The most common type of abnormal egg produced by the domestic fowl is the double-yolked egg. Eggs with three yolks, however, are very rare. The purpose of the present paper is, first, to record some observations on the frequency of the occurrence of double- and triple-yolked eggs and the relation of their production to the age of the bird; and, second, to consider so far as possible the nature of the processes involved in their formation and the contribution that such a study makes to our knowledge of the physiology of egg production.

**The Frequency of Occurrence of Double- and Triple-Yolked Eggs and the Relation of Their Production to the Age of the Bird.**

During the last six years only three triple-yolked eggs are known to have been produced by the flock of birds belonging to the previous papers in the series of "Studies on the Physiology of Reproduction in the Domestic Fowl" are:


the Maine Agricultural Experiment Station. The poultrymen have been under instructions to bring all abnormal eggs to the laboratory where they are opened. It seems hardly probable that they would fail to observe the abnormal size of a triple-yolked egg. During the six years more than three thousand different adult birds have been kept, each for one or more years. If no triple-yolked eggs have escaped notice, fewer that one bird in a thousand have laid triple-yolked eggs.

Each of the three triple-yolked eggs produced in these flocks was laid by a different bird. In each case the triple-yolked egg was one of the first six eggs produced by a pullet which began to lay when between five and six months old. This indicates that young pullets are more likely to produce this type of abnormal egg than are older birds. Fowls show also a greater tendency to lay double-yolked eggs at this age than when more mature. At this stage of development the time of successive ovulations is less precisely regulated than later in life. It should be kept in mind, however, that even at this age there are many more birds which do not lay multiple-yolked eggs than there are which do, and that usually one bird lays only one such egg.

Data collected during two investigations in the physiology of egg production show incidentally what percentage of the pullets which began to lay when between five and seven months of age produce eggs with more than one yolk. The first of these observations was made in the fall of 1910. At that time data were taken on all the eggs laid by a small flock of pullets. Twenty of these pullets began to lay when under seven months of age. Among the first ten eggs of four (or 20 per cent.) of these there was one or more which was double-yolked. The second observation was made in the fall of 1913 when one hundred and sixty-nine pullets laid before November 1. All of these were less than seven months old. Thirty-three of them or 19.5 per cent. laid one or more eggs with more than one yolk. The data on the laying of multiple-yolked eggs by these two flocks of pullets are brought together in Table I.

This table shows that in 80 per cent. of the birds of this age the normal rhythm of ovulation, and the successive stages of egg formation which result in the enclosing of each yolk in
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Table I.
SHOWING THE NUMBER OF MULTIPLE-YOLKED EGGS PRODUCED BY PULLETS FIVE TO SEVEN MONTHS OLD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Eggs with More than one Yolk</th>
<th>No. of Pullets Observed</th>
<th>Percentage of Observed Flock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>80.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

separate envelopes, are sufficiently well regulated at the beginning of laying to result in the production of only normal single-yolked eggs. The other 20 per cent. of the birds produce one to three eggs with more than one yolk. Less than 6 per cent. of the flock, however, show more than one such irregularity while only about 1.5 per cent. show three such imperfections of regulation. It is thus clear that even in young birds ovulation and egg formation is in general a well-regulated process. Disturbances in the rhythm are, however, most likely to occur among young birds.

The question naturally arises as to whether it is the immaturity of the bird or the lack of laying experience which is responsible for the unstable regulation of ovulation. If birds which begin to lay younger show a more decided tendency to produce eggs with more than one yolk while those which are more mature produce only normal single-yolked eggs, it might be concluded that immaturity is associated with a lack of precision in the regulation of the time of successive ovulations.

Data now being collected at this laboratory will probably be sufficient to answer this question. The evidence at present available, although insufficient to settle the question, suggests that immature birds produce more multiple-yolked eggs than mature birds which have not laid when young. The evidence consists of the three following observations. First, only about one-third of the pullets of all sorts, including many crosses not carrying hereditary factors for high winter production, which are kept at the station, begin to lay before November 1. The others begin to lay at varying times up to March. A few double-yolked eggs are produced in every month of the year, but by far the greatest number are produced at the end of September and
during October when the pullets which are coming on to lay are immature. This observation does not agree with the statements of Immerman, Panum and Parker that the greatest number of double-yolked eggs occur in the warmer part of the year. Immerman, however, recognized the fact that the seasons when he obtained the largest number of double-yolked eggs coincided with the periods of highest egg production. He believed that the laying of double-yolked eggs occurred during the entire laying period and that no season had an especial influence on their production. Since the eggs on which these workers base their statements were collected from the market, or brought to them by their friends, it is likely that they did not receive the double-yolked pullet eggs, which are often not distinguishable externally from the single-yolked eggs of the year-old and two-year-old hens.

It is also possible that the birds in the part of the country where these people worked were so bred and handled that they did not begin to lay until much later than birds specially bred for winter production.

The second observation which suggests that an immature bird is more likely to produce double-yolked eggs than one which is mature was made upon the eggs of a single pullet which began to lay when she was exactly three months old and before she had assumed her adult plumage. The first ten eggs of this pullet were kindly presented to this station by the owner of the bird, Mr. Walter Gerald, of Unity, Maine. All of these ten eggs were smaller than a normal pullet’s egg but the four largest of them contained two yolks. This pullet, which began to lay when much less mature than any of the pullets which have been raised on the station plant, produced more double-yolked eggs than have been laid by any of these birds. This bird may, of course, be absolutely abnormal in respect to the production of double-yolked eggs.

It might also be repeated here that the three triple-yolked eggs were all produced by pullets less than six months old.

