DOTO OKEN, 1815 (GASTROPODA); PROPOSED VALIDATION UNDER THE PLENARY POWERS. Z.N.(S.) 1006

By Henning Lemche (Universitetets Zoologiske Museum, Copenhagen, Denmark)

The rejection by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature of the names published in Oken's Lehrbuch der Naturgeschichte (1815-1816) in Opinion 417, has as a consequence the disappearance of the well-known name Doto Oken (and the family name DOTOIDAE) for some sea slugs. This applica-

tion is presented to save that name.

2. In 1807, Oken (Göttingen Gelehrte Anzeiger: 1067) gave the name Doto to a polychaete worm. This name has been considered a nomen nudum (see Pruvot-Fol, 1931, Notes de systématique sur les Opisthobranches—Bull. Mus. Hist. nat. Paris (2)3:314). Oken, however, wrote "Doto (Amphitr. alveolar)" by which he presumably meant Amphitrite alveolar = Sabella alveolar Linnaeus, 1767, and the species constitutes an indication for the generic name. Commission is asked to use its plenary powers to suppress Doto Oken, 1807, for the purposes of both the Law of Priority and the Law of Homonymy.

3. In 1815, Oken (Lehrbuch Naturgesch. (3)1:278) established a genus of sea slugs under the name Doto (the name of a sea nymph in Greek mythology —gender always regarded as feminine), including the two species Doris maculata and Doris pinnatifida, both of Montagu, 1804 (Trans. linn. Soc. Lond. 7:80 and 78, resp.). Grav (1840, Syn. Cont. Brit. Mus. (ed. 42):148) happened to introduce the name Dota as a printers' error for Doto. Thus

Dota Gray, 1840, should be placed on the Official Index.

4. Of the two species mentioned under (3) above, J. E. Gray, 1847 (Proc. zool. Soc. Lond.: 165) selected Doris maculata as the type of the genus Doto Oken. All authors—the sole exception will be mentioned—agree that the species maculata Montagu is the same as Doris coronata Gmelin, 1791 (Syst. Nat. (ed. 13)1:3105) which specific name, therefore, should be placed on the Official List.

5. Pruvot-Fol (1951, Arch. Zool. exp. gén. 88: 49) records "Doto coronata" from Banyuls, stating that the specimens have "tubercules allongés digitiformes, ou a peine en forme de bosses; toujours à points noirs. Je n'ai pas vu la varieté à points rouges". From these observations she (1954, Faune de France 58: 408) concludes that Doris maculata Montagu, 1804—the typespecies of Doto, and presented in the figure of Montagu as having red spots on the tubercles—is not conspecific with Doris coronata Gmelin, 1791, which, again, is characterized by the red spots on the tips of the tubercles, and by the whole body showing a more or less reddish tinge. A fortunate observation recently made by the present author in Sweden explains the misunderstanding underlying Pruvot-Fol's considerations. She is quite right in that at present two species are included under the taxon Doto coronata, one with a reddish hue, the other more or less greenish and with darker spots on the tubercles. For the moment I am trying to find the oldest available name for the greenish species. However, as both of the names Doris coronata and Doris maculata refer to the reddish species, there cannot be any doubt that the identification

of *Doris maculata* Montagu, 1804, with the older nominal species *Doris coronata* Gmelin, 1791, is correct, and hence I do not hesitate to propose this latter name to be placed on the Official List as the type of the genus *Doto*.

6. In 1838, Forbes (Malac. Monensis: 4) described a species Melibaea (not Melibaea, as often spelled) fragilis now always referred to the genus Doto. Melibaea is an incorrect spelling of the valid generic name Melibae Rang, 1829. It seems convenient to take the present opportunity to place the name Melibaea Forbes on the Official Index, together with the spelling Melibaea Forbes, 1838 (Malac. Mon.: 59). The specific name fragilis, being the oldest available name for the second common species of Doto from the Northern Atlantic, should be placed on the Official List.

7. Bergh (1871, Verh. zool.-bot. Ges. Wien 21:1277) described the species Doto pygmaea which in another publication by the same author (Verh. zool.-bot. Ges. Wien 28:574) was made the type of the new genus Dotilla. However, Dotilla Bergh, 1879, is a junior homonym of Dotilla Stimpson, 1858, a now generally used substitute name for the crustacean Doto de Haan, 1833 (in Siebold, Fauna Jap.: 24). As a consequence, the nominal genus Dotilla Bergh is invalid and should now be placed on the Official Index. As, however, the species for which the said generic name was intended, are now generally believed to belong to the genus Doto proper, there is no need to search for any valid substitute name for Dotilla Bergh.

8. Iredale introduced the new name "Dotona for the species Meliboea fragilis Forbes (Malac. Monensis, 1838, p. 4), the genus name Doto quoted as of Oken, 1815, having been used in 1807 by the same author in a different sense" (1918, Proc. malac. Soc. Lond. 13:30). The name Dotona was not available at that time, having been used by Carter (1880, Ann. Mag. nat. Hist. (5)6:57) for a sponge. Thus, the name Dotona Iredale, 1918, is to be placed on the Official Index, and it is proposed to add also the nomen nudum Dotona Rafinesque, 1815 (Analyse Nature: 141).

