

p. 2 and 18.

1. *Lepanthes tridentata*:

I believe that the occurrence of *L. tridentata* was based definitely on misidentifications, i.e. on Soleotrich's *Pleurothallis disticha*.

I think you should leave out *L. tridentata* of your account of species since you did not include it in the key anyway. On the other hand the citation on page 18<sup>in connection with this species</sup> would transfer to the introduction and would extend the discussion how *P. disticha* was recognised as a true *Lepanthes* etc.

2. Since the title of your paper is: The genus *Lepanthes* in Mexico, it indicates a monographic treatment. Would it be [by any chance] possible to give a full description of each species, which previously described certainly would increase the value of the paper. I noticed you included a description of *L. Rekor*. It would make a marvelous piece.

2.

At the same time I would like to draw your attention to the plates also. Will you illustrate *Lep. moorei*? If you let make and an additional plate, it would be easily added to it the remaining unillustrated species. Certainly this is a suggestion only.

3. *Lep. orobanchis*:

This species apparently shows the West Indian influence being closely related to *L. fractiflexa* R+S. ~~to~~ ~~it~~, differs from it in its smaller flowers and especially in its differently shaped sepals. However your comparison with the other members of the Mexican species is perfectly sound.

4.

*L. temulifolia*

I think you can safely compare this species with *L. guatemalensis* Schlecht. which, in general habit ~~the~~ and in measurements of the floral, is essentially the same, ~~the~~ flower the structure of the flowers, however, sufficiently distinct to recognise it as a distinct species.

5. *L. Oestlundianus*

No additional comments.

6. *L. nigricarpa*

This species appears to be a geographical race of *L. inaequiloba* A. & S. as we have discussed it before. The only difference between the two species; *L. nigricarpa* has flowers half of the size ~~that~~ of *L. inaequiloba* and ciliate petals which are globous in the later species.

I would also mention include in the description discussion that this species has been recognized [in Honduras also.]

7. *L. molleolabia*.

As we came to the conclusion this species represents *Legueuia disticha* (R. + G.). In the discussion, if you intend to add any, I would emphasise that this species was ~~over~~ more than one hundred years an obsolete concept to the science. Certainly this is a very personal opinion since myself I am more happy to <sup>recognise</sup> ~~find as~~ single observe species than describe hundreds of new ones.



Garay, Leslie A. undated. "Garay, Leslie A. undated [manuscript]." *Oakes Ames Orchid Herbarium correspondence files*

**View This Item Online:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/262897>

**Permalink:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/281334>

**Holding Institution**

Harvard University Botany Libraries

**Sponsored by**

Harvard University. Anonymous Donor.

**Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.