The third observation is that only normal single-yolked eggs were produced by three pullets which did not lay until they were 8\(\frac{3}{4}\) to 9 months of age. Every egg of these birds was opened. Since 80 per cent. of the pullets between 5 and 7 months of age lay only normal single-yolked eggs, this third observation has no statistical value, but it is given since it is the only absolutely accurate data at present available on the eggs of the birds which begin to lay when fully mature.

The kind of disturbances which result in the formation of double- and triple-yolked eggs are not yet thoroughly understood. From the preceding paragraphs it would appear that whatever their nature they are more apt to occur in immature than in mature birds. Yet during six years observation, the Experiment Station's flock, consisting each year of from 600 to 800 birds, has produced double-yolked eggs during every month of the year. This accords with Immerman's observations in Basel and indicates that such disturbances may occur at any time.

Parker quotes Landois' statement that the laying of double-yolked eggs may become habitual with certain hens and also cites the single cases of such birds noted by Bartels and Immerman. A third single case has since been reported by Glaser.

Not a single case of this kind has occurred among the large number of birds owned by this station during the last six years. This fact together with the small number of the actual cases cited in the literature indicates that birds which habitually lay double-yolked eggs are very rare. The occasional occurrence of such cases, however, indicates that physiological disturbances capable of causing such a result may become chronic.

Data from the station flock, however, indicate that even when the disturbances are not chronic they may recur several times in one individual, i. e., an individual may show a predisposition.

3 Immerman, loc. cit.
to them. Table I. showed that some pullets before they were seven months old had produced as many as three eggs with more than one yolk, while 80 per cent. of the flock had laid only single-yolked eggs. Also the data collected for the investigation on egg size contribute some evidence on this point. All of the eggs laid by 22 birds during their first two laying years were opened. Only 5 of these birds ever laid an egg which had more than one yolk. Four of these five laid one or two double-yolked eggs among their first seven. Two of the four never afterward laid an abnormal egg. The others, however, produced two (in the first case) or three (in the second) double-yolked eggs when they were mature. Out of the flock of 22 only one bird which had not produced double-yolked eggs at the beginning of the first laying period produced one after she was mature. This indicates that individuals differ in the stability of the regulation of their reproductive processes, and if these processes in an individual are unstable, irregularities are most likely to occur among the first eggs produced when the bird begins to lay while young. Also different individuals show varying degrees of instability, so that some may also show irregularities later.

THE RELATION OF THE PRODUCTION OF DOUBLE-YOLKED EGGS TO OTHER FORMS OF ABNORMALLY RAPID EGG PRODUCTION.

A study of the nature of the disturbances which cause the formation of double- and triple-yolked eggs is limited by the fact that as yet we do not fully understand the nature of the regulation of the egg-forming processes which cause the production of successive single-yolked eggs. One of the earliest and most extensive investigations of the physiological processes involved in egg formation was that of Coste.\(^1\) He made important observations on the time spent by the egg in the oviduct. Similar observations are also recorded in a previous paper from this laboratory.\(^2\) Published and unpublished observations show that

---


normally a yolk is ovulated into the mouth of the oviduct and passes down the duct, receiving the chalazal layer, chalazae, albumen, shell membrane and shell. The egg remains in the oviduct several hours after the shell is formed. In the case of a few birds which were laying every day a second yolk has been found in the upper part of the oviduct while there was still a hard shelled egg in the shell gland. These birds were killed after they had gone on the nest but before they had laid. That is, when birds are laying at daily intervals two eggs may be normally present in the duct at the same time. In order that two eggs may be separate single-yolked eggs it is only essential that the second does not overtake the first. If the two eggs keep moving toward the cloaca the time between successive ovulations may be somewhat reduced without causing any form of doubling of the egg. What happens is a reduction in the time between the laying of the eggs. Ordinarily the period between two eggs of a clutch is somewhat more than 24 hours. However, some of the best laying birds at the height of their reproductive period lay in less than 24-hour periods. For several days they may lay somewhat earlier each day than on the preceding day.

One egg every day is the maximum normal egg production. Occasional cases have been reported where two eggs were produced at different times during the same day. Most such cases do not bear critical investigation. For example, at this and other poultry plants where trap-nests are used, a bird which is already credited with an egg on that day is sometimes found apparently to have laid again. The probability that the bird has actually laid two eggs is much smaller than the probability that the poultryman misread the first band number or some other slip occurred. Unless there is some independent check the evidence cannot be accepted. Such a check was possible in several such cases at this plant. One may be mentioned here. The eggs of bird No. 771D were being saved for incubation and each was marked with the bird number when it was taken from the trap-nest. Therefore, when the second egg was taken from the nest on May 19, 1908, the first egg had not been mixed with the eggs of other birds. The resemblance between the two eggs was sufficient to make it certain that the same bird had produced
them. This bird had laid on each of the three preceding days, but did not lay on either of the two following days. The production of the two eggs in one day in this case may have been due to a shortening of the time between the last two ovulations in the clutch although it is also possible that it was due to the early expulsion of the last egg.

