# A NEW SPECIES OF SPINY-TAILED GECKO (SQUAMATA: DIPLODACTYLIDAE: STROPHURUS) FROM INLAND QUEENSLAND 

ROSS A. SADLIER, DENIS O'MEALLY AND GLENN M. SHEA

Sadlier, R.A., O'Meally, D. \& Shea, G.M. 200512 01: A new species of Spiny-tailed gecko (Squamata: Diplodactylidae: Strophurus) from inland Queensland. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 51(2): 573-582. Brisbane. ISSN 0079-8835.


#### Abstract

A Spiny-tailed gecko, Strophurus krisalys sp. nov., is described from inland areas of Queensland and coastal and near coastal areas in the Gulf of Carpentaria. It has blue mouth colour, enlarged spines above the eye, and parallel rows of enlarged, and uniformly coloured tail spines. In these characteristics it is most similar in morphology to Strophurus wellingtonae from inland areas of southern Western Australia, but genetically most similar to the eastern Australian Strophurus williamsi. In the north and southeast margins of its range Strophurus krisalys is parapatric in distribution with two morphologically different populations of Strophurus ciliaris. The new species is arboreal so clearing of native woody vegetation is likely to result in loss and overall fragmentation of populations. $\square$ Strophurus, Gekkonidae, Queensland, spiny-tail, gecko.
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Strophurus is a morphologically diverse lineage of Australian geckos diagnosed primarily by a character previously considered unique within reptiles: tail glands which produce a viscous substance that is extruded through small rupture sites located on the dorsal surface of the tail (Greer, 1989). Extrusion of glandular secretions from the tail has been reported in the New Caledonian gecko Eurydactylodes (Böhme \& Sering, 1997), but the mechanism is structurally different and independently evolved.

Greer (1989) identified a small monophyletic species group within Strophurus on the basis of small size and lack of femoral pores. The remaining species he regarded as highly divergent in morphology, particularly in scalation, with varying patterns of enlargement of the ciliary, dorsal, and tail scales, forming elongate spines in the most extreme cases. Molecular studies (Melville et al., 2004) identified these as a monophyletic group containing several discrete clades.

Kluge (1967) reviewed the status of S. ciliaris (as Diplodactylus ciliaris), identifying eight discrete and largely allopatric forms distributed through inland and northern Australia. Kluge identified five populations of S. ciliaris with unicoloured tail spines: 'population 3' from southern NT and northern SA; 'population 4' from central northern SA; 'population 6' from inland central Qld; 'population 7' from southwest

Qld; and 'population 8' from inland southern WA. Kluge did not record the species from NSW, although it has since been reported from the northwest of the state (Swan et al., 2004), and the NSW material agrees with Kluge's 'population 7' (pers. obs.), and closes the gap between the Qld material referable to 'population 7' and Kluge's 'population 4 ' in northeast SA.
Storr (1988) reviewed D. ciliaris in WA and recognised three of Kluge's 'populations' and a putative hybrid zone in the west of the continent as species and subspecies: 'population 8' was described as Diplodactylus wellingtonae; the hybrid zone between 'population 5' and $S$. intermedius as D. assimilis; and 'population 1', and 'population 5' as D. ciliaris ciliaris and D. ciliaris aberrans respectively.
The status of $S$. ciliaris outside of WA has not been reviewed since Kluge (1967). We focus on the population of Strophurus formerly identified as 'population 6' (Kluge, 1967) from Qld using both morphological and genetic data, and described here as S. krisalys sp. nov.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimen abbreviations: Specimen abbreviations are prefixed as follows: Australian Museum, Sydney (AMS); Queensland Museum, Brisbane (QM); Western Australian Museum, Perth (WAM); South Australian Museum, Adelaide (SAM); and Northern Territory Museum, Darwin (NTM).

MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS. The main diagnostic characters used are features of tail scalation, and the description and table are based on individuals with complete tails that could be scored for these characters. On these specimens the morphological characters listed below were scored where possible (bilateral scalation characters were scored on both sides and the mean value used).
Measurements: snout to vent length (SVL) - tip of snout to anterior margin of vent; head length - tip of snout to posterior extreme of lower jaw, expressed as a percentage of snout to vent length; head widthwidth of jaw measured at labials below mid-orbit, expressed as a percentage of head length; snout length - tip of snout to anterior edge of orbit, expressed as a percentage of head length; hindlimb length - groin from apex of crease to tip of fourth toe, excluding claw, expressed as a percentage of snout to vent length; tail length - measured from posterior margin of vent to tip of tail, on complete original tails only, expressed as a percentage of snout to vent length.

Scalation: rostral crease - mid-dorsal groove either partially or completely dividing rostral scale (enlarged scale covering tip of snout), length of groove relative to total height of rostral scale; nasal - number of enlarged scales separating rostral from anterior margin of nostril; supranasal - number of enlarged scales bordering superior margin of nostril; postnasal - number of scales bordering posterior margin of nostril; internasal - number of scales between right and left anterior nasals, immediately posterior to rostral; upper labials number of enlarged scales bordering upper margin of lip, from rostral to immediately below mid-orbital level; snout scales - number of scales in a longitudinal row from centre of skull at mid-orbit to (and including) scale adjacent to rostral (internasal); interorbitals - number of scales in transverse row across the skull between enlarged ciliaries at mid-orbit; supraciliary - number of enlarged spinose scales projecting beyond margin of dorsal eyelid at its posterior margin; apical plates - the enlarged scales covering terminal underside of digit; fourth finger and toe lamellae - number of scales comprising much enlarged transverse scales on underside of digits (Kluge's secondaries) proximal to apical plates, and number of elliptical or round scales (in pairs) proximal to enlarged transverse scales to emergence of digit (Kluges tertiaries); tail spines - number of enlarged scales on dorsal surface of tail in regular longitudinal rows, counted from posterior extreme of cloacal swelling to tip of tail; intercaudals - number of scales in a transverse line across tail between
longitudinal rows of caudal spines, counts taken at mid-tail length at row posterior to that bordering anterior edge of caudal spine; outercaudals number of scales in a transverse line across the underside of the tail between longitudinal rows of caudal spines, counts taken at mid-tail length at row posterior to that bordering anterior edge of caudal spine; intracaudals - number of scales between (but not including the caudal spines of same longitudinal row, counts taken from caudal spine at mid-tail length to next spine immediately posterior; preanal pores - external openings of preanal glands in scales anterior to the vent, and are given as separate values for the left and right sides, counts are for males only; interpore scale - number of scales interrupting the preanal pore series on midline.

DNA EXTRACTION AND SEQUENCING. Samples of those taxa identified as being morphologically similar to the new species were (S. ciliaris 'population 7' and S. wellingtonae) were included in the genetic analysis using mitochondrial DNA sequences. This comparison was extended to include other populations currently included under S. ciliaris, and species from adjacent regions (Strophurus williamsi) that might have affinities with, or be confused with, the new species. An individual of Oedura tryoni was selected as the outgroup for other diplodactyline taxa.

