


PROCEEDINGS
OF THE
BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON

TAXONOMIC NOTES ON SOUTH AMERICAN
COLOSTETHUS WITH DESCRIPTIONS OF TWO
NEW SPECIES (AMPHIBIA, DENDROBATIDAE)

BY STEPHEN R. EDWARDS

University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66044

The family Dendrobatidae can be divided into two groups—those species lacking maxillary teeth (*Dendrobates*) and those species having maxillary teeth (*Phyllobates* and *Colostethus*). Savage (1968) studied Central American dendrobatids and separated *Phyllobates* from *Colostethus* as follows: *Phyllobates* has scattered “punctations” of black pigment in the flesh; the dorsal and ventral ground color is black; and the skin is poisonous. *Colostethus* lacks black pigmentation in the flesh; the dorsal ground color is dark brown, and the venter is white to yellow (males of some species have a black wash on the throat); and the skin is non-poisonous. The criteria of ventral coloration utilized by Savage to distinguish *Colostethus* must be amended to include species with extensive black coloration of the venter. Some of those species that Savage considered to be in the genus *Colostethus* were named in the genera *Prostherapis* and *Hyloxalus*, both of which are now placed in synonymy of *Colostethus*. Therefore, by implication, all those South American species originally described in *Prostherapis* and *Hyloxalus* also must be referred to *Colostethus*. Savage also indicated that many South American species named in the genus *Phyllobates* belong in *Colostethus*. Examination of type specimens, study of preserved specimens, and critical analysis of original descriptions has led me to refer the following 43 nominal species to the genus *Colostethus* (the original generic allocation is given in parentheses):



- alagoanus* (*Phyllobates*) Bokermann, 1967
alboguttatus (*Phyllobates*) Boulenger, 1903
anthonyi (*Phyllobates*) Noble, 1921
beebei (*Hylixelus*) Noble, 1923
bocagei (*Hylixelus*) Jiménez de la Espada, 1871
bromelicola (*Phyllobates*) Test, 1956
brunneus (*Prostherapis*) Cope, 1887
capixaba (*Phyllobates*) Bokermann, 1967
carioca (*Phyllobates*) Bokermann, 1967
chocoensis (*Hylixelus*) Boulenger, 1912
collaris (*Hylixelus*) Boulenger, 1912
dunni (*Prostherapis*) Rivero, 1961
festae (*Prostherapis*) Peracca, 1904
fuliginosus (*Hylixelus*) Jiménez de la Espada, 1871
granuliventrís (*Hylixelus*) Boulenger, 1919
herminae (*Prostherapis*) Boettger, 1893
infraguttatus (*Phyllobates*) Boulenger, 1898
inguinalis (*Prostherapis*) Cope, 1868
intermedius (*Phyllobates*) Andersson, 1945
kingsburyi (*Phyllobates*) Boulenger, 1918
latinasus (*Phyllobates*) Cope, 1868
mandelorum (*Phyllobates*) Schmidt, 1932
marchesianus (*Phyllobates*) Melin, 1941
mertensi (*Phyllobates*) Cochran and Goin, 1964
neblina (*Prostherapis*) Test, 1956
olfersioides (*Eupemphix*) Lutz, 1925
palmatus (*Phyllobates*) Werner, 1899
peruvianus (*Phyllobates*) Melin, 1941
pratti (*Phyllobates*) Boulenger, 1899
pulchellum (*Phyllodromus*) Jiménez de la Espada, 1871
ranoides (*Dendrobates*) Boulenger, 1918
riocosangae (*Phyllobates*) Andersson, 1945
riveroi (*Prostherapis*) Donoso-Barros, 1964
shrevei (*Prostherapis*) Rivero, 1961
subpunctatus (*Prostherapis*) Cope, 1899
sylvatica (*Phyllobates*) Barbour and Noble, 1920
taeniatus (*Phyllobates*) Andersson, 1945
trilineatus (*Phyllobates*) Boulenger, 1913
trinitatus (*Phyllobates*) Garman, 1888

variabilis (*Prostherapis*) Werner, 1899
vergeli (*Hyloxalus*) Hellmich, 1940
vertebralis (*Phyllodromus*) Boulenger, 1899
whimperi (*Prostherapis*) Boulenger, 1882

The purpose of this paper is to establish a working foundation of specific taxonomy in the genus *Colostethus* in South America, to describe two new species, and to redescribe *Colostethus vertebralis* (Boulenger). The three species are considered together because of their similar distributions in high Andean, southern Ecuador. In the past there has been a paucity of information available on the widespread species, *C. vertebralis*. Recent collections from throughout the range of this species now make it possible to consider the geographic variation of a number of characters.

