ORTHODON VS MOSLA (LAMIACEAE)

NEIL A. HARRIMAN

Biology Department University of Wisconsin-Oshkosh Oshkosh, WI 54901, U.S.A. harriman@uwo.edu

The generic name Orthodon Bentham & Oliver (Lamiaceae) has never gained widespread acceptance. Mosla (Bentham) Buchanan-Hamilton ex Maximowicz has been used almost universally for this Asian genus of about 22 species, several of them quite weedy. Indeed, Bentham himself (1876) adopted Mosla and cited his own generic name in synonymy, without comment.

The relevant data are these:

Orthodon R. Brown, Trans. Linn. Soc. London 12:578. 6 April–August 1819, Musci.

Orthodon Bentham & Oliver, J. Linn. Soc., Bot. 9:167. 12 October 1865, Lamiaceae.

Mosla (Bentham) Buchanan-Hamilton ex Maximowicz, Bull. Acad. Imp. Sci. Saint Pétersbourg, sér. 3, 20:456. 1875, based on *Hedeoma* Persoon sect. *Mosla* Bentham, Labiat. Gen. Spec. 366. 1834.

Orthodon Bentham & Oliver is an illegitimate homonym of Orthodon R. Brown, easily discoverable from Index Nominum Genericorum (Plantarum). However, before plant taxonomy was blessed with such invaluable compilations, there was no practical and reliable way to discover the existence of duplicated generic names. This was what led Bau and Nayar (1969) to propose conservation of Mosla against Orthodon Bentham & Oliver; McVaugh (1970) replied (as Secretary for the Committee on Spermatophyta) that the existence of Orthodon R. Brown (Musci) made Orthodon Bentham & Oliver (Lamiaceae) a later homonym—the proposal was therefore superfluous, and was withdrawn by its authors.

There the matter rested. Since 1970, no proposal to conserve *Orthodon* Bentham & Oliver against *Orthodon* R. Brown has been submitted to Taxon, Dan Nicolson informs me (pers. comm., 4 December 1998). One expects none would arise, because *Mosla* is so widely used that to return to a conserved *Orthodon* would be destabilizing to nomenclature.

However, *Orthodon* Bentham & Oliver has now resurfaced: Gleason, H.A. and A. Cronquist (1991, p. 445) adopt it in place of *Mosla*. Its "impropriety" is not remarked upon in Hammond (1992).

The name cannot be used; I surmise (following the suggestion of Paul Fryxell) that its appearance may stem from the entry in Airy Shaw (1973), wherein

930 Sida 18(3)

Orthodon is accepted in generic status and Mosla is reduced to synonymy, the compiler having been unaware of the existence of the priorable Orthodon R. Brown (Musci).

Mosla is represented in the United States of America only by the weedy annual Mosla dianthera (Roxburgh) Maximowicz, the type species of the genus; its known range is Georgia, Kentucky, New Jersey, North Carolina, and Tennessee (data from www.mip.berkeley.edu/bonap/checklist_intro.html), but it can be expected to spread much more widely. It would be most unfortunate if the illegitimate name Orthodon dianthera were to become weedy in the literature.

REFERENCES

- Airy Shaw, H.K. 1973. A dictionary of the flowering plants and ferns, 8th ed. Cambridge University Press.
- BENTHAM, G. 1876. Mosla. In: G. Bentham & W.J. Hooker. Genera Plantarum 2:1182.
- BAU, C.R. and M.P. NAYAR. Proposal to conserve the generic name 7333. *Mosla* Buch.-Ham. ex Maxim. (1875) (LAMIACEAE) against *Orthodon* Benth. & Oliver (1865). Taxon 18:596. 1969.
- GLEASON, H.A. and A. CRONQUIST. 1991. Manual of vascular plants of northeastern United States and adjacent Canada, ed. 2, p. 445.
- Hammond, H.D. 1992. Corrections and additions for and errors found in the Manual of vascular plants of northeastern United states and adjacent Canada, Second Edition, by Henry A. Gleason (late) and Arthur Cronquist. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 119:88-89.
- McVaugh, R. 1970. Report of the Committee for Spermatophyta. Conservation of generic names, XIII. Taxon 19:818.



Harriman, Neil A. 1999. "ORTHODON VS MOSLA (LAMIACEAE)." *SIDA, contributions to botany* 18, 929–930.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/34589

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/163235

Holding Institution

Missouri Botanical Garden, Peter H. Raven Library

Sponsored by

Missouri Botanical Garden

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Rights: https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.