DNA was extracted from frozen or ethanol-fixed tissue samples using a modified protocol of Saghai-Maroof et al. 1984). About 0.2 g of tissue was placed in $600 \mu \mathrm{~L} 2 \times$ C-TAB buffer ( 100 mM Tris; $1.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} ; 20 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA; $2 \%$ hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (C-TAB); and $2 \% 2-\beta$-mercaptoethanol). For all samples, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Proteinase K ( $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) (Amresco) was added and the samples homogenised in microfuge tubes with a plastic pestle. After an overnight incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or two to three hours at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, one volume of phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:24:1 $v / v / v)$ (Sigma) was added, the tubes mixed by inversion and centrifuged at maximum speed for two minutes in an Eppendorf 5154D microfuge. The upper aqueous layer was removed to a fresh tube containing one volume of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol ( $24: 1 v / v$ ) (Amresco). The tubes were again mixed by inversion and centrifuged for two minutes at maximum speed. The aqueous layer was then removed to a fresh tube containing one volume of isopropanol. The tubes were inverted several times and stored
overnight at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After centrifuging for thirty minutes at maximum speed, the supernatant was removed and $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $70 \%$ ethanol added to wash the DNA pellet. After brief vortexing, and a final spin for ten minutes at maximum speed, the supernatant was removed and the pellet dried under vacuum for five minutes. Depending on the size of the pellet, gauged by visual inspection, the DNA was resuspended in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ TE $(0.1 \mathrm{M}$ Tris, 0.01 m EDTA). The amount and quality of DNA was estimated by agarose gel electrophoresis (Sambrook et al., 1989)
Partial sequences of the protein encoding mitochondrial gene for Cytochrome $b$ (cyt $b$ ) and partial sequences for mitochondrial 12 S and 16 S rDNA genes were obtained. Primer pairs L14841 and H15149 (Kocher et al., 1989) amplified a 307 bp fragment of cyt $b$, excluding the primer sequences. The primer pairs L1091 and H1478 (Kocher et al., 1989) amplified a 361 bp fragment of the 12 S rDNA gene. The primer pairs 16SLF: 5'- CGC CTG TTT ATC AAA AAC AT -3 ' and 16SHR: 5'- CCG GTC TGA ACT CAG ATC ACG T-3' (H. Lui, pers. comm.) amplified a 507 bp fragment of the 16 S rDNA gene.
Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) conditions were the same for all primer sets. Amplifications were carried out in $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volumes containing 1.5 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.025 \mathrm{mM}$ of each dNTP, 12.5 pmol of each primer, 0.2 U of Qiagen Taq DNA polymerase, $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Qiagen $10 \times$ PCR buffer and $1-$ 100ng of whole genomic DNA (generally a 1:20 $-1: 50$ dilution of the stock DNA extraction). A negative control (containing no template DNA) was included for each batch of amplifications. The following cycling profile was used for all experiments: an initial denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one minute, then 30 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 secs, annealing for $30 \operatorname{secs}\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for cyt $b$ and $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 S and 16 S rDNA genes), extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $11 / 2$ minutes, and a final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 mins. PCRs were checked for success by running $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction on a $2 \%$ agarose gel.
PCRs were purified using QIAquick spin columns (Qiagen). Both forward and reverse strands were sequenced using $1 / 4$ volume BigDye version 3 Dye Terminator premix ( ABI ) with the same primers used for the PCR, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The samples were run on an ABI 310 Genetic Analyser. Forward and reverse strands were combined and sequences checked for errors using the computer program Sequencher (Genecodes). Each cyt $b$ sequence was examined to establish the absence
of stop codons (the presence of which would indicate a pseudogene or nuclear copy); regions of uncertain alignment of ribosomal genes were excluded from the analysis.

Each gene was aligned first with the computer package ClustalW (Thompson et al., 1994) and then combined and adjusted manually with the Se-Al computer package (Rambaut, 2002). The final alignment consisted of 1185 bases. Regions of ambiguous alignment in the ribosomal DNA genes were excluded. To test for incongruence of phylogenetic signal between the three genes, an ILD test (Farris et al., 1994) was performed in the test version 4.0b10 of PAUP* (Swofford, 2000) therein implemented as a Partition Homogeneity Test.
Genetic distances were calculated using the computer program MEGA version 3.0 (Kumar et al., 2004). The log-determinant (LogDet) distance measure of (Steel 1993) was used, which allows for heterogeneous rates among lineages. Trees were constructed with PAUP* using distance (minimum evolution, ME), maximum parsimony (MP) and maximum likelihood (ML) as the optimality criterion. In each case, the shortest tree was found using a heuristic search with 50 replicates of random taxon addition so as to avoid the tree search being constrained to locally optimum topologies. In most cases, the shortest tree was found after 35 or fewer replicates. For the ME analysis, LogDet distances were used. MP analyses were conducted using the ACCTRAN character optimisation, MULPARS and TBR branch swapping options in PAUP*, as were ML analyses except NNI branch swapping was used. The software program ModelTest v 3.06 (Posada \& Crandall, 1998) was used to select parameters of a $\mathrm{TnR}+\Gamma+I$ likelihood model. Gaps and uncertainties were treated as missing data and all positions were of equal weight. Branch support was assessed for both ME and MP trees with 1000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates (Felsenstein, 1985). For calculation of bootstrap proportions, heuristic searches were carried out as above, but with 35 random addition replicates. ML bootstrap proportions were calculated over 100 pesudoreplicates, with 3 random taxon addition replicates.
The sequences from this study are available on GenBank under accession numbers AY583930AY583957 for cyt $b$, AY583856-AY383883 for 12S rDNA and AY583903-AY583929 for 16S rDNA.