***Colostethus elachyhistus* new species**

(Figs. 1a, 2a, 3, 4, and 6)

Holotype: KU 120540, from Loja, Loja Province, Ecuador, 2150 m, collected on 9 June 1968 by John D. Lynch.

Paratypes: KU 120515–539, collected with the type; and KU 120541, from 9 km E Loja, Loja Province, Ecuador, 2660 m, collected by John D. Lynch.

Diagnosis: The following numerical designations are given for the purpose of standardizing diagnoses in forthcoming descriptions: (1) medium-sized *Colostethus*, 18.0 to 25.7 (mean 22.4) mm in snout-vent length; (2) sexual dimorphism in color and size absent; (3) skin granular dorsally and laterally, smooth ventrally; (4) tympanum distinct; (5) supratympanic fold heavy, covering dorsal one-third of tympanum; (6) first finger longer than second; (7) finger discs subequal in size, not expanded; (8) third finger of males not swollen; (9) digital scutes (? glands) distinct; (10) tarsal fold oblique, extending from inner metatarsal tubercle along inner margin of distal half of tarsus; (11) toes webbed basally, continuous with lateral fringes extending along both margins of all toes; (12) toe discs slightly expanded, subequal in size; (13) dorso-lateral stripe yellow to gray-white, extending from upper eyelid to groin, bordered above and below by irregular black reticulations; (14) dorsal ground color pale yellow-gray to gray-black; (15) venter creamy white to yellow, with or without white on black marbling extending medially across the chest; (16) thighs, shanks and feet marked by irregular dark gray bars, interspaces gray to yellow-gray; (17) free-swimming tadpole small, body length 11.2 to 14.2 (mean 12.7) mm, tail length 21.0 to 24.5 (mean 22.4) mm in stages 31 to 41; (18) mouth directed anteroventrally, not umbelliform; (19) tooth rows 2/3 with second upper and first lower




FIG. 1. Lateral views of (a) *Colostethus elachyhistus* (KU 120536), (b) *C. anthracinus* (KU 120637), and (c) *C. vertebralis* (KU 120613), $\times 5.0$.

rows divided; (20) labial papillae in two rows posteriorly and antero-laterally, one row laterally; (21) upper lip bare medially.

Description and variation: (Figs. 1a, 2a, 2b, and 6). Head as wide as long, and as wide as, or narrower than, body; ratio of head width to snout-vent length 0.331–0.389 (mean 0.364); snout rounded, sloping in lateral profile; canthus rostralis moderately well defined, slightly concave; loreal region concave; nostrils lateral, closer to tip of snout than to eye;




FIG. 2. (a) Palmar surface of hand of *Colostethus elachyhistus* (KU 120534), (b) plantar surface of foot of *C. elachyhistus* (KU 120525), $\times 7.8$.

length of eye greater than or equal to distance from eye to nostril; tympanum oval, distinct; ratio of tympanum width to eye diameter 0.516–0.678 (mean 0.598); supratympanic fold heavy, covering dorsal one-third of tympanum; tongue oval, notched or entire behind, posterior three-fourths free; choanae large, round, partially hidden by maxillae when viewed from directly below; males having conspicuous elongate vocal slits and median subgular vocal sac.

Skin of dorsum and flanks granular (rarely smooth) becoming more tuberculate around vent; venter and dorsal surface of thighs smooth; anal opening unmodified; forearm lacking tubercles; outer palmar tubercle round, slightly raised, larger than, or equal to, oval inner palmar tubercle; subarticular tubercles round, distinctly raised, simple; fingers lacking webbing and lateral fringes; width of digital pad of third finger one-

fourth diameter of tympanum; first finger longer than second; third finger of males not swollen.

