viscosity increased and at 80°C. it decreased. During the same period the viscosity of a portion stored at 25°C. increased from 16.8 to 17.1 centipoises.

Table XXIX

Effect of heat treatment on the viscosity of concentrated dispersions in 12% sodium salicylate solutions

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as % of initial viscosity	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	%	
Temperature 60°C. Dispersion 9 days old				
	0	16.8	100.0	6.87
	30	18.5	110.1	
1		19.1	113.7	
1	30	19.5	116.1	6.89
2		19.8	117.9	
4		20.6	122.6	
6		21.1	125.6	
8	30	22.0	131.0	6.86
12		22.7	135.1	
12 (sealed tube)		22.2	132.1	
Temperature 80°C. Dispersion 9 days old				
	0	16.8	100.0	6.87
	30	17.8	106.0	
1		17.3	103.8	
1	30	17.3	103.0	6.88
2		16.9	100.6	
4		15.9	94.6	
6		15.0	89.3	
8	30	14.7	87.5	6.86
12 (sealed tube)		12.9	76.8	

Heat treatment of dispersions in 0.1 N acetic acid. The results given in Tables XXX and XXXI show the effect of heat treatment at 60° and 80°C. on the viscosity of dilute and concentrated dispersions, respectively. In

TABLE III

Effect of heat treatment on the mechanical properties of 6061 aluminum alloy in the as-cast condition

Heat Treatment	Temperature, °C	Yield Strength, ksi	Tensile Strength, ksi	Elongation, %
As-cast	70	14.5	24.5	12
	100	15.0	25.0	12
	150	15.5	25.5	12
	200	16.0	26.0	12
	250	16.5	26.5	12
	300	17.0	27.0	12
	350	17.5	27.5	12
	400	18.0	28.0	12
	450	18.5	28.5	12
	500	19.0	29.0	12
	550	19.5	29.5	12
	600	20.0	30.0	12
	650	20.5	30.5	12
	700	21.0	31.0	12
	750	21.5	31.5	12
	800	22.0	32.0	12
	850	22.5	32.5	12
	900	23.0	33.0	12
	950	23.5	33.5	12
	1000	24.0	34.0	12

Heat treatment of 6061 aluminum alloy in the as-cast condition

NOTE: The tensile strength of 6061 aluminum alloy in the as-cast condition is 24.5 ksi. The yield strength of 6061 aluminum alloy in the as-cast condition is 14.5 ksi. The elongation of 6061 aluminum alloy in the as-cast condition is 12%.

all cases the viscosity decreased, the change being greatest at the higher temperature. During the same period the viscosity of a portion of the dilute dispersion stored at 25°C. decreased from 1.63 to 1.62 centipoises and that of a portion of the concentrated dispersion decreased from 2.99 to 2.95 centipoises in 6 hours and to 2.92 centipoises in 11 hours.

Table XXX

Effect of heat treatment on the viscosity of dilute dispersions in 0.1 N acetic acid

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as % of initial viscosity	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	%	
Temperature 60°C. Dispersion 4 days old				
0		1.63	100.0	3.74
1		1.63	100.0	
2		1.62	99.4	
4		1.61	98.8	3.77
6		1.61	98.8	
9		1.60	98.2	
12		1.60	98.2	3.75
Temperature 80°C. Dispersion 4 days old				
	0	1.63	100.0	3.74
	30	1.63	100.0	
1		1.61	98.8	3.81
2		1.58	96.9	
4		1.58	96.9	
6		1.56	95.7	
9		1.55	95.1	
12		1.54	94.5	3.79

Table XXXI

Effect of heat treatment on the viscosity of concentrated dispersions in 0.1 N acetic acid

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as % of initial viscosity	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	%	
Temperature 60°C. Dispersion 4 days old				
0		2.99	100.0	4.02
1		2.99	100.0	
2		2.97	99.3	
4		2.93	98.0	4.06
6		2.92	97.7	
9		2.89	96.7	
12		2.87	96.0	4.05
Temperature 80°C. Dispersion 4 days old				
	0	2.99	100.0	4.02
	30	3.04	101.7	
1		2.99	100.0	4.05
2		2.95	98.7	
4		2.93	98.0	
6		2.86	95.7	
11		2.82	94.3	
12		2.81	94.0	

Heat treatment of dispersions in 0.1 N sodium hydroxide. The results given in Tables XXXII and XXXIII show the effect of heat treatment at 60°C. and 80°C. on the viscosity of dilute and concentrated dispersions, respectively. In all cases the viscosity decreased, the change being greatest at the higher temperature. During the same period the viscosity of a portion of the

dilute dispersion decreased from 1.71 to 1.69 centipoises and that of a portion of the concentrated dispersion from 3.74 to 3.66 centipoises.