Table 1. Comparative table of key body measurements and tail scalation for Strophurus krisalys sp. nov., $S$. wellingtonae, and S. ciliaris 'population 7' from NSW and Qld.

|  | S. krisalys sp. nov. | $S$ wellingtonae | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.ciliaris } \\ & \text { 'population } \\ & 7 \text { ' } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum SVL(mm) | 70.0 | 82.0 | 77.0 |
| Tail length (\%SVL) Range N | $\begin{gathered} 58.6-75.9 \\ 66.8 \\ 28 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.1-79.4 \\ 72.0 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.7-72.3 \\ 66.8 \pm 0.4 \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Caudal spines Range Mean N | $\begin{gathered} 18-21 \\ 19.4 \pm 0.8 \\ 21 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18-22 \\ 20.25 \pm 1.5 \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16-22 \\ 19.1 \pm 1.2 \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Intercaudal scales Range mean $\pm$ sd N | $\begin{gathered} 8.13 \\ 9.8 \pm 1.3 \\ 27 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7-11 \\ 9.25 \pm 1.3 \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4-7 \\ 5.4 \pm 0.8 \\ 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Outercaudal scales Range N | $\begin{gathered} 17-26 \\ 21.5 \pm 2.1 \\ 27 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21-30 \\ 25.3 \pm 2.7 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21-29 \\ 25.05 \pm 2.65 \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ |
| Intracaudal scales Range N | $\begin{gathered} 5-7 \\ 6.0 \pm 0.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5-7 \\ 5.85 \pm 0.8 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4-5 \\ 4.6 \pm 0.5 \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ |

## SYSTEMATICS

MORPHOLOGICAL COMPARISONS. There is no obvious difference in adult size or body proportions between $S$. krisalys sp, nov. and the 'eastern' S. ciliaris 'population 7', but overall adult body size is greater in $S$. wellingtonae than in S. krisalys sp. nov. (Table 1).
Direct comparisons of scalation of S. krisalys sp. nov. were made with $S$. wellingtonae, the only other Strophurus with a similar tail morphology (elevated number of intercaudal scales), and with the parapatric population of S. ciliaris from western New South Wales and inland southern Queensland referable to Kluge's 'population 7' that also has enlarged unicoloured spines on the tail. Differences in several scalation characters were observed between $S$. krisalys and $S$. wellingtonae and S. ciliaris 'population 7'. In $S$. krisalys sp. nov. (and S. ciliaris 'population 7') the enlarged dorsal tubercules are arranged in two parallel but broken rows on each side of the dorsal mid-line of the body, but are present as only a single row on each side of the dorsal
mid-line of the body in $S$. wellingtonae. In $S$. krisalys sp. nov. the longitudinal rows of caudal spines are more widely spaced than in S. ciliaris 'population 7', the latter having fewer (almost half the number) of intercaudal scales between the longitudinal rows of caudal spines. There is also a tendency for the rostral groove to be complete in most $S$. ciliaris 'population 7 ' and $S$. wellingtonae, whereas it is incomplete over a third or more the height of the rostral in $S$. krisalys sp. nov..
For those other populations of S. ciliaris with unicoloured tail spines Kluge (1967) gave counts for the number of intercaudal scales that clearly diagnosed those populations as having a much lower number of intercaudals ('population 3 ' 4-5; 'population 4' 3-5) than S. krisalys sp. nov. (8-13). The remaining populations of $S$. ciliaris identified by Kluge, including the nominate population (Kluge's 'population 1'), have a mixture of light and dark coloured caudal spines of varying size, readily distinguishing these populations of S. ciliaris from S. krisalys sp. nov.
MITOCHONDRIAL DNA. The final alignment consisted of 1185 bases: $50716 \mathrm{~S}, 37112 \mathrm{~S}$ and 307 cyt $b$. Three regions of uncertain alignment in the 16 S sequence were excluded from phylogenetic analyses (positions 221-256, $318-320$ and $375-377$ inclusive). Of the remaining nucleotides, 883 were invariant and 202 were parsimony informative. Twelve equally parsimonious trees were recovered, differing only in the branching order within the $S$. ciliaris aberrens clade. Hierarchical likelihood ratio tests using MODEL TEST suggested the use of a $\mathrm{TnR}+\Gamma+I$ model with the following parameters: base frequencies $\mathrm{A}=0.3421, \mathrm{C}=0.2712$, $\mathrm{G}=0.1566, \mathrm{~T}=0.2301$; substitution rates $\mathrm{A} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{~A} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{G}=10.3119, \mathrm{~A} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~T}=1, \mathrm{C} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{G}=1$, $\mathrm{C} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{T}=13.0334, \mathrm{G} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{T}=1$; gamma shape parameter $(\alpha)=0.1815$; and proportion of invariant sites $=0.2532$. A single ML tree was found ( $-\ln L=3960.44$ )
Results from the ILD test indicated that there was no significant incongruence between the three datasets $(p=0.07, \alpha=0.1)$, although the 16 S dataset produced polytomies in the distal nodes of the tree. In all analyses of the combined data set (ME, MP \& ML), each species was recovered as a monophyletic clade with high bootstrap support (most $100 \%$, with S. ciliaris ranging from $92 \%$ in the MP analysis (Fig. 1) to $96 \%$ in the ME analysis). The combined analysis of all three genes clearly identified $S$. krisalys as a


FIG. 1. Maximum parsimony tree of the combined 16 S ( 507 bases), 12 S ( 371 bases) and Cyt b ( 307 bases) alignment (total length 1185 bases). Bootstrap support was calculated from 1000 replicates of heuristic searches ( 35 random taxon addition replicates) and is indicated at nodes with values greater than $90 \%$. Maximum likelihood and distance based methods produced similar trees, differing only in the arrangement of individuals from the northern $S$. krisalys sp. nov. population.
distinct group closer to $S$. williamsi than to $S$. wellingtonae or S. ciliaris, though bootstrap support for this relationship is unremarkable. Between analyses, the sole topological difference is found between the three northern populations of S. krisalys, where the arrangement of individuals is only partially resolved in the ML and ME trees, though bootstrap support varies across all trees (not shown).

Amongst the in-group species, the net distances (Table 2) range from: 0.065 between $S$. ciliaris and $S$. wellingtonae; 0.097 between $S$. krisalys sp. nov. and S. williamsi; and 0.109 between S. krisalys sp . nov. and $S$. wellingtonae. Within S. ciliaris, the subspecies aberrans is separated from other ciliaris by an average net LogDet distance of 0.053 . Within $S$. krisalys sp. nov. a southern group (Noombah and Winton) and a northern group (Mt Isa, Camooweal, and Normanton) separated by a net LogDet distance of 0.044 was found in the combined analysis.

Melville et al. (2004) and O'Meally (2004) examined the phylogenetic relationships of Strophurus, including S. williamsi, S. wellingtonae and S. ciliaris, among others. In these studies $S$. wellingtonae is a member of the clade that includes $S$. ciliaris and $S$. ciliaris aberrans, and Strophurus williamsi a member of a clade that is sister to $S$. intermedius, S. rankini and S. spinigerus. O'Meally (2004) identified the