Ratio of shank to snout-vent length 0.378–0.548 (mean 0.500); inner tarsal fold short, oblique, present on distal half of tarsus, thickened and curving abruptly near end of fold; inner metatarsal tubercle ovoid; outer metatarsal tubercle conical, subequal in size to inner metatarsal tubercle; supernumerary tubercles absent; subarticular tubercles simple, round; digital pads slightly expanded, larger than those of fingers; webbing between toes vestigial; lateral fringes extending to bases of discs along both margins of all toes; webbing and lateral fringes indistinct or absent in juveniles.

Coloration: In preservative, dorsal ground color of adults pale yellow-gray to gray-black with irregular black blotches in two parallel rows along back from shoulder to vent, barely visible in darker specimens; canthal stripe black, narrowing or terminating near tip of snout; labial stripe indistinct, formed by enamel white flecks; lips with or without patches of gray; dorsolateral stripe narrow anteriorly, broad posteriorly, yellow to gray-white, extending from upper eyelid to groin, continuous with pale stripe of same color along anterior surface of thigh; dorsolateral stripe bordered above and below by dark gray to black reticulations, most extensive below; upper arm with or without gray to black stippling or mottling dorsally; longitudinal black stripe along anterior margin of proximal one-third of arm; forearm colorless or dark gray, mottled or not; thighs, shank, tarsus, and foot marked dorsally by irregular transverse black bars, with yellow to creamy interspaces; venter creamy to yellow, with or without white on black marbling extending medially across chest; yellow patch on chin and in groin in some specimens.

In preservative, juveniles having a more pustular dorsum, with dark gray to black ground color; dorsolateral stripe faint; venter lacking marbling; transverse bars on legs more distinct with creamy-white interspaces; forearm, and tail-stub when present, faintly barred.

In life dorsal ground color of adults pale olive to reddish brown with brown to black flecks; few specimens have reddish-brown spots dorsally; dorsolateral stripes pale cream to creamy yellow, bordered by greenish brown to dark brown; limbs dull gray-green with black spots or bands; groin and light areas on hind limbs yellow to brown; venter pale yellow-green with white spots, or solid white, with or without pale gray mottling; lips bronze; iris coppery bronze with black reticulations.

The above description is based on specimens from Loja. Those specimens from 9 km E of Loja were similarly colored, except that they had a distinct black area above the dorsolateral stripe, and the throat was dull yellow.

Tadpoles: (Figs. 3 and 4). Description based on a series of tadpoles from developmental stages 31 to 41 (free-swimming). Developmental stages for embryos and tadpoles are based on the system proposed by Gosner (1960).

Body depressed, flattened ventrally for half body length; dorsal contour




FIG. 3. Lateral view of free-swimming tadpole of *Colostethus elachyhistus* (one of series KU 121377), $\times 7.2$.

sloping gradually posterior to eyes, curving abruptly anterior to eyes to tip of snout; snout rounded to subovoid in dorsal view; body abruptly expanded laterally just anterior to eyes; body widest at midpoint; eyes distinct, moderately separated medially, directed dorsolaterally; nostrils slightly closer to eyes than to tip of snout; spiracle sinistral, slightly below midline at about midlength of body; anal tube distinct, median to slightly dextral; caudal musculature gradually tapering in width and depth from body, extending nearly to tip of narrowly rounded tail; dorsal fin tapering from point just posterior to tail insertion to deepest point at about midpoint of tail; dorsal fin extending onto body; ventral fin narrower than dorsal fin.

Mouth small, anteroventral, bordered anterolaterally and posteriorly by two rows of papillae on fleshy lips; tooth rows 2/3, equal in length; second upper and first lower rows divided medially, second upper tooth row separated medially by beak; beak rough, edges serrated.

In preservative: black above, dark gray to black below, becoming pale gray anterior to eyes; papillae and lips unpigmented; caudal musculature creamy white with black reticulations concentrated into small blotches anteriorly on dorsal half of tail; dorsal fin with fine reticulations of black pigment; ventral fin colorless, except for a few black flecks on distal fourth.

Etymology: From the Greek *elachys*, meaning little, and *histos*, meaning web, referring to the rudimentary webbing on the feet.

Natural history: The specimens from the vicinity of Loja were collected by day in or around small pools of water. Males were heard calling by night and day. No amplexing adults were found. A single male was found guarding a clutch of 19 eggs beneath a rock 9 km E of Loja. The embryos were in developmental stages 19 and 20; the yolk sac was visible and the head and tail were well developed.