9. Again, Iredale and O'Donoghue (1923, Proc. malac. Soc. Lond. 15:210) changed the name Doto to Idulia Leach, 1852 (Synops. Moll. Great Brit.: 25), type by monotypy Doris maculata Montagu, 1804, with the explanatory note that "Dotona was proposed for Doto preoccupied, as Idulia had been regarded as simply a misspelling of Idalia, but reference to Leach's proof-sheets, printed in 1819, shows Idulia to have been invented years before Idalia, so Idulia must be used". In consequence a number of authors, mostly from Great Britain, took over the name Idulia Leach in an attempt to obey the Rules. The leading authorities on the group (Baba, Pruvot-Fol, Odhner) simply refused to change, finding the arguments insufficient and the proposed name Idulia Leach very inappropriate, as it was easily confused with the then well-established nudibranch name Idalia Leach, of which—as mentioned above—it may be only another spelling. Thus, the name Idulia never came into general use, and at present almost all authors have returned to Doto as the name of the genus. Let it suffice to add here that the well-known authority on British molluscan names, the late R. Winckworth, intended to return to "Doto", as is seen from his posthumously published note "Doto Oken, replaces Idulia" (1951, J. Conch. 23:133).

- 10. In short, the name *Doto* Oken, 1815, has been consistently used for a certain genus of nudibranchs for more than a hundred years, with the exception of a short period of disturbance following the year 1923, as caused by the change to *Idulia* Leach in a list of British mollusca. General return to the name *Doto* has been rapid, and there does not seem to exist any desire to keep the name *Idulia* Leach with its risk of confusion with the name *Idalia* Leach. Thus, it will be most inconvenient, and even confusing, if the name *Doto* Oken, 1815, should now be declared invalid.
- 11. The first to have based a family-group name on the generic name *Doto* seems to have been Gray, 1853 (*Ann. Mag. nat. Hist.* (2)11:220) who established the family name dotonidae. This name was also used by Jeffreys (1869, *Brit. Conch.* 5:59) who, however, added a footnote to explain that "a purist would say dotoidae". From the Rules as now accepted the latter spelling is the correct one, and it is proposed that dotoidae Gray should be placed on the Official List. On the adoption of the name *Idulia* Leach instead of *Doto* Oken, Iredale & O'Donoghue (1923, *Proc. malac. Soc. London* 15:210) introduced the substitute family name IDULIIDAE. This name, however, is a junior synonym of dotoidae.

12. I therefore ask the International Commission on Zoological Nomen-

clature:

(1) to use its plenary powers:

(a) to suppress the generic name *Doto* Oken, 1807, for the purposes of both the Law of Priority and the Law of Homonymy;

(b) to validate the generic name *Doto* Oken, 1815, with type-species *Doris coronata* Gmelin, 1791;

(2) to place the generic name *Doto* Oken, 1815 (gender: feminine), typespecies, by designation under the plenary powers in (1) (a) above, *Doris coronata* Gmelin, 1791, on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology;

(3) to place the following specific names on the Official List of Specific Names

in Zoology:

(a) coronata Gmelin, 1791, as published in the binomen Doris coronata (type-species of Doto Oken, 1815);

(b) fragilis Forbes, 1838, as published in the binomen Melibaea [sic]

fragilis;

(4) to place the following generic names on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology:

(a) Doto Oken, 1807, as suppressed under the plenary powers in (1) (a) above;

- (b) Dota Gray, 1840, an incorrect spelling for Doto Oken, 1815;
- (c) Melibaea Forbes, 1838, an incorrect spelling for Melibe Rang, 1829;
- (d) Meliboea Forbes, 1838, an incorrect spelling for Melibe Rang, 1829;
- (e) Dotilla Bergh, 1879, a junior homonym of Dotilla Stimpson, 1858;
- (f) Dotona Rafinesque, 1815 (a nomen nudum);
- (g) Dotona Iredale, 1918, a junior homonym of Dotona Carter, 1880;
- (5) to place the family-group name DOTOIDAE (correction of DOTONIDAE)

Gray, 1853 (type-genus Doto Oken, 1815) on the Official List of

Family-Group Names in Zoology;

(6) to place the family-group name DOTONIDAE Gray, 1853 (type-genus Doto Oken, 1815), an incorrect original spelling for DOTOIDAE, on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Family-Group Names in Zoology.

COMMENT ON THE PROPOSED SUPPRESSION OF FOUR GASTROPOD FAMILY-GROUP NAMES. Z.N.(S.) 1212

(see volume 18, pages 337-339)

By L. R. Cox (British Museum (Natural History), London)

I write to support the application by the late J. B. Knight, R. L. Batten, and E. L. Yochelson for preservation of the gastropod family names sinuitidae Dall, 1913, Macluritidae Fischer, 1885, Euomphalidae de Koninck, 1881, and oriostomatidae Wenz, 1938, by suppression of the respective prior family names mentioned in the application. The application is in accordance with Article 23d(ii) of the International Code, as revised in 1961, referring to cases where application of the rule that priority is to be followed in the choice of family-group names would upset general usage. Hitherto the general practice among Mollusca taxonomists has been in accordance with the principle that family names should be based on the currently accepted names of their type-genera and never on names discarded as synonyms. The four family names which I have cited above are thus in general use by specialists on Palaeozoic Gastropoda, are employed by W. Wenz in his work on "Gastropoda" forming Band 6 of the Handbuch der Paläozoologie (1938-44), and are also accepted by the applicants in their contribution to Part I of the Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology (1960). It is undesirable that they should be replaced by names which have never been generally used and are not based on generic names which are now accepted.



Lemche, Henning. 1962. "Doto Oken, 1815 (Gastropoda); proposed validation under the plenary powers." *The Bulletin of zoological nomenclature* 19, 156–159.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/44461

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/34815

Holding Institution

Natural History Museum Library, London

Sponsored by

Natural History Museum Library, London

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

Rights Holder: International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Rights: https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.