Phytologia (August 1993) 75(2):113-117.

COMMENTS ON THE DEFINITION OF THE GENUS DIPLOPAPPUS CASS. (ASTERACEAE: ASTEREAE)

Guy L. Nesom

Department of Botany, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78713 U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

Diplopappus Cass. (1817), as first defined, comprised two species of Erigeron L., two of Chrysopsis (Nutt.) Ell. (1824), and one that is likely to be either a species of Heterotheca Cass. (1817) or another of Chrysopsis. The taxa of Erigeron were soon removed from Diplopappus by Cassini, but the status of the genus relative to its three other, original, constituent taxa remains unsettled. In any case, Diplopappus is based on North American plants and should eventually be treated as a synonym of either Chrysopsis or perhaps Heterotheca. It is not a synonym of Aster, as has sometimes been claimed.

KEY WORDS: Diplopappus, Chrysopsis, Aster, Astereae, Asteraceae

The genus Diplopappus Cass. has received a varied and uneven treatment, absorbing many species from a range of genera now recognized to be of widely separated evolutionary affinities. It is now generally relegated to synonymy, although its position and status are not settled. Hooker (*Fl. Bor.-Amer.* 2:20-23. 1834) included a wide range of North American taxa within Diplopappus, and Hooker & Arnott (1836) soon expanded the genus further to encompass a large group of South American species now placed primarily in Haplopappus DC. In DeCandolle's treatment (*Prodr.* 5:275-278. 1836), Diplopappus included species now treated as *Felicia* Cass., *Ionactis* E. Greene, and two groups of Aster L. (one from South Africa, one from Asia). Harvey (1865) accepted DeCandolle's concept, in part, but sharply restricted Diplopappus to two groups of African species now regarded as *Felicia* and Aster. Torrey & Gray (*Fl. N. Amer.* 2:180-184. 1841) included species of *Ionactis* and *Chaetopappa* DC. but expanded the concept of Diplopappus in a markedly different direction also to include species of *Doellingeria* Nees and the South American Diplostephium Kunth. Gray (1884) later completely dismantled North American Diplopappus and distributed its accumulated taxa through a number of genera, including (as now recognized) Aster, Chaetopappa, Ionactis, Erigeron L., Heterotheca Cass., Chrysopsis (Nutt.) Ell., Pityopsis Nutt., Corethrogyne DC., and Machaeranthera Nees.

The early usage of *Diplopappus* beyond Cassini, however, moved far from its original conception. In a brief but apparently legitimate, initial publication of *Diplopappus* (1817), Cassini did not treat any specifically designated species, but two years later (*Dict. Sci. Nat.* 39:103. 1819) he formally included five species. Two of these were taxa now placed in the North American genus *Chrysopsis*, two now placed as North and Central American species of *Erigeron*, and the identity of the other (*Diplopappus villosus* Cass.) remains uncertain, although it may be *Heterotheca* (see below).

Diplopappus Cass., Bull. Sci. Soc. Philom. Paris 1817:137. 1817. SYNTYPES (Dict. Sci. Nat. 39:103. 1819):

Diplopappus lanatus Cass. (= Chrysopsis gossypina [Michx.] Ell.); Diplopappus intermedius Cass. (= probably Chrysopsis gossypina); Diplopappus dubius Cass. (= Erigeron annuus [L.] Pers.); Diplopappus delphinifolius Cass. (= Erigeron delphinifolius Willd.); Diplopappus villosus Cass. (? = Heterotheca villosa [Pursh] Shinners.

The two species of *Erigeron* were later excluded by Cassini himself in favor of positions in other genera, and they also were formally excluded in the treatment by DeCandolle, who also referred them to other genera. *Diplopappus* can reasonably be rejected as a synonym of *Erigeron* (Nesom 1989). Semple (1981) listed *Diplopappus* Cass. as a synonym of *Chrysopsis* in his revisional treatment of the latter; he indicated without explanation that *Diplopappus* is a "nom. illeg." He included *D. lanatus* Cass. as a synonym of *C. gossypina*, probably based on Cassini's own treatment of *C. gossypina* as a synonym of *D. lanatus*, but he did not provide an identity for *D. intermedius* Cass. According to Cassini (1819), however, the latter differs very little from *D. lanatus*, and *Index Kewensis* (probably on the basis of Cassini's comment) indicates that it perhaps is a synonym of *C. gossypina*.

With regard to Diplopappus villosus, Cassini (Dict. Sci. Nat. 13:309. 1819) noted that "Nous ignorons la patrie de cette plante, que nous avons etudiee dans l'herbier de M. de Jussieu, ou elle est etiquetee, par erreur san doute, Aster alpinus B, Linn." Cassini described this plant as herbaceous, highly branched, with alternate, sessile, lanceolate-acute, entire leaves villous or velutinous ("velues") on both surfaces, with yellow flowers in heads in a corymbiform panicle, achenes obovate and flat, with an outer series of short, flat

Nesom:

squamellae and inner series of barbellate bristles. Its identity remains unclear, and I have been unable to locate in the Jussieu herbarium (on fiche) a specimen that might correspond to Cassini's description, but it may yet be found there. In any case, the specimen was collected by Michaux (fide Cassini) and almost certainly came from North America. Based on Cassini's description, its assignment to Chrysopsis or Heterotheca sect. Phyllotheca (Nutt.) V. Harms (see Semple 1987) seems reasonable.