An authentic record of a White Wyandotte pullet which showed a rate of production high enough to cause several instances of the production of two eggs in a day has been published by Drew.¹

Other cases have been recorded where two separate eggs have been produced at the same time. As in the preceding case all such records must be most critically investigated since an egg may have been overlooked the last time the nest was used or a bird may have entered a nest, laid and gone out while another bird was standing on the open trap door. A second bird may later be found in the nest with two eggs. Therefore, no record of two eggs at one time is accepted at this plant unless the two eggs so closely resemble each other and differ so decidedly from the average eggs of the breed that there can be no doubt that they were produced by the same bird. Four such undoubted cases of the laying of two separate normal eggs at one time have occurred at this plant. Two of the birds producing these had laid on the preceding day but did not lay on the following. These cases then must be accounted for by the unusually rapid succession of the second egg. One of the birds produced an egg on both the preceding and following days. In this case also the only possible explanation is an abnormally rapid period of egg production. In the fourth case the bird produced an egg on the following but not on the preceding day. This case may represent abnormally rapid production, or it may simply be that the first egg failed to be discharged from the duct until the second one was completely formed. These cases all show that an egg may overtake its predecessor after they are both completely formed. In some cases this is most probably due to a decrease in the normal minimum time between ovulations, although the same effect (i.e., production of two eggs at the same time) is

¹Drew, Gilman A., "Hens that have Laid Two Eggs in a Day," Science, Vol. 26, N.S., pp. 119-120, 1907.
produced by the holding of the first egg in the duct after it would normally be laid.

A few cases of egg-bound birds have come to autopsy in which the uterus contained a second fully mature egg. These cases do not of course necessarily indicate an unusually rapid egg production. One case, however, came to autopsy where there were two normal thin shelled eggs in the uterus. Neither one seemed to have the shell completely formed. There was no evidence that the eggs could not be laid. This appeared to be a case where two eggs were being completed at the same time. The bird had never laid.

These cases have been described because it seems clear that the kind of disturbances which cause the production of eggs with more than one yolk may also, when slighter in extent or when localized in a more posterior portion of the oviduct, cause other irregularities in egg production.

There is in fact a certain type of abnormal egg which forms the logical step between two eggs at one time and the double-yolked egg. Such eggs, although occurring very infrequently, have several times been described in poultry journals. One of them has been produced at this plant. These abnormalities consist of pairs of normal eggs united by a tube of membrane containing albumen. In the pair of such eggs produced at this plant the components were both soft shelled, one of them having a little more shell than the other. In such cases the second egg must have so nearly approached the first that the stimuli upon the isthmus glands overlapped, causing the formation of the membranous tube continuous with the shell membrane of each. It is interesting to note that the bird which produced this egg had produced a double-yolked egg six days before. Mr. F. E. Field, of Birmingham, England, who described and published a photograph of such an egg, also notes that the pullet which produced it "has since laid several double-yolked eggs." The relation between such eggs and certain types of double-yolked eggs is obvious. If the second egg actually overtakes the first before the first has completely entered the isthmus a double-

yolked egg will result. The relation of the two yolks to each other and to the surrounding egg envelopes will depend upon the particular part in the duct above the isthmus ring where the two eggs join, and upon the nature of the processes which bring the two eggs together.

The Nature of the Disturbances in Normal Egg Production which Bring Two Yolks Together in the Oviduct, and the Influence of the Part of the Duct where They Join upon the Relation of the Yolks to Their Enclosing Envelopes.

Parker, in his clear and logical discussion of double hens eggs, explains the cause of double-yolked eggs as the simultaneous discharge of two yolks either from the same or separate ovarian follicles. This is the most simple explanation of the class of double-yolked eggs where the two yolks have apparently passed the entire length of the duct together, as evidenced by the enclosure of the two yolks in a single set of envelopes, beginning with the chalaziferous layer. In such cases the two yolks are often very much flattened together and held by a thin, firm chalaziferous membrane. These yolks have a single set of chalazae and are enclosed in a single envelope of thick albumen. A large number of eggs of this type are produced by the station flock every year. In this group would fall eggs belonging to both of the sub-classes of double-yolked eggs described by Immerman, that is, those in which the yolks are enclosed in a single vitelline membrane, and also those in which the yolks have separate vitelline membranes. In fact all of the eggs of the first class must fall in this group. The occurrence of two yolks within the same vitelline membrane is, however, very rare among the eggs laid by the station flock. There has indeed been but one such case. In the other apparent cases it was possible by very careful dissection to remove the chalaziferous layer or chalazal membrane and separate the two yolks.

While Parker’s explanation is sufficient to account for this group of double-yolked eggs it does not account for double-

1 Loc. cil.
2 Loc. cit.'
yolked eggs where the two yolks have some separate envelopes. Nor indeed is it the only possible explanation for double-yolked eggs where all the envelopes are common to the two yolks.

Glaser¹ suggests that a failure of the peristalsis of the duct just below the infundibulum, due either to “a deficiency of substance normally inducing the movements or (to) the sub-normal irritability on the part of the oviduct,” might result in a yolk remaining in the upper part of the duct until a second was normally ovulated. The two might then pass down the duct together. Whether or not this ever happens is not known, but it is, certainly, theoretically possible.

Glaser's second suggestion as to an additional cause for the formation of double-yolked eggs is based upon the case he describes where the laying of double-yolked eggs was “habitual.” The suggestion is that in such cases the laying of double-yolked eggs may have a “distinct ovarian basis” which in this case he believes to be the tendency to a secondary fusion of follicles which results in a common blood supply. This seems rather to be a suggestion of the nature of the underlying cause of the synchronous maturity and discharge of two or more yolks. The fusion of follicles and a common blood supply may be an important cause for simultaneous ovulations.

There is, however, one additional possibility for the formation of double-yolked eggs with a single set of egg envelopes. A yolk may be ovulated into the body cavity and remain in that portion of it which is walled off into a sort of ovarian pocket² formed by the mesentery, ceca, and air sac wall. It is thus near the mouth of the oviduct. If this free yolk entered the infundibulum just before or immediately after a second ovulation the two yolks might pass down the duct together. A free yolk has never been seen entering the oviduct but many observations of ovulation into the body cavity and the anatomical relation of the infundibulum to the ovary make it necessary to list this among the theoretically possible causes for the presence of two yolks in the duct at the same time.