Comparisons: The presence of basal webbing between the toes readily distinguishes *Colostethus elachyhistus* from those species which lack webbing (*anthonyi*, *bromelicola*, *infraguttatus*, *intermedius*, *kingsburyi*, *marchesianus*, *nubicola*, *olfersioides*, *pratti*, *ranoides*, *riocosangae*, *talamancae*, *taeniatus*, *tricolor*, and *vertebralis*) and from those species which have the toes more than one-third webbed (*bocagei*, *chocoensis*, *collaris*, *dunni*, *fuliginosus*, *granuliventr*, *palmaris*, *riveroi*, and *vergeli*).

Those species having the toes webbed basally are distinguished from




FIG. 4. Mouth parts of *C. elachyhistus* tadpole (one of series KU 121377), $\times 26$.

elachyhistus as follows: *Colostethus capixaba* and *carioca* from Brasil differ from *elachyhistus* on the basis of their small size (14 to 18 mm snout length) and webbing is present only between the third and fourth toes. The Brazilian *C. alagoanus* is much smaller (15 mm snout-vent length) than *elachyhistus* and has webbing only between the outer four toes. *Colostethus trinitatus* and *alboguttatus* differ from *elachyhistus* in having the first finger shorter than the second, an indistinct tympanum, and differences in the dorsal color pattern. Both *C. inguinalis* and *trilineatus* differ from *elachyhistus* by having concealed tympani. Furthermore *inguinalis* is larger (greater than 30 mm snout-vent length), whereas *trilineatus* is smaller (15 to 20 mm snout-vent length). *Colostethus festae* lacks a tarsal fold, and the skin on the dorsum of the head and body is coarsely granular; *C. neblina* possesses three plantar tubercles, the skin of the dorsum is roughly granular and that surrounding the vent and of the dorsal surface of the thighs is tuberculate. Although *C. brunneus* has vestigial webbing on the toes, it has a concealed tympanum, and lacks lateral fringes on the toes; the first and second fingers are subequal in length.

Barbour and Noble (1920) reported over 1000 specimens of *C. infraguttatus* from northern Peru and one locality in the lowlands of Ecuador. These localities are in two distinct physiographic provinces (relatively dry upper Río Marañón drainage, Peru; wet Pacific lowlands, Ecuador).

The specimen from the wet Pacific lowlands (MCZ 3214, Río Chanchan, Chimborazo Province, Ecuador) is indistinguishable from *C. ingraguttatus*. This specimen is referred to *infraguttatus* because of the unique ventral color pattern consisting of distinct round white spots on a light brown ground color, and the distinctly expanded discs on the toes. This identification is supported by data taken on the types of *infraguttatus* by William E. Duellman.

The majority of the specimens reported by Barbour and Noble were from localities at 500 to 800 m elevation in the relatively dry upper Río Marañón drainage. I have examined specimens from each of the localities reported by Barbour and Noble (total 30 specimens) and found that none of these is the same as *infraguttatus*. The poor condition of the Barbour and Noble specimens that I have examined precludes specific identification; however, as a group, they can be distinguished from *elachyhistus* on the basis of the unbarred legs, the narrower and frequently discontinuous dorsolateral stripe, the greatly expanded discs on the fingers, and the broadly blunt snout when viewed in dorsal profile.

Distribution: *Colostethus elachyhistus* has a known distribution along the western fringe of the Andes at elevations from 1500 to 2660 m, from 4 degrees S to 5 degrees 30' S latitude. Specimens examined: ECUADOR: Loja: KU 120515 (holotype), KU 121516-540 (paratopotypes), KU 121379 (20 juveniles), KU 121373-376 (adherent tadpoles), KU 121377-378 (free-swimming tadpoles); 9 km E Loja, KU 120541, 121380 (eggs); 3 km SW Malacatos, MCZ 56256-257; 10 km N Celica, MCZ 56259, 56265.

***Colostethus anthracinus* new species**

(Figs. 1b, 5, and 6)

Holotype: KU 120639, from Páramo de Raranga, 12 km S Cutchil, Morona-Santiago Province, Ecuador, 3400 m, collected 18 June 1968 by John D. Lynch.

