

Celakovský in Flora 11 June 1879

Notes about the Gymnospermy of Conifers.

I had in 1874 written in this Journal an article opposing Gymnospermy, but have satisfied myself now of the correctness of that doctrine.

In a profuse cone of *Picea excelsa* I found the abnormal development much farther advanced than in Steyerl's specimen 1876.

In all buds on the upper part of the elongated axis the separated carpillary leaves were recognized - lateral prosphylls of a hard, horny texture. All the transition forms prove that these carpillary leaves are connate (in the normal state) with their posterior edges (= Steyerl, contra Asperg). The carpel scale turns its (morphological) upper side toward the bract, the lower side toward the axis [this was long known, Möhl & Braun] and that then the ovules are on the lower morphologically dorsal side of the carpel.]

The dorsal position of the ovule proves that it can not be an axillary production, and thus one of the main steps of those, who declare the ovule a flower, falls to the ground, and the marginal ovules of Cycadaceae are also real ovules (not flowers).

It must also be remarked that in retrograde metamorphosis the ovules of Conifer never become ^{sporangia} branchlets, but begin to disappear. If flowers, they would sometimes at least become foliaceous branchlets. The conifer. ovules are therefore

conversions from the Dorsal side of the Leaf, analogous to the indusia and sori of ferns; the induction of *Hypnum*-phyllium is especially analogous, but is marginal; more dorsal in *Davallia* ^{young & older} and also cup-shaped; *Cibotium* and *Baccharis* has two lateral scales on the lobes.

As the Gymnospermy of Abietina is thus proved, the rest of the Coniferine follows of course. But Abram has also studied proliferation of *Cyptomeria*, where with place of the Carpel-scale buds appear. Thus the facets in *Cyptomeria* represent an equal number of carpel-scales.

Even in Abietina the scale is principally for the greater part developed after the formation of the ovules, though these originate from it. In *Cypresses* this scale is developed ^{earlier} ~~as late as~~ as the following spring. This was undoubtedly the principal reason for the assumption of a distinction.

I have come to the firm conviction that 1) an ovule can only be developed as depending on a Carpel and 2), that the nucleus represents the meiosporygium of intercalary Cytog. These conclusions are confirmed by a great many observations in all classes of plants and are also the logical consequence of the Descendence theory, and must be true if the doctrine

of the genetic connection of the vegetable world is true. Warmstry has also lately acknowledged my second thesis.

Van Tieghem and Huppler have proved that the conicate scale of *Cephaelis* & *Taxodium*, etc. can not be separated, morphologically, from the pre-scale of Abietina and Abram has confirmed it by the study of post-fern Cones.

The history of the evolution misleads, especially in metamorphosed organs.

A late development of carpels, much later than the development of their ovules has been also observed in some Angiosperms, e.g. *Carrot*, where first 4 naked ovules appear.

The explanation of the structure of *Taxodium* (*Taxaceae* and *Grevillea*) offers greater difficulties. What is the Ovule, Anthers or Cupula?

It makes its appearance when no trace of carpillary scale is noticeable and most probably is an altered, late-developed scale itself - In *Davallia* the ovule is oblique, but makes no difference. *Cephaeloscyphus* has no scale over ovule but seems to consist with *Cyptomeria* and shows at the maturity of the fruit a small flattened sediment between both

ovules, which is most probably the mid-meristematic stage.
Ginkgo offers the greatest difficulty.

It seems probable that the 2-seeded peduncle represents
the 2-seeded scale of *Asterotheca*; the peduncle itself is the
prolonged base of the carpillary scale.

The capsule may be a ^{ovular} ~~dorsal~~ carpil ^{ovigerous} Tapetum
(or of several carpel scales).

The apparently terminal ovule Tapetum former
would after all be axillary to one of the apparent pair of
scales — In this case, however, the ovule would originate
not from the dorsal, but from the ventral side of the scale. I can
not concede that the ovule can be without a carpillary
organ — from a morphological and phylogenetic standpoint.

We would then have *Anomia* with dorsal Tapetum
with ventral ovula — which is not impossible (both Braun
and Mohl have seen instances where they ~~saw~~ found
ovule-like organs also on the ventral side of the alleged carpel scale).
In Mohl's case a leaf organ was partly carpel and partly anther.
In adrogynous inflorescences (anomiothis)

Braun has seen sori on the upper side of fern leaves.
Result: The Gymnospermism of Coniferae is sufficiently
proved, but the nature of the capsule of
Taxaceae is to be explained yet on one
or the other ways indicated above.



Engelmann, George. 1879. "Engelmann, George Aug. 1879 [notes]." *George Engelmann letters to Asa Gray*

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/227886>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/255811>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia 19th Century Collections Digitization/Harvard Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The Library considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.