¹ Loc. cit.
The two yolks of a double-yolked egg often possess some separate envelopes, indicating that they have not passed through the entire length of the duct together. In fact a careful study of a large number of double-yolked eggs indicates that the second may join the first at any point between the infundibulum and the isthmus ring.

Two separate yolks with a common set of envelopes may be so closely united by the chalaziferous layer that they appear to have also a common vitelline membrane. Such pairs of yolks are very much flattened together. There is a complete and graded series from this condition to one where the two yolks barely touch one another. In the latter case the two yolks have really chalazal membranes which are practically separate although they still have but one set of chalazae. The two yolks have evidently passed down the duct in quick succession but the first has preceded the second sufficiently to allow each to preserve its form.

This group of double-yolked eggs passes insensibly into the group in which the yolks have separate chalaziferous layers but all the other envelopes in common. Fig. I shows diagrammatically the typical relations of the two yolks in double-yolked eggs where the yolks have common albumen envelopes.

Where the arrangements of the two yolks and the chalazae are those shown in Fig. I, c to f, the second yolk must have overtaken the first after the chalazal layer was formed and before the thick albumen was secreted; that is, near the beginning of the albumen secreting portion of the duct. In the cases figured the stimulations given by the two yolks upon the glands which cause the formation of the chalazae must have overlapped. In cases like Fig. I, f the chalazae sometimes appear thin in the middle. This may be due to the faintness of stimulation on the glands or to stretching of the chalazae as the yolks are bent on their axes. A few double-yolked eggs with one set of albumen envelopes have been observed where the two yolks had separate chalazae and several where one yolk had two and the other had none that were visible. It should be stated that in all types of double-yolked eggs the chalazae of the second yolk, (i.e., the one nearest air cell of the egg) are often less well developed than those
FIG. 1. Diagrams showing the various relations of the two yolks in double-yolked eggs where the yolks have common albumen envelopes.  a, two yolks of a double-yolked egg which have all the envelopes in common;  b, the form transitional between a double-yolked egg where the chalazal membrane is common to the two yolks and where each has a separate chalazal membrane;  c, d, e and f, showing arrangements of the two yolks which have been observed in double-yolked eggs where the yolks have separate chalazal membranes but common albumen envelopes.
of the first. It is not always possible to determine the relation of the two yolks by this means. The many cases where this is possible, however, show that the chalazal axes of the two yolks are related to each other in various ways. Immerman has shown by incubating double-yolked eggs that this is also true of the embryological axes. A study of double-yolked eggs suggests that this variation in position of the axes may in part result from the difference in the time elapsing between the entrance of the two yolks into the duct.

The next group of double-yolked eggs includes the transitional form between the eggs that have yolks with separate chalazae and a single thick albumen envelope and those with yolks that have separate albumen envelopes. This group is not always easily distinguished from the last group and it is often impossible to decide whether or not one or both of the yolks have some separate layers of albumen. There are, however, cases where there is certainly considerable thick albumen between the two yolks although both are enclosed in a common mass of thick albumen. This type of egg will be produced whenever the second egg overtakes the first in the albumen secreting portion of the duct.

The last group of double-yolked eggs to be considered contains those which have separate thick albumen envelopes. This group is easily distinguished and is not uncommon. Seven of the 44 double-yolked eggs produced by the station flock during the month between September 20 and October 20, 1913, belong to this group. These eggs can usually be distinguished by the shape of the shell which has more or less of a depressed ring around the center. The double nature of the egg is visible externally. The depressed ring is sometimes hardly visible, or again it may be very pronounced. In cases where the depression is slight there is usually only a smooth membrane inside the shell, but in cases where it is more pronounced there is sometimes at the base of the depression a very narrow shelf of membrane which extends all or part of the way around the shell. There can be no doubt that in this group the two eggs join while passing into the isthmus and that the depth of the depression depends upon the time during this passage when the two eggs unite.

1 Loc. cit.
The posterior of the two eggs (that is, the one toward the air cell in the shell membrane) has a less deep but more viscous envelope of thick albumen than the anterior one. In consequence the anterior portion of the shell is larger. The relative position of the chalazal axes of the two yolks cannot always be determined, since, as noted above, the chalazae of the second yolk are often not distinguishable. The chalazae of the first yolk are always, so far as observed, in the same position as in a normal single-yolked egg. A few cases have been observed where the chalazal axis of the second egg was also in normal position. In one case, however, where the chalazae of the second yolk were visible, the chalazal axis was turned about 30° from normal.

This group of eggs is the end of the series of double-yolked eggs, since when an egg overtakes its predecessor after that egg has passed entirely into the isthmus, the result is no longer two eggs within one membrane and shell. The relation of this group to twin eggs connected by a membranous tube is obvious.

In all double-yolked eggs where one or more of the egg envelopes is not common to the two yolks, it seems that instead of the simultaneous entrance of two yolks into the oviduct, the first precedes the second by a short time. This is probably most often due to the ovulation of a second yolk at a short interval after the first. It may sometimes be due to the fact that either the first or second yolk to enter the duct was ovulated into the body cavity and was taken up by the duct shortly before or immediately after the next ovulation. Or perhaps the first egg may sometimes come to a place in the oviduct which is subnormally sensitive to peristaltic stimuli, and remain there until joined by the second yolk. There is also a fourth possibility, namely that antiperistaltic movements may carry the first egg back up the duct until it meets the second.