TAXONOMIC CONCLUSIONS. It is clear from the genetic data that Kluge's S. ciliaris 'population 6' is not conspecific with S. ciliaris sensu stricto but closer to the geographically close $S$. williamsi. It is both morphologically and genetically distinct from S. ciliaris ciliaris and from S. ciliaris 'population 7', which are the geographically closest populations of S. ciliaris; from $S$. wellingtonae, which it most closely resembles morphologically; and from $S$. williamsi. Hence, it is described here as a new species:

Strophurus krisalys sp. nov.
(Figs 2, 3)
MATERIAL EXAMINED. HOLOTYPE. QMJ82269 (formerly AMSR143890) 15.6 km W of Leichhardt River crossing at Mt Isa on Barkly Hwy, $20^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 139^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$.
PARATYPES: Longreach \& Winton District - AMS R143866-68, QMJ82274-75, $22^{\circ} 199^{\prime}$ S $142^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ E. Mt. Isa \& Camooweal District - AMSR143875, on Boulia road, $20^{\circ} 52^{\prime}$ S $139^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ E; AMSR143876-77, on Boulia road, $20^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ S $139^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMSR 143878 , on Boulia road, $20^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ 'S $139^{\circ} 29^{\prime}$ E; AMSR 143879 , on Boulia road, $20^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ S $139^{\circ} 29^{\prime}$ E; AMSR143883, on Barkly Hwy, $20^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ S $138^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMSR 143889 , on Barkly Hwy, $20^{\circ} 26^{\circ} \mathrm{S}^{\prime} 139^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ E; AMSR143884-85, R143888, QMJ82270-71 on Barkly Hwy, 19 ${ }^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 138^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$ E; QMJ71634-35 on Boulia road, $20^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 139^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$. Normanton \& Croydon District AMSR63394 Normanton, $17^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 141^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ;$ AMS R63482-84 Normanton, $17^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 141^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMS R63512-5 Normanton, $17^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ $141^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMSR63573, Normanton $17^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 141^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QM J82272, Normanton, $17^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ $141^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMSR143900 Normanton, $17^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 141^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ82273, Normanton, $17^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ $141^{\circ} 02^{\prime}$ E; AMS R143908 Normanton, $17^{\circ} 477^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 141^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMSR 143902 Normanton, $17^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 141^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMSR 143903 Normanton, $17^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 140^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMS R143904, Normanton, $17^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$ 'S $140^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ E; AMSR 143906 Normanton, $17^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 140^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$.
sister relationship of S. williamsi and S. krisalys sp. nov. Melville et al (2004) reported uncorrected sequence divergences between $S$. williamsi and $S$. wellingtonae of 0.13 ; S. ciliaris of 0.11 ; and $S$. ciliaris aberrens of 0.11 . The topologies and divergences observed are similar to those found in this study and suggest further genetic comparisons of $S$. krisalys sp. nov. with other members of the genus are not warranted.

The type series was chosen from areas represented by specimens used in the genetic study. The registration numbers and corresponding tissue numbers (bracketed) for the specific individuals used in the analyses are as follows: AMSR143866 (NR2365); AMSR143867 (NR2366); AMSR143878 (NR2370); AMSR143888 (NR2458); AMSR143889 (NR2459); AMSR143900 (NR2378); AMSR143903 (NR2377).
DIAGNOSIS. Strophurus krisalys can be distinguished from other members of the genus


FIG. 2. Strophurus krisalys sp. nov. (AMSR 143902) from near Normanton, Qld.
dorsal mid-line of the body ( $v s$ a single row each side of the dorsal mid-line of the body), and partially (vs completely) divided rostral scale.
It is distinguished from the genetically closest species $S$. williamsi by having a single ( $v s$ double) row of enlarged, unicolored, spinose, tubercules on either side of the tail.