Table XXXII

Effect of heat treatment on the viscosity of dilute dispersions in 0.1 N sodium hydroxide

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as % of initial viscosity	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	%	
Temperature 60°C. Dispersion 1 day old				
0		1.71	100.0	12.6
1		1.61	94.1	12.5
2		1.56	91.2	
3		1.52	88.9	
4		1.49	87.1	12.4
6		1.46	85.4	12.3
9		1.43	83.6	12.1
12		1.41	82.4	12.2
Temperature 80°C. Dispersion 1 day old				
	0	1.71	100.0	12.6
	30	1.52	88.9	
1		1.42	83.0	
2		1.37	80.1	12.0
3		1.34	78.4	
4		1.32	77.2	
6	30	1.30	76.0	11.8
8		1.30	76.0	11.6
10		1.29	75.4	
12		1.28	74.9	11.3

These observations show that the rate of reaction is not affected by the concentration of the reactants, but it is affected by the temperature. The rate of reaction increases with increasing temperature.

TABLE I
Effect of Temperature on the Rate of Reaction
of Hydrogen Peroxide with Potassium Iodide

Temp. of reaction, °C.	Time, sec.	Volume of oxygen, ml.	Rate of reaction, ml./min.
10	120	10	0.083
20	60	20	0.333
30	30	30	1.000
40	15	40	2.667
50	10	50	5.000
60	5	60	12.000
70	3	70	23.333
80	2	80	40.000
90	1	90	90.000

Table XXXIII

Effect of heat treatment on the viscosity of concentrated dispersions in 0.1 N sodium hydroxide

Duration of treatment		Viscosity	Viscosity as % of initial viscosity	pH
hr.	min.	centipoise	%	
Temperature 60°C. Dispersion 1 day old				
0		3.74	100.0	12.2
1		3.43	91.7	12.0
2		3.27	87.4	
3		3.14	84.0	
4		3.06	81.8	11.7
6		2.92	78.1	11.5
9		2.80	74.9	11.2
12		2.68	71.7	11.2
Temperature 80°C. Dispersion 1 day old				
	0	3.74	100.0	12.2
	30	3.11	83.2	11.8
1		2.81	75.1	11.6
2		2.51	67.1	11.2
3		2.37	63.4	
4		2.28	61.0	10.7
5		2.22	59.4	
6		2.17	58.0	10.5
8		2.13	57.0	
10		2.11	56.4	10.2
12		2.11	56.4	10.2

The effect of heat treatment at 60° and 80°C. on the viscosity of dilute dispersions is shown in Fig. 5, and on the viscosity of concentrated dispersions in Fig. 6. It is evident that the magnitude and direction of the viscosity change depends on the dispersing agent, the concentration of gluten and the temperature of heat treatment.

TABLE III

Effect of Heat Treatment on the Viscosity of Concentrated Solutions in 0.1% Sodium Chloride

Concentration of Solution	Viscosity at 20°C	Viscosity at 30°C	Viscosity at 40°C
0.1%	1.00	0.95	0.90
0.2%	1.05	1.00	0.95
0.3%	1.10	1.05	1.00
0.4%	1.15	1.10	1.05
0.5%	1.20	1.15	1.10
0.6%	1.25	1.20	1.15
0.7%	1.30	1.25	1.20
0.8%	1.35	1.30	1.25
0.9%	1.40	1.35	1.30
1.0%	1.45	1.40	1.35

The effect of heat treatment at 50°C and 60°C

on the viscosity of dilute solutions is shown in Table IV.

and on the viscosity of concentrated solutions in 0.1%.

It is evident that the magnitude and direction of the

viscosity change depends on the degree of

concentration of dilute and the temperature of heat treatment.

Fig. 5 - Effect of heat treatment on the viscosity of dilute dispersions.

Fig. 6 - Effect of heat treatment on the viscosity of concentrated dispersions.

