Table 5. Measures of Braya plant size and reproductive output in plots on and off the cutoff road at West Hoosier. Means \pm 1SEM. For definitions of plant classes, see Table 2.

	Loc		
Plant size class	On cutoff road	Off cutoff road	P-value
REPRODUCTIVE			
Height (mm)	30.3 ± 1.9	30.2 ± 1.5	0.96
Number of stems/plant	3.0 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.3	0.17
Number of fruits/plant	13.9 ± 2.3	10.7 ± 1.6	0.24
Number of leaves/plant	26.9 ± 1.7	21.1 ± 1.0	0.003
Rosette diameter (mm)	24.2 ± 1.3	18.8 ± 0.7	0.000
JUVENILE			
Number of leaves/plant	13.6 ± 1.3	12.3 ± 0.7	0.35
Rosette diameter (mm)	13.2 ± 0.9	10.0 ± 0.5	0.001
SEEDLING			
Number of leaves/plant	4.8 ± 0.2	5.0 ± 1.7	0.49
Rosette diameter (mm)	7.2 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.7	0.23

TABLE 6. Cover of dominant (>1% cover) vascular plant species and substrate components in *Braya* plots on and off of the cutoff road and plots off the cutoff road not containing *Braya* at West Hoosier. Means (%) \pm 1 SEM.

	Location			
	Braya plots on road	<i>Braya</i> plots off road	Plots with- out Braya	P-value
PLANT SPECIES				
Dryas octopetala	0.1 ± 0.0	12.3 ± 3.9	30.5 ± 5.7	0.001
Carex rupestris	0.8 ± 0.3	6.5 ± 2.3	2.8 ± 1.0	0.060
Kobresia myosuriodes	0.9 ± 0.4	5.8 ± 2.3	10.8 ± 2.5	0.030
Erigeron pinnatisectus	2.0 ± 0.6	1.8 ± 0.6	2.8 ± 0.8	0.056
Polygonum viviparum	1.3 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.5	4.5 ± 0.8	0.556
Hymenoxys acaulis	absent	2.2 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.6	<u> </u>
Calamagrostis purpurascens	1.0 ± 0.6	1.8 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 0.8	0.483
Silene acaulis	absent	1.8 ± 1.5	1.3 ± 0.9	_
Total vascular plants	9.8 ± 1.2	39.8 ± 5.3	70.7 ± 5.7	0.000
SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS				
Rock	58.1 ± 5.5	25.1 ± 5.3	16.4 ± 3.2	0.000
Bare ground	35.0 ± 4.9	46.5 ± 4.9	22.5 ± 4.2	0.002
Litter	2.0 ± 0.5	7.5 ± 1.2	17.4 ± 2.2	0.000

Table 7. Cover of dominant (>1% cover) vascular plant species and substrate components in plots with and without Braya at West Hoosier. Means (%) \pm 1 SEM.

	Location		
	Plots with Braya	Plots without Braya	P-value
PLANT SPECIES			
Dryas octopetala	7.3 ± 2.6	30.5 ± 5.7	0.001
Carex rupestris	4.2 ± 1.4	2.8 ± 1.0	0.45
Kobresia myosuroides	3.8 ± 1.5	10.8 ± 2.5	0.02
Erigeron pinnatisectus	1.9 ± 0.4	2.8 ± 0.8	0.29
Polygonum viviparum	1.8 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 0.8	0.005
Hymenoxys acaulis	1.3 ± 0.4	1.7 ± 0.6	0.58
Calamagrostis purpurascens	1.4 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 0.8	0.29
Silene acaulis	1.0 ± 0.9	1.3 ± 0.9	0.86
Total vascular plants	27.5 ± 4.5	70.7 ± 5.7	0.000
SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS			
Rock	37.6 ± 5.2	16.4 ± 3.2	0.0015
Bare ground	42.1 ± 3.7	22.5 ± 4.2	0.0011
Litter	5.4 ± 1.0	17.4 ± 2.2	0.0000

disturbed areas. In some populations, only a few individuals have been found off these disturbances (E. E. Neely, personal observation). Congeners grow on unstable substrates, such as scree slopes, gravel bars, shorelines, and solifluction lobes (Harris 1985). Many rare taxa in the western flora of North America and their common relatives colonize disturbed habitats (Stebbins 1980). *Braya* may inhabit unstable or disturbed areas because of an inability to compete with other species, as suggested by Griggs (1940) for other species of rare plants.

Of the three populations, Mt. Bross plants appear to be the most vigorous, perhaps because past disturbance has reduced the density or size of other plants, leaving more resources available to Braya. The largest plants and those with the greatest amout of reproductive output at Mt. Bross occur mostly on the margins of a rough vehicle path and on spoil banks adjacent to a ditch. The path is level, and the surface is apparently stable. At West Hoosier, the cutoff road is considerably more disturbed than the adjacent areas. Possibly the degree of disturbance on the road is greater than optimum for Braya, given the virtual absence of seedlings and small proportion of juveniles.

