Nautilus repandus Fichtel & Moll, 1798 (currently Eponides repandus; Foraminiferida): neotype replaced by rediscovered holotype

Ruling

(1) Under the plenary powers the neotype designation by Loeblich & Tappan (1962) for Nautilus repandus Fichtel & Moll, 1798 is hereby set aside.

(2) The name Eponides de Montfort, 1808 (gender: masculine), type species by original designation Nautilus repandus Fichtel & Moll, 1798, is hereby placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology.

(3) The name repandus Fichtel & Moll, 1798, as published in the binomen Nautilus repandus and as defined by the holotype (Inv. no. MI-470, Naturhistorisches Museum, Vienna) (specific name of the type species of Eponides de Montfort, 1808), is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

History of Case 2225/7

An application for the setting aside of the neotype for Nautilus repandus Fichtel & Moll, 1798 was received from Drs H.J. Hansen (Geological Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark) & F. Rogl (Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Wien, Austria) on 24 November 1978. The case was delayed until the publication of Rogl & Hansen’s (1984) revision of Fichtel & Moll’s 1798 Testacea Microscopica and after correspondence the case was published in BZN 45: 118-119 (June 1988). Notice of the case was sent to appropriate journals. The application was supported by Dr F.T. Banner (The Natural History Museum, London) and by Loeblich & Tappan (1988, p. 549). The holotype is redescribed on pp. 31-32 of Rogl & Hansen (1984).

Decision of the Commission

On 1 September 1989 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 45: 118-119. At the close of the voting period on 1 December 1989 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes — 1: Thompson.

Thompson considered that insufficient evidence had been provided to decide whether use of the plenary powers was justified.

Original references

The following are the original references to the names placed on Official Lists and an Official Index by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

Calcarina d’Orbigny, 1826, Annales des Sciences Naturelles, (1)7: 276.
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