The viscosity behavior of dilute and concentrated dispersions in urea solution when heat treated at 80°C . is quite different. The viscosity of the dilute dispersion decreased, whereas that of the concentrated dispersion increased on heat treatment at 80°C . The dilute dispersions in the other reagents behaved essentially the same as the concentrated ones. This is evident when the curves in Fig. 5 are compared with the corresponding curves in Fig. 6. Judging from the results in Table XXIX, evaporation probably affected the viscosity of all the heat treated dispersions. Unfortunately, except in this one experiment, the effect of evaporation was not determined.

The nature of the viscosity decrease. Salting out tests were made on a dispersion in buffered 30% urea solution containing 4.52 mgm. of protein nitrogen per gram of dispersion. When necessary the pH was adjusted by adding concentrated ammonium hydroxide. The dispersion was 2 days old when heat treated. The results in Table XXXIV show the effect of heat treatment on the amount of nitrogen that can be precipitated from 10 cc. of dispersion with 2.75 cc. of 20% magnesium sulphate (anhydrous) solution.

The viscosity of the solution at 25°C. was 0.012 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 30°C. was 0.010 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 35°C. was 0.008 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 40°C. was 0.006 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 45°C. was 0.004 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 50°C. was 0.002 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 55°C. was 0.001 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 60°C. was 0.0005 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 65°C. was 0.0002 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 70°C. was 0.0001 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 75°C. was 0.00005 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 80°C. was 0.00002 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 85°C. was 0.00001 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 90°C. was 0.000005 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 95°C. was 0.000002 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 100°C. was 0.000001 poise.

The results of the viscosity measurements are shown in Table I. The viscosity of the solution at 25°C. was 0.012 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 30°C. was 0.010 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 35°C. was 0.008 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 40°C. was 0.006 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 45°C. was 0.004 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 50°C. was 0.002 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 55°C. was 0.001 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 60°C. was 0.0005 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 65°C. was 0.0002 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 70°C. was 0.0001 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 75°C. was 0.00005 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 80°C. was 0.00002 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 85°C. was 0.00001 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 90°C. was 0.000005 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 95°C. was 0.000002 poise. The viscosity of the solution at 100°C. was 0.000001 poise.

Table XXXIV

Effect of heat treatment on subsequent precipitation of protein

Treatment	Viscosity centipoise	Viscosity as % of initial viscosity %	pH of dispersion		Nitrogen precipita- ted (dup- licates) mgm.	
			Before adjust- ing	After adjust- ing		
None	3.99	100.0	6.83	7.16	14.0	16.8
9.5 hr. at 40°C.	3.91	98.0	6.88	7.15	13.8	11.2
2 hr. at 70°C.	3.58	89.7	7.11	7.11	23.5	23.7
1 hr. at 80°C.	3.51	88.0	7.20	7.20	26.2	26.1

These results indicate that treatment at 40°C. decreased the amount of protein precipitated by the method adopted, although the difference cannot be considered significant. Treatment at 70° and 80°C. increased the amount of protein precipitated by this method, but whether this was due to dehydration or coagulation cannot be stated.

The viscosity change in dispersions in 30% urea solutions. The curves in Fig. 4 suggest an equilibrium reaction, as the final viscosity depends on the temperature of heat treatment. It was impossible to calculate the reaction constant at each temperature by means of the usual formulae, as η_{∞} could not be obtained. The following method was employed. It was assumed that the same viscosity level, such as 90% of the initial viscosity, represented the same internal condition regardless of the temperature of treatment. The t values in Table XXXV were substituted

in the equation $K = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{a}{a-x}$, where a = the initial viscosity or 100%, and $a-x$ = the viscosity expressed as a percent of the initial viscosity at time t . The K values, the Q_{10} values and the average Q_{10} value for each temperature interval are also given in Table XXXV.

Table XXXV

Values of t , K and Q_{10} calculated from heat treatment data

Temperature of treatment °C.	Viscosity as percent of initial viscosity						Av.
	97.5	95.0	92.5	90.0	87.5	85.0	

t values (min.). Obtained from Fig. 4.

