An Interpretation of the Relationships Among the Species of *Parapercis*, Family Mugiloididae

GEORGE E. CANTWELL¹

THIS WORK IS BASED on data taken from the descriptive study by Cantwell (1964) of the genus *Parapercis*, fishes of the Indo-Pacific oceans. The descriptions were based on anatomical studies, and each structure was analyzed to determine its variation within a species and its value in identification.

Here an effort is made to employ those characters showing the least variation within species to establish possible affinities between species, to define species groups, and to determine relationships among them.

METHODS

Using the method described by Cain and Harrison (1958), seven characters were utilized to determine the affinity of the members of this genus. The characters used were the number of teeth in the outer row of the lower jaw, dorsal spines, dorsal rays, total anal rays, caudal vertebrae, the shape of the spinous dorsal, and the connection between the spinous and soft dorsal. The latter two characters, not being measurements or meristic data, were assigned numerical values: 5 was given if the dorsal spines became progressively longer posteriorly; 4 if the middle spines were longest; 3 if the membranes were attached to the first soft dorsal ray opposite the tip of the last spine; and 2 if a connection occurred at the base of the first soft dorsal ray.

Briefly, this method obtains a combined value for the differences between two forms. An example of this method is given (Tables 1 and 2), using only 5 of the 26 species. First, the means of the measurements of the same characters in all the forms were obtained. Next, all the mean values for each character were divided by the maximum mean value for that character; this gives the reduced values and removes the bias produced by the different units of measurement (Table 1). Third, all the forms are compared with each other, two at a time, by obtaining the differences between the reduced vaues of each character (Table 2). Low values imply close affinity, higher values more distant relationship.

When the species are compared with each other, two at a time (Table 2), the total differences fall into two categories. Species A, B, and C are very much alike, and are different from D and E, which resemble each other closely.

RESULTS

Comparisons of all 26 species of the genus, using this method, show the presence of six groups of species with great affinity toward each other. The mean differences of reduced values within these groups are: I, 18.8; II, 10.0; III, 3.2; IV, 21.7; V, 0.0; and VI, 6.4. In every instance each member of a group has a greater affinity for the other members of its own group than for any member of any other group. These groups are listed below.

GROUP I: binivirgata, multifasciata, mimaseana, sexfasciata, muronis, aurantiaca.

GROUP II: cylindrica, haackei, ommatura, pulchella, snyderi.

GROUP III: emeryana, filamentosa, nebulosa, schauinslandi, alboguttata.

GROUP IV: cephalopunctata, tetracantha, xanthozona, hexophthalma, clathrata, polyoph-thalma.

GROUP V: ramsayi.

GROUP VI: colias, gilliesi, allporti.

The mean differences of reduced values between groups give the degree of relationship among groups, as shown in Table 3.

¹ Insect Pathology Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland. Manuscript received September 23, 1964.

		SPECIES					
CHARACTER	A	В	С	D	E		
Caudal vertebrae	99.6	99.3	100.0	90.1	90.3		
Dorsal spines	98.8	97.4	98.4	98.8	90.5		
Dorsal rays	94.5	94.7	95.2	90.4	86.5		
Anal rays	99.0	99.4	100.0	94.1	89.3		
Teeth in outer row of lower jaw	80.0	60.0	80.0	60.0	60.0		
Shape of spinous dorsal	100.0	100.0	100.0	80.0	80.0		
Connection from spinous dorsal	100.0	100.0	100.0	67.0	67.0		

TABLE 1

REDUCED VALUES OF SEVEN CHARACTERS FOR FIVE SPECIES OF THE GENUS Parapercis

TABLE 2

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE REDUCED VALUES: FORMS COMPARED WITH EACH OTHER, TWO AT A TIME

	Sector Sectors		the second second		alter and the second		D-NEL MAN		d de la de	
CHARACTER	SPECIES									
	A–B	A–C	A–D	A–E	В-С	B-D	B-E	C-D	C–E	D-E
Caudal vertebrae	0.3	0.4	9.5	9.3	0.7	9.2	9.0	9.9	9.7	0.2
Dorsal spines	1.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.4	1.4	0.4	0.4	0.0
Dorsal rays	0.2	0.7	4.1	8.0	0.5	4.3	8.2	4.8	8.7	3.9
Total anal rays	0.4	1.0	4.9	9.7	0.6	5.3	10.1	5.9	10.7	4.8
Teeth in outer row of lower jaw	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
Shape of spinous dorsal	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
Connection from spinous dorsal	0.0	0.0	33.0	33.0	0.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	0.0
Total	22.3	2.5	91.5	100.0	22.8	73.2	81.7	94.0	102.5	8.9

