

PROC. BIOL. SOC. WASH. 95(2), 1982, pp. 223-231

ATROPHECAECUM LOBACETABULARE, N. SP. (DIGENEA: CRYPTOGONIMIDAE: ACANTHOSTOMINAE) WITH DISCUSSION OF THE GENERIC STATUS OF PARACANTHOSTOMUM FISCHTHAL AND KUNTZ, 1965, AND ATEUCHOCEPHALA COIL AND KUNTZ, 1960

Daniel R. Brooks and Janine N. Caira

Abstract.—A new species of Atrophecaecum is described from various sea snakes collected in northern Australia and Malaysia. The new species most closely resembles A. burminis, A. simhai, and Paracanthostomum cerberi in having one atrophied cecum, preovarian vitellaria, vitelline follicles not extending anteriorly to the posterior margin of the seminal vesicle, eggs attaining lengths greater than 30 μ m, and a short prepharynx. It is unique among acanthostomes in possessing a flap of tissue on the posterior margin of the acetabulum, giving that organ a bipartite appearance. The new species further resembles A. burminis and A. simhai by possessing oral spines, and resembles P. cerberi in having a subterminal mouth. Inclusion of Paracanthostomum cerberi and Ateuchocephala marina in Atrophecaecum provides the most parsimonious hypothesis of phylogenetic relationships among the taxa involved, and produces a more efficient and stable classification.

Brooks (1980) recently revised the digenean subfamily Acanthostominae Poche based on a phylogenetic analysis. In that monograph a new species of *Atrophecaecum* Bhalerao was discussed in terms of its effect on the classification of acanthostomes and two other cryptogonimid genera, *Paracanthostomum* Fischthal and Kuntz, and *Ateuchocephala* Coil and Kuntz. Specifically, the possibility was raised that *Ateuchocephala* and *Paracanthostomum* could not be recognized as natural (monophyletic) taxa unless *Atrophecaecum* was somehow subdivided. This report describes the new species of *Atrophecaecum* and, based on phylogenetic analysis, shows that the most useful classification for the taxa involved is one including *Ateuchocephala* and *Paracanthostomum* in the genus *Atrophecaecum*.

Specimens upon which the description of the new species is based were collected from sea-snakes quick-frozen shortly after capture and kept frozen until returned to the laboratory, where they were thawed and examined. Most were partially thawed, eviscerated, and the viscera refrozen and shipped to the University of Alberta. Collected helminths were fixed with cold AFA, stained with Harris' hematoxylin and mounted in Permount (Fisher). Measurements are in micra unless otherwise stated, with mean values (\bar{x}) and number of observations (n) for some traits; figures were drawn with the aid of a drawing tube.

> Atrophecaecum lobacetabulare, new species Figs. 1-4

Description (based on 34 specimens).—Body elongate, 4.32-12.30 mm long (n = 27) by 0.24-0.75 mm wide (n = 27); widest point variable in hindbody; ratio



Figs. 1-4. Atrophecaecum lobacetabulare: 1, Ventral view of holotype; 2, Close-up of posterior end of paratype, showing position of seminal receptacle, which is not apparent in holotype; 3, Closeup of ootype region; 4, Dorsal view of acetabular region of holotype, showing terminal genitalia. Abbreviations: HD = hermaphroditic duct; LC = Laurer's canal; MG = Mehlis' gland; O = ovary; SR = seminal receptacle; SV = seminal vesicle; U = uterus; VR = vitelline reservoir; VD = vitelline duct.

of body width to length 1:9.1–33.8 ($\bar{x} = 1:18.1$, n = 27). Tegument spinose; extent of spination not determined due to fixation methods. Eyespot pigment lacking. Oral sucker cup-shaped, terminal with subterminal mouth, 154–297 (n = 24) long by 143–231 (n = 24) wide, surrounded by single uninterrupted row of 24–26 spines; spines 22–38 (n = 20) long by 12–29 (n = 20) wide. Acetabulum 105–231 long (n = 23) by 95–209 wide (n = 23), with posterior lobe giving bipartite appearance; lobe not apparent in many specimens. Forebody 6.4–14.1% of total body length. Ratio of oral sucker width to acetabular width 1:0.64–1.07 ($\bar{x} =$ 1:0.84, n = 20). Prepharynx 60–242 long (n = 21), thin-walled. Pharynx barrelshaped, 108–148 long (n = 22) by 99–214 wide (n = 22). Ratio of oral sucker width to pharyngeal width 1:0.50–0.89 ($\bar{x} = 1:0.71$, n = 16). Ratio of acetabular width to pharyngeal width 1:0.59–1.15 ($\bar{x} = 1:0.87$, n = 16). Esophagus usually extremely short, not measured. Cecal bifurcation less than 5% of total body length pre-acetabular; ceca lined with epithelium, opening separately and laterally near posterior end of body; one cecum atrophied.