Paratypes: KU 120640-658, collected with the holotype.

Diagnosis: (1) Small *Colostethus*, 17.2 to 19.9 (mean 18.3) mm snout-vent length; (2) sexual dimorphism exhibited in ventral coloration of males; (3) skin granular anterodorsally, pustular to areolate in area around vent and on dorsal surfaces of thighs; (4) tympanum indistinct, completely covered in some males; (5) supratympanic fold well developed; (6) first finger longer than second; (7) discs of fingers not expanded; (8) third finger of males not swollen; (9) digital glands distinct, with enamel white pigmentation; (10) tarsal fold sigmoid, not associated with inner metatarsal tubercle; (11) webbing and lateral fringes of toes absent; (12) discs of toes not expanded; (13) dorsolateral stripe yellow to gray, narrow, most conspicuous in females; (14) dorsal ground color pale gray to dark brownish black; (15) venter yellow to creamy white in females, dark gray to solid black with yellow groin in males; (16) limbs colored like dorsum, with or without dark bars restricted to thighs.

Description and variation: (Figs. 1b, 5a, 5b, and 6). Head wider than long, same width as body; ratio of head width to snout-vent length 0.335–0.403 (mean 0.368); snout rounded, blunt, slightly projecting in lateral profile; canthus rostralis obtusely angular, slightly constricted; loreal region slightly concave; nostrils lateral, much closer to tip of snout than to eye; diameter of eye much greater than distance from eye to nostril; tympanum indistinct, one-half to fully covered by heavy supratympanic fold; tongue round to oval, entire behind, posterior one-half to three-fourths free; choanae small, not visible when viewed from directly below; males with a single, median, subgular vocal sac; vocal slits short, oblique, near base of tongue.

Skin of dorsum finely granular anteriorly; dorsal ridges of folds absent; skin surrounding vent and on dorsal surfaces of thighs coarsely granular; venter smooth; anal opening unmodified; forearm lacking tubercles; two palmar tubercles; outer tubercle rounded to subtriangular; inner tubercle oval, equal in size to outer tubercle; subarticular tubercles round, simple, larger proximally; first finger longer than second; third finger of males not swollen.

Ratio of shank to snout-vent length 0.430–0.488 (mean 0.459); inner tarsal fold short, sigmoid, not associated with inner metatarsal tubercle; inner and outer metatarsal tubercles oval, slightly elevated, equal in size; supernumerary tubercles absent; subarticular tubercles simple, round; toes lacking webbing and lateral fringes; toe discs slightly expanded or not.

Coloration: In preservative, males from Morona-Santiago Province solid dark gray to black dorsally; indistinct black vertebral stripe visible in paler specimens; dorsal coloration of single male from Loja Province pale gray with large black spots at level of scapula; black lateral stripe in this specimen extending from groin to level of arm, being separated from black flanks by a narrow, dorsolateral creamy white stripe extending anteriorly from groin.

Females from both localities paler dorsally; dorsal ground color dark brown with black vertebral stripes (Morona-Santiago Province) or gray with dorsal black blotches (Loja Province); distinct dorsolateral stripe of light yellow present on all females; black canthal stripe, extending around snout, present in all females and single male from Loja Province; upper lip and labial area yellow with enamel white spots in all females and some males from Morona-Santiago Province; remaining males having black lips; upper surfaces of limbs dark gray to black in all males from both localities; females with yellow-gray to black forearms (Morona-Santiago Province) or pale gray with darker transverse bars along dorsal thighs and shanks (Loja Province); anterior surface of arms yellow in some females.

Ventral coloration sexually dimorphic, irrespective of locality; females having yellow-white venter, with gray wash on ventral surfaces of limbs; males having varying degrees of uniform black pigmentation on chin, throat, and chest as isolated spots (lighter specimens), or extending over entire venter, excluding groin and proximal portion of ventral thighs




FIG. 5. Diagrammatic ventral views of *Colostethus anthracinus* showing extremes in ventral coloration in males.

(darker specimens); ventral parts of hands, feet, arms, and distal portion of legs black in all males examined; palmar and plantar tubercles unpigmented.

