

St Louis July 14 1852

My dear Doctor

I do not <sup>know</sup> whether impatience or rather apathy has come over me, that I have not written a letter for 4 months I believe. If my correspondents were not the best naturalized kind of men they would all out me absolutely.

We have had a good deal of sickness lately in town and very oppressive heat so that leisure hours were scarce and one did not feel like doing any thing, when they came; a cooler day, and cholera, cholera infantum etc etc abates at once and gives me leisure as well as spirit to think of my too indulgent friend.

Some time ago Col Emory sent me Dr Parry's report on Cereus giganteus with the request to publish soon. I have therefore made up an account of this plant and added notes on other California Cactaceae, for Silliman's Journal I enclose it herewith. I would not trouble you with it, but I wish you would read it through and change the phrenecology a little if necessary, and also insure the correctness of the printing. — See that I get a number of Extra copies.

It is unpardonable that I have not even acknowledged the safe arrival of your package, Adelopadaceae etc sent six weeks <sup>all</sup> ago; as also the big letter with Cactus seed — How do the Cactus seeds with you? Some have germinated with me especially Cereus giganteus, some Opuntia etc. Many of Wright's Ad. and last summer's, are alive and can be described; some of the best species however are dead. I hear nothing of him lately — probably my own fault — not having written to him.

I received your account of Bertoloni's plants. This is much like Schelle's! An check should have

been concerted in the same manner! Both Cesariae remontiflora and fulvissima are no doubt = Cesariae compacta Tuss. C. remontiflora is a more slender form (perhaps only younger!) which I have received from you as collected by Dr. Gates on Pinosgliber! and C. fulvissima is the form you collected on the Mountains of N Carolina! possibly only different from the other as being older, collected a month or two later! — The "hippocratea" is a good one, = horse-staminaceous; the even hypottamiaous would be a vox hydria, ought to be hypothesiaous or someth'g like it!

The description shows a want of knowledge of these things, as they are very verbose to very little purpose.

Not knowing whether the account of Menodora to be incorrect I of course find our Dolichos generally distinct. but it may be as you state, and if Gooss' species No. 44 of which I have sent you a specimen, is really *Brownea bellanthemoides*, then all doubts are solved. But it appears to me that it might be different as I have named it *M. thymifolia*. — The other part of Gooss' No. 527 however is certainly distinct from *M. rotunda* I have sent you only 527 while Schlechtener has no seed, but No. 498 has ripe seeds and leaves no doubtly. I inclose a frag' of 44 and seed of 498. together with a description. Please compare 44 with Humboldt's figure and Colla's specimen, you can then decide. The lobes of Colla appear acute. — Men. rosparia breaks a tooth into your arrangement, because the calyx is 5-6 parted.

You may send my notes as an appendix to yours to Tellman Journal, if you think proper.

I Driss has no doubt delivered to you before this 2 package of seeds for you and 2 for Hooker, which please forward, from Lindheimer. Also his plants of 1851, among which a few interesting things, especially fine specimens of *Thunbergia*. Driss is a worthy

and intelligent man, who deserves your friendship. I have also sent the flowers of that fine new *Thunbergia* (col by Lth. in a letter)

On the 17<sup>th</sup> I send two to continue! Thanks for your laborious copy of Bentham's names of N. America. — I have sent Smilax a copy. — Dr. You should send Smilax & a copy of N. American — First! A Fendley, Memphis, Tenn. He deserves it.

Tapeia from New Zealand, with regular anthers and placentae and (probably) numerous ovules can not be identified with *Phoradendron* I think.

I should pay Wright something for the seeds and plants I receive from him and the duplicate *Cacti* which I expect to retain and distribute, as Col. Graham has permitted me to do. — How much? and how good to him? through you probably? — Don't forget me in the distribution of Wright's plants. — Do you or Somm. want any of Wright's living *Cacti*? I shall send some to Prince Albert, perhaps to Berlin & to make some income for Wright.

I was delighted to learn that you will soon continue Flora! It is a great desideratum! I hope you will have leisure to do it.

Any news in the botanical world? I hear nothing yet notably; have neglected all my correspondents, even Braun and Jane expect they repay me in the same way!

Among the few plants I cultivate for seeds sent by Wright and Bigelow, (besides *Cacti*) is a *Solanum* (*S. Mottei?*), a *Solanum* very near *Lycopersicum* (sent also by Keckler) a *Cesaria*, which flourishes on *Pithecellobium* *Menodora* etc.

I close my dear Notes with the best wishes for you and yours, and with the best promise for the future from the young and happy Engelm.



Engelmann, George. 1852. "Engelmann, George July 14, 1852." *George Engelmann letters to Asa Gray*

**View This Item Online:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/227886>

**Permalink:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/255501>

**Holding Institution**

Harvard University Botany Libraries

**Sponsored by**

Arcadia 19th Century Collections Digitization/Harvard Library

**Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: Public domain. The Library considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.