Parker\(^1\) called attention to the fact that the occurrence of antiperistalsis of the oviduct is proven in the cases where fully formed eggs are found in the body cavity. He further shows that only antiperistalsis can account for the enclosure of an egg within an egg. If an egg which has received its shell membrane, or its shell membrane and shell, is carried back up the duct and

\(^1\) Loc. cit.
there meets another, and the two come down together, a double egg (*ovum in ovo*) will be formed. If, however, an egg which has not received its shell membrane is carried back up the duct and meets another coming down the result will be some form of double-yolked egg, the particular sort depending upon the relative development of the two eggs when they unite. The conditions under which an egg without a shell membrane would find another egg in the duct even if returned by antiperistalsis are not usual, since a second yolk does not normally enter the duct until some time after its predecessor has received a shell membrane. Such conditions might be brought about either by the entrance of a second yolk at an abnormally short interval after the first or by the first egg remaining stationary for some time or returning slowly.

It has been shown that the conditions necessary for the formation of a double-yolked egg (or a double egg) are that the two eggs unite in the oviduct and then proceed through the duct together. In all cases where the structure of the egg shows that the two components have not passed the entire length of the duct together the passage of the second egg must have been more rapid than that of the first for should the two eggs continue to move through the duct at the same rate they would only unite in the cases where their entrance was practically or absolutely simultaneous. In the preceding paragraphs the possibility of the delay or return of the first egg has been discussed. One further possibility is that the second yolk may pass through the duct at an abnormally rapid rate.

It is thus possible that double-yolked eggs do not always represent a simultaneous or abnormally rapid succession of two ovulations since a yolk may sometimes remain in the oviduct, or body cavity, until the time normal for a second ovulation. There are some cases of double-yolked egg production, however, which can only be explained by the occurrence of two or more ovulations at unusually short intervals. Such cases are seen when double-yolked eggs are produced on successive days, and when a double-yolked egg is laid after a long series of normal daily eggs. Several records of this kind have been obtained from the station flock.
The same processes which cause the formation of double-yolked eggs may also cause the formation of triple-yolked eggs. The number of triple-yolked eggs, however, is so small that an extensive study of them is impossible. The first of the triple-yolked eggs produced by the station flock was fully described by Dr. Raymond Pearl.\footnote{Pearl, R., "A Triple-Yolked Egg," Zool. Anz., Bd. XXXV., pp. 418–423.} He called attention to the size relation between this egg and double-yolked, single-yolked and yolkless eggs of the same breed (Barred Plymouth Rock), and showed that the parabola

\[
W = 16.242 + 43.762Y - 4.450Y^2
\]

gave an extremely close fit to the observations.

![Fig. 2. Triple-yolked egg.](image)

He describes the internal characters of the egg as follows: "Each yolk was enclosed in a separate yolk membrane. While the three yolks were in contact with each other, they were in no
way fastened together. All of the yolks were of normal size, and of approximately the same size . . . the two kinds of albumen (of thick and thin consistency) which are normal were present in this egg. There was no trace of a chalaza in connection with any yolk or at either pole of the egg. The shell membranes were entirely normal."

The second triple-yolked egg was produced September 16, 1913, by bird No. 65K. The egg appeared externally like a large double-yolked egg. Its dimensions were as follows:

Length—63.6 mm.
Breadth—47.6 mm.
Weight—79.62 gms.

This was the first egg laid by the young pullet and was much smaller than the egg described by Pearl.

A photograph of the internal arrangement of the egg parts is shown in Fig. 2.

The three yolks lay close together in a common thick albumen envelope. The chalazae were feebly developed but their relations are shown in Fig. 3.

The yolks evidently entered the oviduct at successive short intervals and came down the funnel region in "Indian file". There was a short end of chalazal fibers at the caudal end of the second yolk but other fibers were continued to the third yolk as shown in the figure. Since the three yolks were close together in a common thick albumen envelope they must have joined at or very near the anterior end of the albumen secreting region.

Normal thin albumen and a normal shell membrane and shell were present.

The weights of the parts of this egg were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight of yolks</td>
<td>9.61 gms.</td>
<td>35.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.45 gms.</td>
<td>35.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.22 gms.</td>
<td>35.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.28 gms.</td>
<td>35.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of albumen</td>
<td>44.24 gms.</td>
<td>55.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of shell</td>
<td>7.10 gms.</td>
<td>8.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The third triple-yolked egg was produced Oct. 8, 1913, by bird No. 16K. It was her sixth egg. The dimensions were as follows:

Length — 65.2 mm.
Breadth — 46.2 mm.
Weight — 77.41 gms.

The egg was opened and the three yolks were seen lying in practically the same position as those in the triple-yolked egg of 65K. In an attempt to get a photograph of this egg the edge of the shell was accidently pressed against two of the yolks and yolk flowed out into the albumen. The yolk which was not broken and the shell were weighed. More data could not be accurately obtained but on the assumption that the yolk saved represented a mean of the three yolks the data for this egg are as follows. (The calculated data are in italics.)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Weight of yolk} &= 10.29 \times 3 = 30.87 \text{ gms.} \\
\text{Weight of albumen} &= 30.17 \text{ gms.} \\
\text{Weight of shell} &= 7.37 \text{ gms.}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Percentage of yolk} &= 30.88 \\
\text{Percentage of albumen} &= 50.60 \\
\text{Percentage of shell} &= 9.52
\end{align*}
\]

**The Size and Proportion of Parts in the Single-, Double- and Triple-Yolked Eggs of the Same Individual.**

On September 28 and 29 the bird 65K which had laid the second triple-yolked egg laid normal single-yolked eggs. These eggs were not preserved. On October 2 she laid a double-yolked egg. Complete data were taken on this egg.