ETYMOLOGY. For Kristin Alys Sadlier (krisalys).
DESCRIPTION . Measurements: SVL and Tail length given in Table 1; head length 22.1$25.9 \%$.of body length ( $=24.0 \%, \mathrm{n}=29$ ); head width $60.0-72.5 \%$ of head length $(=65.6 \%, \mathrm{n}=$
(as defined by Greer, 1989) by its moderately large size, maximum snout to vent length 70.0 mm ; two rows of enlarged tubercules each
29); snout length $40.0-45.6 \%$ of head length ( $=$ $42.6 \%, n=29$ ). side of the dorsal mid-line of the body; a single row of enlarged, spinose tubercules on either side of the tail; spinose scales of tail unicoloured; mouth colour deep blue.
Of species likely to be confused with $S$. krisalys only S. ciliaris 'population 7 ' and $S$. wellingtonae have a single row of enlarged, unicolored, spinose, tubercules on either side of the tail. S. krisalys can be distinguished from these species as follows: from parapatric $S$. ciliaris 'population 7' by the deep blue ( $v s$ yellow) mouth colour and greater number of intercaudal scales (8-13 vs 4-7); from $S$. wellingtonae by two parallel rows of enlarged tubercules each side of the


FIG. 3. Strophurus krisalys sp. nov. (AMSR143869) from near Winton, Qld.


FIG. 4. Distribution map showing museum records for Strophurus krisalys sp. nov. (open circle); S. ciliaris sensu stricto (open star); and S. ciliaris 'population 7' (open triangle).

Scalation: Nostril surrounded by rostral, single supranasal, single postnasal, and first labial. Median groove of rostral up to two thirds height of the scale. Internasals 1-3. Upper labials 8-11 ( $=9.4 \pm 0.7, \mathrm{n}=29)$. Interorbitals $25-32(=28.6 \pm$ $1.6, \bar{n}=26$ ). Upper margin of eye with $1-\overline{3}$ enlarged ciliary spines, posterodorsal margin 1-2 smaller ciliary spines. Underside of digits of forelimbs with single pair of large apical plates, followed on fourth digit by 4-5 transverse lamellae and proximally by 0-3 pairs of elliptical or circular scales. Underside of digits of hind limbs with pair of large apical plates, followed on fourth digit by 3-5 transverse lamellae and proximally by $0-2$ pairs of elliptical or circular scales. Preanal pore row in males discontinous, 3-7 on left and 4-7 on right side, and separated by up to 4 scales lacking pores.

Dorsal tubercules arranged as two parallel rows each side of the dorsal mid-line of the body, tending to be widely spaced and scattered posteriorly. Enlarged, spinose, tubercules of tail arranged as a longitudinal row of 18-21 ( $=19.4 \pm$ $0.8, \mathrm{n}=21$ ) spines on either side of the tail, at mid-distance along tail parallel rows are
separated transversely by 8-13 $(=9.85 \pm 1.3, \mathrm{n}=$ 27) homogenous flat intercaudal scales and $17-26(=21.5 \pm 2.1, \mathrm{n}=27$ ) outercaudal scales, and along each row the spines at mid-distance along tail are separated longitudinally by 5-7 ( $=$ $6.0 \pm 0.5, \mathrm{n}=25$ ) intracaudal scales.
Colour and Pattern. Specimens from the far north of the species range in the Gulf of Carpentaria usually have a vertebral pattern of well-defined and contrasting dark transverse ellipses which contact medially along the vertebral margin (Fig. 2). Some well marked individuals also have a lateral extension of these dark blotches present as hourglass shaped blotches on the side of the body. The dark dorsal pattern is reduced in two specimens; one is nearly uniformly grey. Specimens from further south have a higher proportion of uniformly coloured individuals (Fig. 3) in the samples.
DISTRIBUTION. Inland Queensland from Windorah and Ambathala in the south through to Normanton in the north, and west to Camooweal (Fig. 4). It is parapatric in distribution with $S$. ciliaris 'population 7 ' over much of its distribution in the south and southwest, but both species are recorded in the Winton region and sympatry between the two species could be wider than the available samples indicate. In the north of its distribution it is broadly parapatric with $S$. ciliaris sensu stricto.
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## Appendix

ADDITIONAL MATERIAL EXAMINED: Specimens of Strophurus krisalys sp. nov., $S$. ciliaris 'population 7', and S. wellingtonae marked with an asterisk were used in compiling Table 1. Specimens used in the genetic study are marked with a superscript ${ }^{1}$; tissue collection numbers are indicated in brackets. Specimens
used in compiling the distribution map (Fig. 4) are also listed.