In life, dorsum deep chocolate brown (Morona-Santiago Province), yellow brown (females from Loja Province), or bronze brown (males from Loja Province) with varying amounts of flecking or spotting; dorsolateral stripe creamy bronze, posterior half of stripe bordered dorsally by black in all specimens from Morona-Santiago Province and females from Loja Province; males from Loja Province with reddish bronze labial stripe; flanks of all specimens blue-gray to black with blue or green flecking; venter of males from both localities black, with orange on ventral surface of thighs and in groin; venter of females yellow to orange; axillae of both males and females orange; thighs variably black to brown with black flecking; iris reddish bronze.

Etymology: From the Latin *anthracinus* meaning "coal-black," in reference to the ventral coloration in the males.

Natural history: The type locality, at an elevation of 3400 m, is in páramo; the vegetation consists of short grasses and cushion plants. All specimens in the KU collections were collected from beneath stones along rivulets by day, during cold, rainy conditions with 100 percent cloud cover. A second locality, 500 m lower in elevation, is subpáramo with vegetation consisting of small bushes and grasses. Specimens were found under rocks adjacent to a small, water-filled ditch. There was no sign of breeding activity.

Distribution: *Colostethus anthracinus* has an Andean distribution in southern Ecuador at elevations between 2500 and 3500 m, and 3 degrees S and 4 degrees S latitude. Specimens examined: ECUADOR: *Morona-Santiago*: Páramo de Raranga, 12 km S Cutchil, KU 120639 (holotype), KU 120640–658 (paratopotypes); *Loja*: 13 to 14 km E Loja (by road), KU 120635–638; *Azuay*: vicinity of Gualaceo, CAS 94772.

Comparisons: *Colostethus anthracinus* can be distinguished from all previously described species in the genus except *C. talamancae*, *nubicola*, and *pratti* (all of Central America) on the basis of the black ventral coloration in the males. *Colostethus nubicola* can be distinguished on the basis of the reduced ventral coloration, and inconsistency in the color dimorphism; Savage (1968) described the venter of males as being immaculate in some specimens. *Colostethus pratti* differs in having the third finger of the males swollen, and a mottling on the throat. *Colostethus talamancae* is larger (males to 22 mm and females to 24 mm snout-vent length) and lacks a tarsal fold; furthermore, the nostril lies one-half the distance between the eye and the tip of the snout, and the dorsum is smooth.

Colostethus vertebralis (Boulenger)

- 1899 *Phyllodromus vertebralis* Boulenger, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., London (7)4: 456—Cañar (8400 ft), Ecuador.
 1904 *Prostherapis vertebralis*—Peracca, Bol. Mus. Zool. Anat. Comp. Torino (456)19: 17.
 1920 *Phyllobates vertebralis*—Barbour and Noble, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., Cambridge 63: 401.

(Figs. 1c and 6)

Diagnosis: (1) Small to medium *Colostethus* 14.6 to 19.7 (mean 17.1) mm; (2) sexual dimorphism in size, males being smaller than females; (3) skin smooth dorsally, becoming areolate around vent in some specimens; (4) tympanum indistinct; (5) supratympanic fold heavy, covering dorsal half of tympanum; (6) first finger equal to, or slightly longer than second; (7) discs of fingers slightly expanded; (8) third finger of males not swollen; (9) digital glands distinct; (10) inner tarsal fold indistinct, present on distal half of tarsus, extending from inner metatarsal tubercle bending abruptly at midpoint; (11) webbing and lateral fringes absent from toes; (12) discs of toes expanded, greater than discs of fingers; (13) dorsolateral stripe broad, greenish yellow, extending from posterior margin of eye to groin, passing over upper eyelid to tip of snout or not; (14) dorsal ground color lemon yellow to yellow-gray, with or without pale cream vertebral stripe; (15) venter greenish yellow with gray or black specks of varying densities extending from flanks to throat and chest; (16) thighs and shanks colored as dorsum with black spots or flecks, tarsi and feet with indistinct dark gray bars.

Description and variation: (Figs. 1c, 6; Table 1). Males smaller than females; head width equal to or narrower than body width; ratio of head

TABLE 1. Intraspecific morphometric variation in *Colostethus vertebralis* from different localities, illustrating sexual dimorphism with respect to size (means in parentheses).