Relationships among Parapercis spp.-CANTWELL

The analysis of these data indicate that Group VI, those species found only near the southeastern tip of Australia, Tasmania, and New Zealand, are more closely related to those species found in Japanese waters (Group I), than to those groups in the central west Pacific and the Indian oceans. P. ramsayi, the only species in Group V and from southeastern Australia, is also more closely related to those forms from Japan than to those from the central west Pacific area. The only species endemic to the Hawaiian Islands, P. schauinslandi, was found to have a greater affinity for those species of the central west Pacific and Indian oceans than for those found primarily in Japanese waters or in southeastern Australia and New Zealand.

This close relationship between those species of Japanese and southeastern Australian waters supports the phenomenon of bipolarity. Bipolarity, or amphipolarity, is defined by Ekman (1953: Chap. 11) as bipolar taxonomic development which presupposes a center of distribution in the tropics, which served as an intermediary link between amphipolar species. Sverdrup et al. (1942:849) refer to this phenomenon as bipolarity of relationship, and define it as "a bipolar distribution in which animals of higher latitudes are more closely related taxonomically to each other than to those of lower latitudes."

Another phenomenon of parallel development exhibited by the species of the genus *Parapercis* is the larger size of the colder water forms. The mean greatest body depths of all species from Australian, Indo-central Pacific, and Japanese waters are, respectively, 195.2, 163.2, and 184.9, with mean least body depths, respectively, of 95.4, 87.8, and 94.5. The longest individuals are also taken from Australia and Japan.

DISCUSSION

The horizontal distribution of this genus is characteristic of many littoral fishes and other littoral fauna of the tropical and subtropical Indo-Pacific oceans. The great expanse of water in the East Pacific Ocean forms a barrier against dispersal of many of the shore forms to the west coast of America, whereas temperature plays an

TA	BI	F	2
11	171	مد	2

MEAN DIFFERENCES OF REDUCED VALUES BETWEEN GROUPS OF SPECIES OF THE GENUS Parapercis

I	II	III	IV	V
81.5				
89.2	33.6			
55.9	65.7	51.0		
44.7	86.7	96.8	61.4	
48.3	70.7	88.3	51.3	79.1
	81.5 89.2 55.9 44.7	81.5 89.2 33.6 55.9 65.7 44.7 86.7	81.5 89.2 33.6 55.9 65.7 51.0 44.7 86.7 96.8	81.5 89.2 33.6 55.9 65.7 51.0 44.7 86.7 96.8 61.4

important role in restricting warm water animals from migration around the southern tip of Africa. Temperature is also the limiting factor in northern and southern latitudes for littoral animals of this large faunal area.

The genus *Parapercis* ranges from southern Japan to the Hawaiian and Tuamotu islands, southwestward to New Zealand and Tasmania, west to Durban on the east coast of Africa, thence north to the Red Sea and across the Indian Ocean.

The Indo-Malayan subregion of the Indo-west Pacific contains 11 of the 26 species of the genus, filamentosa being the only one endemic to this area. The number of representatives decreases in a westerly direction, with only 1 species, nebulosa, found on the east coast of Africa as far south as Durban. The subregion consisting of the islands of the central Pacific excluding Hawaii has but 5 species, none of which is endemic to this subregion. P. schauinslandi, 1 of 2 species found in Hawaii, has not been reported from any other subregion. Of the 10 species of the subtropical Japanese waters, 4 are endemic to this subregion: mimaseana, muronis, sexfasciata, and aurantiaca. The Solanderian province of northeast Australia contains 5 species, while the Dampierian province in the northwest has 2, none of which is endemic. In the south the Peronian province has 6 representatives, of which binivirgata, haackei, allporti, and ramsayi are common to no other subregion. P. colias and gilliesi, the only 2 species of the genus taken from New Zealand waters, are also endemic to this area.



Cantwell, George E. 1966. "An Interpretation of the Relationships Among the Species of Parapercis, Family Mugiloididae." *Pacific science* 20(2), 189–192.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/235706</u> Permalink: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/243558</u>

Holding Institution Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

Sponsored by Biodiversity Heritage Library

Copyright & Reuse Copyright Status: Not in copyright. The BHL knows of no copyright restrictions on this item.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.