Both Farr et al. (1979) and Heywood et al. (1977) positioned Diplopappus as a synonym of Aster L., these opinions probably reflecting the association of the name Diplopappus with South African asters. The problematic identity of these species with Diplopappus, however, has been noted or discussed in relatively recent literature (Merxmüller 1954; Grau 1973; Dyer 1975). Jeffrey (1990) also placed Diplopappus as a synonym of Aster L., but he noted that the three "syntype species" are from North America. Aster in any sense, however, could be regarded as incorporating Diplopappus only if the identity of D. villosa Cass. were established as an Aster and that taxon chosen as the lectotype of Diplopappus. Diplopappus villosus certainly is not any species of Aster sensu lato if Cassini's description of "fleurs jaunes" is accurate and applies to both ray and disc flowers. DeCandolle (1836), however, specifically noted that he separated the genus Chrysopsis from Diplopappus on the basis of yellow rays in the former, and, in fact, the only treatment of Diplopappus since Cassini's to include yellow-rayed taxa has been that of Hooker & Arnott. DeCandolle's treatment of Diplopappus included none of the five species first placed there by Cassini.

If Diplopappus were lectotypified with one of the two species that seem clearly to be Chrysopsis, Cassini's generic name would have priority over that of Ellis: (Chrysopsis [Nutt.] Ell. 1824, based on Inula sect. Chrysopsis Nutt. 1818). Hooker (Fl. Bor.-Amer. 2:22. 1834) treated Chrysopsis within Diplopappus Cass. and included D. villosus as one of the species, listing in its synonymy Chrysopsis villosa (Pursh) Nutt. (= Heterotheca villosa [Pursh] Shinners). Hooker did not provide a citation of authorship for D. villosus, although its listing from that reference in Index Kewensis implied that the authorship was intended to be D. villosa (Pursh) Hook., in contrast to D. villosus Cass. Diplopappus villosus Hook. & Arn. (Companion Bot. Mag. 2:48. 1836) is a taxon now treated as a South American species of Hysterionica Less. and is heterotypic with the North American homonyms.

If the identity of *Diplopappus villosus* Cass. were established as a synonym of *Heterotheca villosa* (Pursh) Shinners, as seems possible, *Diplopappus* could be treated simply as a synonym of *Heterotheca* Cass., which was established as the next genus following *Diplopappus* in Cassini's 1817 paper. As pointed out by Dr. Semple, however, in his comments on this paper, Michaux could not have collected *H. villosa* in eastern North America, and plants of that species hardly fit the description of "highly branched." Further, species of *Chrysopsis* volume 75(2):113-117

August 1993

are variable in the amount of indument produced, often giving them a markedly different aspect, and it is possible that Cassini's *D. villosus* was based on yet another plant of *Chrysopsis*. The location of Cassini's type (the Michaux specimen in the Jussieu herbarium) and its identification as *Heterotheca* would provide the simplest solution. Otherwise, it may ultimately become necessary to lectotypify *Diplopappus* with *D. lanatus* and then to conserve *Chrysopsis*, a genus already once conserved (over an earlier generic name of Rafinesque: see *ICBN* 1972 and Semple 1981).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Billie Turner, John Semple, and Tom Watson for their comments and review of the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Dyer, R.A. 1975. The genera of southern African flowering plants. vol. 1, Dicotyledons. Dept. Agricultural Technical Services, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Farr, E.R., J.A. Leussink, & F.A. Stafleu (eds.). 1979. Index Nominum Genericorum (Plantarum). Regn. Vegetab., Vol. 100.
- Grau, J. 1973. Revision der Gattung Felicia (Asteraceae). Mitt. Bot. München 9:195-705.
- Gray, A. 1884. Synoptical Flora of North America. Ivison, Blakeman, Taylor & Co., New York, New York.
- Harvey, W.H. 1965. Compositae. In Harvey, W.H. & O.W. Sonder, Fl. Cap. 3:44-530.
- Heywood, V.H., J.B. Harborne, & B.L. Turner (eds.). 1977. Biology and Chemistry of the Asteraceae. Academic Press, New York, New York.
- Hooker, W.J. & G.A.W. Arnott. 1836. Contributions towards a flora of South America and the islands of the Pacific. Companion Bot. Mag. 2:41-52.
- Jeffrey, C. 1990. Generic names of Compositae, Part VII. Compositae Newsl. 18:2-26.

Nesom:

- Merxmüller, H. 1954. Uber einiger mit Aster nahe verwandten Gattungen Sudwestafrikas. Mitt. Bot. München 1:417-421.
- Nesom, G.L. 1989. Taxonomy of Erigeron sect. Polyactis (Compositae: Astereae). Phytologia 66:415-455.
- Semple, J.C. 1981. A revision of the goldenaster genus Chrysopsis (Nutt.) Ell. nom. cons. (Compositae - Astereae). Rhodora 83:323-384.

. 1987. New names, combinations, and lectotypifications in *Heterotheca* (Compositae: Astereae). Brittonia 39:379-386.



Nesom, Guy L. 1993. "Comments on the definition of the genus Diplopappus Cass. (Asteraceae: Astereae)." *Phytologia* 75, 113–117.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/175911 Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/175911

Holding Institution New York Botanical Garden, LuEsther T. Mertz Library

Sponsored by The LuEsther T Mertz Library, the New York Botanical Garden

Copyright & Reuse Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. Rights Holder: Phytologia License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.