Testes spherical to subspherical, smooth, tandem, not contiguous; anterior testis 209–345 long by 132–208 wide, posterior testis 220–396 long by 132–352 wide; post-testicular space 3–11% of total body length ($\bar{x} = 7\%$, n = 26). Seminal vesicle consisting of posterior saccate part and anterior sinus part, sinuous, median, intercecal, extending 4.6–11.7 times acetabular length postacetabular ($\bar{x} = 9.1$, n = 18). Prostatic duct surrounded by few prostatic cells free in parenchyma. Preacetabular pit without spines, with transverse aperture 80–83 wide, surrounded

ed by gland cells; gonotyl lacking. Genital pore immediately preacetabular, opening immediately posterior to preacetabular pit. Postacetabular pit lacking.

Ovary approximately one ovarian diameter pretesticular, not contiguous with anterior testis, spherical to subspherical, 120–264 long by 99–242 wide. Seminal receptacle posterodorsal to ovary, 132–506 long by 77–198 wide. Ootype region as in Fig. 3. Uterus wound in ascending loops intercecal between ovary and acetabulum; loops occupying 63–75% of total body length ($\bar{x} = 70\%$, n = 23); joining hermaphroditic duct posterior to acetabulum. Vitellaria follicular; follicles in 2 longitudinal rows dorsal and lateral to ceca, extending from immediately preovarian to 8–50% of total body length postacetabular ($\bar{x} = 27\%$, n = 24), terminating at uneven levels. Left vitellarium follicles extending anteriorly to 8–46% of total body length postacetabular. Follicles not reaching anteriorly to 9–50% of total body length postacetabular. Follicles not reaching anteriorly to posterior margin of seminal vesicle, 14–33 long by 12–29 wide. Eggs 25–35 ($\bar{x} = 28$, n = 34) long by 13–20 ($\bar{x} = 16.5$, n = 34) wide. Excretory vesicle Y-shaped; bifurcation posterodorsal to acetabulum; pore terminal with muscular sphincter surrounded by gland cells.

Hosts.—Disteira major (Shaw), Hydrophis caerulescens (Shaw), H. spiralis (Shaw), Enhydrina schistosa (Daudin) (type), Lapemis hardwicki Gray (Ophidia: Hydrophiidae: Hydrophiinae: Hydrophiini).

Site of infection.—Small intestine.

Localities.—Penang, Malaysia (type) (E. schistosa, H. spiralis, L. hardwicki); Western Gulf of Carpenteria, Australia (D. major, H. caerulescens).

Holotype.—USNM Helm. Coll. No. 77161. *Paratypes*.—USNM Helm. Coll. No. 77162, 77163, 77164.

Etymology.—The species is named for its lobate acetabulum, a feature unique among acanthostomes.

Atrophecaecum lobacetabulare most closely resembles the species A. burminis (Bhalerao, 1926) Khalil, 1963, and A. simhai Khalil, 1963, and Paracanthostomum cerberi Fischthal and Kuntz, 1965, by having one atrophied cecum, preovarian vitellaria, vitelline follicles not extending anteriorly to the posterior margin of the seminal vesicle, eggs attaining lengths greater than 30 μ m, and a very short prepharynx. The new species is unique among known acanthostomes by virtue of its possessing a lobate acetabulum. It resembles A. burminis and A. simhai by having oral spines, although they are relatively much smaller than those of most other acanthostomes. It resembles P. cerberi by possessing a subterminal mouth with terminal oral sucker. Thus, A. lobacetabulare occupies a systematic position intermediate between the taxa listed above.

Discussion

Brooks (1980) alluded to possible taxonomic problems arising from the discovery of *A. lobacetabulare*. The nature of the problem, and of its solution, may be seen best by examining the relative positions of *A. lobacetabulare*, *P. cerberi*, and *Ateuchocephala marina* in a cladogram depicting the phylogenetic relationships of *Atrophecaecum* spp. (Fig. 5). Synapomorphies (shared special traits) linking the new species and the other two taxa above to *Atrophecaecum* are listed on the cladogram (simplified from that of Brooks 1980).