40	66	252					
60	24	66	150	360			
70	12	30	54	96	150	228	
80		15	27	42	66	96	
90			18	27	42	66	
100			12	18	30	48*	

$K \times 10^3$ values. $K = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{a}{a-x}$

40	3.9	2.0					
50	6.0**	3.8**					
60	10.7	7.8	5.2	2.9			
70	21.3	17.2	14.4	11.0	8.9	7.1	
80		34.4	28.8	25.0	20.2	16.9	
90			43.2	39.0	31.8	24.6	
100			64.8	58.4	44.5	33.8	

Q_{10} values = $\frac{K_t + 10}{K_t}$

Temperature interval							
40-50	1.5	1.9					1.7
50-60	1.8	2.1					2.0
60-70	2.0	2.2	2.8	3.8			2.7
70-80		2.0	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.2
80-90			1.5	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6
90-100			1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4

* Value obtained by graphical extrapolation, Fig. 4.

** Values obtained by graphical interpolation, using the given K values in the respective columns.

in the equation $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0} \right)$ where T is the absolute temperature of the liquid, and T_0 is the absolute temperature of the solid. The values of α for the various liquids are given in Table I. The values of α for the various solids are given in Table II.

TABLE I

Values of α for the various liquids and solids

Temperature of liquid, °C.	Temperature of solid, °C.	Value of α
----------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------

Values of α for the various liquids and solids

Temperature of liquid, °C.	Temperature of solid, °C.	Value of α
100	100	0.000
90	90	0.000
80	80	0.000
70	70	0.000
60	60	0.000
50	50	0.000
40	40	0.000
30	30	0.000
20	20	0.000
10	10	0.000

Values of α for the various liquids and solids

Temperature of liquid, °C.	Temperature of solid, °C.	Value of α
100	100	0.000
90	90	0.000
80	80	0.000
70	70	0.000
60	60	0.000
50	50	0.000
40	40	0.000
30	30	0.000
20	20	0.000
10	10	0.000

Values of α for the various liquids and solids

Temperature of liquid, °C.	Temperature of solid, °C.	Value of α
100	100	0.000
90	90	0.000
80	80	0.000
70	70	0.000
60	60	0.000
50	50	0.000
40	40	0.000
30	30	0.000
20	20	0.000
10	10	0.000

Values of α for the various liquids and solids

Values of α for the various liquids and solids

It can be seen from the table that the Q_{10} varies with the viscosity level as well as with temperature. This indicates two opposing reactions and means that the original assumption, that the same viscosity level represented the same internal condition, regardless of temperature, was probably incorrect. The data are not adequate enough to permit extrapolating and determining the exact temperature at which each of the opposing reactions terminate, but the combined effect of the two gives a maximum Q_{10} in the region of 60° to $70^{\circ}\text{C}.$, with one reaction predominating at lower and the other at higher temperatures. Owing to the wide variations between individual values (especially in the 60° to $70^{\circ}\text{C}.$ interval) the average Q_{10} values may not be as significant as they appear to be.

It is true that, as the reaction temperature increased, the average pH at which the reaction proceeded also increased. However, Lewis (23, 24) found that the velocity of heat denaturation of oxyhemoglobin and of egg albumin was minimal at about pH 6.8, and unless the gluten proteins behave quite differently than the proteins that Lewis studied, the pH change could not account for a maximum Q_{10} value.

The results of other experiments also favor the suggestion of the existence of two reactions. The salting out tests on dilute dispersions show that storage at $25^{\circ}\text{C}.$

and heat treatment at 40°C. decreased the ease of precipitation, (Tables XVI and XXXIV), while treatment at 70° and 80°C. increased the ease of precipitation, (Table XXXIV). Furthermore, the heat treatment at 60°C. of concentrated dispersions in 30% urea solutions decreased the viscosity, whereas at 80°C. it increased the viscosity (Table XXVI).

Until further studies are made, the nature of the reactions cannot be determined.

DISCUSSION

In the first part of this investigation it has been shown that the viscosity of gluten dispersions depends on the dispersing agents employed and the storage conditions. In all cases the viscosity of dispersions in acid and alkali reached a much lower level on storage at 25°C. than did that of dispersions in the neutral solvents. This indicates that acids and alkalis either dehydrate the particles or break up the aggregates more completely than do the neutral solvents. In this respect acids and alkalis might be considered better dispersing agents than the neutral reagents. McBain (28) stated that the best solvents for nitro-cotton are those in which dismemberment proceeds furthest through solvation of the various points of affinity. Acids and alkalis, however, also cause

The results of the study of the effect of the concentration of the solution on the rate of the reaction are given in Table I. It is seen from the table that the rate of the reaction increases with increasing concentration of the solution. The rate of the reaction is also affected by the temperature. The rate of the reaction increases with increasing temperature. The results of the study of the effect of the concentration of the solution on the rate of the reaction are given in Table I. It is seen from the table that the rate of the reaction increases with increasing concentration of the solution. The rate of the reaction is also affected by the temperature. The rate of the reaction increases with increasing temperature.