It was apparent that this bird's eggs offered an excellent opportunity to study the proportion of the parts in multiple eggs when compared with normal eggs produced by the same individual. Accordingly data were taken on the next ten normal eggs. On October 6 the bird produced another double-yolked egg. This was her seventh egg. Both of the double-yolked eggs of this bird had separate chalazal membranes but a common thick albumen envelope.

The data on the triple- and double-yolked eggs and the mean for the ten normal eggs are given in Table II.

The data given in this table show that the double-yolked eggs of this bird are not twice, nor the triple-yolked eggs three times, the size of the normal single-yolked eggs. This agrees with
TABLE II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Egg No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Length, Mm.</th>
<th>Breadth, Mm.</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Weight of Egg, Gm.</th>
<th>Weight of Albumen, Gm.</th>
<th>Per Cent. Albumen</th>
<th>Weight of Yolk, Gm.</th>
<th>Per Cent. Yolk</th>
<th>Weight of Shell, Gm.</th>
<th>Per Cent. Shell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sept. 26</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>74.84</td>
<td>79.62</td>
<td>44.24</td>
<td>55.56</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>28.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Oct. 2</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>74.00</td>
<td>66.48</td>
<td>37.28</td>
<td>56.08</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>34.15</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>9.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Oct. 6</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>76.21</td>
<td>70.07</td>
<td>39.05</td>
<td>56.95</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>33.60</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>10.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of double-yolked eggs.</td>
<td></td>
<td>59.85</td>
<td>44.95</td>
<td>75.11</td>
<td>68.08</td>
<td>38.17</td>
<td>56.07</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>33.91</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>10.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of 10 normal eggs.</td>
<td>Oct. 3 to Oct. 17</td>
<td>52.29</td>
<td>49.52</td>
<td>77.53</td>
<td>49.11</td>
<td>31.23</td>
<td>63.58</td>
<td>11.96</td>
<td>24.37</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>12.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pearl's\(^1\) conclusion "that the size of eggs is not directly proportional to the number of yolks they contain." The data on which he based his conclusion were taken from the eggs of different individuals of various ages and the eggs were produced at different seasons of the year. Since all these factors influence the size and the proportion of parts of the egg it is interesting to note that his conclusion is confirmed by the present observation, made on eggs of a single individual laid in a period of three weeks' duration.

The data given in Table II. also show that the different parts of the double- and triple-yolked eggs are in different proportions than in single-yolked eggs. Fig 4 shows graphically for the eggs of 65K the mean weight of the egg and of each egg part for eggs with one, two and three yolks.

Both the diagram and the table show that the weight of albumen and shell are increased in double-yolked eggs. These components still further increase in triple-yolked eggs, but the increase in these parts is less than the increase in yolk weight. This may be seen either from the apparent slope of the lines in the figure, or from a comparison of the actual weight of the parts

---

\(^1\) Loc. cit.
FIG. 4. Showing the mean weight in grams of the egg and each egg part for single-, double-, and triple-yolked eggs of 65K. Solid line = egg weight. Dash line = albumen weight. Dot line = yolk weight. Dash and dot line = shell weight.

in the different sorts of eggs, or from a comparison of the percentages. A comparison of the percentages shows that albumen forms 63.58 per cent. of the normal eggs of this bird, but only
56.07 per cent. of the double-yolked egg and only 55.56 per cent. of the triple-yolked egg. On the other hand the yolk, which forms only 24.37 per cent. of the normal egg, forms 33.91 per cent. of the double, and 35.52 per cent. of the triple-yolked egg. Finally the shell percentage decreases from 12.05 per cent. in eggs with one yolk, to 10.04 per cent. in eggs with two yolks, and 8.92 per cent. in the egg with three yolks.

The different relation of the egg parts in eggs with different numbers of yolks may also be seen by comparing the egg weight, or the weight of a part, in double- and triple-yolked eggs, with the weight of the egg or the same part of a single-yolked egg. If, as is usually assumed, the yolks of multiple-yolked eggs are of the same size as the yolks of normal eggs, the total yolk weight of double- and triple-yolked eggs will be respectively twice and three times the yolk weight of normal eggs. If in addition the weights of the other parts were directly proportional to the number of yolks contained, the weight of each part would be as many times the weight of the same part of the normal egg as there are yolks. That neither of the assumptions is correct for the eggs of 65K is shown by Table III.

**Table III.**

**Showing for the Eggs of 65K the Ratio that the Weight of the Whole or of Each Part of a Multiple-Yolked Egg is of the Weight of the Whole or the Same Part of a Single-Yolked Egg.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Yolks in the Egg</th>
<th>Ratio of the Egg Weight to the Weight of a Single-Yolked Egg</th>
<th>Ratio of the Yolk Weight to the Yolk Weight of a Single-Yolked Egg</th>
<th>Ratio of the Albumen Weight to the Albumen Weight of a Single-Yolked Egg</th>
<th>Ratio of Shell Weight to the Shell Weight of a Single-Yolked Egg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The figures in this table as well as the actual weight figures in Table II. show that the yolks in the multiple-yolked eggs of this bird are smaller than those in the normal eggs. They also show that the weights of albumen and shell are not as many times the weight of the same parts in the normal egg as is the weight of the yolk. The fact that the yolks of the double-yolked eggs were smaller than the yolks of the single-yolked eggs, and that the yolks of the triple-yolked eggs were still smaller, is in this case

1 The mean of the ten single-yolked eggs given in Table II.
probably due entirely to the fact that the multiple-yolked eggs were laid just as the bird was coming to sexual maturity and thus preceded practically all the normal eggs with which they are compared. The triple-yolked egg was the first egg laid by this young pullet and the double-yolked eggs were the fourth and seventh, while the single yolked eggs were the fifth and the eighth to sixteenth inclusive.