Observations of *Braya* in the Spout Lake population reinforce the importance of soil disturbance. Here it typically grows in small gravels, scree slopes, and solifluction lobes that have been demonstrated in Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado, to move downhill at a rate of 3–4 cm year⁻¹ (Benedict 1970). *Braya* appears to be preadapted to unstable substrates, making it most successful where there has been some moderate level of natural or man-made disturbance.

The sizes of *Braya* populations before human intervention began is unknown, but if populations at relatively undisturbed sites such as Spout Lake are any indication, populations must have been small. In some cases human disturbance may simulate natural processes that create suitable habitat; however, drastic disturbances such as mine-related activities could greatly reduce or eliminate populations. Because *Braya* is found on calcareous soils derived from rocks such as limestone, which are often highly mineralized, it may be threatened by potential mining activities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was conducted while the senior author was supported by the Colorado Field Office and the Rocky Mountain Heritage Task Force of the The Nature Conservancy, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Colorado Native Plant Society. The authors thank J. G. Harris, M. K. Owens, J. S. Peterson, and L. M. Shultz for critically reading the manuscript and TNC Stewardship Committee, Colorado Chapter, volunteers for assistance with data collection. C. M. Warner drafted the figure.

LITERATURE CITED

- BENEDICT, J. B. 1970. Downslope soil movement in the Colorado alpine region: rates, processes and climatic significance. Arctic Alp. Res. 2: 165–226.
- BILLINGS, W. D. 1974. Arctic and alpine vegetation: plant adaptations to cold summer climates. Pages 404–443 in J. D. Ives and R. G. Barry, eds., Arctic and alpine environments. Metheun, London.
- Bradshaw, M. E., and J. P. Doody. 1978. Population studies and their relevance to nature conservation. Biol. Conserv. 14: 223–242.
- CLEGG, M. T., AND A. H. D. BROWN. 1983. The founding of plant populations. Pages 216–228 in C. M. Schonewald-Cox, S. M. Chambers, and B. Mac-Bryde, eds., Genetics and conservation. Benjamin-Cummings Publ. Co., Inc., London.
- FAY, J. J. 1985. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; review of plant taxa for listing as endangered or threatened species; notice of review. Federal Register 50(188): 39526–39584.
- Greller, A. M. 1974. Vegetation of roadcut slopes in the tundra of Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado. Biol. Conserv. 6: 84–93.
- GRIGGS, R. F. 1940. The ecology of rare plants. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 67: 575–594.
- HARMON, W. 1980. Field data summary for *B. humilis* ssp. *ventosa*. Report prepared for the Colorado Natural Areas Program, Department of Natural Resources, Denver, Colorado.
- HARRIS, J. G. 1985. A revision of the genus *Braya* (Crucifereae) in North Ameria. Unpublished dissertation, University of Alberta, Edmonton.
- JOHNSTON, B. 1984. Revised status report of *Braya humilis* ssp. *ventosa*. U.S. Forest Service, Region II, Denver, Colorado.
- JOLLS, C. L. 1982. Plant population biology above timberline: biotic selective pressures and plant reproductive success. Pages 83–95 in J. C. Halfpenny, ed., Ecological studies in the Colorado alpine: a festschrift for John W. Marr. Occasional Paper 37. Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, Boulder, Colorado.
- KRUCKEBERG, A. R., AND D. RABINOWITZ. Biological aspects of endemism in higher plants. Unpublished manuscript.

- NEELY, E. E. 1985. West Hoosier *Braya* preserve, Colorado, stewardship plan. The Nature Conservancy, Denver, Colorado.
- O'KANE, S. L. 1986. Plant species of special concern in Colorado. Report prepared for Colorado Natural Areas Program, Department of Natural Resources, Denver, Colorado.
- ROLLINS, R. C. 1953. *Braya* in Colorado. Rhodora 55: 109–116.
- RYAN, T. A., B. L. JOINER, AND B. F. RYAN. 1982. Minitab reference manual. Pennsylvania State University, University Park.
- SOKAL, R. R., AND J. F. ROHLF, 1981. Biometry, 2d. ed., W. H. Freeman Co., San Francisco.

- STEBBINS, G. L. 1980. Rarity of plant species: a synthetic viewpoint. Rhodora 82: 77–86.
- TWETO, O. 1974. Geologic map of the Mt. Lincoln 15-minute quadrangle, Eagle, Lake, Park and Summit counties, Colorado. USGS Misc. Field Stud., Map MF-556.
- WHITSON, P. D., AND J. R. MASSEY. 1981. Information systems for use in studying the population status of threatened and endangered plants. Pages 217–236 in L. E. Morse and M. S. Henefin, eds., Rare plant conservation: geographical data organization. New York Botanical Garden, Bronx, New York.
- ZAR, J. H. 1974. Biostatistical analysis. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. 620 pp.



Crawford, John A. et al. 1986. "HATCHING CHRONOLOGY OF BLUE GROUSE IN NORTHEASTERN OREGON." *The Great Basin naturalist* 46(4), 745–748.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/33077

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/248245

Holding Institution

Harvard University, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Ernst Mayr Library

Sponsored by

Harvard University, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Ernst Mayr Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

Rights Holder: Brigham Young University

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Rights: https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.