Locality	Sex	N	Elev. (m)	Snout-vent length (mm)	Shank
					Snout-vent length
Cuenca, Azuay Province	♂ ♂	6	2540	15.6–17.6 (16.7)	0.436–0.493 (0.473)
	♀ ♀	3		18.1–19.7 (18.8)	0.441–0.456 (0.448)
8 km S Cutchil, Morona-Santiago Province	♂ ♂	8	3040	14.6–17.2 (15.9)	0.430–0.493 (0.456)
	♀ ♀	7		15.8–18.5 (17.5)	0.403–0.453 (0.429)
8–9 km N San Lucas, Loja Province	♂ ♂	10	3100	14.4–17.0 (15.7)	0.430–0.490 (0.460)
	♀ ♀	16		17.0–19.7 (17.7)	0.410–0.477 (0.437)
Laguna de Zurucuchu, Azuay Province	♂ ♂	4	3200	15.1–17.6 (16.3)	0.430–0.481 (0.451)
	♀ ♀	6		16.9–19.6 (18.3)	0.413–0.443 (0.430)

width to snout-vent length 0.30–0.40 (mean 0.348); snout rounded in dorsal view, blunt in lateral profile; canthus rostralis moderately well defined, concave; loreal region concave; nostrils lateral, much closer to tip of snout than to eye; distance from eye to nostril about three-fourths diameter of eye; tympanum indistinct, evidenced by a slight depression; ratio of tympanum width to eye diameter 0.388–0.823 (mean 0.556); supratympanic fold broad, indistinct; tongue large, ovoid, generally not blotched behind, posterior three-fourths free; choanae small, wholly or partially hidden by maxillae when viewed from directly below; males having conspicuous vocal slits and median, subgular vocal sac.

Skin of dorsum smooth to finely granular becoming areolate around vent; venter finely granular laterally and in area of groin, smooth medially; weak middorsal fold present in some specimens; anal opening unmodified; forearm of a few specimens from 8–9 km N San Lucas bearing one or two poorly defined tubercles, absent in all other specimens; outer palmar tubercle ovoid, equal to or slightly larger than inner palmar tubercle; two or three supernumerary tubercles infrequently

present; subarticular tubercles round, distinct, larger proximally; fingers lacking webbing or lateral fringes; digital pads slightly expanded; dorsal digital glands distinct; digital pad of third finger about half diameter of tympanum; first finger equal to or slightly longer than second.

Ratio of shank to snout-vent length 0.403–0.493 (mean 0.448); tarsal fold indistinct, extending from inner metatarsal tubercle, bending abruptly at midpoint; outer metatarsal tubercle conical, equal to inner metatarsal tubercle; subarticular tubercles of toes simple, round, larger proximally; toe pads slightly expanded, more so than pads of fingers; toes lacking webbing or lateral fringes.

Coloration: In preservative, dorsal ground color of adults yellow-gray to brownish black; lighter brown color occurs in specimens from Laguna de Zurucuchu and Cuenca; specimens from 8–9 km N San Lucas darker; specimens from 8 km S Cutchil exhibit both extremes in coloration; mid-dorsal thin creamy white stripe present in darker specimens; canthal stripe solid black; lips colorless; gold stripe extending below eye in all specimens; flanks flecked with light to dark gray.

Dorsolateral stripe distinct, broad, greenish yellow, extending from posterior margin of eye to groin where it expands slightly; dorsolateral strips extending across upper eyelid to tip of snout or not, forming a dorsal border to black canthal stripe; forearm colorless in lighter specimens and speckled with dark gray in darker specimens; upper arm colored as forearm, with or without black longitudinal stripe at elbow; thighs and shanks pale yellow to dark brown, with varying amounts of dark flecking; tarsi and feet indistinctly barred in lighter specimens; venter greenish yellow with gray to black flecking extending medially across throat and chest from flanks; two distinct black spots on chest at level of pectoral girdle in most specimens from Laguna de Zurucuchu; chest spots absent in all other specimens.