DISCUSSION

The results of the study of the effect of the concentration of the solution on the rate of the reaction are given in Table I. It is seen from the table that the rate of the reaction increases with increasing concentration of the solution. The rate of the reaction is also affected by the temperature. The rate of the reaction increases with increasing temperature. The results of the study of the effect of the concentration of the solution on the rate of the reaction are given in Table I. It is seen from the table that the rate of the reaction increases with increasing concentration of the solution. The rate of the reaction is also affected by the temperature. The rate of the reaction increases with increasing temperature. The results of the study of the effect of the concentration of the solution on the rate of the reaction are given in Table I. It is seen from the table that the rate of the reaction increases with increasing concentration of the solution. The rate of the reaction is also affected by the temperature. The rate of the reaction increases with increasing temperature. The results of the study of the effect of the concentration of the solution on the rate of the reaction are given in Table I. It is seen from the table that the rate of the reaction increases with increasing concentration of the solution. The rate of the reaction is also affected by the temperature. The rate of the reaction increases with increasing temperature.

incipient hydrolysis or molecular disintegration which is undesirable from the standpoint of a study of the physical properties of proteins. Salting out tests on dilute dispersions in urea solutions indicate that the viscosity decrease on storage at 25°C. is due to a breaking up of the aggregates forming the micellar structure, but as the viscosity remains at a relatively high level urea solutions apparently do not carry this process nearly as far as do acids and alkalis. The viscosity of dilute dispersions in urea solutions stored at 0°C. after 8 hours at 25°C. remained essentially constant. The effect of heat treatment at 80°C. (Part II) on the viscosity of a dilute dispersion in urea solution was essentially the same when the dispersion had been kept at 25°C. for 8 hours as when it had been stored at 0°C. for 4 days. It appears, therefore, that urea causes little further change at 0°C. after dispersion is complete.

In the second part of this investigation it has been shown that the viscosity of dilute and concentrated dispersions in acid and alkali always decreases on heat treatment of the dispersion, whereas that of dispersions in the neutral solvents may increase in certain cases. The magnitude of the viscosity changes of the dispersions in acid and alkali is much smaller than of those in the neutral solvents.

The viscosity of dispersions in sodium salicylate solutions increases on heat treatment of the dispersion at 60°C. At 80°C. the viscosity first increases and then decreases. The viscosity of dilute dispersions in urea solutions decreased at all the temperatures at which heat treatment was carried out. On the other hand that of concentrated dispersions decreased on heat treatment of the dispersion at 60°C. and increased on heat treatment at 80°C.

Computation of the Q_{10} value for the viscosity changes of dilute dispersions in urea solution shows that it reached a maximum between 60° and 70°C. This and other evidence leads to the suggestion that there are two reactions effecting the viscosity changes observed. At the lower temperatures there is probably a continuation of the reaction noted at 25°C., that is, a further disintegration of the aggregates. Above 70°C., however, a second reaction which increases the viscosity sets in. Salting out tests indicate that dispersed gluten which has been heat treated at temperatures above 70°C. is more easily precipitated than dispersed gluten which has not been heat treated. The second reaction must therefore be regarded as a dehydration or coagulation, and since the latter would account for the increase in the viscosity of concentrated dispersions it appears to be the more probable.

The Committee on the Administration of Justice, established in 1967, has been instrumental in the development of the judicial system. It has recommended the establishment of a judicial council to oversee the administration of the courts. The Council is to be composed of representatives of the judiciary, the executive, and the legislature. It is to be responsible for the appointment and removal of judges, the allocation of judicial resources, and the improvement of the judicial process. The Council is to be a permanent body, and its decisions are to be binding on the executive and the legislature. The Council is to be established by an Act of Parliament, and its first meeting is to be held within six months of the date of its establishment.

The Committee has also recommended the establishment of a judicial service commission to oversee the recruitment and selection of judges. The Commission is to be composed of representatives of the judiciary, the executive, and the legislature. It is to be responsible for the recruitment and selection of judges, and for the promotion and transfer of judges. The Commission is to be a permanent body, and its decisions are to be binding on the executive and the legislature. The Commission is to be established by an Act of Parliament, and its first meeting is to be held within six months of the date of its establishment.