The effect of the maturity of the bird upon the size of the egg and especially upon the weight of the yolk has been discussed in a previous paper.¹ The question now arises as to whether in general the yolks of multiple-yolked eggs are smaller than the yolks of single-yolked eggs produced at the same period by the same individual.

In the course of the investigation referred to above some data bearing on this point were obtained. Five of the birds used in this investigation produced one or more double-yolked eggs. Data were taken on all of the eggs laid by each of these birds. It is thus possible to compare the actual size and the size and proportion of the parts of each double-yolked egg with the mean of the normal egg produced by the same bird at the same period. The mean was, therefore, calculated for the ten eggs which succeeded each double-yolked egg. These data are brought together in Table IV.

In this table data are given on eleven double-yolked eggs. Four of the eleven were either first or second eggs of a young pullet and are, therefore, of no value in the present connection. In all these, as would be expected, the weight of each yolk is less than the mean yolk weight of the succeeding eggs. Of the seven double-yolked eggs which were not first or second eggs, four have yolks which are practically the same size or larger, while three have yolks that are significantly smaller.

The size of each yolk of double-yolked eggs is probably in general not any smaller than that of the normal eggs produced by the same individual at the same period of production. When multiple-yolked eggs are among the first pullet eggs, however, the

### Table IV.

The Dimensions, Shape, Weight and the Weight and Proportion of Parts of Each Double-Yolked Egg and the Mean of the Ten Succeeding Single-Yolked Eggs Produced by a Flock of Barred Plymouth Rock Birds During Their First Two Laying Years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bird No.</th>
<th>Egg No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Length, Mm.</th>
<th>Breadth, Mm.</th>
<th>Index, Per Cent.</th>
<th>Weight of Egg, Gm.</th>
<th>Weight of Albumen, Gm.</th>
<th>Per Cent. Albumen</th>
<th>Weight Yolk, Gm.</th>
<th>Per Cent. Yolk</th>
<th>Weight Shell, Etc., Gm.</th>
<th>Per Cent. Shell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Nov. 19, 1910</td>
<td>65.60</td>
<td>43.61</td>
<td>66.48</td>
<td>73.27</td>
<td>40.84</td>
<td>55.74</td>
<td>12.73</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>12.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>Mean of 10 following eggs</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.78</td>
<td>39.42</td>
<td>75.87</td>
<td>45.95</td>
<td>27.86</td>
<td>66.62</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>27.49</td>
<td>5.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>Feb. 25, 1912</td>
<td>70.90</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>65.30</td>
<td>87.20</td>
<td>47.58</td>
<td>54.56</td>
<td>17.04</td>
<td>15.91</td>
<td>32.05</td>
<td>19.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>Mean of 10 following eggs</td>
<td></td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>42.01</td>
<td>70.06</td>
<td>59.04</td>
<td>33.19</td>
<td>56.18</td>
<td>19.81</td>
<td>33.58</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>10.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>Aug. 13, 1912</td>
<td>76.20</td>
<td>64.30</td>
<td>60.84</td>
<td>92.71</td>
<td>48.32</td>
<td>52.12</td>
<td>18.64</td>
<td>18.57</td>
<td>37.21</td>
<td>17.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>Mean of 10 following eggs</td>
<td></td>
<td>57.20</td>
<td>41.16</td>
<td>72.03</td>
<td>54.07</td>
<td>31.19</td>
<td>57.69</td>
<td>19.34</td>
<td>18.92</td>
<td>32.29</td>
<td>5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>Sept. 9, 1912</td>
<td>74.30</td>
<td>47.70</td>
<td>64.20</td>
<td>91.97</td>
<td>40.21</td>
<td>50.24</td>
<td>19.34</td>
<td>18.92</td>
<td>38.51</td>
<td>18.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>Mean of 10 following eggs</td>
<td></td>
<td>59.34</td>
<td>42.06</td>
<td>70.96</td>
<td>57.58</td>
<td>32.88</td>
<td>57.11</td>
<td>32.85</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>Minimum for all normal 1st year eggs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oct. 27, 1910</td>
<td>71.77</td>
<td>42.15</td>
<td>58.73</td>
<td>77.41</td>
<td>45.10</td>
<td>58.26</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>23.77</td>
<td>14.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>Mean of 10 following eggs</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.38</td>
<td>40.34</td>
<td>74.22</td>
<td>50.49</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>59.37</td>
<td>28.50</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>12.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg No.</td>
<td>Mean of 10 following eggs</td>
<td>Minimum for all normal 1st year eggs</td>
<td>Mean of 10 following eggs</td>
<td>Minimum for all normal 1st year eggs</td>
<td>Mean of 10 following eggs</td>
<td>Minimum for all normal 1st year eggs</td>
<td>Mean of 10 following eggs</td>
<td>Minimum for all normal 1st year eggs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>101.90</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>101.90</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>101.90</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>101.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
yolks are smaller than those of succeeding eggs since at this period the successive yolks are increasing in size.

