all aspects of the biology of protists. There is an urgent need to provide a taxonomically sound database of molecular sequences to bring the advantages realised in prokaryotic systematics to the protistan realm. It is, in our view, highly desirable that this takes place in parallel with the deposition of voucher specimens for morphological studies.

References

- Corliss, J.O. 2003. Comments on the neotypification of protists, especially ciliates (Protozoa, Ciliophora). *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature*, **60**: 48.
- **Finlay, B.J.** 2002. Global dispersal of free-living microbial eukaryote species. *Science, N.Y.*, **296**: 1061–1063.
- Foissner, W. 1999. Protist diversity: estimates of the near-imponderable. *Protist*, 150: 363–368.
- **Foissner, W.** 2003. Morphology and ontogenesis of *Bromeliophrya brasiliensis* gen. n., sp. n., a new ciliate (Protozoa: Ciliophora) from Brazilian tank bromeliads (Bromeliaceae). *Acta Protozoologica*, **42**: 55–70.
- **Foissner, W., Agatha, S. & Berger, H.** 2002. Soil ciliates (Protozoa, Ciliophora) from Namibia (Southwest Africa), with emphasis on two contrasting environments, the Etosha Region and the Namib Desert. *Denisia*, **5**: 1–1459.
- Foissner, W. & Song, W. 2002. Apofrontonia lametschwandtneri nov gen., nov spec., a new peniculine ciliate (Protozoa, Ciliophora) from Venezuela. European Journal of Protistology, 38: 223–234.
- Gates, M.A. & Berger, J. 1976. Morphological stability in *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society, 95: 11–22.
- Giese, A.C. 1973. *Blepharisma*. The biology of a light-sensitive protozoan. Stanford University Press, Stanford, California.
- **Novarino, G. & Coute, A.** 2000. Typification and ultrastructural characterization of flagellate taxa from museum collections 1. Some *Trachelomonas* (Euglenophyta = Englenozoa p.p.) from the Deflandre collections in Paris. *Nova Hedwigia. Zeitschrift fur Kryptogramenkunde*, **70**: 505–521.
- Penard, E. 1922. Études sur les Infusoires d'eau douce. Georg, Genève.
- **Thorne, J.** 2003. The Zoological Record and registration of new names in zoology. *The Linnean*, **19**: 22–26.

Comment on the proposed precedence of *Bolboceras* Kirby, 1819 (July) (Insecta, Coleoptera) over *Odonteus* Samouelle, 1819 (June) (Case 3097; see BZN 59: 246–248, 280–281; 60: 303–311; 61: 43–45, 110–114, 171–173)

Pavel Štys and David Král

Department of Zoology, Charles University, Viničná 7, 128 44 Praha 2, Czech Republic

The case caused unusual excitement among nomenclaturally minded coleopterists. We believe that probably everything relevant has already been written, and all the errors and misleading statements contained in the original application by Jameson & Howden (BZN 59: 246–248) have been amended, particularly by Krell et al. (BZN 60: 303–311) and Smetana (BZN 61: 171–173).

We feel unhappy that a nomenclatural problem, which could have been solved by direct application of the Articles of the Code, developed into a kind of unnecessary transatlantic battle. It was clear from the beginning that either the Nearticians (should *Odonteus* be accepted as valid) or Palaearcticians (should the proposed

Bolboceras win) must lose, and the generic component of their cherished binomina must be changed, since in the opinion of all the specialists the names involved are subjective synonyms, more or less equivalent in frequency of usage. What to do in such a case? To count the number of species? There are ten New World and two Old World species of the genus (not one, as stated in BZN 59: 246). Odonteus orientalis Mittal, 1998 (as Odontaeus) described from the Uttar Pradesh province (India) has to be added to the list (Mittal, 1998). To toss a coin? To manipulate the facts? Or, perhaps, to use a simple and unequivocal, but for some probably too old-fashioned Principle of Priority? The latter is, in our opinion, the only acceptable arbiter in this and similar cases.

Therefore we unconditionally support the suggestions formulated by Krell et al. (BZN 60: 309) resulting in acceptance of *Odonteus* Samouelle, 1819 as a valid name of the genus. We also cannot see any reason for continuation of this debate.

Additional reference

Mittal, J.C. 1998: New record of genus *Odontaeus* Klug (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae: Geotrupinae) with a new species from Oriental Region. *Journal of Entomological Research*, 22: 385–386.

Comment on the proposed precedence of *Nematois australis* Heydenreich, 1851 (currently *Adela australis*; Insecta, Lepidoptera) over *Tinea aldrovandella* Villers, 1789

(Case 3271; see BZN 60: 290–292)

Antonio Vives

SHILAP, Apartado de Correos, 331, E-28080, Madrid, Spain

I write in support of the application to give the name *Nematois australis* Heydenreich, 1851 precedence over *Tinea aldrovandella* Villers, 1789 whenever the two are considered to be synonyms. I agree with the opinion of the authors that the identity of the nominal species *T. aldrovandella* Villers, 1789 cannot be established with certainty. The name *T. aldrovandella* Villers, 1789 should be considered a nomen oblitum.



Štys, Pavel and Král, David. 2005. "Comment On The Proposed Precedence Of Bolboceras Kirby, 1819 (July)." *The Bulletin of zoological nomenclature* 62, 28–29.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/107011

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/42858

Holding Institution

Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

Sponsored by

Biodiversity Heritage Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

Rights Holder: International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Rights: https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.