

Why is not Woodsia obtusa Plummerae
The correct combination? Plummerae
was its first name under Woodsia, & that
being the case, what right had Eaton to call
it glaucescens? It was dedicated to Mrs.
Plummer, whose maiden name was Plummer,
& it doesn't seem right to take it away from
her, especially as, according to Gray's rule,
she is properly entitled to it. Is not that so?



Gilbert, Benjamin Davis. 1873. "Gilbert, Benjamin D. undated [undated note] [2nd item]." *George Edward Davenport correspondence*

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/173618>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/291427>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

IMLS

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.