Strophurus krisalys sp. nov.- additional material examined but not included in type series: QMJ312 Normanton, $17^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ S $141^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMSR52690-91 Karumba, $17^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ $140^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ28187 Normanton, $17^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 141^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMSR20800 Burketown, $17^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{S} \quad 139^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMSR63613 8.1km W Croydon P.O., $1^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 142^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ47535 Esmeralda $19^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ S $142^{\circ} 44^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; AMSR 72045 Camooweal, $19^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 138^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ24480 Hughenden, $20^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ 143 ${ }^{\circ} 54^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ39030 Mt Isa, $20^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ 139 $31^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMSR15138, R26009, R27331-32, R28417-23, R28443, R28448-49, R50738, R64840 Mt Isa, $20^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 139^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ30337 Mt Isa, $20^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ 139${ }^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ31546 Richmond, $20^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 143^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ;$ AMSR60284 Cloncurry, $20^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 139^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ;$ AMSR142963 Middleton, $22^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ $141^{\circ} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ49968 Acacia Downs, $22^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 144^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ57232 Crossmoon Stn, $22^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 144^{\circ} 37^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ8540 Darriveen Stn, $22^{\circ} 566^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 144^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ29124 Mt. Cameron Stn, $22^{\circ} 58^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 142^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ;$ QMJ9563 Currane, $23^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 144^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ 15334 Hughenden, $20^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ $144^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ54614 Longreach, $23^{\circ} 27^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 144^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ58757 Longreach, $23^{\circ} 26 \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}$ S $144^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ30324, QMJ32589, QMJ37672, Longreach, $23^{\circ} 26$ S $^{\circ} 144^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ49796 Longreach, $23^{\circ} 26^{\circ}$ S $144^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ52596 Noonbah airstrip, $24^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 143^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ58758 Noonbah, $24^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ 143 ${ }^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ;$ SAMR $42886^{1}$ (C038) Noonbah; QMJ58759 Tarcombe Reserve, $24^{\circ} 06^{\circ} \mathrm{S} 143^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ9660 Stonehenge, $24^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ 'S $143^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ56844 Waterloo, $24^{\circ} 16$ S $143^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ6241 Ruthven, $24^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ S $144^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ7879 Jundah, $24^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 143^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ61083-84 Idalia N.P. $24^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 144^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; AMSR141929-30 Windorah $25.25^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ 142.36'E; QMJ35686, QMJ35703 Ambathala N.R., No. 3 Bore, $26^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 145^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$.

Strophurus ciliaris aberrans (WA): WAMR146816 ${ }^{1}$ (JEM 32), WAMR146817 ${ }^{1}$ (JEM 25) Yeo Lake Road $29^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ $123^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$ E; WAMR23971 ${ }^{1}$, WAMR $23972^{1}$ Laverton; WAMR140408 ${ }^{1}$ (NR1894), Broome.
Strophurus ciliaris ciliaris (WA): AMSR140051 ${ }^{1}$ (NR1063), R140052 (NR1064) McGowens Beach, $14^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 126^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$;

Strophurus ciliaris ciliaris? (NT): SAMR29883 ${ }^{1}$ (ABTC $4220=$ BM044), SAMR29884 ${ }^{1}$ (ABTC $4220=$ BM045) Curtain Springs; NTMR15194 (SQ97) Sangsters Bore.
Strophurus ciliaris ciliaris (Qld.): QMJ28734, Mornington Is, $16^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 139^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMI47687 Doomadgee, $17^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ $138^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ51986 Lawn Hill, $18^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 138^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ47683 Doomadgee, $17^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 138^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ10727, QMJ10908, QMJ10918 Doomadgee, $17^{\circ} 56 \mathrm{~S}$ 138 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ2220 Gregory Downs, $18^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 139^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ46853 Riversleigh, $19^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 138^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; QMJ7536 Cloncurry, $20^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$ 'S $140^{\circ} 30 \mathrm{E}$; QMJ34805-06 Georgina Downs, $21^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 137^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$.
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