The Committee has also recommended the establishment of a judicial training centre to provide training for judges and judicial officers. The Centre is to be responsible for the training of judges and judicial officers, and for the improvement of the judicial process. The Centre is to be a permanent body, and its decisions are to be binding on the executive and the legislature. The Centre is to be established by an Act of Parliament, and its first meeting is to be held within six months of the date of its establishment.

The Committee has also recommended the establishment of a judicial research institute to conduct research on the judicial system. The Institute is to be responsible for the conduct of research on the judicial system, and for the improvement of the judicial process. The Institute is to be a permanent body, and its decisions are to be binding on the executive and the legislature. The Institute is to be established by an Act of Parliament, and its first meeting is to be held within six months of the date of its establishment.

The Committee has also recommended the establishment of a judicial library to provide a collection of books and documents on the judicial system. The Library is to be responsible for the collection and maintenance of books and documents on the judicial system, and for the improvement of the judicial process. The Library is to be a permanent body, and its decisions are to be binding on the executive and the legislature. The Library is to be established by an Act of Parliament, and its first meeting is to be held within six months of the date of its establishment.

As denaturation of the coagulable proteins is generally accompanied by an increase in viscosity, it appears that the behavior of gluten in the neutral solvents is more akin to the behavior of albumin in water than is that of gluten dispersed in acids and alkalis. Denaturation proper is generally regarded as being chemical in nature and is followed by coagulation which is a physical phenomenon. As the chemical changes are not generally capable of detection, coagulation must be regarded as the first evidence of denaturation. The results presented in this paper show no evidence of the coagulation of dispersions in acids and alkalis.

Although the present data do not warrant a definite conclusion regarding the best dispersing agent for gluten, it appears that the neutral solvents are less drastic in their action.

CONCLUSIONS

The method developed for determining the protein nitrogen content of dispersions in 30% urea solutions has been found satisfactory.

When dilute gluten dispersions in 30% urea solutions are stored at 0°C. after initial periods at 25°C. there is little further change in the viscosity

 

of dispersions that have been stored at 25°C. for 8 or more hours.

When dispersions are stored at 25°C. the magnitude and behavior of the viscosity of dilute and concentrated dispersions in urea, sodium salicylate, acetic acid and sodium hydroxide solutions depend on the dispersing agent used.

Varying the pH of dilute dispersions in urea solutions from 6.1 to 8.0 had no appreciable effect on the viscosity.

The Q_{10} value for the viscosity changes of dilute dispersions in urea solutions reached a maximum between 60° and 70°C. This and other evidence lead to the belief that there are two reactions effecting the viscosity changes observed in the dispersions in urea solutions.

When dilute and concentrated gluten dispersions in urea, sodium salicylate, acetic acid and sodium hydroxide solutions are heat treated at 60° and 80°C. the viscosity behavior of the dispersions depends on the dispersing agent, the concentration of the dispersion and the temperature of heat treatment.

of the following data were obtained from the field work.

These data:

1. The following data were obtained from the field work.

2. The following data were obtained from the field work.

3. The following data were obtained from the field work.

4. The following data were obtained from the field work.

5. The following data were obtained from the field work.

6. The following data were obtained from the field work.

7. The following data were obtained from the field work.

8. The following data were obtained from the field work.

9. The following data were obtained from the field work.

10. The following data were obtained from the field work.

11. The following data were obtained from the field work.

12. The following data were obtained from the field work.

13. The following data were obtained from the field work.

14. The following data were obtained from the field work.

15. The following data were obtained from the field work.

16. The following data were obtained from the field work.

17. The following data were obtained from the field work.

18. The following data were obtained from the field work.

19. The following data were obtained from the field work.

20. The following data were obtained from the field work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express his thanks to Dr. W. H. Cook, Division of Biology and Agriculture, National Research Council, Ottawa, under whose direction this work has been done, for advice, suggestions, and criticisms of the manuscript; to Dr. K. A. Clark, Research Council of Alberta for the use of the Sharples supercentrifuge; and to his associates in the Plant Biochemical Laboratory for suggestions offered and assistance rendered in carrying out this study.