Figure 5 clearly shows that A. lobacetabulare, P. cerberi, and Ateuchocephala



Fig. 5. Cladogram, partly modified from that of Brooks (1980), depicting phylogenetic relationships of *Atrophecaecum* spp. plus *Paracanthostomum cerberi* and *Ateuchocephala marina*. Modifications comprise deletion of some characters from the cladogram for the sake of clarity in viewing, and addition of *A. lobacetabulare* and *P. cerberi* and *A. marina*.

marina form a monophyletic group most closely related to Atrophecaecum burminis and A. simhai, hence our choice of comparisons above. This arrangement would require that Paracanthostomum and Ateuchocephala be considered junior synonyms of Atrophecaecum if A. lobacetabulare and all other members of the genus are to be retained in a single genus. Despite the perceived morphological distinctness of oral structures possessed by P. cerberi and Ateuchocephala marina, they represent only secondary loss of traits (oral spines) already reduced in size in their closest relative and a tilting of the mouth orientation. Paracanthostomum and Ateuchocephala are therefore characterized in part by the absence of traits. Conversely, Atrophecaecum would not exist as a natural taxon if P. cerberi and Ateuchocephala marina are excluded from it.

Three types of objections commonly raised concerning cladistic classification include: (1) they involve loss of information about "gaps" or degrees of difference between taxa (anagenetic information), (2) they produce confusing and unusable diagnoses and keys, and (3) any attempts to preserve cladistic information produce long unwieldy classifications. All of these objections have been treated empirically in papers published by various authors primarily in *Systematic Zoology* during the past ten years. This study provides an opportunity to demonstrate the efficacy of phylogenetic classification using a set of real taxa.

Loss of information.—Consider the three genera Atrophecaecum, Paracanthostomum, and Ateuchocephala in the classification presented by Yamaguti (1971). Paracanthostomum and Atrophecaecum (as a subgenus of Acanthostomum) belong in the Acanthostominae and Ateuchocephala comprises the sole

member of the Ateuchocephalinae. Such a classification does not represent any information concerning any members of Atrophecaecum which exhibit traits intermediate between the other taxa, nor does it recognize any of the similarities between Ateuchocephala and Paracanthostomum. Yamaguti's classification proposed a set of relationships opposite to the phylogenetic relationships supported by known data; Ateuchocephala was considered the sister-lineage of Paracanthostomum plus all other acanthostomes, and Paracanthostomum was considered the sister-lineage of all armed acanthostomes, including Atrophecaecum. Even if Paracanthostomum were considered a member of the Ateuchocephalinae, the classification would suggest that Atrophecaecum excluding Paracanthostomum and Ateuchocephala comprises a monophyletic group. Such a notion is not supported by any known data. In such cases, because Atrophecaecum lobacetabulare possesses traits intermediate between Atrophecaecum and Paracanthostomum plus Ateuchocephala, the "gap" between the taxa no longer exists, and existed originally only as an artifact of sampling error. Insofar as gaps represent either large numbers of character differences, which may be an artifact of data type or of sampling, or large-scale character differences, which may also be an artifact of data type, information concerning such occurrences should not be a basis for classification. Such occurrences are represented in every cladogram but do not alter the branching pattern or classification produced. A cladistic classification does not indicate directly such gaps, but the diagnoses for which the taxon names stand do.

Confusion in diagnoses and keys.—It has been suggested (Mayr 1969; Sneath and Sokal 1973) that cladistic classifications are not very stable. One aspect of that instability would be wholesale changes in diagnoses and keys every time a new taxon is included in the classification. It is true that the inclusion of the taxa in question within Atrophecaecum requires changes in some diagnoses and keys. However, we think the changes are few and minor, especially when compared with the number of unnecessary redundancies in diagnoses required if Paracanthostomum and Ateuchocephalus were maintained separately from the other acanthostomes.

Inclusion of *Paracanthostomum* and *Ateuchocephalus* in the Acanthostominae requires the following changes (in italics) in the subfamilial diagnosis presented by Brooks (1980):

Acanthostominae Poche, 1926

Diagnosis (emended from that of Brooks 1980).—Body elongate, eyespotted or not. Oral sucker terminal, *with terminal or subterminal mouth*, surrounded by uninterrupted single row of spines or lacking spines. Prepharynx and esophagus present and variable in length or lacking. Ceca extending to near posterior end of body. Acetabulum median, embedded in parenchyma or enclosed in body fold. Preacetabular pit present. Postacetabular pit present or lacking. Testes 2, intercecal, tandem or oblique, in hindbody. Seminal vesicle present. Cirrus sac lacking. Gonotyl present or lacking. Genital pore immediately preacetabular, not in preacetabular pit. Ovary spherical or subspherical, pretesticular in hindbody. Seminal receptacle and Laurer's canal present. Vitellaria follicular, in lateral fields in hindbody. Uterus postacetabular, usually not extending postovarially. Eggs embryonated, not filamented. Excretory vesicle Y- or V-shaped, with post- or preacetabular bifurcation. Parasites of estuarine and freshwater fishes and reptilians. Pantropical. Type-genus: Acanthostomum Looss, 1899.