Table IV. shows also that in all cases the absolute weight of both albumen and shell is higher in double-yolked eggs than in the mean of the next ten normal eggs, but in none of the double-yolked eggs observed was the percentage of either albumen or shell as high as in the succeeding normal eggs. These observations are in agreement with those on the eggs of 65K (see Table II.). They show that while an increase in the stimulation causes an increase in the amount of secretion the increase is not in direct proportion to the weight of the substance causing the stimulation.

This may be due to the fact that the effective stimulation is not directly proportional to the weight. That is, it may be due to the size of the area of contact, or to the time the stimulus is applied to each successive area of the secreting membrane. Or again it may be due to a deficiency of material available for the formation of the secretion, or of time necessary for its elaboration.

In this connection attention should be called to two facts noted in previous paragraphs, first that when the eggs have separate chalazal membranes the chalazae of the second yolk are usually much less developed than the first, and second that when the eggs have separate thick albumen envelopes the one surrounding the second yolk is more viscous but forms a thinner layer. In such cases at least the two yolks represent successive stimulations rather than a single intensified stimulation, and the glands are evidently not in a condition to respond in the same degree to the second stimulation. There is, of course, the possibility that the difference in the quantity and quality of secretion in the two cases may in part be due to the fact that the second yolk is moved more rapidly than the first but this theory would not account for the smaller per cent. of albumen in double-yolked eggs where the two yolks have common albumen envelopes.

The processes which determine the quantitative relationship between the yolk and albumen and between yolk + albumen and shell are too complex for solution with our present knowledge. Yet it is certain that although practically doubling and
tripling the weight of yolks does not cause a proportionate increase in the secretion of albumen and shell, nevertheless doubling causes an increase and tripling a still slightly greater increase. This shows that either the glands of the oviduct are not all completely discharged during the formation of a single-yolked, or even a double-yolked egg, or that under stimulation they elaborate their secretions with great rapidity.

A Comparison between the Shape of Single- and Multiple-Yolked Eggs of the Same Individual.

It may be seen from Tables II. and IV. that there is a decided difference in the shape of single- and multiple-yolked eggs produced by the same bird. The multiple-yolked eggs are both longer and broader than the single-yolked eggs, but they are not as broad in proportion to their length. This may be best seen by comparing the length-breath index \( \frac{100 \text{ breadth}}{\text{length}} \) of the double-yolked or triple-yolked egg with the mean index for the ten succeeding eggs. In all cases the mean index for the normal eggs is higher, showing that the normal egg is broader in proportion to its length. In Table IV. the lowest index for the eggs produced by each bird during the first year is also given. In every case but one the index of the double-yolked egg is lower than the minimum for all the first year normal eggs. That is, it falls below the normal variation for the individual. The multiple-yolked egg then is longer in proportion to its breadth than any of the normal eggs of the same individual.

The yolks pass down the duct in succession, and not abreast. In the complete egg they lie with their common axis in the long axis of the egg. They must, therefore, stimulate the oviduct glands in succession. However, the eggs with two or three yolks are actually broader than those with one. This may be due in part to the fact that the field of stimulation of the two yolks overlaps, but probably the most important factor is the mechanical one due to forcing (by peristalsis) a larger plastic mass through an elastic tube, which offers more resistance to the passage of a large than a small body.
SUMMARY.

1. During the last six years more than three thousand different domestic fowls, which have been kept at least one year at the Maine Agricultural Experiment Station, have laid but three triple-yolked eggs.

2. Each of these eggs was laid by a different individual and in each case the triple-yolked egg was one of the first eggs produced by a young pullet.

3. Young pullets also show a decided tendency to produce double-yolked eggs when they first begin to lay. About 20 per cent. of the pullets which lay before they are seven months old lay among their first eggs one or more with two yolks.

4. Nearly 80 per cent. of the individuals of the flock never lay a double-yolked egg.

5. Mature birds also sometimes produce double-yolked eggs; but most such birds have also produced one or more when they were young pullets.

6. There has been no bird in the experiment station flock with which the laying of double-yolked eggs was "habitual" although there are some which have produced several such eggs.

7. The production of an egg with two or three yolks represents the extreme of rapid egg production, other forms of which are found in the production of two eggs united by a membranous tube; two eggs at the same time; two eggs at different times on the same day and a daily egg production where the eggs are laid earlier on each successive day.

8. The two yolks of a double-yolked egg may have all the egg envelopes in common, indicating that they have passed the entire length of the duct together; or each may possess one or more separate envelopes. There are also all the possible intermediate forms indicating that the two yolks in a common shell may unite at any point between the mouth of the funnel and the isthmus. When two eggs come together after the first has entirely passed the anterior end of the isthmus the result is the production of two eggs at the same time.

9. Various disturbances of the normal processes of egg production may bring two yolks together in the oviduct. Double-yolked eggs evidently do not always represent simultaneous
ovulations. The assumption of simultaneity or abnormally close succession of ovulations is necessary to account for the production of a succession of double-yolked eggs or of a double-yolked egg immediately following a long series of normal daily eggs.

10. The double-yolked eggs contain more albumen and have a heavier shell than single-yolked eggs, and in triple-yolked eggs these parts are heavier than in double-yolked eggs. Yet these parts do not increase in direct proportion to the increase in the weight of yolk. That is, the percentage of albumen and shell is less in double- than in single-yolked eggs and is still smaller in triple-yolked eggs.

11. The yolks of the multiple-yolked eggs of mature birds are not consistently smaller than the yolks of the normal eggs produced during the same period.

12. Multiple-yolked eggs are longer in proportion to their breadth than the normal eggs of the same individual.

The physiological bearing of these facts is discussed.