In life, dorsum pale rose to light brown; specimens from 8–9 km N San Lucas with black vertebral and paravertebral stripes, with or without a creamy white middorsal stripe; specimens from 8 km S Cutchil have black, irregular spots on dorsum; dorsolateral stripe broad, creamy white, expanding in groin as bright lemon yellow flash color in all specimens; flanks pale blue-gray to blue-green; sides of head chocolate brown, labial stripe white to bronze; specimens from 8 km S Cutchil have yellow throat and pale green-yellow venter; specimens from 8–9 km N San Lucas have off-white throat and venter, with pale gray wash; posterior surface of thighs pale green to creamy yellow with brownish-gray reticulations; iris bronze, lacking reticulation.

Natural history: Specimens of *Colostethus vertebralis* were found under rocks or in open areas, usually near small streams, and at high altitudes. Actively calling males were observed only at 8–9 km N San Lucas, Loja Province, by day.

Distribution: *Colostethus vertebralis* has an inter-Andean distribution from 2 degrees 30' S to 40 degrees S latitude at elevations between 2500 m and 3200 m. Specimens examined: ECUADOR: Loja: 8–9 km N San




FIG. 6. Locality records for *C. elachyhistus* (circles), *C. anthracinus* (squares), and *C. vertebralis* (triangles)—open symbols denote type localities.

Lucas, KU 120545–569. Azuay: Cuenca, KU 120603–611; Laguna de Zurucuchu, KU 120612–631. Morona-Santiago: 8 km S Cutchil, KU 120570–602. Chimborazo: 30 miles S (by road) Alausi, CAS 85179.

Comparisons: Absence of toe webbing distinguishes *C. vertebralis* from those species which possess webbing (for species involved see “Comparisons” under *C. elachyhistus*). Of those species which lack toe webbing, all but four can be distinguished from *vertebralis* on the bases of their larger size (greater than 20.0 mm snout-vent length) and/or the distinct difference in lengths of the first and second fingers. These species are: *anthonyi*, *bromelicola*, *infraguttatus*, *intermedius*, *kingsburyi*, *marchesianus*, *nubicola*, *olfersioides*, *ranoides*, *talamancae*, and *tricolor*.

The remaining four species can be separated from *Colostethus vertebralis* as follows: 1) *Colostethus riocosangae* and *taeniatius*, from the Amazonian slopes of the Andes, are slightly larger. The legs are distinctly barred, and the dorsal color pattern consists of a series of blotches or elongate spots. The skin of the dorsum is smooth. 2) *Colostethus pratti*, from Panamá and Colombian Choco, has a swollen third finger and a gray wash on the throat in males. 3) *Colostethus brunneus*, from the

Amazon Basin, is slightly smaller, lacks a distinct dorsolateral stripe in most specimens (if present, it does not pass obliquely from the eye to the groin as it does in *vertebralis*). The legs are distinctly barred. The black canthal stripe is continuous posterior to the eye along the flanks to the groin.

Acknowledgments: I am indebted to Ernest E. Williams of the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University (MCZ) and Alan E. Leviton of the California Academy of Sciences (CAS) for the loan of specimens, and to William E. Duellman who furnished notes on type specimens in the British Museum (Natural History). Specimens in the collection of the University of Kansas, Museum of Natural History are identified as KU. I am also grateful to John D. Lynch and William E. Duellman who critically reviewed the manuscript and presented numerous valuable suggestions, and to Linda Trueb who supplied much of her time in helping me prepare the figures.

LITERATURE CITED

- BARBOUR, T., AND G. K. NOBLE. 1920. Some amphibians from northwestern Peru, with a revision of the genera *Phyllobates* and *Telmatobius*. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. 63(8): 396-427 + 2 plates.
- BOULENGER, G. 1899. Descriptions of new reptiles and batrachians collected by Mr. P. O. Simons in the Andes of Ecuador. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., London (7)9: 454-457.
- GOSNER, K. L. 1960. A simplified table for staging anuran embryos and larvae with notes on identification. Herpetologica 16: 183-190.
- SAVAGE, J. M. 1968. The dendrobatid frogs of Central America. Copeia 1968(4): 745-776.



Edwards, S R. 1971. "Taxonomic notes on South American Colostethus with descriptions of two new species (Amphibia, Dendrobatidae)." *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 84, 147–162.

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/107519>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/44318>

Holding Institution

Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

Sponsored by

Biodiversity Heritage Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

Rights Holder: Biological Society of Washington

License: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>

Rights: <https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.