Inclusion of *Paracanthostomum* and *Ateuchocephala* in *Atrophecaecum* requires the following emendations to the generic diagnosis presented by Brooks (1980):

Atrophecaecum Bhalerao, 1940

Ateuchocephala Coil and Kuntz, 1960:145–150. Paracanthstomum Fischthal and Kuntz, 1965:124–136.

Diagnosis.—Acanthostominae. Tegumental spines uniform in size. Oral sucker armed with single uninterrupted row of spines or lacking spines. Mouth terminal or subterminal. Prepharynx and esophagus variable in length or one lacking. Ceca opening separately and laterally; ceca not atrophied, one cecum atrophied or one cecum lacking. Gonotyl lacking. Seminal vesicle coiled. Vitelline follicles terminating preovarially; secondary cluster of follicles surrounding testes may be present. Seminal receptacle posterodorsal to ovary. Uterine loops preovarian. Excretory vesicle Y-shaped, with pre- or postacetabular bifurcation. Parasites of crocodilians and ophidians. India, Pakistan, Burma, Malaysia, Australia. Typespecies: Atrophecaecum burminis (Bhalerao, 1926), Bhalerao, 1940.

The above additions to the diagnoses of Acanthostominae and of Atrophecaecum eliminate the need for separate diagnoses of Paracanthostomum, Ateuchocephala and the Ateuchocephalinae. Additionally, the phylogenetic relationships of the taxa involved are represented in the classification and the new classification differs little from the previous classification of the acanthostomes with the exception of the addition of three new species. Thus, the cladistic system is demonstrably more stable and less ambiguous than any other. The above additions do not require any changes in the generic key presented by Brooks (1980) but do require a new key to the species of Atrophecaecum, as follows:

1a.	Prepharynx less than 3 times longer than pharynx, one cecum partially
	or completely atrophied, parasites of ophidians
1b.	Prepharynx at least 3 times longer than pharynx, ceca not atrophied,
	parasites of crocodilians 2
2a.	Oral spines 18–19 in number slusarskii
2b.	Oral spines 22 in number indicum
3a.	One cecum lacking 4
3b.	Both ceca present 5
4a.	Oral spines 20-22 in number, vitellaria confluent preovarially
	proctophorum
4b.	Oral spines 24-28 in number, vitellaria not confluent preovarially
	asymmetricum
5a.	Oral spines 23 in number, vitelline follicles extending anteriorly to pos-
	terior margin of seminal vesicle pakistanense
5b.	Oral spines 24–29 in number or lacking, vitelline follicles not extending
	anteriorly to posterior margin of seminal vesicle, eggs reaching more
	than 30 μ m in length

6a.	Cecal bifurcation more than 10% of total body length preacetabular,
	uterine loops occupying less than 50% of total body length simhai
6b.	Cecal bifurcation less than 5% of total body length preacetabular, uterine
	loops occupying more than 50% of total body length
7a.	Mouth terminal burminis
7b.	Mouth subterminal 8
8a.	Oral spines present, acetabulum bipartitelobacetabulare
8b.	Oral spines lacking, acetabulum unipartite
9a.	Esophagus present, prepharynx lacking, secondary vitelline cluster sur-
	rounding testes, seminal vesicle short marinum
9b.	Esophagus lacking, prepharynx short, secondary vitelline cluster lack-
	ing, seminal vesicle elongate cerberi

Coil and Kuntz (1960) reported lateral ani for Ateuchocephala marina, but Yamaguti (1971) reported no ani after examining a paratype. We examined the specimens in question and found lateral ani and slight cecal atrophy. Yamaguti further reported a fairly long prepharynx in *Paracanthostomum cerberi*, but we found the prepharynx of the holotype and of specimens reported by Brooks and Palmieri (1981) to be as short as or shorter than the pharynx.

