A Hyperparasite Mistaken for an Inflorescence: The Identity and Typification of *Phoradendron rusbyi* Britton (Viscaceae)

Job Kuijt

Missouri Botanical Garden; Mailing address: Department of Biology, University of Victoria,
Victoria, B.C. V8W 2Y2, Canada

ABSTRACT. The type of *Phoradendron rusbyi* Britton (Viscaceae) consists of two species of *Phoradendron*, one hyperparasitic on the other. The hyperparasite is designated to be the type; the host mistletoe is *P. crassifolium* (DC.) Eichler.

The protologue of the Bolivian *Phoradendron rusbyi* Britton (in Rusby, 1900; not to be confused with *P. rusbyanum* Trelease) has been puzzling in the past in that it seemed to be made up of discordant elements. Britton described leaf shape, while the rather fragmentary types at NY are strictly squamate. The species is exceedingly rare, being known only from the type collection. Inspection of the types at NY and US, however, has provided a solution to the problem.

It turns out that, unwittingly, Britton was dealing with one species of mistletoe hyperparasitic on another, a situation elsewhere known both in *Phoradendron* and the closely related *Dendrophthora*. Thus, part of his protologue describes the leaves of the host mistletoe, the well known *Phoradendron crassifolium* (DC.) Eichler, and part of it (the "inflorescence") applies to the hyperparasite. We thus have what Article 7B.5 of the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (Greuter et al., 1988) calls "two or more heterogeneous elements" being fused into a single protologue. This situation requires, among other things, a decision on typification. The Code (Art. 9.2), in such cases, prescribes that the proposed name "must remain attached to that part (lectotype) which corresponds most nearly with the original." In the particular case at hand, however, it is debatable whether the features belonging to one or the other species predominate in the original description. Also, since the application of Britton's name to the older *P. crassifolium* would then necessitate a new name for the hyperparasite, that course of action would be unfortunate. I therefore here designate Britton's name to apply to the squamate hyperparasite, not to the host mistletoe. In order to clarify the situation, I add an illustration (Fig. 1) of the reconstructed US lectotype as well as a brief new diagnosis of *P. rusbyi*.

Phoradendron rusbyi Britton, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 27: 136. 1900. TYPE: Bolivia. Mapiri, 5,000 ft., Apr. 1886, *Rusby 1543* (lectotype, designated here, US; isolectotypes, NY (2)).

Small, squamate species, internodes to 4 cm long, extremely compressed, to 1.3 cm wide, cuneate in shape, in alternating planes from internode to internode. Lateral branches without basal cataphylls, the first leaf scales in median position. Probably monoecious, the male flowers fewer than the female and higher on fertile internodes (?). Inflorescences both lateral (where apparently solitary) and terminal, at least 4 cm long, peduncle 3–5 mm, stout, simple, followed by at least 4 fertile internodes, flowers to ca. 15 per fertile bract, triseriate, the fertile internodes stout but not clavate. Fruit globular, ca. 2.5 mm diam., petals closed.

Britton placed his new species in *Phoradendron*, but in the monograph of this genus by Trelease it is referred to *Dendrophthora* without discussion (Trelease, 1916: 218). It is almost certain that Trelease did not study the crucial anther morphology, as I know of no reference to this, the most important generic distinction, in any of his publications. It may well be that he was guided in his placement by the fact that lateral branches of *P. rusbyi* lack any basal cataphylls. The primary division of *Phoradendron* in Trelease's treatment was according to whether basal cataphylls were or were not present, the acataphyllous "Boreales" supposedly not occurring further south than southern Mexico. In point of fact, a number of exceptions to this simple dichotomy of the genus are now known (unpublished information). More relevant to the present species is the fact that the absence of cataphylls by itself cannot reliably be used for generic placement. Unfortunately, I have not been able to find mature male flowers.

Notwithstanding the absence of basal cataphylls, I feel that the likelihood of the species being a *Phoradendron* outweighs the other option. The extreme reduction of the plant may well mean that its hyperparasitism is obligatory. There is a group of

Figure 1. Reconstruction of *Phoradendron rusbyi* Britton from the lectotype at US. Scale bar = 1 cm.

largely or exclusively hyperparasitic species of *Phoradendron* in Central and South America with a strong tendency to leaf reduction and flattened or winged internodes. The common, leafy *P. dipterum* Eichler is a well known member of this group; aphyllous species are *P. iltisiorum* Kuijt and *P. aequatoris* Urban, while *P. fasciculatum* Kuijt is nearly leafless. These species are also characterized by the emergence of several shoots from a haustorial cushion connecting the two mistletoes (see Kuijt, 1987, fig. 6). One of the two NY types clearly has such a cushion and emergence pattern. Even more interesting is the fact that at least one species of this complex, *P. calyculatum* Trelease, has lost its basal cataphylls, and that because of this, the species has traditionally been misplaced in "Boreales." My assumption is, therefore, that *P. rusbyi* is yet another acataphyllous member of this group of hyperparasites. No hyperparasitism is known to occur in continental *Dendrophthora*. However, a rare Cuban endemic, *D. epiviscum* (Grisebach) Eichler, parasitizes other species of *Dendrophthora* or *Phora-*

dendron (Kuijt, 1961). The two species, even in the absence of male flowers, may be easily distinguished by the tetrapterous stems and fewer fertile internodes with no more than seven flowers per bract in *D. epiviscum*.

Literature Cited

- Greuter, W., H. M. Burdet, W. G. Chaloner, V. Demoulin, R. Grolle, D. L. Hawksworth, D. H. Nicholson, P. C. Silva, F. A. Stafleu, E. G. Voss & J. McNeill. 1988. International Code of Botanical Nomenclature. *Regnum Veg.* 118.
- Kuijt, J. 1961. A revision of *Dendrophthora*. *Wentia* 6: 1-145.
- . 1987. Novelties in Mesoamerican mistletoes (Loranthaceae and Viscaceae). *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* 74: 511-532.
- Rusby, H. H. 1900. An enumeration of the plants collected by Dr. H. H. Rusby in South America, 1885-1886, xxx. *Bull. Torrey Bot. Club* 27: (see p. 136-137).
- Trelease, W. 1916. The Genus *Phoradendron*. Univ. Illinois Press, Urbana, Illinois.

Kuijt, J. 1994. "A hyperparasite mistaken for an inflorescence: The identity and typification of *Phoradendron rusbyi* Britton (Viscaceae)." *Novon a journal of botanical nomenclature from the Missouri Botanical Garden* 4, 116–118.

<https://doi.org/10.2307/3391580>.

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/14664>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/3391580>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/20921>

Holding Institution

Missouri Botanical Garden, Peter H. Raven Library

Sponsored by

Missouri Botanical Garden

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

License: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>

Rights: <https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.