REFERENCES

1. ALSBERG, C.L. and GRIFFING, E.P. The heat coagulation of gluten. Cereal Chem. 4:411-423. 1927.
2. ANSON, M.L. and MIRSKY, A.E. Protein coagulation and its reversal. The preparation of completely coagulated hemoglobin. J. Gen. Physiol. 13:121-132. 1929.
3. _____ . Protein coagulation and its reversal. Globin. J. Gen. Physiol. 14:605-609. 1931.
4. _____ . Protein coagulation and its reversal. Serum albumin. J. Gen. Physiol. 14:725-732. 1931.
5. _____ . The effect of denaturation on the viscosity of protein systems. J. Gen. Physiol. 15:341-350. 1932.

MEMORANDUM

FOR THE RECORD

The subject herein is a letter from the Bureau of the
 F. B. I., Division of Criminal Investigation, dated
 October 10, 1934, to the Bureau of the
 Federal Bureau of Investigation, dated October 10, 1934,
 regarding the activities of the "Black Legion" in
 the Chicago area. The letter states that the
 Chicago Police Department has received information
 that the "Black Legion" is active in the
 Chicago area and is engaged in various
 criminal activities. The letter also states
 that the Chicago Police Department is
 conducting an investigation of the
 "Black Legion" and is requesting the
 assistance of the Federal Bureau of
 Investigation in this matter.

RECOMMENDATION

FOR THE RECORD

1. The Chicago Police Department is conducting an investigation of the "Black Legion" and is requesting the assistance of the Federal Bureau of Investigation in this matter.
2. The Chicago Police Department is conducting an investigation of the "Black Legion" and is requesting the assistance of the Federal Bureau of Investigation in this matter.
3. The Chicago Police Department is conducting an investigation of the "Black Legion" and is requesting the assistance of the Federal Bureau of Investigation in this matter.
4. The Chicago Police Department is conducting an investigation of the "Black Legion" and is requesting the assistance of the Federal Bureau of Investigation in this matter.
5. The Chicago Police Department is conducting an investigation of the "Black Legion" and is requesting the assistance of the Federal Bureau of Investigation in this matter.

6. BANCROFT, W.D. and RUTZLER, J.E. Jr. The denaturation of albumin. J. Phys. Chem. 35:144-161. 1931.
7. BLISH, M.J. and SANDSTEDT, R.M. The nature and identity of wheat glutenin. J. Biol. Chem. 85:195-206. 1929.
8. BRITISH ENGINEERING STANDARDS ASSOCIATION. Determination of viscosity in absolute units. Report 188. London. 1923.
9. CHICK, H. and MARTIN, C.J. The "heat coagulation" of proteins. J. Physiol. 40:404-430. 1910.
10. COOK, W.H. Preparation and heat denaturation of the gluten proteins. Can. J. Res. 5:389-406. 1931.
11. _____ and ALSBERG, C.L. Preparation of glutenin in urea solutions. Can. J. Res. 5:355-374. 1931.
12. GEDDES, W.F. Chemical and physico-chemical changes in wheat and wheat products induced by elevated temperatures. I. Can. J. Res. 1:528-558. 1929.
13. _____. Chemical and physico-chemical changes in wheat and wheat products induced by elevated temperatures. II. Can. J. Res. 2:65-90. 1930.
14. _____. Chemical and physico-chemical changes in wheat products induced by elevated temperatures. III. The influence of germ constituents on baking quality and their relation to improvement in flour induced by heat and chemical improvers. Can. J. Res. 2:195-213. 1930.
15. GORTNER, R.A., HOFFMAN, W.F. and SINCLAIR, W.B. The peptization of wheat flour proteins by inorganic salt solutions. Cereal Chem. 6:1-17. 1929.
16. HATSCHEK, E. The viscosity of colloidal solutions. Colloid Chemistry. (Ed. by J. Alexander). 1:738-750. Chemical Catalogue Co., New York. 1926.
17. _____. The apparent viscosity of colloidal solutions. J. Phys. Chem. 31:383-392. 1927.
18. HAUGAARD, G. and JOHNSON, A.H. The fractionation of gliadin. Compt. rend. trav. lab. Carlsberg. 18(2):1-138. 1930. Quoted by Chem. Abs. 25:450. 1931.