A number of species of Ateuchocephala have been described in addition to A. marina, but their validity and identities remain in question pending acquisition of material for study. Therefore, we make only the following new combinations: Paracanthostomum cerberi Fischthal and Kuntz, 1965 becomes Atrophecaecum cerberi (Fischthal and Kuntz, 1965), n. comb., and Ateuchocephala marina Coil and Kuntz, 1960 becomes Atrophecaecum marinum (Coil and Kuntz, 1960), n. comb.; Ateuchocephalinae Yamaguti, 1971 becomes a junior subjective synonym of Acanthostominae Poche, 1926.

Inclusion of all cladistic information in classifications produces long unwieldy classifications.—Do attempts to preserve all the information in a cladogram necessarily produce long unwieldy classifications? In order to examine this question, we formulated a complete classification of the acanthostomes according to the convention of phyletic sequencing (see Wiley 1979) in which every taxon is considered the sister-group of all taxa of equivalent position below it. That classification:

Subfamily Acanthostominae Genus Timoniella Subgenus 1 species praeterita species imbutiformis Subgenus 2 species incognita species scyphocephala species unami species loossi Genus Gymnatrema species gymnarchi species pambanense Genus Proctocaecum Subgenus 1 Infrasubgenus 1

species coronarium species vicinum Infrasubgenus 2 species productum species gonotyl Subgenus 2 Infrasubgenus 1 species nicolli Infrasubgenus 2 species atae sedis mutabilis species elongatum sedis mutabilis species crocodili sedis mutabilis Genus Caimanicola species caballeroi species pavidus species marajoara species brauni Genus Acanthostomum Subgenus 1 species absconditum species knobus species niloticum species spiniceps Subgenus 2 Infrasubgenus 1 species gnerii species minimum species astorquii Infrasubgenus 2 species americanum species megacetabulum Genus Atrophecaecum Subgenus 1 species indicum species slusarskii Subgenus 2 species pakistanense Subgenus 3 species proctophorum species asymmetricum Subgenus 4 species simhai species burminis species lobacetabulare species cerberi species marinum

This classification is only 16 lines longer than a classification listing only genera and their included species, and only 6 lines longer than a classification using subgeneric designations. Thus, a fully-resolved cladistic classification need not be substantially longer than a less informative syncretistic scheme.

This report demonstrates the utility of a cladistic classification scheme as a general reference system for helminth systematics. Theoretical claims in support of the stability, consistency, predictivity, information content, and applicability of Hennigian systematics are upheld in this practical demonstration. We strongly urge helminthologists to investigate the use of such an approach in classifying other taxa.

Acknowledgments

We express appreciation to Dr. John C. Holmes, University of Alberta, for providing the specimens of *Atrophecaecum lobacetabulare*. Funds for this study were provided through a grant to DRB from the Natural, Applied and Health Sciences Grant Committee of the University of British Columbia.

Literature Cited

Brooks, D. R. 1980. Revision of the Acanthostominae Poche, 1926 (Digenea: Cryptogonimidae).— Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 70:313–382.

—, and J. R. Palmieri. 1981. Six platyhelminths from Malaysian reptiles including Paradistomoidella cerberi n. g., n. sp. (Digenea: Dicrocoeliidae).—Journal of Helminthology 55:39–43.

Coil, W. H., and R. E. Kuntz. 1960. Three new genera of trematodes from Pacific sea serpents.— Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 27:145–150.

Fischthal, J. H., and R. E. Kuntz. 1965. Digenetic trematodes of amphibians and reptiles from North Borneo (Malaysia).—Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 32:124–136.
Mayr, E. 1969. Principles of systematic zoology.—McGraw-Hill, New York.

Sneath, P. H. A., and R. R. Sokal. 1973. Numerical taxonomy.—W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco.

Wiley, E. O. 1979. An annotated Linnean hierarchy, with comments on natural taxa and competing systems.—Systematic Zoology 28:308–337.

Yamaguti, S. 1971. Synopsis of digenetic trematodes of vertebrates.-Keigaku Publ. Co., Tokyo.

(DRB) Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, 2075 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, B.C. V6T 2A9, Canada; (JNC) Harold W. Manter Laboratory, Division of Parasitology, University of Nebraska State Museum, W-529 Nebraska Hall, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588-0514.



Brooks, D. R. and Caira, Janine N. 1982. "Atrophecaecum lobacetabulare, n. sp. (Digenea: Cryptogonimidae: Acanthostominae) with discussion of the generic status of Paracanthostomum Fischthal and Kuntz, 1965, and Ateuchocephala Coil and Kuntz, 1960." *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 95, 223–231.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/108716</u> Permalink: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/48252</u>

Holding Institution Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

Sponsored by Biodiversity Heritage Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. Rights Holder: Biological Society of Washington License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.