19. HOFFMAN, W.F. and GORTNER, R.A. The preparation and analysis of the various proteins of wheat flour with special reference to the globulin, albumin and proteose fractions. Cereal Chem. 4:221-229. 1927.
20. INTERNATIONAL CRITICAL TABLES. Vol. 5. 1929.
21. KRAEMER, E.O. Mechanical properties of colloidal solutions. Treatise on Physical Chemistry. (Ed. by H. S. Taylor) 2nd ed. 2:1613-1622. D. Van Nostrand Co., New York. 1931.
22. LARMOUR, R.K. A comparative study of the glutelins of the cereal grains. J. Agr. Res. 35:1091-1120. 1927.
23. LEWIS, P.S. The kinetics of protein denaturation. Part I. The effect of variation in the hydrogen ion concentration on the velocity of the heat denaturation of oxyhaemoglobin. Biochem. J. 20:965-977. 1926.
24. _____. The kinetics of protein denaturation. Part II. The effect of variation in the hydrogen ion concentration on the velocity of the heat denaturation of egg albumin; the critical increment of the process. Biochem. J. 20:978-983. 1926.
25. _____. The kinetics of protein denaturation. Part III. The influence of neutral salts on the velocity of heat denaturation of oxyhaemoglobin. Biochem. J. 20:984-992. 1926.
26. LOUGHLIN, W.J. The denaturation of proteins. IX. The effect of the addition of electrolytes on the viscosity of solutions of crystalline egg albumin. Biochem. J. 26:1557-1565. 1932.
27. _____ and LEWIS, W.C.M. The denaturation of proteins. VIII. The effect of denaturation on the viscosity of solutions of certain proteins. Biochem. J. 26:476-487. 1932.
28. MCBAIN, J.W. The apparent viscosity of colloidal solutions and a theory of neutral colloids as solvated micelles capable of aggregation. J. Phys. Chem. 30:239-247. 1926.

10. EDWARDS, W.B. and THOMAS, W.B. The importance of
analysis of the various factors in the
with similar results to the results of
and previous studies. *Journal of
1967.*
11. INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT. *Vol. 1. 1967.*
12. THOMAS, W.B. and THOMAS, W.B. The importance of
analysis of the various factors in the
with similar results to the results of
and previous studies. *Journal of
1967.*
13. THOMAS, W.B. and THOMAS, W.B. The importance of
analysis of the various factors in the
with similar results to the results of
and previous studies. *Journal of
1967.*
14. THOMAS, W.B. and THOMAS, W.B. The importance of
analysis of the various factors in the
with similar results to the results of
and previous studies. *Journal of
1967.*
15. THOMAS, W.B. and THOMAS, W.B. The importance of
analysis of the various factors in the
with similar results to the results of
and previous studies. *Journal of
1967.*
16. THOMAS, W.B. and THOMAS, W.B. The importance of
analysis of the various factors in the
with similar results to the results of
and previous studies. *Journal of
1967.*
17. THOMAS, W.B. and THOMAS, W.B. The importance of
analysis of the various factors in the
with similar results to the results of
and previous studies. *Journal of
1967.*
18. THOMAS, W.B. and THOMAS, W.B. The importance of
analysis of the various factors in the
with similar results to the results of
and previous studies. *Journal of
1967.*
19. THOMAS, W.B. and THOMAS, W.B. The importance of
analysis of the various factors in the
with similar results to the results of
and previous studies. *Journal of
1967.*
20. THOMAS, W.B. and THOMAS, W.B. The importance of
analysis of the various factors in the
with similar results to the results of
and previous studies. *Journal of
1967.*

29. OSBORNE, T.B. The proteins of the wheat kernel.
Carnegie Inst. Wash. Pub. 84. 1907.
30. SANDSTEDT, R.M. and BLISH, M.J. A new characterization
of the gluten proteins. Cereal Chem. 10:359-366.
1933.
31. SPEAKMAN, J.B. and HIRST, M.C. The pH stability
region of insoluble proteins. Nature 127:665-666.
1931.
32. SVEDBERG, T. and NICHOLS, J.B. The application of the
oil turbine type of ultracentrifuge to the study
of the stability region of carbon monoxide
hemoglobin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 49:2920-2934. 1927.
33. _____ and SJÖGREN, B. The molecular weight of
Bence-Jones protein. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 51:3594-
3605. 1929.
34. _____ and STAMM, A.J. The molecular weight of
edestin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 51:2170-2185. 1929.

 

B29742